

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

FINJAN, INC.,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-01979
Patent 8,141,154

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. FACTS	3
A. The '154 Patent	3
III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	5
A. "first function," "second function," "transmitter" and "receiver"	5
B. "content"	5
IV. PETITIONER HAS FAILED TO PROVE THAT SIRER WAS PUBLICLY ACCESSIBLE.....	7
V. GROUND 1 - KHAZAN IN VIEW OF SIRER DOES NOT RENDER CLAIMS 1-5 OF THE '154 PATENT OBVIOUS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	11
A. The Combination of Khazan and Simer Does not Disclose "a content processor ... for processing content received over a network" or program code for causing a computing device to "process content received over a network" (claims 1, 4, 6, and 10).....	11
1. Neither an "Instrumented Application Executable" Nor an "Instrumented Executable" Appear in Khazan.	15
2. The Application Executable is Not Disclosed to be Received over a Network.....	19
3. Khazan's Instrumented DLL is not Content Received Over a Network.	21
4. Simer does not Cure the Deficiencies in Khazan.	23
B. The Combination of Khazan and Simer does not Disclose "content received over a network, the content including a call to a first function" (Claims 1, 4, 6, and 10).....	24

1.	Khazan does not disclose “the content including a call to a first function.”	24
2.	Khazan does not disclose “the call including an input” (claims 1 and 4).....	28
3.	Microsoft Detours Does not Support an Assertion that Khazan Discloses the “call including an input.”	29
4.	Sirer does not Cure the Deficiencies of Khazan.....	32
A.	The Combination of Khazan and Sirer Does not Disclose Invoking a Second Function with the Input, only if such Invocation is Safe (claims 1 and 4)	34
1.	Khazan Does Not Disclose Invoking a Second Function With the Input “only if a security computer indicates that such invocation is safe.”	35
2.	Sirer Does not Cure the Deficiencies of Khazan.....	39
B.	The Combination of Khazan and Sirer Fails to Teach the Claimed Transmitting of Input “When the First Function is” Invoked.....	40
C.	The Combination of Khazan and Sirer Does not Disclose the Receiver Elements	42
D.	The Combination of Khazan and Sirer Does not Disclose “wherein said content processor (i) suspends processing of the content after said transmitter transmits the input to the security computer” (claim 2).....	44
E.	The Combination of Khazan and Sirer Does not Disclose wherein said content processor... (ii) resumes processing of the content after said receiver receives the indicator from the security computer” (claim 2).....	46
F.	There is No Basis to Combine Khazan and Sirer in the Manner Disclosed in the Claims of the ‘154 Patent	47
1.	There is no Motivation to Combine Khazan and Sirer.....	47

2.	The Suggested Combination of Khazan and Sirer is Inoperable.....	50
VI.	GROUND 2 - KHAZAN WITH SIRER AND BEN-NATAN DOES NOT RENDER CLAIMS 2, 4–8, 10, AND 11 OF THE '154 PATENT OBVIOUS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	51
A.	Khazan, Sirer, and Ben-Natan do Not Teach a “Modified Input Variable”	51
B.	There is no Basis to Combine Khazan, Sirer and Ben-Natan in the Manner Described in the Claims of the '154 Patent	53
1.	There is No Motivation to Combine Ben-Natan with Khazan and Sirer.....	54
2.	Ben-Natan is Not Analogous Art to the'154 Patent.	56
3.	The Suggested Combination is Inoperable.	58
VII.	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS	58
A.	Commercial Success.....	58
B.	Long-Felt But Unresolved Need and Recognition of a Problem	64
C.	Skepticism and Unexpected Results	65
D.	The Failure of Others	65
E.	Secondary Considerations Must be Considered.....	66
VIII.	CONCLUSION.....	66

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Apple Inc. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i> , 725 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	66
<i>In re Cronyn</i> , 890 F.2d 1158 (Fed. Cir. 1989)	7, 10
<i>Cuozzo Speed Tech's, LLC v. Lee</i> , No. 15-446, 2016 WL 3369425 (2016)	18
<i>In re: Cyclobenzaprine Hydrochloride Extended-Release Capsule Pat.</i> <i>Litig.</i> , 676 F.3d 1063 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	65
<i>Demaco Corp. v. F. Von Langsdorff Licensing Ltd.</i> , 851 F.2d 1387 (Fed. Cir. 1988)	59
<i>Estee Lauder Inc. v. L'Oreal, S.A.</i> , 129 F.3d 588 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	34, 41
<i>Graftech Int'l Holdgs, Inc., v. Laird Techs., Inc.</i> , Nos. 2015-1796, -1797, -1798, 2016 WL 3357427 (Fed. Cir. June 17, 2016)	58
<i>Institut Pasteur & Universite Pierre Et Marie Curie v. Focarino</i> , 738 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	58
<i>Kyocera Wireless Corp. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i> , 545 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	7, 8
<i>In re Lister</i> , 583 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	7, 9
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.</i> , 789 F.3d 1292 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	6

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.