		Page 1
1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	
2		
3		
4		
5	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD	
6		
7		
8		
9	PALO ALTO NETWORKS, INC.,	
10	Petitioner,	
11		
12	v.	
13		
14	FINJAN , INC.,	
15	PATENT OWNER.	
16		
17	Case IPR2015-01979	
18	Patent 8,141,154 B2	
19		
20		
21		
22		



Page 2 1 APPEARANCES: COOLEY LLP 3 380 Interlocken Crescent, suite 900 Broomfield, CO 80021 4 5 Orion Armon, Esq. Counsel for the Petitioner 6 8 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP 9 1177 Ave of the Americas New York, NY 10036 10 11 James Hannah, Esq. Jeffrey Price, Esq. 12 Michael Lee, Esq. 13 14 Counsel for the Patent Owner 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22



Page 3

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2
- 3 JUDGE QUINN: All right. This is Judge Miriam
- 4 Quinn again. And with me is Judge Tom Eonetti. I'm going
- 5 to take rollcall at this time. Uh, who's on the line for
- 6 Petitioner?
- 7 MR. ARMON: Your Honor, it's Orien Armon, lead
- 8 counsel for Petitioner. Um, I do not believe that any of
- 9 my colleagues have joined, but if anyone else is on for,
- 10 uh, Palo Alto Networks please speak up.
- JUDGE QUINN: All right. I don't hear anybody
- 12 so let's move on. Patent Owner, who do we have, on the
- 13 call?
- 14 MR. HANNAH: Good afternoon, Your Honor. This
- is James Hannah for Patent Owner. And with me on the line
- 16 is Michael Lee, um, who will be handling, uh, the main
- 17 issue, uh, this afternoon.
- 18 JUDGE QUINN: Okay. And I understand there is a
- 19 court reporter on the line. Could you identify yourself,
- 20 ma'am?
- 21 COURT REPORTER: Yes, this is Earlina King with
- 22 Alderson.



Page 4

- 1 JUDGE QUINN: Okay. all right. So we are
- 2 here for IPR 2015 1979. That is a, uh, Palo Alto versus
- 3 Finjan. And the call was requested by Patent Owner, at
- 4 least the initial one, requested by Patent Owner to
- 5 address dispute regarding discovery relevant to real party
- 6 interest. At this time I will give Patent Owner the
- 7 floor.
- 8 MR. LEE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Uh, we
- 9 recently tried to take the deposition of Petitioner's
- 10 expert, Dr. Rubin. But during that deposition, counsel
- 11 for Petitioner instructed Dr. Rubin not to answer, uh,
- 12 when he has asked about information highly relevant to
- 13 real party. Particularly Dr. Rubin, uh, -- as an expert
- 14 for other companies -- Finjan. When he was asked to
- 15 identify his company, counsel, uh, for Petitioner, -- Dr.
- 16 Rubin -- confidential. Uh, we believe that counsel is not
- 17 entitled to instruct the witness not to answer based on
- 18 confidentiality. That is contrary to the -- guidelines.
- 19 Um, and Patent Owner is seeking relief from the Court on
- 20 additional discovery, uh, regarding -- interest.
- 21 JUDGE QUINN: So let me get this straight. You
- 22 want additional discovery in the form of what?



```
Page 5
              MR. LEE: Uh, deposition and a discovery
1
         request.
 3
              JUDGE QUINN: Deposition of who?
                        Dr. Rubin, an additional deposition.
             MR. LEE:
              JUDGE QUINN: And -- and discovery request of --
 5
    of what? I mean there has to be something more specific
 6
     than that.
8
                        Sure. It's, uh, regarding his
              MR. LEE:
    engagements and regarding the -- the other, um, --
9
10
    engagements that are, um, Dr. Rubin is, uh, involved in.
    So it would be -- specifically it would be things like,
11
    uh, like billing records, which is -- which the patent
12
13
    office has accepted, um, as -- as reasonably performed.
14
              JUDGE QUINN: Well, I'm -- I'm not interested in
15
    what other panel -- I'm interested in what exactly you
16
    are asking about. So you said records that show what,
    engagement and -- and his billing record?
17
             MR. LEE: Yeah, his engagements for --
18
    So during the deposition, he said that there are -- there
19
20
    are -- he was engaged and -- as an expert for -- with
    other companies -- but wouldn't identify what those --
21
22
             COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, I can barely hear
```



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

