

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

Palo Alto Networks, Inc. and  
Blue Coat Systems, Inc.,  
Petitioners

v.

Finjan, Inc.  
Patent Owner

*Inter Partes* Review No. 2015-01974<sup>1</sup>  
U.S. Patent No. 7,647,633

**PETITIONER'S RESPONSE TO PATENT OWNER'S  
MOTION TO EXCLUDE**

---

<sup>1</sup> Case IPR2016-00480 has been joined with this proceeding.

## Table of Contents

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. THERE IS NO BASIS TO EXCLUDE DR. RUBIN’S CLAIM CONSTRUCTION OPINIONS (EX. 1002, ¶¶ 79-93) .....	1
III. THE GRENIER DECLARATION (EX. 1005) IS ADMISSIBLE .....	2
IV. EXHIBITS 1006 (AUTHOR’S WEBPAGE), 1007 (FILEWATCHER WEBPAGE), AND 1008 (KAVA PAPER) ARE ADMISSIBLE .....	3
A. Exhibits 1006-1008 are Authentic .....	4
B. Exhibits 1006-1007 are Not Hearsay .....	4
C. Exhibits 1006-1008 are Relevant .....	6
V. THE KENT DECLARATION (EX. 1082) IS ADMISSIBLE .....	6
VI. THE SHERFESEE AFFIDAVIT (EX. 1093) IS ADMISSIBLE .....	7
VII. THE BUTLER AFFIDAVITS (EXS. 1092, 1095) ARE ADMISSIBLE .....	8
VIII. PETITIONER’S EXHIBITS 1099, 1101, 1035, 2022, AND RELATED ARGUMENTS REBUT ARGUMENTS RAISED IN FINJAN’S RESPONSE .....	9
A. PAN’s Claim Construction Arguments Properly Respond to Finjan’s New Claim Construction Position .....	9
B. Reply Arguments Regarding Shin Should Not Be Excluded .....	11
C. PAN’s Arguments Regarding a Poison Java + Brown Combination Properly Rebut Finjan’s Response .....	11
D. Testimony and Reply Arguments Regarding Shin and Poison Java’s Public Availability .....	12
IX. SHIN (EX. 1009), POISON JAVA (EX. 1004), AND BROWN (EX. 1041) ARE ADMISSIBLE .....	12
A. Shin (Ex. 1009) is Admissible .....	13
B. Poison Java (Ex. 1004) is Admissible .....	14
C. Brown (Ex. 1041) is Admissible .....	15

**Table of Authorities**  
**(cont'd)**

**Page(s)**

**Cases**

*Actifio, Inc. v. Delphix Corp.*,  
IPR2015-00025, Paper 69 (PTAB Apr. 12, 2016) .....13, 14, 15

*Apple Inc. v. DSS Tech. Mgmt., Inc.*,  
IPR2015-00369, Paper 40 (PTAB June 17, 2016) .....3

*Constant v. Advanced Micro-Devices, Inc.*,  
848 F.2d 1560 (Fed. Cir.), *cert. denied*, 988 U.S. 892 (1988) .....3, 8

*Crestron Elecs., Inc. v. Intuitive Building Controls, Inc.*,  
IPR2015-01460, Paper 14 (PTAB Jan. 14, 2016) .....8

*EMC Corp. v. Personal Web Techs.*,  
IPR2013-00085, Paper 73 (PTAB May 15, 2014) .....13, 15

*Ericsson, Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures I LLC*,  
IPR2014-000527, Paper 41 (PTAB May 18, 2015) .....14

*Ericsson Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures I LLC*,  
IPR2014-01149, Paper 68 (PTAB Dec. 9, 2015) .....13, 14, 15

*In re Hall*,  
781 F.2d 897 (Fed. Cir. 1986) .....3, 8

*Hughes Network Sys., LLC v. California Institute of Tech.*,  
IPR2015-00059, Paper 42 (PTAB Apr. 21, 2016) .....10, 11

*Int'l Business Machines Corp. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC*,  
IPR2015-00089, Paper 44 (PTAB Apr. 25, 2016) .....5, 13, 15

*L-3 Comms. Holdings, Inc. v. Power Survey, LLC*,  
IPR2014-00834, Paper 68 (PTAB Nov. 23, 2015).....9

*Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co.*,  
CBM2012-00002, Paper 66 (PTAB Jan. 23, 2014).....8

*Microsoft Corp. v. Bradium Techs., LLC*,  
IPR2016-00448, Paper 9 (PTAB July 25, 2016) .....14, 15

**Table of Authorities**  
**(cont'd)**

**Page(s)**

*Nintendo of America Inc. v. Motion Games LLC*,  
IPR2014-00164, Paper 51 (PTAB May 15, 2015) .....9, 10

*Nissan North America, Inc. v. Diamond Coating Techs., LLC*,  
IPR2014-01548, Paper 43 (PTAB Nov. 6, 2015).....9

*QSC Audio Products, LLC v. Crest Audio, Inc.*,  
IPR2014-00127, Paper 43 (PTAB Apr. 29, 2015) .....5, 6

*SAP America, Inc. v. Lakshmi Arunachalam*,  
IPR2013-00195, Paper 60 (PTAB Sept. 18, 2014).....4

*SDI Techs., Inc. v. Bose Corp.*,  
IPR2013-00350, Paper 36 (PTAB Nov. 7, 2014).....4

*TRW Automotive v. Magna Elecs. Inc.*,  
IPR2014-01347, Paper 25 (PTAB Jan. 6, 2016) .....14

*United States v. Turner*,  
718 F.3d 226 (3d Cir. 2013) .....4

*Valeo North America, Inc. v. Magna Elecs., Inc.*,  
IPR2014-01204, Paper 51 (PTAB Jan. 25, 2016) .....13

*Vibrant Media, Inc. v. General Electric Co.*,  
IPR2013-00172, Paper 50 (PTAB July 28, 2014).....9

**Other Authorities**

37 C.F.R.  
§§ 42.51(b)(2), 42.52(a).....2  
§§ 42.53(f)(8), 42.64(a), (c).....2

**Table of Authorities**  
**(cont'd)**

**Page(s)**

**F.R.E.**

401.....	3, 6, 7, 8
602.....	3, 8
801.....	4
807.....	5, 13, 14, 15
807(a)(1) .....	6
807(a)(2) .....	6
807(a)(2)-(4) .....	14, 15
807(a)(3) .....	6
807(a)(4) .....	6
901.....	4
901, 602.....	8
901(b)(1), (b)(4).....	13, 14, 15
901(b)(4) .....	4

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.