

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

ACTIVISION BLIZZARD, INC.,  
ELECTRONIC ARTS INC.,  
TAKE-TWO INTERACTIVE SOFTWARE, INC.,  
2K SPORTS, INC., ROCKSTAR GAMES, INC., and  
BUNGIE, INC.,

Petitioners

v.

ACCELERATION BAY, LLC,

Patent Owner

---

Case No. IPR2015-01972<sup>1</sup>  
Patent Number 6,701,344 B1

---

Before the Honorable SALLY C. MEDLEY, LYNNE E. PETTIGREW, and  
WILLIAM M. FINK, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

**PETITIONERS' CONSOLIDATED OPPOSITION TO PATENT OWNER'S  
MOTION FOR ENTRY OF THE DEFAULT PROTECTIVE ORDER AND  
TO SEAL CERTAIN EXHIBITS UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14 AND 42.54**

---

<sup>1</sup> Bungie, Inc., who filed a Petition in IPR2016-00934, has been joined as a  
petitioner in this proceeding.

The undersigned on behalf of, and acting in a representative capacity for, Petitioners Activision Blizzard, Inc., Electronic Arts Inc., Take-Two Interactive Software, Inc., 2K Sports, Inc., Rockstar Games, Inc., and Bungie, Inc. (collectively “Petitioners”) hereby oppose in part Patent Owner’s Motion for Entry of the Default Protective Order and to Seal Certain Exhibits Under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14 and 42.54 (Paper 33). Petitioners do not oppose the entry of the Board’s Default Protective Order, but Petitioners do object to Patent Owner’s request, under that order, to seal the entirety of each document allegedly containing confidential information without submitting a redacted version such that the non-confidential information contained therein can be part of the public record, and without making the required showing of good cause for sealing the entirety of each document subject to Patent Owner’s motion. In particular, Patent Owner has also filed its Motion to Seal – which does not appear to contain any confidential information – and multiple declarations under seal. *See* Paper 33.

The Board has confirmed, in both its regulations and its orders, the clear intent that information in PTAB proceedings – including, in particular, information that impacts the Board’s deliberations – is to remain public. *See, e.g.*, 37 C.F.R. § 42.14 (“The record of a proceeding, including documents and things, shall be made available to the public, except as otherwise ordered.”); Paper 9, Scheduling

Order, §A.3 (“Redactions should be limited strictly to isolated passages consisting entirely of confidential information. The thrust of the underlying argument or evidence must be clearly discernible from the redacted version.”). This policy certainly pertains, for example, to expert declarations accompanying Patent Owner’s motion to amend, which Patent Owner proposes to keep from the public record in its entirety, without justification for doing so.

Indeed, the Default Protective Order itself, which Patent Owner seeks to have entered in this matter, requires that “[w]here confidentiality is alleged as to some but not all of the information submitted to the Board, the submitting party shall file confidential and nonconfidential versions of its submission, together with a Motion to Seal the confidential version setting forth the reasons why the information redacted from the non-confidential version is confidential and should not be made available to the public.” Paper 35 (Proposed Protective Order) at 4.A.i. Again, Petitioners do not oppose entry of the Protective Order attached to Paper 35 – but they do believe the Board should insist that the requirements of the Protective Order be followed.

Petitioners raised this issue with Patent Owner by email dated July 26, 2016, and during a meet and confer teleconference on August 2, 2016, requesting that Patent Owner file versions of the documents at issue with redactions appropriately limited to actual confidential information, and citing 37 C.F.R. § 42.14 and Section

A.3 of the Scheduling Order in this proceeding (Paper 9). Patent Owner nonetheless stated during these discussions that it was not aware of any authority requiring the filing of redacted versions of these documents.

Accordingly, Petitioners oppose Patent Owner's Motion to the extent Patent Owner seeks the unsupported, wholesale sealing in their entirety of the documents that are the subject of its Motion (including the Motion itself (Paper 33)), rather than only those portions that are actually shown to be confidential information, and refuses to file redacted versions of the sealed documents that limit redactions to that confidential information.

Respectfully submitted by:

Dated: August 18, 2016

/J. Steven Baughman/

J. Steven Baughman (lead counsel)  
Reg. No. 47,414  
Ropes & Gray LLP  
2099 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Washington D.C. 20006-6807  
P: 202-508-4606 / F: 202-383-8371  
[steven.baughman@ropesgray.com](mailto:steven.baughman@ropesgray.com)

Andrew Thomases (backup counsel)  
Reg. No. 40,841  
ROPES & GRAY LLP  
1900 University Ave., 6th Floor  
East Palo Alto, CA 94303  
P: 650-617-4712 / F: 650-566-4275  
[andrew.thomases@ropesgray.com](mailto:andrew.thomases@ropesgray.com)

James L. Davis, Jr. (backup counsel)  
Reg. No. 57,325  
Ropes & Gray LLP  
1900 University Avenue, 6th Floor  
East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2284  
P: 650-617-4794/F: 650-566-4147  
[james.l.davis@ropesgray.com](mailto:james.l.davis@ropesgray.com)

*Counsel for Petitioners Activision  
Blizzard, Inc., Electronic Arts Inc.,  
Take-Two Interactive Software, Inc.,  
2K Sports, Inc., and Rockstar  
Games, Inc.*

Michael T. Rosato  
Andrew S. Brown  
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH  
& ROSATI  
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5100  
Seattle, WA 98104-7036  
mrosato@wsgr.com  
asbrown@wsgr.com

*Counsel for Petitioner Bungie, Inc.*

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.