

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

JDS UNIPHASE CORPORATIONCoriant Operations, Inc.,

Coriant (USA) Inc.,

Ciena Corporation,

Cisco Systems, Inc. and

Fujitsu Network Communications, Inc.

Petitioner

v.

CAPELLA PHOTONICS, INC.

Patent Owner

Patent No. RE42,368 Filing Date: June 15, 2010

Reissue Date: May 17, 2011

Title: RECONFIGURABLE OPTICAL ADD-DROP MULTIPLEXERS WITH
SERVO CONTROL AND DYNAMIC SPECTRAL POWER MANAGEMENT
CAPABILITIES

Inter Partes Review No. Unassigned

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 ET SEQ.**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1).....	1
A. Real <u>PartyParties</u> -In-Interest under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	1
B. Related Matters under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	2
C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....	3
D. Service Information	<u>35</u>
E. Powers <u>s</u> of Attorney	<u>45</u>
III. PAYMENT OF FEES - 37 C.F.R. § 42.103	<u>45</u>
IV. REQUIREMENTS FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104	<u>45</u>
A. Grounds for Standing under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	<u>45</u>
B. Identification of Challenge under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Statement of Precise Relief Requested.....	<u>46</u>
C. Threshold Requirement for <i>Inter Partes</i> Review 37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c).....	<u>75</u>
V. BACKGROUND OF TECHNOLOGY RELATED TO THE ‘368 PATENT	<u>76</u>
VI. SUMMARY OF THE ‘368 PATENT.....	<u>910</u>

VII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3)	<u>142</u>
A. Legal Overview	<u>142</u>
B. “continuously controllable”	<u>143</u>
C. “in two dimensions”	<u>124</u>
D. “to control the power of the spectral channel reflected to said selected port”	<u>134</u>
E. “spectral monitor”.....	<u>135</u>
F. “servo-control assembly”	<u>145</u>
G. “beam-focuser”	<u>167</u>
H. “controlling dynamically and continuously”	<u>178</u>
I. “so as to combine selected ones of said spectral channels into an output multi-wavelength optical signal”	<u>17</u>
J.I. “control the power of the spectral channels combined into said output multi-wavelength optical signal”	<u>178</u>
VIII. CLAIMS 1-6, 9-13, AND 15-22 OF THE ‘368 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE.....	<u>189</u>
A. Sparks, Lin and Dueck are all prior art to the ‘368 Patent	<u>189</u>
B. Overview of the Bouevitch Prior Art	<u>2019</u>
C. Overview of the Sparks Prior Art.....	<u>201</u>
D. PHOSITA had ample reason to combine Bouevitch with Sparks, including the motivations disclosed in both references.....	<u>201</u>

E.	Bouevitch and Sparks Render Obvious All Petitioned Claims	<u>245</u>
1.	Claim 1 – Grounds <u>1-and 2</u>	<u>246</u>
(1)	Claim 1 - preamble.....	<u>256</u>
(2)	Claim element 1[a] - input port.....	<u>256</u>
(3)	Element 1[b] – Output & other ports for 2nd channels	<u>267</u>
(4)	Element 1[c] - wavelength-selective device	<u>278</u>
(5)	Element 1[d] – 2-axis beam-deflecting elements.....	<u>279</u>
(6)	Ground 2 Bouevitch + Sparks + Lin	<u>31</u>
(7)(6)	2-axis beam-deflecting elements.....	<u>3334</u>
(8)(7)	Power Control using 2-Axis Mirrors:	<u>3436</u>
2.	Claim 2.....	<u>3638</u>
3.	Claim 3.....	<u>3840</u>
4.	Claim 4.....	<u>4243</u>
5.	Claim 5.....	<u>4344</u>
6.	Claim 6.....	<u>4445</u>
7.	Claim 9.....	<u>4546</u>

Inter Partes Review No. Unassigned
Petition for *Inter Partes Review*

8.	Claim 10	4647
9.8.		
10.9.	Claim 11	4647
10.	Claim 12 – Grounds s 1, 2, 3 and 4	4748
11.	Claim 13	4950
12.	Claim 15 – Grounds s 1-and 2	50
	(1) Element 15[c] – drop ports for dropped channels.....	51
	(2) Element 15[d]-[e]	51
	(3) Element 15[f] – dropped channels to drop ports.....	52
13.	Claim 16 – Grounds s 1-and 2	52
	(1) Element 16[c] – Add ports for added channels.....	53
	(2) Element 16[e] – Addition of channels from add ports	5354
14.	Claim 17 – Grounds s 1-and 2	54
	(1) Element 17[a] – Separating signal into channels	5455
	(2) Element 17[b] – Imaging channels	55
	(3) Element 17[c] – Dynamic & continuous 2-axis control.....	5556
15.	Claim 18	57
16.	Claim 19	5758

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.