UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CIENA CORPORATION

CORIANT OPERATIONS, INC., and

CORIANT (USA) INC., Petitioner

v.

CAPELLA PHOTONICS, INC. Patent Owner

Inter Partes Review Case No. Unassigned Patent No. RE42,678

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE42,678 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.1-.80, 42.100-.123

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"

Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Δ

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. RE42,678

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION		
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES AND FEES		
III.	CERTIFICATION OF GROUNDS FOR STANDING		
IV.	BACKGROUND		
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION		
VI.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART		
VII.	OVERVIEW OF CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED15		
	A.	Summary of Grounds for Challenge16	
	B.	Motivation to Combine References17	
	C.	Ground 1: Claims 61-65 Are Anticipated by Smith Error! Bookmark not defined.	
	D.	Ground 2: Claims 1-4, 9, 10, 13, 17, 19-23, 27, 29, 44-46 and 53 would have been obvious by the combination of Smith and Carr Error! Bookmark not defined.	
	E.	Ground 3: Claims 1, 9, 10, 13, 17, 19, 44, 53, 61, 64 and 65 would have been obvious by the combination of Bouevitch and Carr17	
	F.	Ground 4: Claims 1-4, 19-23, 27, 29, 44-46 and 61-63 would have been obvious by the combination of Bouevitch and Sparks	
	G.	Grounds 5 and 6: Claims 61-65 would have been obvious by the combination of Smith and Tew and Claims 1-4, 9, 10, 13, 17, 19-23, 27, 29, 44-46 and 53 would have been obvious by the combination of Smith, Carr and Tew Error! Bookmark not defined.	
	E.	Ground 7: Claims 1, 9, 10, 13, 17, 19, 44, 53, 61, 64 and 65 would have been obvious by the combination of Bouevitch, Carr and Tew 	

F. Ground 8: Claims 1-4, 19, 20, 27, 44-46 and 61-63 would have been obvious by the combination of Bouevitch, Sparks and Tew..... Error! Bookmark not defined.

VIII. <u>CONCLUSION</u>	43
ATTACHMENT A:	46
ATTACHMENT B: APPENDIX OF EXHIBITS	47

I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner Ciena Corp., Coriant Operations, Inc., and Coriant (USA) Inc., ("Petitioner") requests *inter partes* review of claims 1-4, 9, 10, 13, 17, 19-23, 27, 29, 44-46, 53 and 61-65 ("Petitioned Claims") of U.S. Patent No. RE42,678 ("the '678 patent") (Ex. 1001), assigned on its face to Capella Photonics, Inc. ("Capella").

This Petition relies on one primary reference: U.S. Patent No. 6,498,872 ("Bouevitch") (Ex. 1002).

Bouevitch was before the Patent Office during the reissue prosecution, but Capella admitted that its original claims were overbroad and invalid over Bouevitch in view of one or more of three additional references. Although Capella amended its claims to purportedly overcome their deficiency, the amended claims fail to distinguish over the prior art references identified herein as Bouevitch in combination with Carr or U.S. Patent No. 6,625,340 ("Sparks") (Ex. 1006) render all of the Petitioned Claims obvious.

The Petitioned Claims are currently being challenged in view of the combination of Bouevitch and Smith in IPR2014-01276 and Bouevitch, Sparks, and Lin in IPR2015-00739. This Petition presents different grounds and prior art references than those addressed in those challenges. This petition presents the same grounds as IPR2015-00727, and Petitioner seeks to join IPR2015-00727.

Inter partes review of the Petitioned Claims should be instituted because this petition shows that there is a reasonable likelihood that Petitioner will prevail on the Petitioned Claims. Each limitation of each Petitioned Claim is disclosed by and/or obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art ("PHOSITA") in light of the prior art discussed herein. Claims 1-4, 9, 10, 13, 17, 19-23, 27, 29, 44-46, 53 and 61-65 of the '678 patent should be found unpatentable and canceled.

II. MANDATORY NOTICES AND FEES

<u>Real Parties-in-Interest</u>: Petitioner Ciena Corporation, Coriant Operations, Inc. ("COI"), Coriant (USA) Inc. ("CUSA"), are the real parties-in-interest in this petition. Tellabs, Inc., a parent holding company of COI, was accused in litigation identified herein of infringing the '678 Patent. Even though Tellabs, Inc. was dismissed on jurisdictional grounds, Tellabs, Inc., and CUSA's corresponding parent holding company, Coriant International Group LLC (formerly Blackhawk Holding Vehicle LLC), are also identified in this section out of an abundance of caution."

<u>Related Matters</u>: Capella has asserted the '678 patent in the following actions: *Capella Photonics, Inc. v. Cisco Systems, Inc.*, No. 3:14-cv-03348; *Capella Photonics, Inc. v. Fujitsu Network Communications, Inc.*, No. 3:14-cv-03349; *Capella Photonics, Inc. v. Tellabs Operations, Inc.*, No. 3:14-cv-03350; *Capella Photonics, Inc. v. Ciena Corporation*, No. 3:14-cv-03351 (collectively,

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.