Inter Partes Review of USPN RE42,368 Declaration of Timothy J. Drabik, Ph.D. (Exhibit 1016)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CIENA CORPORATION

CORIANT OPERATIONS, INC., and

CORIANT (USA) INC., Petitioner

CAPELLA PHOTONICS, INC.

Patent Owner

Inter Partes Review Case No. <u>Unassigned</u> Patent No. RE42,368

DECLARATION OF TIMOTHY J. DRABIK, Ph.D.

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"

Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Inter Partes Review of USPN RE42,368 Declaration of Timothy J. Drabik, Ph.D.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTI	RODUCTION1		
	A.	Background1		
	B.	Qualifications2		
		1. Education		
		2. Career History		
		3. Publications		
		4. Other Relevant Qualifications		
II.	THE	'368 PATENT		
III.		T OF DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED IN FORMULATING MY		
		NION		
IV.	TEC	HNICAL BACKGROUND		
	A.	Optical switching for telecommunications 10		
		1. Fiber cross-connects 10		
		2. Wavelength switches		
	B.	Free-space optical systems14		
		1. Basic properties of lenses		
		2. Gaussian light beams16		
		3. The "Fourier lens"		
		4. Concave mirrors as focusing elements		
		5. Wavelength-dispersive elements		
V.		TE OF THE ART AT THE TIME OF THE ALLEGED		
	INV	ENTION		
	A.	Transparent optical switching prior to the alleged invention		
	В.	Reconfigurable Optical Add-Drop Multiplexers		
	C.	Wavelength Selective Switches		
	D.	MEMS Mirrors		
VI.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART			
VII.	II. OVERVIEW OF THE '368 PATENT			
	A.	Operation of the disclosed system of the '368 Patent		

DOCKET

Inter Partes Review of USPN RE42,368 Declaration of Timothy J. Drabik, Ph.D.

VIII.	THE	CLAIMS OF THE '368 PATENT	. 35
IX.	LEGA	AL STANDARDS	. 36
	A.	Anticipation	. 36
	B.	Obviousness	. 37
X.	CLAI	M CONSTRUCTION	. 42
XI.	ANA	LYSIS OF INVALIDITY	. 44
	A.	Summary of Analysis	. 44
	В.	Point 1: Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9–12, and 15–21 Are Not Innovative in View of Bouevitch and Carr	. 45
		1. Operation of the disclosed system of Bouevitch	. 46
		2. Carr reference	. 49
		3. Combination of Bouevitch with Carr	. 51
	C.	Point 2: Claims 1–4, 17 and 22 Are Not Innovative in View of Bouevitch and Sparks	. 78

I, Timothy J. Drabik, hereby declare as follows:

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

A. Background

1. My name is Timothy J. Drabik. I am a researcher and consultant working in areas related to optics, telecommunications, display technologies, and microelectronics. I undertake consulting through my company, Page Mill Technology Corporation, and also work to develop commercial technologies for information display and optical telecommunications.

2. I have been retained to act as an expert witness on behalf of Petitioner in connection with the above captioned Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. RE42,368 ("Petition"). I understand that this proceeding involves U.S. Patent No. RE42,368 ("the '368 Patent"), titled "Reconfigurable Optical Add-Drop Multiplexers with Servo-Control and Dynamic Spectral Management Capabilities." The '368 Patent is provided as Exhibit 1001.

3. I understand that Petitioner challenges the validity of Claims 1-6, 9-12 and 15-22 of the '368 Patent (the "challenged claims").

4. I have reviewed and am familiar with the '368 Patent as well as its prosecution history. The '368 prosecution history is provided as Exhibit 1002.Additionally, I have reviewed materials identified in Section III.

Inter Partes Review of USPN RE42,368 Declaration of Timothy J. Drabik, Ph.D.

5. As set forth below, I am familiar with the technology at issue as of both the August 23, 2001 filing date of the application which led to the '368 Patent, and the March 19, 2001 priority date corresponding to the filing of Provisional Patent Application No. 60/277,217. I have been asked to provide my technical review, analysis, insights, and opinions regarding the prior art references that form the basis for the Petition. In forming my opinions, I have relied on my own experience and knowledge, my review of the '368 Patent and its file history, and of the prior art references cited in the Petition.

6. My opinions expressed in this Declaration rely to a great extent on my own personal knowledge and recollection. However, to the extent I considered specific documents or data in formulating the opinions expressed in this Declaration, such items are expressly referred to in this Declaration.

7. I am being compensated for my time in connection with this IPR at my standard consulting rate, which is \$500 per hour.

B. Qualifications

1. Education

8. I received my Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering from the Georgia Institute of Technology in 1990, where I also received a M.S. degree in Electrical Engineering in 1982. I received Bachelor's degrees in Electrical Engineering and

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.