UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner,

V.

CORE WIRELESS LICENSING S.A.R.L,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-01899

Patent 8,713,476 B2

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,713,476 UNDER 35 USC §§ 311-319 AND 37 CFR §42.100 ET SEQ.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 11. | | ground | | | | |
|------|-----------|---|--|-----|--|--|
| | Α. | | erview of U.S. Patent No. 8,713,476 | | | |
| | В. | Ind | ependent Claims | 5 | | |
| III. | Argument | | | | | |
| | A. | Patentability of the Challenged Claims over Schnarel and the Knowledge of a POSITA. | | | | |
| | | 1. | Overview of Schnarel | 8 | | |
| | | 2. | Schnarel Fails to Teach an Application Summary that can be Reached Directly from the Menu | .10 | | |
| | | 3. | The Modification to Schnarel Based on the Knowledge a POSITA Changes the Principle of Operation of Schnarel. | | | |
| | | 4. | Claim 9 is Separately Patentable Over Schnarel, Whether Considered Alone or In Combination with the Knowledge of a POSITA. | | | |
| | В. | Patentability of the Challenged Claims over Schnarel and Aberg | | | | |
| | | 1. | The Modification to Schnarel Based on the Aberg Changes the Principle of Operation of Schnarel | | | |
| | | 2. | Claim 9 is Separately Patentable Over Schnarel and Aberg, Whether Considered Alone or In Combination with the Knowledge of a POSITA. | .16 | | |
| | C. | Patentability of Claim 4 over Schnarel, the Knowledge of a POSITA and Smith | | | | |
| | D. | D. Patentability of Claim 4 over Schnarel, Aberg and Smit | | | | |



| | E. | Patentability of the Challenged Claims over Nason18 | | |
|----|-----------|--|--|--|
| | | 1. Overview of Nason | | |
| | | 2. Nason Fails to Teach Each of the Data in the List Being Selectable to Launch the Respective Application20 | | |
| | F. | Patentability of the Challenged Claims over Nason and the Knowledge of a POSITA29 | | |
| | G. | Patentability of Claim 9 over Wagner and Nason30 | | |
| | Н. | Patentability of Claim 9 over Wagner, Nason and the Knowledge of a POSITA31 | | |
| IV | Con | clusion 32 | | |



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

| CASES | |
|---|--------|
| In re Ratti, 270 F.2d 810, 813 (C.C.P.A. 1959) | 12, 15 |
| STATUTES | |
| 35 U.S.C. § 314(a) | 1 |
| REGULATIONS | |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c) | 1 |



I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner seeks *inter partes* review of claims 1, 4, 7-9, 20, 28 and 29 of U.S. Patent 8,713,476 ("the '476 patent"). The Patent Trial and Appeal Board ("PTAB" or "Board") should not institute *inter partes* review of the '476 Patent because Petitioner has not met its burden to show a reasonable likelihood that it would prevail with respect to at least one of the challenged claims. 35 U.S.C. § 314(a) (An *inter partes* review may be instituted only if "the information presented in the petition . . . and any response . . . shows that there is a reasonable likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition."); 37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c).

The following grounds are asserted by Petitioner:

| References | Basis | Claims Challenged |
|---|-------|-----------------------|
| Schnarel ¹ and POSITA | § 103 | 1, 4, 7-9, 20, 28, 29 |
| Schnarel and Aberg ² | § 103 | 1, 4, 7-9, 20, 28, 29 |
| Schnarel, POSITA and Smith ³ | § 103 | 4 |
| Schnarel, Aberg and Smith | § 103 | 4 |
| Nason ⁴ | § 103 | 1, 4, 7-9, 20, 28, 29 |

¹ Schnarel et al., U.S. Patent No. 7,225,409 (Ex. 1004).

³ Smith et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,333,973 (Ex. 1006).



Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

² Aberg, U.S. Patent No. 6,993,362 (Ex. 1005).

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

