

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

CORE WIRELESS LICENSING S.A.R.L.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-01899
Patent 8,713,476 B2

Before the Honorable JAMESON LEE, DAVID C. McKONE, and KEVIN W.
CHERRY, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

**PETITIONER'S RESPONSE TO
PATENT OWNER'S MOTION FOR OBSERVATIONS ON
CROSS-EXAMINATION OF DR. BRAD MYERS**

Petitioner hereby responds to each of PO's observations on the November 3, 2016 cross-examination testimony of Dr. Brad Myers (Pap. 32):

Responses to Observations #1 and #2. PO's citation to Dr. Myers' testimony is incomplete, misleading, and irrelevant and does not address the subject matter in Ex.1038 ¶25, which PO cites as the basis for relevance. Ex.1038 ¶25 relates to viewing a particular screen, not selecting operations from that viewed screen. PO's observation is also incomplete, ignoring, *e.g.*, Dr. Myers' testimony explaining that selecting "caller log and fax buttons [of Schnarel] ... will ... *cause the corresponding viewer to be displayed*" and "*that's analogous to '020 and '476...where when you click on the enter chat room menu item, it...shows the chat room viewer,*" (Ex.2014, 72:6-74:14), which is consistent with Ex.1038 ¶25. *See also* Ex. 1001, 3:42-46; Ex.1004, 10:55-61, 4:24-27; Ex.2014, 14:17-16:13, 31:9-35:8, 36:18-40:18; Ex.1038 ¶¶8-9 (citing Ex.1003¶¶32, 86-91, 125-128; Ex.1028, 6; Ex.1001, 1:64-2:1, 3:58-62; Ex.1018, 197, 187; Ex.1036, Fig. 2A, 8:13-15); Ex.2012, 103:3-7, 127:8-17, 24:21-25:11.

With respect to "management operations" PO ignores Dr. Myer's testimony regarding managing messages by entering a PIN (Ex.1038 ¶26 (citing Ex.1004, 8:50-59, 10:55-61, Fig. 5; Ex.1003 ¶¶17, 39-40; Ex.1001, 2:18); PO Resp. 18; Ex.2014, 74:15-75:16), and that "pressing the caller log button...generally launches the messages application...displays the viewer...[a]nd *it enables the user*

to do those managing operations,” (Ex.2014, 49:7-53:3), including operations such as “move and delete” (Ex.2014, 48:7-15), which is consistent with his other testimony. *See, e.g.,* Ex.1038 ¶26 (and citations therein); PO Resp. 18; *see also* Ex.2014, 45:6-46:3; Ex.2012, 116:8-20. To the extent PO’s observations purport to argue the “function” must be completed in a single step, such argument is new, waived, and should be expunged and not considered. To the extent considered, it is incorrect; as Dr. Myers’ explained, ‘020 describes that the “[u]ser doesn’t actually have to have already done the functionality, it just has to offer the relevant functionality.” Ex.2014, 21:3-22:1, 26:18-28:3.

Response to Observation #3. PO’s observation is incomplete and improperly mischaracterizes Dr. Myers’ testimony. Dr. Myers explained Schnarel’s “viewers, *at least in some embodiments*, are not applications.” Ex.1038 ¶10; Ex.1038 ¶11 (“message viewers (*at least in the COM embodiment*) are not individual applications”) (citing Ex.1004, 12:50-13:20, 10:55-61, Fig. 7; Ex.2012, 103:3-7); Ex.1038 ¶11 (and citations therein) (Dr. Myers testifying why “caller log”/“fax” are part of message center application); Ex.2014, 66:20-68:6. This is further consistent with Dr. Myers’ testimony that “even if the message viewers are themselves individual programs . . . , *they provide functions for the message center application, and are part of the message center application.*” Ex.1038 ¶12 (citing Ex.1004, 10:55-59, Fig. 7; Ex.1003 ¶64-68, 90; Ex.1034, 3; Ex.1035, 3); Ex.2014,

59:18-60:15 (Dr. Myers testifying “to the extent [message viewers] are considered applications...they’re part of the message center application” and “it’s the message center application which is actually launched...[and the viewer] plugins provide functions...”); *see also id.* 41:12-42:8.

Response to Observation #4. PO’s cited questions regarding the “reason...for having separate applications” are irrelevant to Dr. Myers’ testimony that, while Schnarel discloses the message viewers are part of the message center application (Ex.1038 ¶¶10-13), alternatively, “including Schnarel’s message viewers as part of the message center application would be an obvious design choice and advantageous.” Ex.1038 ¶14 (citing Ex.1003 ¶142). PO’s citation to Dr. Myers’ testimony is also incomplete and misleading and omits his testimony that having separate applications results in “a larger and slower application” and “it would be a simple design choice to build some of the viewers into the message center application.” Ex.2014, 66:11-68:6; *In re Fulton*, 391 F.3d 1195, 1200-01 (Fed. Cir. 2004) (disclosure of other desirable alternatives does not negate a motivation to combine).

Responses to Observations #5, #6, #7. PO’s citation of testimony is incomplete, irrelevant, and misleading and omits, *inter alia*, Dr. Myers’ testimony that “*it’s clear that the ‘020 Patent lists PIN -- entering a PIN security number as a function that one can initiate. And the Schnarel reference mentions that if you*

have security enabled, then when you push some of the other buttons, *it will, in fact, also allow you to enter a password or a pass code.*” Ex.2014, 74:15-75:13; 75:14-16 (“Q. *And so your opinion is that those are analogous?* A. *Yes.*”); Ex.1038 ¶26 (Ex.1004, 8:50-59, 10:55-61, Fig. 5; Ex.1003 ¶¶17, 39-40, 68-72; Ex.1001, 2:18). PO also incorrectly asserts that “Dr. Myers agreed that there is no disclosure in the ‘476 patent that a PIN security number is entered...to provide access to some underlying feature.” Dr. Myers instead testified that “certainly one of ordinary skill in the art reading [the ’020/’476] patents *would assume that you wouldn’t bother entering a PIN number unless it was for something*” (Ex.2014, 76:7-18) and one “would not think that [entering a PIN in ‘476 is] just to unlock the phone, but certainly it could be for a variety of other activities” (Ex.2014, 77:6-77:21). That a user could enter a PIN to turn on password protection is irrelevant. To the extent PO is arguing the “button itself” must be “labeled” in a particular way (Observation #7), such an argument is new, waived, and should be expunged and not considered. To the extent considered, ‘476 does not require that the button for selecting a function be labeled with certain text. Ex.1001. Moreover, Dr. Myers never testified that “the ultimate alleged function...is to view the caller log,” as PO incorrectly asserts, but testified instead that the caller log button “*has at least two operations or actions. One is to cause the user to log in, and the other is to then launch the application and display the viewer.*” Ex.2014, 79:10-16.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.