

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

CORE WIRELESS LICENSING S.A.R.L.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2015-01899  
Patent 8,713,476

---

**PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS TO PETITIONER'S REPLY  
EVIDENCE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1)**

Patent Owner timely objects to the reply evidence of Petitioner filed and served on October 17, 2016 for the reasons set forth below:

Identity of Exhibit	Portion to be Excluded	Objection	Grounds
1028	p. 6	FRE 402/403	Extrinsic dictionary definition of “function” is not relevant to any issue in this proceeding
1028	All	FRE 402/403, 801, 901	Exhibit is not authenticated by Petitioner’s Ex. 1037, which is a declaration of an attorney having no personal knowledge of either the copyright date or the Ropes & Gray library logging date or logging procedures; exhibit contains hearsay (including alleged publication date) not subject to any exception; Exhibit is not established to be prior art and therefore is not relevant to any issue in this proceeding
1029	All	FRE 402/403	Exhibit is not relevant to any issue in this proceeding
1030	All	FRE 402/403	Exhibit is not relevant to any issue in this proceeding
1031	p. 5	FRE 402/403	Extrinsic dictionary definition of “program” is not relevant to any issue in this proceeding

Identity of Exhibit	Portion to be Excluded	Objection	Grounds
1031	All	FRE 402/403, 801, 901	Exhibit is not authenticated by Petitioner’s Ex. 1037, which is a declaration of an attorney having no personal knowledge of either the copyright date or the Texas A&M University Library receipt procedures; exhibit contains hearsay (including alleged publication date) not subject to any exception; Exhibit is not established to be prior art and therefore is not relevant to any issue in this proceeding
1032	All	FRE 402/403, 801, 901	Exhibit is not relevant to any issue in this proceeding; exhibit contains hearsay (including at least whether “mobile phones [were] using WindowsCE and web browsers” as Petitioner alleges) not subject to any exception; exhibit is not authenticated by declaration of Internet Archive manager having no personal knowledge of Ex. 1032 contents. <i>See, e.g., Neste Oil Oyj v. Reg Synthetic Fuels, LLC</i> , Case IPR2013-00578, slip op. at 3-4 (PTAB Mar. 12, 2015) (Paper 53) (“When offering a printout of a webpage into evidence to prove the website’s contents, the proponent of the evidence must authenticate the information from the website itself, not merely the printout.” (citing <i>Victaulic Co. v. Tieman</i> , 499 F.3d 227, 236 (3d Cir. 2007), as amended (Nov. 20, 2007) (citing <i>United States v. Jackson</i> , 208 F.3d 633, 638 (7th Cir. 2000))))).

Identity of Exhibit	Portion to be Excluded	Objection	Grounds
1033	All	FRE 402/403, 801, 901	Extrinsic dictionary definition is not relevant to any issue in this proceeding; Exhibit is not authenticated by Petitioner's Ex. 1037, which is a declaration of an attorney having no personal knowledge of either the copyright date or the Ropes & Gray library logging date or logging procedures; exhibit contains hearsay (including alleged publication date) not subject to any exception; Exhibit is not established to be prior art and therefore is not relevant to any issue in this proceeding
1034	All	FRE 402/403, 801, 901	Extrinsic dictionary definition is not relevant to any issue in this proceeding; Exhibit is not authenticated by Petitioner's Ex. 1037, which is a declaration of an attorney having no personal knowledge of either the copyright date or the Ropes & Gray library logging date or logging procedures; exhibit contains hearsay (including alleged publication date) not subject to any exception; Exhibit is not established to be prior art and therefore is not relevant to any issue in this proceeding

Identity of Exhibit	Portion to be Excluded	Objection	Grounds
1035	All	FRE 402/403, 801, 901	Extrinsic dictionary definition is not relevant to any issue in this proceeding; Exhibit is not authenticated by Petitioner's Ex. 1037, which is a declaration of an attorney having no personal knowledge of either the copyright date or the Ropes & Gray library logging date or logging procedures; exhibit contains hearsay (including alleged publication date) not subject to any exception; Exhibit is not established to be prior art and therefore is not relevant to any issue in this proceeding
1038	¶¶ 7-8, 12, 34	FRE 402/403, 702/703, 801, 901	Opinions rely on unauthenticated exhibits that are not relevant to any issue in this proceeding, not established to be prior art and contain hearsay not subject to any exception; Dr. Myers fails to establish that these exhibits would have been relied upon by a POSITA in forming his/her opinions.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.