

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

**CORE WIRELESS LICENSING
S.A.R.L.,**

Plaintiff,

v.

**LG ELECTRONICS, INC., and LG
ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM
U.S.A., INC.**

Defendants.

**Case No. 2:14-cv-911-JRG-RSP
(lead case)**

**Case No. 2:14-cv-912- JRG-RSP
(consolidated)**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

**CORE WIRELESS LICENSING
S.A.R.L.,**

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 6:14-cv-751-JRG-JDL

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**CORE WIRELESS LICENSING S.A.R.L.'S
OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF – GROUP 3 PATENTS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. LEGAL PRINCIPLES	1
A. Means-Plus-Function Elements	2
B. When a Preamble Is Considered Limiting	2
C. Indefiniteness	3
III. U.S. PATENT NO. 5,907,823 ('823)	3
A. Background of Technology and Invention	3
B. '823 Patent: Claim 20 – means-plus-function terms (Terms 1-4)	4
C. '823 Patent: Claim 25 – means-plus-function terms (Terms 5-7)	9
D. '823 Patent: Claim 1 – Preamble (Term 8)	9
E. '823 Patent: Claim 21 – “signal power measuring means” / “the signal power measuring means” (Terms 9 & 10)	10
F. '823 Patent: Claim 4 – “the sound pressure” (Term 11)	11
G. '823 Patent: Claims 4 & 5 – re: “third measured value p3”	12
IV. U.S. PATENT NO. 7,072,667 ('667)	13
A. Background of Technology and Invention	13
B. '667 Patent: Claims 12-14 – “[the] message” (Term 12)	13
C. '667 Patent: Claim 13 – “provision of the location finding information” (Term 13)	15
D. '667 Patent: Claims 12 & 13 – “location finding information based on the cell occupied by at least one mobile station” (Term 14)	17
E. '667 Patent: Claims 12 & 13 – Preambles (Term 15)	17

F. '667 Patent: Claims 12 & 13 – “location message server” (Term 16)19

G. '667 Patent: Claims 12-15 – “mobile station” (Term 17).....19

H. '667 Patent: Claims 13 & 15 – “circuitry operable” terms (Terms 18-20)19

V. U.S. PATENT NOS. 8,434,020 ('020) & 8,713,476 ('476).....21

A. Background of Technology and Invention21

B. '020/'476 Patents: '020: claims 1, 16; '476: claims 1, 11, 20 – “additionally being configured to display on the screen an application summary window that can be reached directly from the main menu / additionally being configured to display on the screen an application summary that can be reached directly from the menu” (Terms 21 & 22).....22

VI. U.S. PATENT NO. 8,498,671 ('671)24

A. Background of Technology and Invention24

B. '671 Patent: Claims 1, 3, 5, 11, 12, 15, 16 – “idle screen” (Term 23)25

C. '671 Patent: Claim 9 – “priority setting” (Term 24).....26

VII. U.S. PATENT NO. 7,693,552 ('552)26

A. Background of Technology and Invention26

B. '552 Patent: Claim 21 – “processor means for controlling a display device to display thereon one or more ideogrammatic representations of a phonetic input according to a language” (Term 25)27

C. '552 Patent: Claim 21 – “selecting means for providing further information in a first language according to which the one or more ideogrammatic representations are each selectable by a user for incorporation into a text message” (Term 26)28

D. '552 Patent: Multiple claims – “further information” (Term 27)28

VIII. CONCLUSION.....29

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page No.

CASES

Adams Respiratory Therapeutics, Inc. v. Perrigo Co.,
616 F.3d 1283 (Fed. Cir. 2010)..... 1

Adaptix, Inc. v. Alcatel-Lucent USA, Inc.,
No. 6:12-cv-122, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 24170 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 26,
2014) 23

AllVoice Computing PLC v. Nuance Commc’ns, Inc.,
504 F.3d 1236 (Fed. Cir. 2007)..... 7

Applied Materials, Inc. v. Advanced Semiconductor Materials Am., Inc.,
98 F.3d 1563 (Fed. Cir. 1996)..... 3

Argent v. U.S.,
124 F.3d 1277 (Fed. Cir. 1997)..... 12

Asyst Techs., Inc. v. Empak, Inc.,
268 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2001)..... 6, 9

Bose Corp. v. JBL, Inc.,
274 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2001)..... 12

Catalina Mktg. Int’l, Inc. v. Coolsavings.com, Inc.,
289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002)..... 3, 10, 18, 19

DSS Tech. Mgmt. v. Taiwan Semiconductor Mfg. Co.,
No. 2:14-cv-199-RSP, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 46177 (E.D. Tex.
Apr. 8, 2015)..... 22

E2E Processing, Inc. v. Cabela’s Inc.,
No. 2:14-cv-36-JRG-RSP, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 86060 (E.D. Tex.
July 2, 2015)..... 18

EasyCare, Inc. v. Lander Indus.,
No. 4:08-cv-665-TUC-CKJ (DTF), 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 130241
(D. Ariz., Nov. 8, 2011) 5

Energizer Holdings, Inc. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n,
435 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2006)..... 3, 11

Eon Corp. IP Holdings, LLC v. Sensus USA, Inc.,
741 F. Supp. 2d 783 (E.D. Tex. 2010)..... 6, 9

Equistar Chems., LP v. Westlake Chem. Corp.,
 No. 6:14-cv-68, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 80986 (E.D. Tex. June 23,
 2015) 10, 18

e-Watch Inc. v. Apple Inc.,
 No. 2:13-cv-1061-JRG-RSP, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 37216 (E.D.
 Tex. Mar. 25, 2015) 16

Freeny v. Murphy USA Inc.,
 No. 2:13-cv-791-RSP, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6377 (E.D. Tex. Jan.
 21, 2015) 10, 18

HTC Corp. v. IPCom GmbH & Co., KG,
 667 F.3d 1270 (Fed. Cir. 2012)..... 16

Innovative Display Techs. LLC v. Hyundai Motor Co.,
 No. 2:14-cv-201-JRG, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 57810 (E.D. Tex.
 May 4, 2015)..... 23

Intel Corp. v. VIA Techs., Inc.,
 319 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2003)..... 20

Intellectual Ventures II, LLC v. AT&T Corp.,
 No. 1:13-cv-116-LY, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 89293 (E.D. Tex. July
 8, 2015) 15

IPXL Holdings, L.L.C. v. Amazon.com, Inc.,
 430 F.3d 1377 (Fed. Cir. 2005)..... 3, 16

KEG Kanalreinigungstechnik GmbH v. Laimer,
 No. 1:11-cv-1948 (JEC), 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 188220 (N.D. Ga.,
 Jan. 11, 2013)..... 5

Konami Corp. v. Roxor Games, Inc.,
 445 F. Supp. 2d 725 (E.D. Tex. 2006) 11

L.C. Eldridge Sales Co. v. Azen Mfg. Pte.,
 No. 6:11-cv-599, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 73359 (E.D. Tex. May 23,
 2013) 16

Liebel-Flarsheim Co. v. Medrad, Inc.,
 358 F.3d 898 (Fed. Cir. 2004)..... 2, 9

Linear Tech. Corp. v. Impala Linear Corp.,
 379 F.3d 1311 (Fed. Cir. 2004)..... 20

Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.,
 52 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir. 1995)..... 1

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.