```
1
                    IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                     FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 2
                             MARSHALL DIVISION
    CORE WIRELESS LICENSING,
 3
                                    ) (
                                             Civil Docket No.
     S.A.R.L.
                                             2:14-CV-911-JRG-RSP
                                    ) (
 4
                                             MARSHALL, TEXAS
                                    ) (
    VS.
                                    ) (
 5
                                    ) (
                                             March 21, 2016
    LG ELECTRONICS AND LG
                                    ) (
    ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM USA,
                                             8:29 a.m.
 6
                                    ) (
     INC.
                                    ) (
 7
 8
                         TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL
 9
                   BEFORE THE HONORABLE RODNEY GILSTRAP
10
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11
    APPEARANCES:
12
    FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
                          Mr. John C. Hueston
                          Mr. Douglas J. Dixon
13
                          Mr. Michael J. Stephan
14
                          HUESTON & HENNIGAN, LLP
                          620 Newport Center Drive
15
                          Suite 1300
                          Newport Beach, California
                                                       92660
16
                          Mr. Alexander C. Giza
17
                          Mr. Marshall A. Camp
                          Mr. Padraic Foran
                          HUESTON & HENNIGAN, LLP
18
                          523 W. 6th Street
19
                          Suite 400
                          Los Angeles, California
                                                     90014
20
    COURT REPORTER:
                          SHELLY HOLMES, CSR, TCRR
2.1
                          Official Court Reporter
                          United States District Court
22
                          Eastern District of Texas
                          Marshall Division
23
                          100 E. Houston, Suite 125
                          Marshall, Texas 75670
24
                          (903) 923-7464
25
     (Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript
     produced on CAT system.)
```



1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:	
2		Ms. Elizabeth L. DeRieux CAPSHAW DERIEUX, LLP
3		114 E. Commerce Avenue Gladewater, Texas 75647
4		Gladewatel, lexas 75047
5		Mr. Richard D. Harris Mr. Cameron M. Nelson Mr. Herbert H. Finn GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 77 West Wacker Drive Suite 3100
6		
7		
8		Chicago, Illinois 60601
9		Mr. Nicholas A. Brown GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
10		4 Embarcadero Center Suite 3000
11		San Francisco, California 94111
12		Mr. Stephen M. Ullmer GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
13		1200 17th Street, Suite 2400 Denver, Colorado 80202
14 15		Mr. Kevin S. Kudlac GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
16		300 West 6th Street Suite 2050
17		Austin, Texas 78701
18		Mr. J. Mark Mann Mr. G. Blake Thompson
19		MANN TINDEL & THOMPSON 300 W. Main Street
20		Henderson, Texas 75652
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



1 The jury is excused for recess at this time. 2 COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise. 3 (Jury out.) THE COURT: All right. The Court stands in recess. 4 (Recess.) 5 COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise. 6 7 THE COURT: Be seated, please. 8 Counsel, let me review with you a little bit of the history of today's portion of the trial so that I can be clear 9 10 with everyone as to where we started this morning and where we 11 are now. 12 Previously this morning, after the inventor, 13 Mr. Martyn, testified, I met with counsel in chambers. And at 14 that time, I raised with counsel the possibility that there 15 might be an 02 Micro situation requiring additional construction of disputed or potentially disputed terms by the 16 17 Court. We discussed "unlaunched," and we discussed "reached 18 19 directly," both of them coming from Claim 1 of the '020 patent and Claim 2 of the '476 patent. 20 21 The Plaintiff indicated to me in chambers that they 22 did not believe an 02 Micro situation existed. Defendants 23 indicated in chambers that they thought there might be an 02 --24 02 Micro issue and that they thought as late as last week that 25 there possibly could be one in this trial, but they weren't



sure how to raise it.

Therefore, they did not bring it to the Court's attention until the Court raised it this morning in chambers after Mr. Martyn testified. The Defendants asked the Court to revisit the issue after Dr. Zeger testified. And with the agreement of the Plaintiff, the Court proceeded to let Dr. Zeger testify. He has now given his direct testimony.

And the Court is persuaded that an 02 Micro situation does, in fact, exist. There's no need to go through the cross-examination of Dr. Zeger to reach that conclusion, and that under 02 Micro and its progeny, the Court has an obligation to provide further claim construction with regard to these terms to the parties.

I intend to discharge that obligation. I'm going to afford both sides an opportunity to offer very brief and concise argument on these two terms. The time that we're spending is going to be equally chargeable to the parties as a part of the trial time.

I'm not -- I'm not casting fault with why this was not raised earlier; I'm merely making it very clear on the record it wasn't raised earlier, and it now must be disposed of so that we can proceed with the trial.

I've reviewed the treatment of these terms in the file history. I've reviewed any other materials available to me.



```
1
               If the Plaintiff would care to offer any argument on
 2
    the term "unlaunched" or the term "reached directly," I'd be
 3
    happy to hear it.
              Does Plaintiff have any argument on these terms to
 4
    present to the Court?
 5
              MR. GIZA: Yes, Your Honor.
 6
 7
              Would you like me to present some slides that show
    some of the evidence of the file history or --
 8
               THE COURT: Counsel, I'll let you present your
9
10
    argument. I'd ask that you do it from the podium.
11
              MR. GIZA: Yes, Your Honor.
12
              THE COURT: I want you to understand that the time
13
    you use is going to be charged to your trial time, but I want
14
    you to have an opportunity to present to the Court what you
15
    think is the most compelling case for your view of these terms
16
    and what is an appropriate construction for them.
17
               So that -- so with that, Mr. Giza, let me hear from
18
    you.
19
                          Thank you, Your Honor.
              MR. GIZA:
20
              Mr. Wietholter, could you cue up Dr. Zeger's slides,
21
    please?
22
              Can we go to Slide 60, please?
23
              Your Honor, the claim term "launched" and
24
    "unlaunched" show up in two places in the patent in the claims.
25
              First, in Element [1e]: Each function in the list
```



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

