

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

United States Patent No: 8,434,020	§	
Inventor: Mathieu Kennedy Martyn	§	Attorney Docket No.:
Formerly Application No.: 10/343,333	§	104677-5016-652
Issue Date: April 30, 2013	§	
Filing Date: August 27, 2003	§	Customer No.: 28120
Former Group Art Unit: 2175	§	Petitioner: Apple Inc.
Former Examiner: VU, THANH T	§	
Patent Owner: Core Wireless Licensing	§	
S.A.R.L.	§	
	§	

For: COMPUTING DEVICE WITH IMPROVED USER INTERFACE FOR APPLICATIONS

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 8,434,020**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND IN THE ART.....	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER § 42.8	5
III.	PETITIONER HAS STANDING.....	5
IV.	SUMMARY OF THE '020 PATENT	7
	A. Overview of the '020 Patent.....	7
	B. Overview of the '020 Patent Prosecution History	9
V.	THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT PETITIONER WILL PREVAIL WITH RESPECT TO AT LEAST ONE CLAIM	10
	A. Claim Construction Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)	11
	B. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art and State of the Art.....	12
	C. <u>Ground 1</u> : Claims 1, 2, 6, 8, 10-11, 13, and 16 are obvious under § 103 over Schnarel in view of the knowledge of a POSITA; <u>Ground 2</u> : Claims 1, 2, 6, 8, 10-11, 13, and 16 are obvious under § 103 over Schnarel in view of Aberg; <u>Ground 3</u> : Claim 6 is obvious under § 103 over Schnarel in view of the knowledge of a POSITA and Yurkovic; <u>Ground 4</u> : Claim 6 is obvious under § 103 over Schnarel in view of Aberg and Yurkovic;.....	13
	1. Overview of U.S. Pat. No. 7,225,409 (“Schnarel”).....	13
	2. Overview of U.S. Pat. No. 6,993,362 (“Aberg”).....	14
	3. Overview of U.S. Pat. No. 6,668,353 (“Yurkovic”).....	15
	4. Motivation to Combine Schnarel with Aberg (Elements 1.C and Claim 6.)	15
	5. Motivation to Combine Schnarel with Yurkovic (Claim 6)	21
	6. Claim Charts for Grounds 1-4 (See also Ex. 1003 ¶¶49- 102)	22
	D. <u>Ground 5</u> : Claims 1, 2, 6, 8, 10, 13, 16 are obvious under § 103 over Nason; <u>Ground 6</u> : Claims 1, 2, 6, 8, 10-11, 13, 16 are obvious under § 103 over Nason in view of the knowledge of a POSITA; <u>Ground 7</u> : Claim 6 is obvious under § 103 over Nason in view of Yurkovic; <u>Ground 8</u> : Claim 6 is obvious under § 103 over Nason in view of Yurkovic and the knowledge of a POSITA; <u>Ground 9</u> : Claim 11 is obvious under § 103 over Wagner in view of Nason; <u>Ground 10</u> : Claim 11 is	

obvious under § 103 over Wagner in view of Nason and the knowledge of a POSITA.	38
1. Overview of U.S. Pat. No. 6,593,945 (“Nason”).....	39
2. Overview of U.S. Pat. No. 6,256,516 (“Wagner”)	41
3. Motivation to Combine Nason with Yurkovic (Claim 6).....	41
4. Motivation to Combine Wagner with Nason (Claim 11)	43
5. Claim Charts for Grounds 5-10 (see also Ex. 1003 ¶¶103-141)	44
VI. CONCLUSION.....	59

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Core Wireless Licensing S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 6:14-cv-00751 (E.D. Tex.).....	5, 11-12
<i>Core Wireless Licensing S.A.R.L. v. LG Elecs., Inc. et al.</i> , No. 2:14-cv-00911 (E.D. Tex.).....	5
<i>In re Am. Acad. of Sci. Tech Ctr.</i> , 367 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	11
<i>Vibrant Media, Inc. v. Gen. Elec. Co.</i> , IPR2013-00170, Paper No. 14 (July 29, 2013)	11
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C § 102(e)	passim
35 U.S.C. § 103	passim
35 U.S.C. § 112.....	2
35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319	1
35 U.S.C § 314(a)	10
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
37 C.F.R. § 1.33(c).....	60
37 C.F.R. § 42.1	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.8	5
37 C.F.R § 42.8(b)(1).....	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....	5
37 C.F.R § 42.22	6
37 C.F.R. § 42.100	59
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	11
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	6
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)	6
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3).....	11
37 C.F.R. § 42.105	59
MPEP § 2111	11

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
Ex. 1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,434,020
Ex. 1002	U.S. Patent No. 8,434,020 File History
Ex. 1003	Declaration of Dr. Brad Myers In Support of the Petition for <i>Inter Partes Review</i> of United States Patent No. 8,434,020
Ex. 1004	U.S. Patent No. 7,225,409 (“Schnarel”)
Ex. 1005	U.S. Patent No. 6,993,362 (“Aberg”)
Ex. 1006	U.S. Patent No. 6,333,973 (“Smith”)
Ex. 1007	U.S. Patent No. 6,593,945 (“Nason”)
Ex. 1008	U.S. Patent No. 5,959,621 (“Nawaz”)
Ex. 1009	U.S. Patent No. 6,160,554 (“Krause”)
Ex. 1010	U.S. Patent No. 6,256,516 (“Wagner”)
Ex. 1011	U.S. Patent No. 6,018,724 (“Arent”)
Ex. 1012	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2005/0251448 (“Gropper”)
Ex. 1013	U.S. Patent No. 5,345,550 (“Bloomfield 550”)
Ex. 1014	U.S. Patent No. 5,425,140 (“Bloomfield 140”)
Ex. 1015	U.S. Patent No. 6,408,191 (“Blanchard”)
Ex. 1016	U.S. Patent No. 5,815,142 (“Allard”)
Ex. 1017	U.S. Patent No. 5,737,394 (“Anderson”)
Ex. 1018	U.S. Patent No. 6,668,353 (“Yurkovic”)
Ex. 1019	U.S. Patent No. 6,285,890 (“Panian”)
Ex. 1020	U.S. Patent No. 6,174,205 (“Madsen”)
Ex. 1021	U.S. Patent No. 5,761,610 (“Sorensen”)
Ex. 1022	Figures 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,593,945 (“Nason Figures”) (http://patents.reedtech.com)
Ex. 1023	<i>Core Wireless Licensing S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 6:14-cv-00751, D.I. 107, 107-1 (Joint Submission of P.R. 4-5(d) Claim Construction Chart) (E.D. Tex.)
Ex. 1024	<i>Core Wireless Licensing S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 6:14-cv-00751, D.I. 89 (Core Wireless Opening Claim Construction Brief) (E.D. Tex.)
Ex. 1025	<i>Core Wireless Licensing S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 6:14-cv-00751, D.I. 100 (Apple Responsive Claim Construction Brief) (E.D. Tex.)
Ex. 1026	Declaration of Michael P. Duffey in Support of Petition for <i>Inter Partes Review</i> of U.S. Patent No. 8,434,020
Ex. 1027	<i>Core Wireless Licensing S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 6:14-cv-00751,

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.