

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

United States Patent No: 8,434,020	§	
Inventor: Mathieu Kennedy Martyn	§	Attorney Docket No.:
Formerly Application No.: 10/343,333	§	104677-5016-652
Issue Date: April 30, 2013	§	
Filing Date: August 27, 2003	§	Customer No.: 28120
Former Group Art Unit: 2175	§	Petitioner: Apple Inc.
Former Examiner: VU, THANH T	§	
Patent Owner: Core Wireless Licensing	§	
S.A.R.L.	§	
	§	

For: COMPUTING DEVICE WITH IMPROVED USER INTERFACE FOR APPLICATIONS

MAIL STOP PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

**DECLARATION OF MICHAEL P. DUFFEY IN SUPPORT OF PETITION
FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
UNITED STATES PATENT NO. 8,434,020**

I, Michael P. Duffey, make the following Declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746:

1. I am a Litigation Paralegal Specialist at the law firm of Ropes & Gray LLP.

2. I provide this Declaration in connection with the above-identified *Inter Partes* Review proceeding requested at the United States Patent and

Trademark Office by Apple Inc. under 35 U.S.C. § 321, 37 C.F.R. § 42.304. Unless otherwise stated, the facts stated in this Declaration are based on my personal knowledge.

3. Exhibit 1001 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 8,434,020 to Mathieu Kennedy Martyn, which I downloaded on September 9, 2015 from the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) Patent Application Information Retrieval (“PAIR”) website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. An exhibit label on the first page and page numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

4. Exhibit 1002 hereto is a true and correct copy of the file history for United States Patent No. 8,434,020 to Mathieu Kennedy Martyn, which I downloaded on September 9, 2015 from the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) Patent Application Information Retrieval (“PAIR”) website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. An exhibit label on the first page and page numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

5. Exhibit 1004 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 7,225,409 to Charles P. Schnarel, Mauricio Lomelin, Peter G. Chin, Derek S. Graham, Kate Welker, Robert C. Murdock, Suzanne Walsh, Justin Cooper, and

Laurence Golding, which I downloaded on September 9, 2015 from the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) Patent Application Information Retrieval (“PAIR”) website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. An exhibit label on the first page and page numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

6. Exhibit 1005 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 6,993,362 to Kristoffer Aberg, which I downloaded on September 9, 2015 from the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) Patent Application Information Retrieval (“PAIR”) website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. An exhibit label on the first page and page numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

7. Exhibit 1006 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 6,333,973 to Colin Donald Smith and Brian Finlay Beaton, which I downloaded on September 9, 2015 from the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) Patent Application Information Retrieval (“PAIR”) website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. An exhibit label on the first page and page numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

8. Exhibit 1007 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 6,593,945 to D. David Nason, Thomas C. O'Rourke, and J. Scott Campbell, which I downloaded on September 9, 2015 from the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") Patent Application Information Retrieval ("PAIR") website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. An exhibit label on the first page and page numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

9. Exhibit 1008 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 5,959,621 to Sabina Nawaz, Steven Alfred Isaac, Jay Franklin McLain, Michael H. Tuchen, and Eric George Jakstadt, which I downloaded on September 9, 2015 from the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") Patent Application Information Retrieval ("PAIR") website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. An exhibit label on the first page and page numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

10. Exhibit 1009 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 6,160,554 to Michael D. Krause, which I downloaded on September 9, 2015 from the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") Patent Application Information Retrieval ("PAIR") website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. An exhibit label on the first page and

page numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

11. Exhibit 1010 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 6,256,516 to Annette Wagner, Jeffrey Herman, Herbert Jellinek, and Susan Booker, which I downloaded on September 9, 2015 from the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) Patent Application Information Retrieval (“PAIR”) website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. An exhibit label on the first page and page numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

12. Exhibit 1011 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent No. 6,018,724 to Michael A. Arent, which I downloaded on September 9, 2015 from the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) Patent Application Information Retrieval (“PAIR”) website and which is a record of the USPTO to which all parties have access. An exhibit label on the first page and page numbers on all pages have been added to the bottom of this document but no other alterations have been made.

13. Exhibit 1012 hereto is a true and correct copy of United States Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0251448 to Robert L. Gropper, which I downloaded on September 9, 2015 from the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) Patent Application Information Retrieval (“PAIR”) website and

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.