

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

<hr/>)	
)	
CORE WIRELESS LICENSING S.A.R.L.,)	
)	Civil Action No. 2:14-cv-911-JRG-RSP
Plaintiff,)	(lead case)
)	
v.)	JURY TRIAL REQUESTED
)	
LG ELECTRONICS, INC., AND LG)	
ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A.,)	
INC.)	
)	
Defendants.)	
<hr/>)	

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

<hr/>)	
)	
CORE WIRELESS LICENSING S.A.R.L.,)	Civil Action No. 6:14-cv-751-JRG-JDL
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	JURY TRIAL REQUESTED
v.)	
)	
APPLE INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
<hr/>)	

**RESPONSIVE CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF OF DEFENDANTS
LG ELECTRONICS, INC., LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A., INC., AND
APPLE INC.—GROUP 3 PATENTS**

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. U.S. PATENT NO. 5,907,823	1
A. Technology Summary	1
B. Terms 1-4: Means-Plus-Function Terms (Claim 20).....	1
C. Terms 5-7: Means-Plus-Function Terms (Claim 25).....	4
D. Term 8: The Preamble of Claim 1 is Limiting.....	5
E. Terms 9 and 10: “signal power measuring means” / “the signal power measuring means” (Claim 21)	6
F. Term 11: “the sound pressure” (Claim 4).....	7
G. Term 28: “third measured value p3” (Claims 4 and 5).....	7
III. U.S. PATENT NO. 7,027,667	8
A. Technology Summary	8
B. Term 15: The Preambles of Claims 12 and 13 Are Limiting.	8
C. Term 12 : “message” (Claims 12-15)	9
D. Term 14: “location finding information based on the cell occupied by at least one mobile station” (Claims 12 and 13).....	11
E. Term 13: “provision of the location finding information” (Claim 13).....	12
F. Terms 18-20: “circuitry operable” (Claims 13 and 15)	13
1. “Circuitry Operable” Is A Nonce Term.	13
2. CW Fails To Identify Any Structure In The Claim.	14
3. The Specification Does Not Supply Sufficient Structure.	15
IV. U.S. PATENT NOS. 8,434,020 AND 8,713,476	15
A. Technology Background.....	15
B. Terms 21 and 22: “display on the screen an application summary window reached directly from the main menu” (’020 patent, Claims 1 and 16);	

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
“display on the screen an application summary that can be reached directly from the menu” (’476 patent, Claims 1 and 20)	17
V. U.S. PATENT NO. 8,498,671	23
A. Technology Background.....	23
B. Term 23: “idle screen” (Claims 1, 3, 5, 11, 12, 15, 16).....	24
C. Term 24: “priority setting” (Claim 9).....	25
VI. U.S. PATENT NO. 7,693,552	25
A. Technology Background.....	25
B. Term 27: “further information” (Claims 5 and 21).....	26
C. Term 25: “processor means for controlling a display device to display thereon one or more ideogrammatic representations of a phonetic output according to a language” (Claim 21)	28
D. Term 26: “selecting means for providing further information in a first language according to which the one or more ideogrammatic representations are each selectable by a user for incorporation into a text message” (Claim 21).....	30
VII. CONCLUSION.....	30

..

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>ACQIS LLC v. Alcatel-Lucent USA Inc.</i> No. 6:13-CV-638, 2015 WL 1737853 (E.D. Tex. Apr. 13, 2015).....	7, 27
<i>Ampex Corp. v. Eastman Kodak Co.</i> 460 F. Supp. 2d 541 (D. Del. 2006).....	18
<i>Asyst Techs., Inc. v. Empak, Inc.</i> 268 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	3, 4
<i>Beneficial Innovations v. Advance Publ’ns, Inc.</i> No. 2:11-CV-229-JRG-RSP, 2014 WL 47301 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 6, 2014).....	5
<i>Bennett Marine, Inc. v. Lenco Marine, Inc.</i> 549 F. App’x 947 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	4
<i>Bicon, Inc. v. Straumann Co.</i> 441 F.3d 945 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	13
<i>Catalina Mktg. Int’l, Inc. v. Coolsavings.com, Inc.</i> 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	9
<i>CCS Fitness, Inc. v. Brunswick Corp.</i> 288 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	24
<i>Chef Am., Inc. v. Lamb-Weston, Inc.</i> 358 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	12
<i>ChriMar Sys., Inc. v. Alcatel-Lucent, Inc.</i> No. 6:13-CV-880-JDL, 2015 WL 233433 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 8, 2015)	5
<i>Core Wireless Licensing, S.A.R.L v. Apple Inc.</i> No. 6:12-CV-100, 2014 WL 3885935 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 7, 2014).....	28
<i>Cultor Corp. v. A.E. Staley Mfg. Co.</i> 224 F.3d 1328 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....	25
<i>EON Corp. IP Holdings LLC v. AT & T Mobility LLC</i> 785 F.3d 616 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	6
<i>EON Corp. IP Holdings, LLC v. Sensus USA, Inc.</i> 741 F. Supp. 2d 783 (E.D. Tex. 2010).....	4

Freeny v. Murphy USA Inc.
 No. 2:13-cv-791-RSP, 2015 WL 294102 (E.D. Tex. Jan. 21, 2015).....6

Gemalto S.A. v. HTC Corp.
 754 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....9

Globetrotter Software, Inc. v. Elan Computer Grp., Inc.
 236 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....4

Harris Corp. v. Ericsson Inc.
 417 F.3d 1241 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....29

His Americas Found. LP v. DK Joint Venture I
 No. 4:09CV611, 2010 WL 3632763 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 10, 2010)23

Honeywell Int’l, Inc. v. ITT Indus., Inc.
 452 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....21

Intel Corp. v. Via Techs., Inc.
 319 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....15

Interval Licensing LLC v. AOL, Inc.
 766 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....28

IPXL Holdings, L.L.C. v. Amazon.com, Inc.
 430 F.3d 1377 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....13

Kyocera Wireless Corp. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n
 545 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....22

Linear Tech. Corp. v. Impala Linear Corp.
 379 F.3d 1311 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....3, 14

L.C. Eldridge Sales Co. v. Azen Mfg. Pte.
 No. 6:11-cv-599, 2013 WL 2285749 (E.D. Tex. May 23, 2013)13

Laitram Corp. v. Rexnord, Inc.
 939 F.2d 1533 (Fed. Cir. 1991).....4

Masimo Corp. v. Mallinckrodt Inc.
 18 F. App’x 852 (Fed. Cir. 2001)7

Merck & Co. v. Teva Pharm. USA, Inc.
 395 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....18

Mettler-Toledo, Inc. v. B-Tek Scales, LLC
 671 F.3d 1291 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....3

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.