1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
2	MARSHALL DIVISION
3	CORE WIRELESS LICENSING,) (Civil Docket No.S.A.R.L.) (2:14-CV-911-JRG-RSP
4	) ( MARSHALL, TEXAS VS. ) (
5	)( LG ELECTRONICS AND LG )( March 21, 2016
6 7	ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM USA, )( 8:29 a.m. INC. )(
8	TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE RODNEY GILSTRAP
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	APPEARANCES:
12	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: Mr. John C. Hueston
13	Mr. Douglas J. Dixon Mr. Michael J. Stephan
14	HUESTON & HENNIGAN, LLP
15	620 Newport Center Drive Suite 1300
16	Newport Beach, California 92660
17	Mr. Alexander C. Giza Mr. Marshall A. Camp
18	Mr. Padraic Foran HUESTON & HENNIGAN, LLP
	523 W. 6th Street Suite 400
19	Los Angeles, California 90014
20	COURT REPORTER: SHELLY HOLMES, CSR, TCRR
21	Official Court Reporter United States District Court
22	Eastern District of Texas Marshall Division
23	100 E. Houston, Suite 125
24	Marshall, Texas 75670 (903) 923-7464
25	(Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced on CAT system.)

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

1 APPEARANCES CONTINUED: 2 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: Ms. Elizabeth L. DeRieux CAPSHAW DERIEUX, LLP 3 114 E. Commerce Avenue Gladewater, Texas 75647 4 5 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: Mr. Richard D. Harris Mr. Cameron M. Nelson 6 Mr. Herbert H. Finn GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 7 77 West Wacker Drive Suite 3100 8 Chicago, Illinois 60601 9 Mr. Nicholas A. Brown GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 10 4 Embarcadero Center Suite 3000 11 San Francisco, California 94111 12 Mr. Stephen M. Ullmer GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 13 1200 17th Street, Suite 2400 Denver, Colorado 80202 14 Mr. Kevin S. Kudlac GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 15 300 West 6th Street 16 Suite 2050 Austin, Texas 78701 17 Mr. J. Mark Mann 18 Mr. G. Blake Thompson MANN TINDEL & THOMPSON 19 300 W. Main Street Henderson, Texas 75652 20 21 22 23 24 25

**DOCKET A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

1 The jury is excused for recess at this time. 2 COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise. 3 (Jury out.) THE COURT: All right. The Court stands in recess. 4 (Recess.) 5 COURT SECURITY OFFICER: All rise. 6 7 THE COURT: Be seated, please. 8 Counsel, let me review with you a little bit of the history of today's portion of the trial so that I can be clear 9 10 with everyone as to where we started this morning and where we 11 are now. 12 Previously this morning, after the inventor, 13 Mr. Martyn, testified, I met with counsel in chambers. And at 14 that time, I raised with counsel the possibility that there 15 might be an 02 Micro situation requiring additional construction of disputed or potentially disputed terms by the 16 17 Court. We discussed "unlaunched," and we discussed "reached 18 19 directly," both of them coming from Claim 1 of the '020 patent and Claim 2 of the '476 patent. 20 21 The Plaintiff indicated to me in chambers that they 22 did not believe an O2 Micro situation existed. Defendants 23 indicated in chambers that they thought there might be an 02 --24 02 Micro issue and that they thought as late as last week that 25 there possibly could be one in this trial, but they weren't

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

sure how to raise it. 1

**R** M

2	Therefore, they did not bring it to the Court's
3	attention until the Court raised it this morning in chambers
4	after Mr. Martyn testified. The Defendants asked the Court to
5	revisit the issue after Dr. Zeger testified. And with the
6	agreement of the Plaintiff, the Court proceeded to let
7	Dr. Zeger testify. He has now given his direct testimony.
8	And the Court is persuaded that an 02 Micro situation
9	does, in fact, exist. There's no need to go through the
10	cross-examination of Dr. Zeger to reach that conclusion, and
11	that under 02 Micro and its progeny, the Court has an
12	obligation to provide further claim construction with regard to
13	these terms to the parties.
14	I intend to discharge that obligation. I'm going to
15	afford both sides an opportunity to offer very brief and
16	concise argument on these two terms. The time that we're
17	spending is going to be equally chargeable to the parties as a
18	part of the trial time.
	pare or ene criar cime.
19	I'm not I'm not casting fault with why this was
19 20	
	I'm not I'm not casting fault with why this was
20	I'm not I'm not casting fault with why this was not raised earlier; I'm merely making it very clear on the
20 21	I'm not I'm not casting fault with why this was not raised earlier; I'm merely making it very clear on the record it wasn't raised earlier, and it now must be disposed of
20 21 22	I'm not I'm not casting fault with why this was not raised earlier; I'm merely making it very clear on the record it wasn't raised earlier, and it now must be disposed of so that we can proceed with the trial.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

1 If the Plaintiff would care to offer any argument on 2 the term "unlaunched" or the term "reached directly," I'd be 3 happy to hear it. Does Plaintiff have any argument on these terms to 4 present to the Court? 5 MR. GIZA: Yes, Your Honor. 6 7 Would you like me to present some slides that show some of the evidence of the file history or --8 THE COURT: Counsel, I'll let you present your 9 10 argument. I'd ask that you do it from the podium. 11 MR. GIZA: Yes, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: I want you to understand that the time 13 you use is going to be charged to your trial time, but I want 14 you to have an opportunity to present to the Court what you 15 think is the most compelling case for your view of these terms 16 and what is an appropriate construction for them. 17 So that -- so with that, Mr. Giza, let me hear from 18 you. 19 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. GIZA: 20 Mr. Wietholter, could you cue up Dr. Zeger's slides, 21 please? 22 Can we go to Slide 60, please? 23 Your Honor, the claim term "launched" and 24 "unlaunched" show up in two places in the patent in the claims. 25 First, in Element [1e]: Each function in the list

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.