

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SYMANTEC CORP.,

Petitioner,

v.

FINJAN, INC.,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-01897

Patent 8,677,494

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. THE '494 PATENT	2
A. Overview	2
B. Challenged Claims	5
C. Prosecution History of the '494 Patent	6
III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	7
A. "database" (All Claims)	8
IV. SPECIFIC REASONS WHY THE CITED REFERENCES DO NOT INVALIDATE THE CLAIMS, AND WHY <i>INTER</i> <i>PARTES</i> REVIEW SHOULD NOT BE INSTITUTED.....	11
A. The Cited References Are Not Prior Art.....	11
B. Finjan Properly Claims Priority to the '194 Patent Under 35 U.S.C. § 120	11
1. The '494 Patent and its Ancestral Applications Contain Specific References to the Earlier Filed Applications	12
2. Each Application in the Priority Chain Satisfies the Written Description Requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112.....	16
3. The Delayed Priority Claim Was Unintentional.....	26
V. CONCLUSION.....	29

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Advanced Display Sys., Inc. v. Kent State</i> , 212 F.3d 1272 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	18
<i>Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> , No. 12-cv-00630-LHK, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 8157 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 21, 2014)	22
<i>Callaway Golf Co. v. Acushnet Co.</i> , 576 F.3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	23
<i>Encyclopedia Britannica, Inc. v. Alpine Elecs. of Am., Inc.</i> , 609 F.3d 1345 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	12
<i>Focal Therapeutics, Inc. v. Senorx, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00116, Paper 8 (PTAB Apr. 22, 2014).	22
<i>Harari v. Lee</i> , 656 F.3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	21, 23
<i>Kingsdown Med. Consultants, Ltd. v. Hollister Inc.</i> , 863 F.2d 867 (Fed. Cir. 1988)	28
<i>Ledergerber Med. Innovations, LLC v. W.L. Gore & Assocs., Inc.</i> , 736 F.Supp.2d 1172 (N.D. Ill. 2010).....	16
<i>Lockwood v. Am. Airlines, Inc.</i> , 107 F.3d 1565 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	16
<i>Medtronic Corevalve, LLC v. Edwards Lifesciences Corp.</i> , 741 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	13
<i>Medtronic Vascular, Inc. v. Advanced Cardiovascular Sys., Inc.</i> , 614 F. Supp. 2d 1006 (N.D. Cal. 2009).....	24

<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Proxyconn, Inc.</i> , Nos. 2014-1542, 2014-1543, 2015 WL 3747257 (Fed. Cir. June 16, 2015)	9
<i>Purdue Pharm. Prods., L.P. v. Actavis Elizabeth, LLC</i> , No. 12-5311 (JLL), 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 80920 (D.N.J. June 11, 2014)	23
<i>Round Rock Research, LLC v. Sandisk Corp.</i> , No. 12-cv-00569-SLR, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 13080 (D. Del. Feb. 4, 2015)	24
<i>SkinMedica, Inc. v. Histogen Inc.</i> , No. 09-CV-122 JLS NLS, 2011 WL 2066619 (S.D. Cal. May 24, 2011).....	23
<i>Sophos, Inc., v. Finjan, Inc.</i> , Case No. IPR2015-00907, Paper 8 (PTAB Sept. 24, 2015).....	8, 9
<i>Sophos, Inc. v. Finjan, Inc.</i> , Case No. IPR2015-01022, Paper 7 (PTAB Sept. 24, 2015).....	1, 8
<i>Star Scientific Inc. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co.</i> , 537 F.3d 1357 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	29
<i>Therasense, Inc. v. Becton, Dickenson & Co.</i> , 649 F.3d 1276 (Fed. Cir. 2011) (en banc)	28, 29
<i>Travelocity.com L.P. v. Conos Techs., LLC</i> , CBM2014-0082, Paper 12 (PTAB Oct. 16, 2014)	2
<i>X2Y Attenuators, LLC, v. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i> , 757 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	21
<i>Zenon Environmental, Inc. v. U.S. Filter Corp.</i> , 506 F.3d 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	18, 23
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 102(b)	11
35 U.S.C. § 112	12, 16, 22

35 U.S.C. § 120.....*passim*

35 U.S.C. § 311(b)28

Other Authorities

37 C.F.R. § 1.57(b)18

37 C.F.R. § 1.57(c).....18

37 C.F.R. § 1.57(d)(1).....18

37 C.F.R § 1.7815, 26

37 C.F.R § 1.78(e).....26, 27

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.