UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

King and Spalding LLP 1700 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Suite 200 Washington DC 20006

MAILED
NOV 2 7 2012
OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Edery et al.

Application No. 13/290,708 Filed: November 7, 2011

Attorney Docket No. FIN0001-CON1-CIP1-

CON4

DECISION DISMISSING PETITIONS UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) AND (a)(6)

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6), filed October 23, 2012 and supplemented on October 31, 2012, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 119(e) for the benefit of priority to the prior-filed nonprovisional and provisional applications set forth in the concurrently filed amendment.

The petition is **DISMISSED**

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000 and after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and 1.78(a)(5)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) must be accompanied by:

- the reference required by 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and 119(e) and 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(2)(i) and 1.78(a)(5)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in $\S 1.17(t)$; and
- a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Director may require additional where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The petition does not comply with item (1).

The amendment is not acceptable as drafted because Application No. 09/551,302, filed April 18, 2000, does not properly claim benefit of Application No. 60/030,639, filed November 8, 1996. It



Symantec 1011

cannot because the non-provisional application was filed more than 12 months after the filing date of the provisional application. It appears Application No. 08/790,097, filed January 29, 1997, claims benefit of Application No. 60/030,639, filed November 8, 1996. Please submit another amendment that corrects the priority chain.

37 CFR § 1.78(a)(6) requires a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(5)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. Since the statement appearing in the petition varies from the required language, the statement is being construed as the statement required by 37 CFR §1.78(a)(6). If this is not a correct reading of the statement appearing in the petition, petitioner should promptly notify the Office.

Before the petition under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) and 1.78(a)(6) can be granted, a renewed petition and either an Application Data Sheet or a substitute amendment (complying with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.121 and 37 CFR 1.76(b)(5)) to correct the above matters are required.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop PETITIONS

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand: Customer Service Window

Mail Stop Petitions Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

By fax: (571) 273-8300

ATTN: Office of Petitions

By internet: EFS-Web

www.uspto.gov/ebc/efs_help.html (for help using EFS-Web call the Patent Electronic Business Center

at (866) 217-9197)

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3230.

Shirene Willis Brantley

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions



FIN0001-CON1-CIP1-CON4

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Yigal Mordechai EDERY, et al. Group Art Unit: 2431

Serial No.: 13/290,708 Examiner: Christopher A. Revak

Filed: November 7, 2011

For: MALICIOUS MOBILE CODE RUNTIME MONITORING SYSTEM AND METHODS

CORRECTED PETITION TO ACCEPT UNINTENTIONALLY DELAYED CLAIM OF PRIORITY UNDER 35 U.S.C. §119(e) AND §120 FOR THE BENEFIT OF A PRIOR-FILED APPLICATION FILED UNDER 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(3)

Mail Stop Petition

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant respectfully submits this corrected petition to the petition filed October 23, 2012 for the acceptance of an unintentionally delayed claim of priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) and §120 for the benefit of prior-filed applications in the above-referenced patent application. In conjunction with this Petition, Applicant submits an Amendment to the Specification, and payment of the required fees.

Applicant understands that a petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR §1.78(a)(3) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000 and after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR §1.78(a)(2)(ii). Applicant understands that the petition under 37 CFR §1.78(a)(3) must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. §119(e), §120 and 37 CFR §1.78(a)(2)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted; (2) the surcharge set forth in 37 CFR §1.17(t); and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR §1.78(a)(2)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional.



Docket No. FIN0001-CON1-CIP1-CON4

Serial No. 13/290,708

The correction of the priority claim of the present application, filed November 7, 2011, to include a reference to prior-filed U.S. Patent Application Nos. 09/539,667, filed March 30, 2000, now U.S. Patent No. 6,804,780 and 60/030,639, filed November 8, 1996, is made after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR §1.78(a)(2)(ii).

In accordance with 35 U.S.C. §119(e), §120, and 37 CFR §1.78(a)(2)(i), an amendment to the specification of the present application which adds a reference to prior-filed U.S. Patent Application Nos. 09/539,667 and 60/030,639 is submitted in conjunction with this Petition. This amendment has been submitted separately as an Amendment to the Specification and includes no new matter. In accordance with 37 CFR §1.78(a)(2)(i), the amendment identifies the prior filed application by application number and indicates the relationship of the applications.

Applicant submits that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR §1.78(a)(2)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional.

No additional fees are believed to be necessary since this document corrects the Petition originally filed on October 23, 2012, and the payment of the \$1,410 fee as required under 37 CFR §1.17(t) was electronically filed via EFS-Web with that submission. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment of fees, or to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 50-4402.

Applicant respectfully submits that this request and the amendment to the specification are diligently made. Granting of this petition is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 30, 2012 By: /Dawn-Marie Bey - 44,442/

Dawn-Marie Bey Reg. No. 44,442

King & Spalding LLP 1700 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 200 Washington, DC 20006 (202) 626-8978 (Office) (202) 626-3737 (Fax)

15157/105034 Doc. No. 18339398



FIN0001-CON1-CIP1-CON4

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Yigal Mordechai EDERY, et al. Group Art Unit: 2431

Serial No.: 13/290,708 Examiner: Christopher A. Revak

Filed: November 7, 2011

For: MALICIOUS MOBILE CODE RUNTIME MONITORING SYSTEM AND METHODS

PETITION TO ACCEPT UNINTENTIONALLY DELAYED CLAIM OF PRIORITY UNDER 35 U.S.C. §119(e) AND §120 FOR THE BENEFIT OF A PRIOR-FILED APPLICATION FILED UNDER 37 CFR § 1.78(a)(3)

Mail Stop Petition

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant respectfully petitions for the acceptance of an unintentionally delayed claim of priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) and §120 for the benefit of prior-filed applications in the above-referenced patent application. In conjunction with this Petition, Applicant submits an Amendment to the Specification, and payment of the required fees.

Applicant understands that a petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR §1.78(a)(3) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000 and after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR §1.78(a)(2)(ii). Applicant understands that the petition under 37 CFR §1.78(a)(3) must be accompanied by (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. §119(e), §120 and 37 CFR §1.78(a)(2)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted; (2) the surcharge set forth in 37 CFR §1.17(t); and (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR §1.78(a)(2)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

