Case4:14-cv-01197-SBA Document58-12 Filed12/01/14 Page1 of 19

	Gaco III I ov GIIO I GBA GGGAINGI	100 12				
1 2 3	PAUL J. ANDRE (State Bar No. 196585) pandre@kramerlevin.com LISA KOBIALKA (State Bar No. 191404) lkobialka@kramerlevin.com					
4 5	jhannah@kramerlevin.com KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP 990 Marsh Road Menlo Park, CA 94025 Telephone: (650) 752-1700 Facsimile: (650) 752-1800					
6 7						
8 9	Attorneys for Plaintiff FINJAN, INC.					
10 11						
12	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION					
13	OAKLAND DIVISION					
14	FINJAN, INC., a Delaware Corporation,	Case No.: 14-cv-01197-SBA				
15 16 17	Plaintiff, v.	DECLARATION OF NENAD MEDVIDOVIC IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF FINJAN, INC.'S OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF				
18	SOPHOS INC., a Massachusetts Corporation, Defendant.					
19 20		Judge: Hon. Saundra B. Armstrong				
21		_				
22 23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28	DECLARATION OF NENAD MEDVIDOVIC	IN SUPPORT OF CASE NO. 14-cv-01197-SBA				
- 1	DECEMENTION OF THE VIEW VIEW VIEW IN BOTT ON OF CASE NO. 14-CV-0119/-SDA					



7

10

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

I, Nenad Medvidović, declare:

1. I make this Declaration based upon my own personal knowledge, information, and belief, and I would and could competently testify to the matters set forth herein if called upon to do so.

Qualifications

- 2. I received a Bachelor of Science ("BS") degree, Summa Cum Laude, from Arizona State University's Computer Science and Engineering department.
- 3. I received a Master of Science ("MS") degree from the University of California at Irvine's Information and Computer Science department.
- 4. I received a Doctor of Philosophy ("PhD") degree from the University of California at Irvine's Information and Computer Science department. My dissertation was entitled, "Architecture-Based Specification-Time Software Evolution."
- 5. I am employed by the University of Southern California ("USC") as a faculty member in the Computer Science Department, and have been since January 1999. I currently hold the title of Professor with tenure. Between January 2009 and January 2013, I served as the Director of the Center for Systems and Software Engineering at USC. Since July 2011, I have served as my Department's Associate Chair for PhD Affairs.
- 6. I am very familiar with and have substantial expertise in the area of software systems development / software engineering, software architecture, software design, and distributed systems.
- 7. I have over twenty years of research experience that has spanned a wide range of issues pertaining to large, complex, distributed software systems. This research has included security and trust as significant components. As one example, my research has resulted in a new technique that deploys a software system on a set of distributed computers in a manner that optimizes that system's "non-functional" characteristics, including efficiency, scalability, resource consumption, reliability, as

DECLARATION OF NENAD MEDVIDOVIC IN SUPPORT OF CASE NO. 14-cv-01197-SBA



Case4:14-cv-01197-SBA Document58-12 Filed12/01/14 Page3 of 19

well as security. As another example, motivated by the frequent vulnerability of distributed systems to malicious adversaries, I have developed, published, and eventually patented a novel technique for ensuring system security and data privacy in open computer networks. I have co-authored a widely adopted textbook on software system architectures, in which several chapters deal with the issue of security and one entire chapter is specifically dedicated to security and trust.

Materials Reviewed

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 8. I understand that the following patents are at issue in the litigation between Finjan and Sophos: U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844 ("the '844 Patent); 6,804,780 ("the '780 Patent"); 7,613,918 ("the '918 Patent''); 7,613,926 ("the '926 Patent); 7,757,289 ("the '289 Patent"); 8,141,154 ("the '154 Patent); 8,566,580 ("the '580 Patent"); and 8,677,494 ("the '494 Patent") (collectively "Finjan Patents"). I also understand the Finjan and Sophos only have disputes regarding construction of the terms in the following Finjan Patents which I have reviewed in detail: U.S. Patent Nos. 6,154,844 ("the '844 Patent); 7,613,918 ("the '918 Patent"); 7,613,926 ("the '926 Patent); 8,566,580 ("the '580 Patent"); and 8,677,494 ("the '494 Patent"). I have also reviewed the prosecution history of the Finjan Patents.
- 9. I understand that I am submitting this Declaration to assist the Court in determining the proper construction of certain terms used in the claims in the Finjan Patents. I have reviewed the Joint Claim Construction and Pre-Hearing Statement Pursuant to Patent Local Rule 4-3, which I understand Finjan and Sophos jointly submitted and set forth their respective proposed claim construction and support therefore. I have also reviewed the terms that I understand Finjan and Sophos selected for construction.

2

DECLARATION OF NENAD MEDVIDOVIC IN SUPPORT OF

CASE NO. 14-cv-01197-SBA



25

24

26

27

28

Construction of the Terms

- 10. I have reviewed Finjan's and Sophos's proposed constructions for the terms in the claims of the Finjan Patents. My understanding of a person of skill in the art is a person with a bachelor's degree in computer science or related field, and either (1) two or more years of industry experience and/or (2) an advanced degree in computer science or related field.
- 11. I understand that Finjan and/or Sophos have disputes regarding the constructions for the claims terms listed below:

Construction of the Terms of the '844 Patent

i. means for receiving a Downloadable

Claim Term	Finjan's Proposed Construction	Sophos's Proposed Construction
means for receiving a Downloadable	Governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6):	Indefinite
	Function: receiving a Downloadable	
	Structure:	
	Downloadable file	
	interceptor	

- 12. Based on my professional experience, a person of ordinary skill in the art would understand that the element "means for receiving a Downloadable" describes an element with the function of "receiving a Downloadable," as unambiguously stated in the claim. A person of ordinary skill in that art would easily be able to ascertain this is the function associated with this element because the claim sets forth a clear function with reasonable certainty. Specifically, the function is found after the "for" clause in the claim term.
- 13. I understand that in order to determine the proper function for the claim term, a person of skill in the art must look to the specification to find the structure that performs the function recited in the claim. Based on my professional experience, a person of ordinary skill in the art would

DECLARATION OF NENAD MEDVIDOVIC IN SUPPORT OF

CASE NO. 14-cv-01197-SBA



understand that the '844 Patent discloses that the function of "receiving a Downloadable" is performed by the "Downloadable file interceptor." The '844 Patent discloses that "[m]ethod 700 begins with the Downloadable file interceptor 505 in step 705 receiving a Downloadable file" and "[t]he generic protection engine 500 includes a Downloadable file interceptor 505 for intercepting incoming Downloadables (i.e., Downloadable files) for inspection...." '844 Patent, Col. 9, ll. 21-22;Col. 7, ll.44-46. As shown from these passages, the structure for intercepting an incoming Downloadable is a Downloadable file interceptor. Thus, there is no ambiguity and a person of skill in the art would be reasonably certain that the structure disclosed in the '844 Patent as performing the recited function is a "Downloadable file interceptor."

14. As a person of ordinary skill in the art would be able to determine the proper function and structure of this element with a reasonable certainty when the claim is read in light of the specification and prosecution history. I disagree with Sophos's assertion that the element is indefinite.

ii. means for generating a first Downloadable security profile that identifies suspicious code in the received Downloadable

Claim Term	Finjan's Proposed Construction	Sophos's Proposed Construction
means for generating a first Downloadable security profile that identifies suspicious code in the received Downloadable	Governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(6): Function: generating a first Downloadable security profile that identifies suspicious code in the received Downloadable Structure: content inspection engine	Indefinite

15. Based on my professional experience, a person of ordinary skill in the art would understand that the element "means for generating a first Downloadable security profile that identifies suspicious code in the received Downloadable" describes an element with the function of "generating a

DECLARATION OF NENAD MEDVIDOVIC IN SUPPORT OF

CASE NO. 14-cv-01197-SBA



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

