

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

COMARCO WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-01879
Patent 8,492,933

Before BRIAN J. McNAMARA, PATRICK M. BOUCHER, and
GARTH D. BAER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

BAER, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION
Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Brett J. Williamson and
Charles Quinn
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

On September 30, 2015, Apple, Inc. (“Petitioner”) filed a Motion for
Pro Hac Vice Admission of Brett J. Williamson. Paper 6. In the motion,
Petitioner states that there is good cause for the Board to recognize Mr.

Case IPR2015-01879
Patent 8,492,933

Williamson *pro hac vice* during this proceeding because he is familiar with related pending litigation between the parties, and because he is familiar with the subject matter of this proceeding. *Id.* The motion is supported by Mr. Williamson's Declaration attesting that he is a member in good standing of the Bar of California, as well as several federal courts. Ex. 1020. Mr. Williamson's Declaration asserts that he agrees to "be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a)." *Id.* ¶ 12. Patent Owner has not filed any opposition.

Upon consideration, Petitioner has demonstrated sufficiently that Mr. Williamson has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Petitioner in this proceeding.

On October 1, 2015, Comarco Wireless Technologies, Inc. ("Patent Owner") filed a Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Charles Quinn. Paper 8. In the motion, Patent Owner states that there is good cause for the Board to recognize Mr. Quinn *pro hac vice* during this proceeding because he is an experienced litigator and has familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. *Id.* The motion is supported by Mr. Quinn's Declaration attesting that he is a member in good standing of the Bars of New Jersey and New York, as well as several federal courts. Paper 10, ¶ 2. Mr. Quinn's Declaration asserts that he agrees to "be subject to the United States Patent and Trademark Office Code of Professional Conduct set forth

Case IPR2015-01879
Patent 8,492,933

in 37 C.F.R. §§ [11.101] *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).” *Id.* ¶ 8. Petitioner has not filed any opposition.

Upon consideration, Patent Owner has demonstrated sufficiently that Mr. Quinn has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Patent Owner in this proceeding.

It is

ORDERED that Petitioner’s Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Brett J. Williamson is *granted*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner’s Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Charles Quinn is *granted*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Williamson and Mr. Quinn are to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of the C.F.R., and to be subject to the Office’s Code of Professional Responsibility set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*, and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a); and

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner and Patent Owner are to continue to have registered practitioners represent them as lead counsel in this proceeding.

Case IPR2015-01879
Patent 8,492,933

PETITIONER:

Xin-Yi Zhou
Cameron Westin
Scot Rives
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
vzhou@omm.com
cwestin@omm.com
srives@omm.com

PATENT OWNER:

Harris Wolin
GRAHAM CURTIN, PA
hwolin@grahamcurtin.com