

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

**DELAWARE DISPLAY GROUP LLC
and INNOVATIVE DISPLAY
TECHNOLOGIES LLC,**

Plaintiffs,

v.

**LG ELECTRONICS, INC.,
LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC.,
LG DISPLAY CO., LTD., and
LG DISPLAY AMERICA, INC.,**

Defendants.

C.A. No. 13-cv-2109-RGA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**DELAWARE DISPLAY GROUP LLC
and INNOVATIVE DISPLAY
TECHNOLOGIES LLC,**

Plaintiffs,

v.

**PANTECH CO. LTD, and
PANTECH WIRELESS, INC.,**

Defendants.

**DELAWARE DISPLAY GROUP LLC
and INNOVATIVE DISPLAY
TECHNOLOGIES LLC,**

Plaintiffs,

v.

**SONY CORPORATION, SONY
CORPORATION OF AMERICA, SONY
ELECTRONICS INC., and SONY
MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS (USA)
INC.**

Defendants.

C.A. No. 13-cv-2110-RGA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

C.A. No. 13-cv-2111-RGA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT 1

 1. Status of the IPRs..... 1

 2. Relationship of the Parties 1

 3. Timing Factors 2

 4. Simplification..... 2

 5. Discovery and Trial Date 2

 6. Streamlined and Expedited 2

III. BACKGROUND AND STATEMENT OF FACTS 2

 A. The Patents..... 2

 B. Plaintiffs’ Attempts to Resolve This Dispute 3

 C. The Effect of Plaintiffs’ Withdrawal of the ’547 and ’194 Patents 4

 D. A Summary of the IPRs 5

 E. Background of the Litigation 5

IV. ARGUMENT 6

 A. Defendants’ and Third Parties’ Serial IPRs are not a Streamlined and Expedited Procedure. 6

 B. The Factors do not Favor Stay 7

 1. A stay will unduly prejudice Plaintiffs 7

 a. The timing factors disfavor a stay..... 8

 b. The status of the IPRs disfavors stay 101

 c. The relationship between the parties disfavors stay 122

2.	A stay will not significantly simplify the issues and trial of the case.....	13
3.	The factor “whether discovery is complete and whether a trial date has been set” weighs against stay.....	16
V.	CONCLUSION.....	188

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

A.L.M. Holding Co. et al. v. Azco Nobel Surface Chemistry LLC,
C.A. No. 13-cv-1069-GMS, Order (D. Del. Sep. 17, 2014).....17

Bonutti Skeletal Innovations, L.L.C. v. Zimmer Holdings, Inc.,
C.A. No. 12-cv-1107-GMS, 2014 WL 1369721 (D. Del. Apr. 7, 2014)..... 16

Boston Scientific Corp. v. Cordis Corp.,
777 F.Supp.2d 783 (D. Del. 2011)..... 7

CallWave Commc’ns, LLC v. AT & T Mobility, LLC,
C.A. No. 12-cv-1701-RGA, 2015 WL 1284203 (D. Del. Mar. 18, 2015)..... 17

Canatelo LLC v. Axis Communications AB,
C.A. No. 13-cv-1227-GMS, D.I. 57, (D. Del. May 14, 2014)..... 17

Clouding IPLLC v. SAP AG, et al.,
C.A. No. 13-cv-01456-LPS Oral Order (Jan. 21, 2014).....16

Elan Microelectronics Corp. v. Pixcir Microelectronics Co. Ltd.,
No. 2:10-cv-00013, 2013 WL 2394358 (D. Nev. May 30, 2013) 6

Gen. Elec. Co. v. Vibrant Media, Inc.,
C.A. No 12-cv-00526-LPS, 2013 WL 6328063 (D. Del. Dec. 4, 2013) 9, 13, 15

Innovative Display Technologies LLC v. Acer Inc., et al.,
Case No. 2:13-cv-522-JRG (Lead Case), 2014 WL 4230037 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 26, 2014).....6

Innovative Display Techs., LLC v. Acer, Inc., et al.,
Case No. 2:13-cv-522-JRG (Lead Case), Slip Op., D.I. 220 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 15, 2014).....6

Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Xilinx, Inc.,
C.A. No. 10-cv-1065-LPS, 2014 WL 906551 (D. Del. Mar. 5, 2014) 7

McRo Inc. v. Bethesda Softworks LLC,
C.A. No. 12-cv-1509-LPS-CJB, Memorandum Order (D. Del. May 1, 2013).....10

Message Notification Technologies LLC v. Microsoft Corp.,
C.A. No. 13-cv-1881-GMS, D.I. 38, slip op. at 4, n.4 (D. Del. Feb. 24, 2015).....8

Neste Oil OYJ v. Dynamic Fuels, LLC,
C.A. No. 12-cv-1744-GMS, 2013 WL 3353984 (D. Del. July 2, 2013) 7, 10

...

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.