# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_\_

K.J. PRETECH CO., LTD.
Petitioner

v.

INNOVATIVE DISPLAY TECHNOLOGIES LLC Patent Owner

Case: IPR2015-01868

Patent 7,434,974

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,434,974



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Page

I.	MA	NDATORY NOTICES	1			
II.	PAYMENT OF FEES					
III.		STANDING				
IV.	REQUEST FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW OF CLAIMS 1, 3-5, 7-8, AND 10-11 OF THE '974 PATENT					
	A.	Technology Background	3			
	B.	The Alleged Invention Of The '974 Patent				
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION					
	A.	Standards For Claim Construction	6			
	B.	"deformities" (claims 1 and 7)	7			
VI.	SUMMARY OF PRIOR ART TO THE '974 PATENT FORMING THE BASIS FOR THIS PETITION					
	A.	JP H7-64078A ("Kisou") (Ex. 1006)	8			
	B.	JP H5-45651 ("Niizuma") (Ex. 1007)	8			
	C.	U.S. Patent No. 4,017,155 ("Yagi") (Ex. 1008)	9			
	D.	JP 6-214230 ("Furuya") (Ex. 1009)	9			
VII.						
	A.	Ground 1: Claims 1, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) As Being Anticipated By Kisou	10			
		1. Kisou anticipates claim 1	10			
		2. Kisou anticipates claim 7	16			
		3. Kisou anticipates claim 8	17			
		4. Kisou anticipates claims 5, 10, and 11	17			
	B.	Ground 2: Claims 5, 10 and 11 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Kisou				
	C.	Ground 3: Claims 3-4 Are Unpatentable Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Being Obvious Over Kisou In View of Yagi	26			
		1. Claim 3 is obvious over Kisou in view of Yagi	26			



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

		P	age
	2.	Claim 4 is obvious over Kisou in view of Yagi	29
D.	Unde	nd 4: Claims 1, 3-5, 7-8, and 10-11 Are Unpatentable er 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) As Obvious Over Furuya In View Of	31
	1.	Furuya in view of Niizuma renders obvious independent claim 1	31
	2.	Motivation to combine Furuya and Niizuma	38
	3.	Furuya in view of Niizuma renders obvious dependent claim 3	42
	4.	Furuya in view of Niizuma renders obvious dependent claim 4	42
	5.	Furuya in view of Niizuma renders obvious dependent claim 5	42
	6.	Furuya in view of Niizuma renders obvious independent claim 7	43
	7.	Furuya in view of Niizuma renders obvious dependent claim 8	44
	8.	Furuya in view of Niizuma renders obvious dependent claims 10 and 11	44
VIII. CON	NCLUS	SION	54



# **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	Page(s)
Cases	
Arris Group, Inc. v. C-Cation Techs., LLC, IPR2014-00747, Decision (P.T.A.B. Nov. 24, 2014)	7
In re Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC, No. 2014-1301, 2015 U.S. App. LEXIS 1699 (Fed. Cir. Feb. 4, 2015)	6, 7
In re Rambus Inc., 753 F.3d 1253 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	7
Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	6
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 102	8, 9
35 U.S.C. § 103	31
35 U.S.C. § 112	7
35 U.S.C. § 301	7
35 U.S.C. § 311	1
Other Authorities	
37 C.F.R. § 42.8	1, 2
37 C.F.R. § 42.103	3
37 C F R 8 42 104	3



## **PETITIONERS' EXHIBIT LIST**

Description	Exhibit #	
U.S. Patent No. 7,434,974		
Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 7,434,974		
Complaints filed in Related District Court Cases		
Declaration of Tom Credelle, Ph.D. ("Credelle Decl.")	1004	
U.S. Patent No. 5,461,547 ("Ciupke")	1005	
JP H7-64078A ("Kisou") (Translation, Certification, Japanese version)		
JP H5-45651 ("Niizuma") (Translation, Certification, Japanese version)	1007	
U.S. Patent No. 4,017,155 ("Yagi")	1008	
JP 6-214230 ("Furuya") (Translation, Certification, Japanese version)	1009	
U.S. Patent No. 5,160,195 ("Miller")	1010	
J. A. Castellano, <i>Handbook of Display Technology</i> , Academic Press		
Inc., San Diego, 1992		
U.S. Patent No. 5,598,280 ("Nishio")	1012	
U.S. Patent No. 5,384,658 ("Ohtake")	1013	
U.S. Patent No. 5,303,322 ("Winston")	1014	
U.S. Patent No. 5,050,946 ("Hathaway")	1015	
European Patent Application Publication No. EP 500960 ("Ohe")	1016	
U.S. Patent No. 5,828,488 ("Ouderkirk")	1017	
3M Product Brochure 75-0500-0403-7, "Brightness Enhancement Film		
(BEF), 1993		
U.S. Patent No. 5,706,134 ("Konno")	1019	
U.S. Patent No. 5,944,405 ("Takeuchi")	1020	
U.S. Patent No. 5,381,309 ("Borchardt")	1021	



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

