UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

_____

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
_____

K.J. PRETECH CO., LTD.,
Petitioner

v.

INNOVATIVE DISPLAY TECHNOLOGIES LLC,
Patent Owner

_____

Case IPR2015-01868
Patent 7,434,974 B1
January 6, 2017

_____

**PETITIONER'S OBJECTIONS TO PATENT OWNER'S
DEMONSTRATIVES**

Mail Stop: Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Pursuant to Paper No. 26, Petitioner objects to Patent Owner's Oral Argument Demonstratives, emailed to the Board on January 5, 2017. Pursuant to the Board's Order: "The objections should identify with particularity which demonstratives are subject to objection, and include a short (one sentence or less) statement of the reason for each objection. No argument or further explanation is permitted." Petitioner identifies below which demonstratives are subject to objection, and include a short statement of the reason for each objection. In identifying the demonstratives, Petitioner refers to the slide number in the lower right corner of Patent Owner's slides.

Demonstrative Slide 4: New argument not found in any paper and unsupported characterization of the evidence with respect to figure annotations and color.

Demonstrative Slide 5: New argument not found in any paper and unsupported characterization of the evidence with respect to figure annotations and color and that mounting holes, lead wires, and solder are not part of the reflector 60.

Demonstrative Slide 7: New argument not found in any paper and unsupported characterization of the evidence with respect to figure annotations and color.

Demonstrative Slide 8: New argument not found in any paper and unsupported characterization of the evidence with respect to figure annotations and color.

Demonstrative Slide 9: New argument not found in any paper and unsupported characterization of the evidence with respect to figure annotations and color.

Demonstrative Slide 10: New argument not found in any paper and unsupported characterization of the evidence with respect to figure annotations and color.

Demonstrative Slide 12: New argument not found in any paper and unsupported characterization of the evidence with respect to figure annotations and color.

Demonstrative Slide 15: New argument not found in any paper and unsupported characterization of the evidence with respect to figure annotations and color.

Demonstrative Slide 16: New argument not found in any paper with respect to reference to another IPR (IPR2015-00487) that is irrelevant to this proceeding as it relates to a different patent.

Demonstrative Slide 17: New argument not found in any paper and unsupported characterization of the evidence with respect to figure annotations and color.

Demonstrative Slide 18: New argument not found in any paper and unsupported characterization of the evidence with respect to figure annotations and color.

Demonstrative Slide 19: New argument not found in any paper and unsupported characterization of the evidence with respect to figure annotations and color.

Demonstrative Slide 20: New argument not found in any paper and unsupported characterization of the evidence with respect to figure annotations and color.

Demonstrative Slide 21: New argument not found in any paper and unsupported characterization of the evidence with respect to figure annotations and color.

Demonstrative Slide 22: New argument not found in any paper with respect to reference to another IPR (IPR2015-00487) that is irrelevant to this proceeding as it relates to a different patent.

Demonstrative Slide 23: New argument not found in any paper with respect to reference to another IPR (IPR2015-00487) that is irrelevant to this proceeding as it relates to a different patent.

Dated: January 6, 2017   Respectfully submitted,

/Robert G Pluta Reg No 50970/
Robert G. Pluta
Registration No. 50,970
Amanda K. Streff
Registration No. 65,224
Mayer Brown LLP
71 S. Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
Telephone: 312-701-8641
Facsimile: 312-701-7711
rpluta@mayerbrown.com
astreff@mayerbrown.com

Jamie B. Beaber (*pro hac vice*)
Baldine B. Paul
Registration No. 54,369
Anita Y. Lam
Registration No. 67,394
Saqib J. Siddiqui
Registration No. 68,626
Mayer Brown LLP
1999 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
Telephone: 202-263-3000

Facsimile: 202-263-3300
bpaul@mayerbrown.com
alam@mayerbrown.com
ssiddiqui@mayerbrown.com

Counsel for K.J. Pretech Co., Ltd.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

fastcase
Smarter legal research.