

[trials@uspto.gov](mailto:trials@uspto.gov)

571-272-7822

IPR2015-01866, Paper No. 33

IPR2015-01867, Paper No. 39

IPR2015-01868, Paper No. 39

February 17, 2017

RECORD OF ORAL HEARING  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

--- --- ---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

--- --- ---

K.J. PRETECH CO., LTD.,

Petitioner,

V.

INNOVATIVE DISPLAY TECHNOLOGIES LLC,

Patent Owner.

--- --- ---

IPR2015-01866 (Patent 8,215,816)

IPR2015-01867 (Patent 7,537,370)

IPR2015-01868 (Patent 7,434,974)

Oral Hearing held on Tuesday, January 10, 2017

Before: THOMAS L. GIANNETTI, MIRIAM L. QUINN, and  
BEVERLY M. BUNTING, Administrative Patent Judges.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday,  
January 10, 2017 at 1:37 p.m. in Hearing Room G, taken at the U.S. Patent  
and Trademark Office, 300 River Place, Detroit, Michigan.

APPEARANCES:

JEFFREY R. BRAGALONE

JUSTIN B. KIMBLE

Bragalone Conroy PC

2200 Ross Avenue, Suite 4500 W

Dallas, Texas 75201-7924

(214) 785-6671

Jbragalone@bcpc-law.com

Jkimble@bcpc-law.com

ROBERT G. PLUTA

SAQIB J. SIDDIQUI

Mayer Brown LLP

71 South Wacker Drive

Chicago, Illinois 60606-4637

(312) 701-8641

Rpluta@mayerbrown.com

Siddiqui@mayerbrown.com

ALSO PRESENT:

Blair Silver, Gibson Dunn

Jason C. Lo, Gibson Dunn

Eric Klein, Vinson & Elkins

IPR2015-01866 (Patent 8,215,816)  
IPR2015-01867 (Patent 7,537,370)  
IPR2015-01868 (Patent 7,434,974)

1 PROCEEDINGS

2 (1:37 p.m.)

3 JUDGE BUNTING: Good afternoon. This is  
4 the final hearing in IPR2015-01868, 1867 and 1866. The  
5 petitioner is K.J. Pretech, and VIZIO versus patent owner  
6 Innovative Display Technologies. Case Numbers 2016-910  
7 and 2016-914 have been joined with these proceedings.  
8 The patents involved are 8,215,816, 7,537,370 and  
9 7,434,974 respectively.

10 I'm Judge Bunting and I will be presiding  
11 today. Appearing remotely from Alexandria is Judge  
12 Giannetti on the left of the screen and from Dallas is  
13 Judge Quinn on the right of the screen. Judge Giannetti  
14 and Judge Quinn, are you able to hear?

15 JUDGE GIANNETTI: I am.

16 JUDGE QUINN: Yes, I can hear you too.

17 JUDGE BUNTING: Thank you. Let's begin  
18 with the appearance of counsel beginning with petitioner.  
19 Please approach the microphone and state your names.

20 MR. PLUTA: Good afternoon, Your Honors.  
21 Robert Pluta on behalf of petitioner, K.J. Pretech. With  
22 me is my colleague Saqib Siddiqui on behalf of K.J.

IPR2015-01866 (Patent 8,215,816)  
IPR2015-01867 (Patent 7,537,370)  
IPR2015-01868 (Patent 7,434,974)

1 Pretech. Also in the courtroom is Jason Lo appearing on  
2 behalf of VIZIO and Eric Klein on behalf Lenovo. Both  
3 Lenovo and VIZIO have trials that are imminent on two of  
4 these three patents within the next month or so. So they're  
5 here for these purposes today.

6 JUDGE BUNTING: Thank you. And let me  
7 ask, is there someone on the line for petitioner also?  
8 Identify yourself, please.

9 MR. SILVER: Yes, your Honor. This is Blair  
10 Silver from Gibson and Dunn on behalf of petitioner  
11 VIZIO.

12 JUDGE BUNTING: All right, and is that all  
13 we have for petitioner?

14 MR. SILVER: Jason Lo should be in the room  
15 as well.

16 JUDGE BUNTING: All right. Thank you. And  
17 who will be making the presentations for petitioner?

18 MR. PLUTA: Your Honor, I will be making  
19 the presentation for the 974 Patent and Mr. Siddiqui will  
20 be making the presentation for the 816.

21 JUDGE BUNTING: I'm sorry. What was Mr.--

22 MR. PLUTA: Siddiqui.

IPR2015-01866 (Patent 8,215,816)  
IPR2015-01867 (Patent 7,537,370)  
IPR2015-01868 (Patent 7,434,974)

1                   JUDGE BUNTING: Thank you. Okay. Patent  
2 owner, please, who is the appearing for patent owner  
3 today?

4                   MR. BRAGALONE: Jeff Bragalone, Bragalone  
5 Conroy, and also my colleague, Justine Kimble  
6 representing patent owner, Innovative Display  
7 Technologies. I will be making the presentation on the  
8 974 Patent and Mr. Kimble will be making the presentation  
9 on the remaining two patents.

10                  JUDGE BUNTING: All right. Thank you. I'd  
11 like to just go over how we're going to be proceeding  
12 today. Per the December 13, 2016 Order, each party will  
13 have 45 minutes of total time to present its argument. It  
14 will be up to the party how much time is accorded to the  
15 proceeding within the allotted 45 minutes.

16                  Petitioner, you have the burden so you will  
17 proceed first. Then patent owner will argue its opposition  
18 to the petitioner's case. Petitioner, you may reserve  
19 rebuttal time to respond to patent owner's presentation.  
20 Please remember that the remote judges are unable to hear  
21 you unless you do step up to the microphone. And I  
22 understand one party requested use of the ELMO. In order

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.