

U.S. Patent No.: 8,865,921
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

Paper No. ____

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

*E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company and
Archer-Daniels-Midland Company*

Petitioners

v.

FURANIX TECHNOLOGIES B.V.

Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 8,865,921
Issue Date: October 21, 2014

Entitled: METHOD FOR THE PREPARATION OF 2,5-
FURANDICARBOXYLIC ACID AND FOR THE PREPARATION OF
THE DIALKYL ESTER OF 2,5-FURANDICARBOXYLIC ACID

Inter Partes Review No.: Unassigned

PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

I.	Mandatory notices.....	1
A.	Real Parties-In-Interest Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b) (1)	1
B.	Related Matters Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b) (2)	1
C.	Lead and Back-Up Counsel Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b) (3)	1
D.	Service Information Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b) (4)	1
E.	Payment of Fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.15(a) and 42.103	2
II.	Grounds for Standing.....	2
III.	Statement of Precise Relief Requested	2
A.	Identification of Claims Challenged	2
B.	Statutory Grounds and Patents and Printed Publications Relied Upon for Each Ground	2
1.	International Publication No. WO 01/072732 A2 (“the ’732 publication” or “’732”) (Exh. 1002).	3
2.	Partenheimer et al., “Synthesis of 2, 5-Diformylfuran and Furan-2, 5-Dicarboxylic Acid by Catalytic Air-Oxidation of 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural. Unexpectedly Selective Aerobic Oxidation of Benzyl Alcohol to Benzaldehyde with Metal/Bromide Catalysts” (“Partenheimer”) (Exh. 1003).	3
3.	U.S Patent No. 8,558,018 (“the ’018 Patent” or “’018”) (Exh. 1004).	3
4.	Lewkowski, “Synthesis, Chemistry and Applications of 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural and its Derivatives” (“Lewkowski”) (Exh. 1005).	3
5.	Oae et al, “A Study of the Acid Dissociation of Furan- and Thiophenedicarboxylic Acids and of the Alkaline Hydrolysis of Their Methyl Esters” (“Oae”) (Exh. 1006).	3
6.	USSR Patent Publication 448177A1 (“the RU ’177 publication” or “RU ’177”) (citations to Certified English Language Translation) (Exh. 1007).	3

7.	U.S. Patent Publication No. 2008/0103318 (“the ’318 publication” or “’318”) (Exh. 1008).	4
IV.	Specific Claims and Ground for Relief	4
V.	Statement of Reasons for the Requested Relief	5
A.	Brief Summary of Reasons for Relief Requested	5
B.	The ’921 Patent	10
C.	Prosecution History of the ’921 Patent	12
1.	Flaws in PO’s Analysis and Argument	15
D.	Prior Art Admissions by the ’921 Patent	18
E.	Construction of Claims of the ’921 Patent	19
1.	Preamble: “for the preparation of 2,5-furan dicarboxylic acid”	19
2.	Transitional “comprising” and “consisting of”	20
3.	“hydroxymethylfurfural” and “furan based derivative”	22
4.	“an oxygen-containing gas”	24
5.	“an oxidation catalyst comprising both Co and Mn”	24
6.	“a source of bromine”	25
7.	“at an oxygen partial pressure of 1 to 10 bar”	25
8.	“temperature between 140° C. and 200° C”	26
F.	Specific Identification of Where Each Element of the Claims is Disclosed in Prior Art Patents and Printed Publications	26
1.	Claims 1-5 of the ’921 patent are obvious over International Publication WO 2001/072732, either alone or combined with RU ’177 and the ’318 publication.	27
2.	Claims 6 and 10 of the ’921 patent are obvious over the ’732 publication in view of the ’018 patent, and optionally in view of RU ’177 and ’318.	40
3.	Claims 7-9 of the ’921 patent are obvious over the ’732 publication in view of Applicants Admitted Prior Art, or, in addition or in the	

	alternative, Lewkowski and/or Oae, and optionally in view of RU '177 and '318.....	45
4.	Claim 1 is obvious over RU '177	49
5.	Claims 1-4 are obvious over Partenheimer in view of the '732 publication combined with or further in view of the '018 patent	52
VI.	Conclusion	60

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc.</i> , 752 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	16, 50
<i>Crystal Semiconductor Corp. v. Tritech Micro, Inc.</i> , 246 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	20
<i>Grasselli v. Rohm & Haas Co.</i> , 713 F.2d 731 (Fed. Cir. 1983).....	6, 17, 19
<i>In re Aller</i> , 220 F.2d 454 (C.C.P.A. 1955).....	9, 54
<i>In re Boesch</i> (cf. MPEP 716.02(b) III).	14
<i>In re Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC</i> , 2014-1301 (Fed. Cir. Feb. 4, 2015).....	19
<i>In re Lukach</i> , 442 F.2d 967 (C.C.P.A. 1971).....	29
<i>In re Malagari</i> , 499 F.2d 1297 (C.C.P.A. 1974)	34, 38, 56
<i>In re Skoll</i> , 523 F.2d 1392 (C.C.P.A. 1975).....	29
<i>Titanium Metals Corp. of Amer. v Banner</i> , 778 F.2d 775 (Fed. Cir. 1985).....	passim
<i>Vehicular Techs. v Titan Wheel Int’l, Inc.</i> , 212 F.3d 1377 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	20
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C.....	4
35 U.S.C. § 102.....	12, 35, 46
35 U.S.C. § 102(b)	26, 52
35 U.S.C. §§ 102(b) and 102(e).....	3
35 U.S.C. § 102(e)	4
35 U.S.C. § 103.....	2, 38
35 U.S.C. § 112.....	4
35 U.S.C. §§ 311-318	2

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.