

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

COALITION FOR AFFORDABLE DRUGS VIII, LLC

Petitioner,

v.

THE TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA

Patent Owner

Case: IPR2015-01835

Patent No. 8,618,135

**PATENT OWNER'S MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION OF
KEVIN S. PRUSSIA UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)**

I. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to the Board’s “Notice of Filing Date Accorded to Petition and Time for Filing Patent Owner Preliminary Response,” dated September 8, 2015 (Paper No. 3), authorizing the parties to file motions for *pro hac vice* admission under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), Patent Owner The Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania respectfully requests that the Board allow Kevin S. Prussia to appear *pro hac vice* on its behalf in this proceeding. Petitioner has stated that it will not oppose this motion.

II. REASONS THE REQUESTED RELIEF SHOULD BE GRANTED

As set forth in the Statement of Material Facts below, and as required by 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), Patent Owner has demonstrated good cause to admit Mr. Prussia *pro hac vice* in this proceeding. In particular, Patent Owner’s lead counsel is a registered practitioner, and Mr. Prussia is an experienced litigating attorney having an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding.

Furthermore, this motion is being filed more than twenty one days after service of the petition; includes a statement of facts showing good cause for the Board to recognize Mr. Prussia *pro hac vice*; and is being filed concurrently with Exhibit 2308, the Declaration of Kevin S. Prussia in Support of Patent Owner’s Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Kevin S. Prussia (“Prussia Decl.”), all in accordance with the “Order Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission” in

Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC, IPR2013-00639, Paper 7 at 3 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 15, 2013).

III. STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS

1. 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) provides that “[t]he Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. For example, where the lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear *pro hac vice* by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.”
2. William G. James, lead counsel for Patent Owner Trustees of the University of Pennsylvania in this proceeding, is a registered practitioner holding Registration No. 55,931.
3. As set forth in the Prussia Decl., Mr. Prussia is an experienced litigating attorney. Specifically, Mr. Prussia has over 10 years of experience representing clients in patent litigations, in United States district courts and the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. (Prussia Decl., ¶¶ 2, 3). Mr. Prussia has also been admitted *pro hac vice* by the Office in 7 prior matters. (Prussia Decl., ¶ 4).

4. Mr. Prussia also has an established familiarity with the precise subject matter at issue in this proceeding. Mr. Prussia has developed a strong familiarity with the '135 patent, its prosecution history, the general subject matter to which the '135 patent is directed, and the prior art references relied upon by Petitioner in support of its invalidity grounds in this proceeding. (Prussia Decl., ¶ 5).

Additionally, Mr. Prussia has thoroughly reviewed the Petition and accompanying Exhibits submitted in this proceeding. (*Id.*).

5. Mr. Prussia has attested to the each of the requirements set forth in paragraph 2(b)(i)-(viii) of the “Order Authorizing Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission” in Case IPR2013-00639, Paper 7 at 3. (Prussia Decl., ¶¶ 3-10).

IV. CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Patent Owner respectfully submits that the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c) have been satisfied, and requests an Order permitting Kevin S. Prussia to appear *pro hac vice* on its behalf in this proceeding.

Dated: November 21, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

/William G. James/

William G, James (Reg. No. 55,931)

Goodwin Procter LLP

901 New York Avenue NW

Washington, DC 20001

Tel: 202-346-4046

Fax: 202-346-4444

wjames@goodwinprocter.com

*Counsel for Patent Owner Trustees of the
University of Pennsylvania*

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.