

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

WOCKHARDT BIO AG  
Petitioner

v.

JAZZ PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR: IPR2015-01818

---

**MOTION FOR JOINDER UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 315(c)  
AND 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22 AND 42.122(b)**

***Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD"***  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

*Motion for Joinder*  
*Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,668,730*

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	Statement of precise relief requested.....	1
II.	Governing law, rules and precedent .....	2
III.	Statement of material facts .....	4
IV.	Argument .....	5
	A. No new grounds of unpatentability are asserted in this Petition.....	5
	B. Joinder is appropriate under the governing law, rules, and precedent .....	6
	C. Joinder will have at most a minimal impact on the trial schedule and costs for the existing IPR.....	8
	D. Procedures to simplify briefing and discovery.....	9
V.	Conclusion .....	11

***Motion for Joinder  
Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,668,730***

Wockhardt Bio AG (“Wockhardt”) moves for joinder of the accompanying *Inter Partes* Review (“IPR”) Petition filed today, *Wockhardt Bio AG v. Jazz Pharms., Inc.*, Case No. IPR2015-01818, with *Amneal Pharms., LLC, et al. v. Jazz Pharms., Inc.*, Case No. IPR2015-00554, for at least the following reasons: (1) joinder is appropriate under the governing law, rules, and precedent of this Board; (2) this Motion for Joinder is timely filed; (3) the two proceedings concern the same parties, same patent, and same prior art; (4) Wockhardt relies in whole on the same evidence and same declaration testimony in both proceedings; (5) joinder would neither complicate the issues nor unduly delay the existing schedule of IPR2015-00554; (6) joinder would significantly simplify briefing and discovery in the two IPRs, and will have no impact on the existing schedule; and (7) joinder will not prejudice any party. Finally, joinder here will secure a just, speedy, and inexpensive resolution in both proceedings, more so than in the absence of joinder, by avoiding having the Board preside over two separate proceedings involving identical and duplicative filings and reviews of the same issues.

**I. Statement of precise relief requested**

Wockhardt requests joinder under 35 U.S.C. § 315(c) and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22 and 42.122(b) of the concurrently-filed petition for IPR of claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 7,668,730 (“the ’730 patent”) with the related and instituted IPR, *Amneal Pharms., LLC, et al. v. Jazz Pharms., Inc.*, Case No. IPR2015-00547 (“the

*Motion for Joinder  
Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,668,730*

Amneal/Par IPR”).<sup>1</sup>

Wockhardt notified counsel for each of Petitioners Amneal Pharmaceuticals, LLC (“Amneal”) and Par Pharmaceutical, Inc. (“Par”) and Patent Owner Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“Jazz”) in the earlier Amneal/Par IPR of this Motion, requesting their consent for Joinder, but to date all three parties have refused consent and did not provide any reasons for their refusal.

## **II. Governing law, rules and precedent**

Title 35 U.S.C. § 315(c) states:

If the Director institutes an inter partes review, the Director, in his or her discretion, may join as a party to that inter partes review any person who properly files a petition under section 311 that the Director, after receiving a preliminary response under section 313 or the expiration of the time for filing such a response, determines warrants the institution of an inter partes review under section 314.

---

<sup>1</sup> Wockhardt is concurrently filing similar motions for joinder along with five other petitions for IPR of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,765,106; 7,765,107; 7,895,059; 8,457,988; and 8,589,182, which are related to the ’730 patent and for which IPR was instituted based on the same prior art presented in the Amneal/Par IPR Petition. As such, granting joinder will simplify the issues across multiple proceedings.

***Motion for Joinder  
Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,668,730***

Title 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b) states:

Joinder may be requested by a patent owner or petitioner. Any request for joinder must be filed, as a motion under §42.22, no later than one month after the institution date of any *inter partes* review for which joinder is requested. The time period set forth in §42.101(b) shall not apply when the petition is accompanied by a request for joinder.

The Board has repeatedly allowed joinder of IPR proceedings when a second petition raises the same ground(s) of unpatentability as those instituted in a first proceeding. *See, e.g., Mylan Pharms. Inc. v. Novartis AG, et al.*, IPR2015-00268, Paper 17 (PTAB Apr. 10, 2015); *Apple, Inc. v. Smartflash LLC*, CBM2015-00119, Paper 11 (PTAB Aug. 6, 2015); *LG Elec., Inc. v. Innovative Display Techs. LLC*, IPR2015-00493, Paper 10 (July 15, 2015); *Cisco Sys., Inc., et al. v. Straight Path IP Grp., Inc.*, IPR2015-01006, Paper 12 (PTAB June 5, 2015).

Indeed, there is a “policy preference for joining a party that does not present new issues that might complicate or delay an existing proceeding.” *See Dell Inc. v. Network-1 Sec. Solutions, Inc.*, IPR2013-00385, Paper 17 at 10 (PTAB July 29, 2013) (citing 157 CONG. REC. S1376 (daily ed. Mar. 8, 2011) (statement of Sen. Kyl) (“The Office anticipates that joinder will be allowed as of right – if an *inter partes* review is instituted on the basis of a petition, for example, a party that files an *identical petition* will be joined to that proceeding, and thus allowed to file its

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.