Paper No. _____ Filed: December 11, 2015

Filed on behalf of: Insys Pharma, Inc

By: Gerald J. Flattmann (CFAD-Insys@paulhastings.com)
Naveen Modi (CFAD-Insys@paulhastings.com)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

COALITION FOR AFFORDABLE DRUGS XI LLC,
Petitioner

v.

INSYS PHARMA, INC.,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-01799
Patent 8,835,460

Patent Owner's Preliminary Response to Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,835,460



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page	
I.	Intro	duction1	
II.	Background2		
III.	The Board Should Exercise Its Discretion Under 35 U.S.C. § 325(d)		
	A.	Substantially the Same Information as That Contained in Ross_GB (Ex. 1003) Was Considered by the Office	
	B.	The '496 Publication (Ex. 1005) Was Considered by the Office10	
	C.	The '150 Patent (Ex. 1007) Was Considered by the Office11	
	D.	CFAD's Newly Presented References Are Deficient12	
	E.	Conclusion	
IV.	CFA.	D Advances Flawed Obviousness Analyses	
	A.	Ground 1: Claims 1, 4, and 5 Are Not Obvious Over Ross_GB (Ex. 1003) and the '496 Publication (Ex. 1005)14	
	1.	CFAD Fails to Explain How the References Disclose or Suggest the Features of Claims 1, 4, and 5	
	2.	CFAD Fails to Explain Why One of Ordinary Skill Would Have Combined the Asserted References	
	В.	Ground 2: Claims 2 and 3 Are Not Obvious Over Ross_GB (Ex. 1003), the '496 Publication (Ex. 1005), and the '862 Patent (Ex. 1004)	
	1.	CFAD Fails to Explain How the References Disclose or Suggest the Features of Claims 2 and 3	
	2.	CFAD Fails to Explain Why One of Ordinary Skill Would Have Combined the Asserted References	
	3.	CFAD Resorts to Non-Analogous Art and Conclusory Allegations of Obviousness	



TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

			Page
	C.	Ground 3: Claims 1, 4, and 5 Are Not Obvious Over Ross_GB (Ex. 1003) and the '150 Patent (Ex. 1007)	37
	D.	Ground 4: Claims 2 and 3 Are Not Obvious Over Ross_GB (Ex. 1003), the '150 Patent (Ex. 1007), and the '862 Patent (Ex.	
	E.	CFAD's Grounds Rely on Improper Hindsight	42
	F.	CFAD Fails to Adequately Address Evidence of Secondary Considerations	43
	1.	Commercial Success	44
	2.	Failure of Others	45
	3.	Long-Felt Need	46
	4.	Skepticism	47
	5.	Unexpected Results	48
V.	CFAI	O Advances Flawed Anticipation Analyses	49
	A.	Ground 5: Claims 1, 4, and 5 Are Not Anticipated by the '496 Publication (Ex. 1005)	50
VI.	CFAI	D's Claim Construction Analysis Is Deficient	51
VII.	CFAI	O Advances Redundant Grounds of Rejection	53
VIII.	Conc	lusion	56



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
Apple Inc. v. ITC, 725 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	43
Ariosa Diagnostics v. Verinata Health, Inc., IPR2013-00276, Paper 43 (Oct. 23, 2014)	41
<i>In re Baxter Travenol Labs.</i> , 952 F.2d 388 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	49
Cheese Sys., Inc. v. Tetra Pak Cheese & Powder Sys., Inc., 725 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	42
Circuit Check Inc. v. QXQ Inc., 795 F.3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	33
In re Cyclobenzaprine Hydrochloride Extended-Release Capsule Patent Litig., 676 F.3d 1063 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	13
Excelsior Med. Corp. v. Lake, IPR2013-00494, Paper 10 (Feb. 6, 2014)	4
<i>In re Fay</i> , 347 F.2d 597 (C.C.P.A. 1965)	36
Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kan. City, 383 U.S. 1 (1966)	13
Helifix Ltd. v. Blok-Lok, Ltd., 208 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	49
Hulu LLC v. Intertainer, Inc., IPR2014-01456, Paper 8 (Mar. 6, 2015)	4
Intri-Plex Techs., Inc. v. Saint-Gobain Performance Plastics Rencol Ltd., IPR2014-00309, Paper 83 (Mar. 23, 2015)	13, 43



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (continued)

	Page(s)
Jiawei Tech. (HK) Ltd. et al. v. Richmond, IPR2014-00938, Paper 20 (Dec. 16, 2014)	51, 52
<i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	14
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	13, 14, 32
Leo Pharm. Prods., Ltd. v. Rea, 726 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	36
Liberty Mut. Ins. Co. v. Progressive Cas. Ins. Co., CBM2012-00003, Paper 7 (Oct. 25, 2012)	54, 55
Metrics, Inc. et al. v. Senju Pharm. Co., Ltd., et al., IPR2014-01041, Paper 19 (Feb. 19, 2015)	41
Monarch Knitting Mach. Corp. v. Sulzer Moral GmbH, 139 F.3d 877 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	47
Motorola, Inc. v. Interdigital Tech. Corp., 121 F.3d 1461 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	49
Ortho-McNeil Pharm., Inc. v. Mylan Labs., Inc., 520 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	35, 48
Panduit Corp. v. Dennison Mfg. Co., 774 F.2d 1082 (Fed. Cir. 1985)	45
Pfizer, Inc. v. Apotex, Inc., 480 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	35, 36
Prism Pharma Co. v. Choogwae Pharma Corp., IPR2014-00315, Paper 14 (July 8, 2014)	4
Schering Corp. v. Geneva Pharm., Inc., 339 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	49



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

