

(c) Case IIb: $n \times \text{THT} < (\text{TRT4/2})$

FIGURE 5.14 (Cont.)

uses up all of that time and no class 0 data can be transmitted. Further increase in offered load results in renewed increase in the token circulation time. The same pattern repeats for class 2 and class 4 data. There is a period when the load increases at a constant token circulation time of TRT2, and during that period, class 2 data are gradually crowded out. Class 4 data are similarly crowded out at a higher level of load. Finally,

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 20

Ex. 1011 (Part II)

a situation is reached in which only class 6 data are being transmitted, and the token circulation time stabilizes at $n \times \text{THT}$.

For the second case just mentioned ($n \times \text{THT} < \text{TRT4}$), we need to examine two subcases. Figure 5.14b shows the case in which $\frac{\text{TRT4}}{2} < 10^{-10}$

 $(n \times \text{THT}) < \text{TRT4}$. As before, with increasing load, class 0 and class 2 traffic are eliminated and the token circulation time increases. At some point, the increasing load drives the token circulation time to TRT4. Using our simple example, when this point is reached, approximately half of the load is class 4 data and the other half is class 6. But, since $n \times \text{TRT4}$

THT > $\frac{\text{TRT4}}{2}$, if the load on the network continues to increase, the por-

tion of the load that is class 6 traffic will also increase. This will cause a corresponding decrease in class 4 traffic. Eventually, a point is reached at which all of the allowable class 6 traffic is being handled during each token circulation. This will take an amount of time $n \times$ THT and still leave some time left over for class 4 data. Thereafter, the total token circulation time remains stable at TRT4.

Finally, Figure 5.14c shows the case in which $n \times \text{THT} < \frac{\text{TRT4}}{2}$. As

before, increasing load eliminates class 0 and class 2 traffic. A point is reached at which the token circulation time is $2 \times n \times \text{THT}$, with half of the traffic being class 4 and half being class 6. This is a maximum throughput-per-token-circulation for class 6. However, the amount of class 4 data can continue to increase until the token circulation time is TRT4.

Figure 5.15 is a simplified example of a 4-station logical ring with THT = 610 and TRT4 = TRT2 = TRT0 = 1600. Time is measured in *octet times*. Station 9 always transmits three class 6 frames of 128 octets each. Stations 7 and 5 send as many lower-priority frames as possible, of lengths 400 and 356 octets, respectively. Station 1 transmits class 6 frames of 305 octets each. Initially, Station 1 has two frames to transmit each time it gets the token, and later has only one frame to send per token possession. We assume that the time to pass the token is 19 octet times. In the figure, there are two columns of numbers under each station. The value in the left-hand column is the token circulation time observed at that station for the previous rotation of the token. The right-hand value is the number of frames that station transmits. Each row represents one rotation of the token.

The example begins after a period during which no data frames have been sent, so that the token has been rotating as rapidly as possible; thus each station measures a token circulation time of 76. In the first rotation, Station 9 transmits all of its class 6 frames. When Station 7 receives the token, it measures a rotation time of 460 since it last received



FIGURE 5.15 Operation of a Multiclass Token Bus Protocol

the token ($3^{*}128 + 4^{*}19$). Thus it is able to send three of its frames before its TRT is exhausted. Station 5 measures a rotation time of 1660 ($3^{*}400 + 3^{*}128 + 4^{*}19$) and thus is prevented from sending any data. Finally, Station 1 sends two class 6 frames.

Note that rotations 5 through 7 repeat rotations 2 through 4, showing a stable bandwidth allocation: Stations 1 and 9 use 69% of the bandwidth for class 6 data and Stations 5 and 7 share equally the remaining bandwidth for lower-priority data. Starting on the eighth rotation, Station 1 reduces it use of the LAN. This reduces the bandwidth used for class 6 data to 52%, and lower-priority data are allowed to fill in the unused bandwidth.

CSMA/CD versus Token Bus

At present, CSMA/CD and token bus are the two principal contenders for medium access control technique on bus/tree topologies. Table 5.7 attempts to summarize the pros and cons of the two techniques. A brief discussion follows.

Let us look at CSMA/CD first. On the positive side, the algorithm is simple; good news for the VLSI folks, and also good news for the user, in terms of cost and reliability. The protocol has been widely used for a long time, which also leads to favorable cost and reliability. The protocol provides fair access—all stations have an equal chance at the bandwidth; good if you require only fair access. As we shall see in Chapter 9, CSMA/CD exhibits quite good delay and throughput performance, at least up to a certain load, around 5 Mbps under some typical conditions.

TABLE 5.7 CSMA/CD versus Token Bus

Advantages	Disadvantages
CSM	IA/CD
Simple algorithm	Colision detection requirement
Widely used	Fault diagnosis problems
Fair access	Minimum packet size
Good performance at low to medium load	Poor performance under very heavy load
	Biased to long transmissions
Toke	en Bus
Excellent throughput performance	Complex algorithm
Tolerates large dynamic range	Unproven technology
Regulated access	

There are, unfortunately, quite a few "cons" for CSMA/CD. From an engineering perspective, the most critical problem is the collision detection requirement. In order to detect collisions, the differences in signal strength from any pair of stations at any point on the cable must be small; this is no easy task to achieve. Other undesirable implications flow from the CD requirement. Since collisions are allowed, it is difficult for diagnostic equipment to distinguish expected errors from those induced by noise or faults. Also, CD imposes a minimum frame size, which is wasteful of bandwidth in situations where there are a lot of short messages, such as may be produced in highly interactive environments.

There are some performance problems as well. For certain data rates and frame sizes, CSMA/CD performs poorly as load increases. Also, the protocol is biased toward long transmissions.

For token bus, perhaps its greatest positive feature is its excellent throughput performance. Throughput increases as the data rate increases and levels off but does not decline as the medium saturates. Further, this performance does not degrade as the cable length increases. A second "pro" for token bus is that, because stations need not detect collisions, a rather large dynamic range is possible. All that is required is that each station's signal be strong enough to be heard at all points on the cable; there are no special requirements related to relative signal strength.

Another strength of token bus is that access to the medium can be regulated. If fair access is desired, token bus can provide this as well as CSMA/CD. Indeed, at high loads, token bus may be fairer; it avoids the last-in, first-out phenomenon mentioned earlier. If priorities are required, as they may be in an operational or real-time environment, these can be accommodated. Token bus can also guarantee a certain bandwidth; this may be necessary for certain types of data, such as voice, digital video, and telemetry.

An advertised advantage of token bus is that it is deterministic; that is, there is a known upper bound to the amount of time any station must wait before transmitting. This upper bound is known because each station in the logical ring can hold the token only for a specified time. In contrast, with CSMA/CD, the delay time can be expressed only statistically. Furthermore, since every attempt to transmit under CSMA/CD can in principle produce a collision, there is a possibility that a station could be shut out indefinitely. For process control and other real-time applications, this nondeterministic behavior is undesirable. Alas, in the real world, there is always a finite possibility of transmission error, which can cause a lost token. This adds a statistical component to token bus.

The main disadvantage of token bus is its complexity. The reader who made it through the description above can have no doubt that this is a complex algorithm. A second disadvantage is the overhead involved. Under lightly loaded conditions, a station may have to wait through many fruitless token passes for a turn.

Which to choose? That is left as an exercise to the reader, based on requirements and the relative costs prevailing at the time. The decision is also influenced by the baseband versus broadband debate. Both must be considered together when comparing vendors.

Centralized Reservation

The CSMA/CD technique was developed to deal with bursty traffic, such as is typically produced in interactive applications (query response, data entry, transactions). In this environment, stations are not transmitting most of the time; hence, a station with data to transmit can generally seize the channel quickly and with a minimum of fuss. Token bus, on the other hand, incurs the overhead of passing the token from one idle station to another.

For applications that have a stream rather then bursty nature (file transfer, audio, facsimile), token bus can perform quite well, especially if some priority scheme is used. If the collective load is great enough, CSMA/CD has difficulty keeping up with this kind of demand.

A number of schemes have been proposed, based on the use of reservations, that appear to offer the strengths of both CSMA/CD and token bus. In this section we look at a technique that requires centralized control. This is a likely candidate for a broadband system, with the control function performed at the headend. In Chapter 6 we will examine a decentralized control technique specifically designed for the high data rates of HSLNs.

The centralized scheme described in this section was developed by AMDAX for its broadband LAN [KARP82]. (Other centralized reservation schemes for bus systems have been described in [WILL73] and [MARK78].) Fixed-size frames of 512 bits are used, of which 72 are overhead bits. Time is organized into cycles, each cycle consisting of a set of equal-size time slots, and each time slot is sufficient for transmitting one frame. At the conclusion of one cycle, another cycle begins. The central controller at the headend may allocate slot or frame positions, within one or more future cycles, to particular stations. Frame positions not assigned to any station are referred to as *unallocated frames*. All stations must remain informed as to which frames are allocated to them and which are unallocated.

From the point of view of the station, communication is as follows. If a station has a small message to send, one that will fit in a single frame, it sends it in the next available unallocated frame on the inbound channel. The frame contains the message, source and destination addresses, and control information indicating that this is a data frame. Because the frame position used by the station is unallocated, it may also be used by another station, causing a collision. Hence the transmitting station must listen to the outbound channel for its transmission. If the station does not see its frame within a short defined time, it continues to send the frame at random times until it gets through.

To send messages too big to fit into a single frame, a station may reserve time on the bus. It does this by sending a reservation request to the central controller on the inbound channel. The request uses an unallocated frame and contains an indication that this is a request frame, the source address, and the number of frames to be sent. The station then listens to the outbound channel a short defined time, expecting to get a reservation confirmation frame containing its address and the number and order of frames in future cycles it has been allocated (if the line is too heavily loaded, it may not get all the bandwidth requested). When confirmation is received, the station may transmit its data in the frames allocated to it. If confirmation is not received, the station assumes that its reservation suffered a collision and tries again.

From the point of view of the central controller, communication is as follows. Frames are received one at a time on the inbound channel. Allocated frames are repeated on the outbound channel with no further processing. Unallocated frames must be examined. If the frame is garbled or contains an error, it is ignored. If it is a valid data frame, it is repeated on the outbound channel. If it is a valid reservation frame, the controller fills the reservation within the limits of its available frames in future cycles and sends a confirmation.

It should be clear that this technique exhibits the strengths of both CSMA/CD and token bus. Its principal disadvantage is that it requires a

rather complex central controller, with the attendant reliability problems.

5.4

MEDIUM ACCESS CONTROL—RING

Over the years, a number of different algorithms have been proposed for controlling access to the ring. The three most common access techniques are discussed in this section: register insertion, slotted ring, and token ring. The first two will be briefly described; the token ring is discussed in some detail, as this is now an IEEE 802 standard.

Table 5.8 compares these three methods on a number of characteristics:

- *Transmit opportunity:* When may a repeater insert a packet onto the ring?
- *Packet purge responsibility:* Who removes a packet from a ring to avoid its circulating indefinitely?
- *Number of packets on ring:* This depends not only on the bit length of the ring relative to the relative packet length, but on the access method.
- Principle advantage.
- Principal disadvantage.

The significance of the table entries will become clear as the discussion proceeds.

Characteristic	Register Insertion	Slotted Ring	Token Ring
Transmit opportunity	Idle state plus empty buffer	Empty slot	Token
Packet purge responsibility	Receiver or transmitter	Transmitter	Transmitter
Number of packets on ring	Multiple	Multiple	One
Principal advantage	Maximum ring utilization	Simplicity	Regulated/fair access
Principal disadvantage	Purge mechanism	Bandwidth waste	Token maintenance

TABLE 5.8 Ring Access Methods

193

Token Ring

Token ring is probably the oldest ring control technique, originally proposed in 1969 [FARM69] and referred to as the *Newhall ring*. It has become the most popular ring access technique in the United States. This technique is the one ring access method selected for standardization by the IEEE 802 Local Network Standards Committee [IEEE89b].

Description. The token ring technique is based on the use of a small frame, called a *token*, that circulates around the ring when all stations are idle. A station wishing to transmit must wait until it detects a token passing by. It then seizes the token by changing one bit in the token, which transforms it from a token to a start-of-frame sequence for a frame. The station then appends and transmits the remainder of the fields needed to construct a frame (Figure 5.16).

There is now no token on the ring, so other stations wishing to transmit must wait. The frame on the ring will make a round trip and be purged by the transmitting station. The transmitting station inserts a new token on the ring when both of the following conditions have been met:

- The station has completed transmission of its frame.
- The leading edge of its transmitted frame has returned (after a complete circulation of the ring) to the station.

If the bit length of the ring is less than the frame length, the first condition implies the second. If not, a station could release a free token after it has finished transmitting but before it begins to receive its own transmission; the second condition is not strictly necessary. However, use of the first condition alone might complicate error recovery, since several frames may be on the ring at the same time. In any case, the use of a token guarantees that only one station at a time may transmit.

When a transmitting station releases a new free token, the next station downstream with data to send will be able to seize the token and transmit.

Several implications of the token ring technique can be mentioned. Note that under lightly loaded conditions, there is some inefficiency since a station must wait for the token to come around before transmitting. However, under heavy loads, which is where it matters, the ring functions in a round-robin fashion, which is both efficient and fair. To see this, refer to Figure 5.16. Note that after station A transmits, it releases a token. The first station with an opportunity to transmit is D. If D transmits, it then releases a token and C has the next opportunity, and so on. Finally, the ring must be long enough to hold the token. If



FIGURE 5.16 Token Ring

stations are temporarily bypassed, their delay may need to be supplied artificially.

The principal advantage of token ring is the control over access that it provides. In the simple scheme described above, the access is fair. As we shall see, schemes can be used to regulate access to provide for priority and guaranteed bandwidth services.

The principal disadvantage of token ring is the requirement for token maintenance. Loss of the free token prevents further utilization of the ring. Duplication of the token can also disrupt ring operation. One sta-

inger er er

195

1

(j.

tion must be elected monitor to assure that exactly one token is on the ring and to reinsert a free token if necessary.

IEEE 802 Token Ring. The IEEE 802 token ring specification is a refinement of the scheme just outlined. The key elements are as follows:

- 1. *Single-token protocol:* A station that has completed transmission will not issue a new token until the busy token returns. This is not as efficient for small frames as a multiple-token strategy of issuing a free token at the end of a frame. However, the single-token system simplifies priority and error-recovery functions.
- 2. *Priority bits:* These indicate the priority of a token and therefore which stations are allowed to use the token. In a multiple-priority scheme, priorities may be set by station or by message.
- 3. Monitor bit: Used by the ring monitor, as explained below.
- 4. *Reservation indicators:* They may be used to allow stations with high-priority messages to request in a frame that the next token be issued at the requested priority.
- 5. *Token-holding timer:* Started at the beginning of data transfer, it controls the length of time a station may occupy the medium before transmitting a token.
- 6. *Acknowledgment bits:* There are three: error detected (E), address recognized (A), and frame copied (C). These are set to 0 by the transmitting station. Any station may set the E bit. Addressed stations may set the A and C bits.

Figure 5.2 shows the two frame formats for token ring. The individual fields are as follows:

- Starting delimiter (SD): a unique 8-bit pattern used to start each frame.
- Access control (AC): has the format PPPTMRRR, where PPP and RRR are 3-bit priority and reservation variables, M is the monitor bit, and T indicates whether this is a token or data frame. In the case of a token frame, the only additional field is ED.
- *Frame control (FC):* indicates whether this is an LLC data frame. If not, bits in this field control operation of the token ring MAC protocol.
- Destination address (DA): as in CSMA/CD and token bus.
- *Source address (SA):* as in CSMA/CD and token bus.
- *LLC:* as in CSMA/CD and token bus.
- *FCS:* as in CSMA/CD and token bus.
- *Ending delimiter (ED):* contains the error detection (E) bit and the intermediate frame (I) bit. The I bit is used to indicate that this is a frame other than the final one of a multiple-frame transmission.

12942-042

• *Frame status (FS):* contains the address recognized (A) and frame copied (C) bits.

Let us first consider the operation of the ring when only a single priority is used. In this case, the priority and reservation bits are not used. A station wishing to transmit waits until a free token goes by, as indicated by a token bit of 0 in the AC field. The station seizes the token by setting the token bit to 1. The SD and AC fields of the received token now function as the first two fields of a data frame. It then transmits one or more frames, continuing until either its output is exhausted or its token-holding timer expires. When the AC field of the last transmitted frame returns, the station transmits a free token by setting the token bit to 0 and appending an ED field.

Stations in the receive mode listen to the ring. Each station can check passing frames for errors and set the E bit if an error is detected. If a station detects its own address, it sets the A bit to 1; it may also copy the frame, setting the C bit to 1. This allows the originating station to differentiate three conditions:

- Station nonexistent/nonactive
- Station exists but frame not copied
- Frame copied

Token Ring Priority. The 802.5 standard includes a specification for an optional priority mechanism. Eight levels of priority are supported by providing two 3-bit fields in each data frame and token: a priority field and a reservation field. To explain the algorithm, let us define the following variables:

- P_f = priority of frame to be transmitted by station
- P_s = service priority: priority of current token
- P_r = value of P_s as contained in the last
 - token received by this station
- R_s = reservation value in current token
- R_r = highest reservation in the frames received by this station during the last token rotation

The scheme works as follows:

- 1. A station wishing to transmit must wait for a token with $P_s \leq P_f$.
- 2. While waiting, a station may reserve a future token at its priority level (P_j) . If a data frame goes by, and if the reservation field is less than its priority $(R_s < P_j)$, then the station may set the reservation field of the frame to its priority $(R_s \leftarrow P_j)$. If a token frame goes by, and if $(R_s < P_f \text{ AND } P_f < P_s)$, then the station sets the reservation

field of the frame to its priority $(R_s \leftarrow P_t)$. This has the effect of preempting any lower-priority reservation.

- 3. When a station seizes a token, it sets the token bit to 1 to start a data frame, sets the reservation field of the data frame to 0, and leaves the priority field unchanged (the same as that of the incoming token frame).
- 4. Following transmission of one or more data frames, a station issues a new token with the priority and reservation fields set as indicated in Table 5.9.

The effect of the above steps is to sort the competing claims and allow the waiting transmission of highest priority to seize the token as soon as possible. A moment's reflection reveals that, as stated, the algorithm has a ratchet effect on priority, driving it to the highest used level and keeping it there. To avoid this, a station that raises the priority (issues a

Priority Scheme [VALE92]	
Conditions	Actions
Frame available AND $P_s \le P_f$	Send frame
(Frame not available OR TUT avaired)	

TABLE 5.9 Actions Performed by the Token Holder to Implement the

Conditions	Actions
Frame available AND $P_s \le P_f$	Send frame
(Frame not available OR THT expired) AND $P_r \ge MAX [R_r, P_f]$	Send token with: $P_s \leftarrow Pf$ $Rs \leftarrow MAX [R_r, P_f]$
(Frame not available OR THT expired) AND $\dot{P}_r < MAX \; [R_r, \; P_f]$ AND $P_r > S_x$	$\begin{array}{l} \text{Send token with:} \\ P_{s} \leftarrow MAX \; [R_{f}, P_{f}] \\ Rs \leftarrow 0 \\ Push \; S_{\mathsf{f}} \leftarrow P_{\mathsf{r}} \\ Push \; S_{\mathsf{x}} \leftarrow P_{\mathsf{s}} \end{array}$
(Frame not available OR THT expired) AND $P_r < MAX [R_r, P_f]$ AND $P_r = S_x$	Send token with: $P_s \leftarrow MAX [R_r, P_f]$ $Rs \leftarrow 0$ $Pop S_x$ $Push S_x \leftarrow P_s$
(Frame not available OR (Frame available and $P_f < S_x$)) AND $P_s = S_x$ AND $R_r > S_r$	Send token with: $P_s \leftarrow R_r$ $R_s \leftarrow 0$ Pop S _x Push S _x ← P _s
(Frame not available OR (Frame available and $P_f < S_x$)) AND $P_s = S_x$ AND $R_r \le S_r$	Send token with: $P_s \leftarrow R_r$ $R_s \leftarrow 0$ Pop S _r Pop S _x



token that has a higher priority than the token that it received) has the responsibility of later lowering the priority to its previous level. Therefore, a station that raises priority must remember both the old and the new priorities and downgrade the priority of the token at the appropriate time. In essence, each station is responsible for assuring that no token circulates indefinitely because its priority is too high. By remembering the priority of earlier transmissions, a station can detect this condition and downgrade the priority to a previous, lower priority or reservation.

To implement the downgrading mechanism, two stacks are maintained by each station, one for reservations and one for priorities:

 S_x = stack used to store new values of token priority

 S_r = stack used to store old values of token priority

The reason that stacks rather than scalar variables are required is that the priority can be raised a number of times by one or more stations. The successive raises must be unwound in the reverse order.

To summarize, a station having a higher-priority frame to transmit than the current frame can reserve the next token for its priority level as the frame passes by. When the next token is issued, it will be at the reserved priority level. Stations of lower priority cannot seize the token, so it passes to the reserving station or an intermediate station with data to send of equal or higher priority than the reserved priority level. The station that upgraded the priority level is responsible for downgrading it to its former level when all higher-priority stations are finished. When that station sees a token at the higher priority, it can assume that there is no more higher-priority traffic waiting, and it downgrades the token before passing it on. Figure 5.17 is an example of the operation of the priority mechanism.

Token Maintenance. To overcome various error conditions, one station is designated as the active monitor. The active monitor periodically issues an active-monitor-present control frame to assure other stations that there is an active monitor on the ring. To detect a lost token condition, the monitor uses a valid frame timer that is greater than the time required to completely traverse the ring. The timer is reset after every valid token or data frame. If the timer expires, the monitor issues a token. To detect a persistently circulating data frame, the monitor sets a monitor bit to 1 on any passing data frame the first time it goes by. If it sees a data frame with the monitor bit already set, it knows that the transmitting station failed to absorb the frame. The monitor absorbs the frame and transmits a token. The same strategy is used to detect a failure in the priority mechanism: no token should circulate completely around the ring at a constant nonzero priority level. Finally, if the active



FIGURE 5.17 Token Ring Priority Scheme

monitor detects evidence of another active monitor, it immediately goes into standby monitor status.

In addition, all of the active stations on the ring cooperate to provide each station with a continuous update on the identity of its upstream neighbor. Each station periodically issues a standby-monitor-present (SMP) frame. Its downstream neighbor absorbs this frame, notes its ending address, and after a pause, sends its own SMP frame. The absence of SMP frames can be used in fault isolation.





Register Insertion

ومتوارث فبأره

This strategy was originally proposed in [HAFN74] and has been developed by researchers at Ohio State University [REAM75, LIU78]. It is also the technique used in the IBM Series 1 product [IBM82] and a Swiss product called SILK [HUBE83]. It derives its name from the shift register associated with each node on the ring. The shift register, equal in size to the maximum frame length, is used for temporarily holding frames

. • that circulate past the node. In addition, the node has a buffer for storing locally produced frames.

The register insertion ring can be explained with reference to Figure 5.18, which shows the shift register and buffer at one node. First consider the case in which the station has no data to send, but is merely handling frames of data that circulate by its position. When the ring is idle, the input pointer points to the rightmost position of the shift register, indicating that it is empty. When data arrive from the ring, they are inserted bit by bit in the shift register, with the input pointer shifting left for each bit. The frame begins with an address field. As soon as the entire address field is in the register, the station can determine if it is the addressee. If not, the frame is forwarded by shifting one bit out on the right as each new bit arrives from the left, with the input pointer stationary. After the last bit of the frame has arrived, the station continues to shift bits out to the right until the frame is gone. If, during this time, no additional frames arrive, the input pointer will return to its initial position. Otherwise, a second frame will begin to accumulate in the register as the first is shifted out.

Two observations are in order. First, the last few sentences imply that more than one frame may be on the ring at a time. How this can be is described below. Second, picture a series of frames, with gaps in between, passing a station. The effect of the actions described in the preceding paragraph is to compress the gaps between the earlier arrivers and stretch them out for later arrivers. As we shall see, the widening gaps provide an opportunity for new frames to be inserted into the ring.

Returning to the main line of our discussion: If an arriving frame is addressed to the station in question, the station has two choices. First, it can divert the remainder of the frame to itself and erase the address bits from the register, thus purging the frame from the ring. This is the





approach taken by IBM. A moment's thought will reveal that such a strategy can result in a total bandwidth utilization that at times exceeds actual bit transmission rate. However, this may be false economy since, if the receiver rather than the transmitter purges the ring, some other means of acknowledgment must be employed, thus wasting bandwidth. The second alternative is to retransmit the frame as before, while copying it to the local station.

Now consider the case in which the station has data to transmit. A frame to be transmitted is placed in the output buffer. If the line is idle and the shift register is empty, the frame can be transferred immediately to the shift register. If the frame consists of some length n bits, less than the maximum frame size, and if at least n bits are empty in the shift register, the n bits are parallel-transferred to the empty portion of the shift register immediately adjacent to the full portion; the input pointer is adjusted accordingly.

We can see that there is a delay at each station, whose minimum value is the length of the address field and whose maximum value is the length of the shift register. This is in contrast to slotted ring and token ring, where the delay at each station is just the repeater delay—typically one or two bit times. To get a feeling for the effect, consider a station transmitting a 1000-bit frame on a 10-Mbps register insertion ring. The time it takes the station to transmit the frame is $1000/10^7 = 0.10$ ms. If the frame must pass 50 stations to reach its destination and if the address field is 16 bits, then the minimum delay, exclusive of propagation time, is $(16 \times 50)/10^7 = 0.08$ ms. This is a substantial delay compared to transmission time. Worse, if each station has a 1000-bit shift register, the maximum delay the frame could experience is $(1000 \times 50)/10^7 = 5$ ms.

The register insertion technique enforces an efficient form of fairness. As long as the ring is idle, a station with a lot of data to be sent can send frame after frame, utilizing the entire bandwidth of the ring. If the ring is busy, however, a station will find that, after sending a frame, the shift register will not accommodate another frame right away. The station will have to wait until enough intermessage gaps have accumulated before sending again. As a refinement, certain high-priority nodes can be given shift registers whose length is greater than the minimum shift register length (which is equal to the maximum frame length).

The principal advantage of the register insertion technique is that it achieves the maximum ring utilization of any of the methods. There are several other favorable features. Like the token system, it allows variable-length frames, which is efficient from the point of view of both the stations and the ring. Like the slotted ring, it permits multiple frames to be on the ring—again, an efficient use of bandwidth.

The principal disadvantage is the purge mechanism. Allowing multiple frames on the ring requires the recognition of an address prior to removal of a frame, whether it be removed by sender or receiver. If a frame's address field is damaged, it could circulate indefinitely. One possible solution is the use of an error-detecting code on the address field; IBM's Series 1 employs a parity bit. The requirement for address field recognition also dictates that each frame be delayed at each node by the length of that field. No such requirement exists in the other two methods.

Slotted Ring

For the slotted ring (Figure 5.19), a number of fixed-length slots circulate continuously on the ring. This strategy was first developed by Pierce [PIER72] and is sometimes referred to as the *Pierce loop*. Most of the development work on this technique was done at the University of Cambridge in England [HOPP83], and a number of British firms market commercial versions of the *Cambridge ring* [HEYW81]. The Cambridge ring is the basis for an ISO standard for slotted ring (ISO 8802-7).

In the slotted ring, each slot contains a leading bit to designate the slot as empty or full. All slots are initially marked empty. A station with data to transmit must break the data up into fixed-length frames. It then waits until an empty slot arrives, marks the slot full, and inserts a frame of data as the slot goes by. The station cannot transmit another frame until this slot returns. The full slot makes a complete round-trip, to be marked empty again by the source. Each station knows the total number of slots on the ring and can thus clear the appropriate full/empty bit as it goes by. Once the now-empty slot goes by, the station is free to transmit again.



FIGURE 5.19 Slotted Ring

In the Cambridge ring, each slot contains room for one source address byte, one destination address byte, two, four, six, or eight data bytes, and five control bits, for a total length of 40, 56, 72, or 88 bits.

The Cambridge ring contains several interesting features. A station may decide that it wishes to receive data from only one other station. To accomplish this, each station includes a source select register. When this register contains all ones, the station will receive a packet addressed to it from any source; when it contains all zeros, the station will not accept packets from any source. Otherwise the station is open to receive packets from only the source whose address is specified by the register.

The Cambridge ring specifies two response bits in each packet to differentiate four conditions:

- Destination nonexistent/nonactive
- Packet accepted
- · Destination exists but packet not accepted
- Destination busy

Finally, the Cambridge ring includes a monitor, whose task it is to empty a slot that is persistently full.

Typically, there will be very few slots on a ring. Consider, for example, a 100-station ring with an average spacing of 10 m between stations and a data rate of 10 Mbps. A typical propagation velocity for signals is 2×10^8 m/s. A moment's thought should reveal that the bit length of the link between two stations is $(10^7 \text{ bps} \times 10 \text{ m})(2 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s}) = 0.5$ bit. Say that the delay at each repeater is one bit time. Then the total bit length of the ring is just 1.5×150 bits. This is enough for four slots.

The principal disadvantage of the slotted ring is that it is wasteful of bandwidth. First, each frame contains only 16 bits, resulting in a tremendous amount of overhead. Second, a station may send only one frame per round-trip time. If only one of a few stations have frames to transmit, many of the slots will circulate empty.

The principal advantage of the slotted ring appears to be its simplicity. The interaction with the ring at each node is minimized, improving reliability.

5.5

RECOMMENDED READING

[KURO84] is a good overall survey of MAC protocols. [BERT92] and [SPRA91] provide detailed, performance-oriented analyses of many bus and ring MAC protocols. A description of the IEEE 802 standards is provided in [MART89]; more technical treatments are to be found in [VALE92] and [STAL90a].

5.6

PROBLEMS

- **5.1** What arguments or parameters are essential for each of the LLC primitives in Table 5.4?
- **5.2** Why is there not an LLC primitive L-CONNECTION-FLOWCON-TROL confirm?
- **5.3** Show, with an example, how the LLC protocol provides the LLC services as defined by the LLC primitives.
- 5.4 A simple medium access control protocol would be to use a fixed assignment time-division multiplexing (TDM) scheme, as described in Section 2.1. Each station is assigned one time slot per cycle for transmission. For the bus and tree, the length of each time slot is the time to transmit 100 bits plus the end-to-end propagation delay. For the ring, assume a delay of one bit time per station, and assume that a round-robin assignment is used. Stations monitor all time slots for reception. What are the limitations, in terms of number of stations, and throughput per station for:
 - a. A 1-km, 10-Mbps baseband bus?
 - b. A 1-km (headend to farthest point), 10-Mbps broadband bus?
 - c. A 10-Mbps broadband tree consisting of a 0.5-km trunk emanating from the headend and five 0.1-km branches from the trunk at the following points: 0.05 km, 0.15 km, 0.25 km, 0.35 km, 0.45 km?
 - d. A 10-Mbps ring with a total length of 1 km?
 - e. A 10-Mbps ring with a length of 0.1 km between repeaters?
 - f. Compute throughput per station for all of the above for 10 and 100 stations.
- 5.5 The binary exponential back-off algorithm is defined by IEEE 802 thus: "The delay is an integral multiple of slot time. The number of slot times to delay before the *n*th retransmission attempt is chosen as a uniformly distributed random integer *r* in the range $0 < r < 2^{**}K$, where $K = \min(n, 10)$." Slot time is, roughly, twice the round-trip propagation delay. Assume that two stations always have a frame to send. After a collision, what is the mean number of retransmission attempts before one station successfully retransmits? What is the answer if three stations always have frames to send?
- 5.6 Consider two stations on a baseband bus at a distance of 1 km from each other. Let the data rate be 1 Mbps, the packet length be 100 bits, and the propagation velocity be 2×10^8 m/s. Assume that each station generates packets at an average rate of 1000 packets per second. For the ALOHA protocol, if one station begins to transmit a packet at time *t*, what is the probability of collision? Repeat

for slotted-ALOHA. Repeat for ALOHA and slotted-ALOHA at 10 Mbps.

- **5.7** Repeat Problem 5.6 for a broadband bus. Assume that the two stations are 1 km apart and that one is very near the headend.
- **5.8** For a p-persistent CSMA, what is the probability that the next transmission after a successful transmission will be successful for np = 0.1, 1.0, and 10?
- **5.9** In what sense are the slotted ring and token ring protocols the complement of each other?
- **5.10** A promising application of fiber optics for local networks is in the ring topology. Which, if any, of the three ring protocols is inappropriate for this medium?
- **5.11** For a token ring system, suppose that the destination station removes the data frame and immediately sends a short acknowledgment frame to the sender, rather than letting the original frame return to sender. How will this affect performance?
- **5.12** Consider a Cambridge ring of length 10 km with a data rate of 10 Mbps and 500 repeaters, each of which introduces a 1-bit delay. How many slots are on the ring?
- **5.13** For the ring in Problem 5.12, assume a constant user data load of 4 Mbps. What is the mean number of slots that a station must wait to insert a packet?
- **5.14** Write a program that implements the token ring priority mechanism.
- **5.15** If the token ring active monitor fails, it is possible that two stations will timeout and claim that status. Suggest an algorithm for overcoming this problem.
- **5.16** The IEEE 802 refers to the token bus service class scheme as a bandwidth allocation scheme rather than a priority scheme. A priority scheme would provide that all frames of higher priority would be transmitted before any lower-priority frames would be allowed on the bus. Show by counterexample that the 802 scheme is not a priority scheme.
- **5.17** Compare the token bus service class scheme with the token ring and priority scheme. What are the relative pros and cons? Is it possible, with appropriate parameter settings, to achieve the same behavior from both?

APPENDIX 5A: IEEE 802 STANDARDS

The key to the development of the LAN market is the availability of a low-cost interface. The cost to connect equipment to a LAN must be

much less than the cost of the equipment alone. This requirement, plus the complexity of the LAN protocols, dictate a VLSI solution. However, chip manufacturers will be reluctant to commit the necessary resources unless there is a high-volume market. A LAN standard would assure that volume and also enable equipment of a variety of manufacturers to intercommunicate. This is the rationale of the IEEE Project 802 [CLAN82], a committee established by the IEEE Computer Society in February 1980 to prepare local area network standards. In 1985, the 802 committee issued a set of four standards, which were subsequently adopted in 1985 by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) as American National Standards [IEEE85a-d]. These standards were subsequently revised and reissued as international standards by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in 1987, with the designation ISO 8802.

Two conclusions were quickly reached by the committee. First, the task of communication across the local network is sufficiently complex that it needs to be broken up into more manageable subtasks. Second, no single technical approach will satisfy all requirements.

The second conclusion was reluctantly reached when it became apparent that no single standard would satisfy all committee participants. There was support for both ring and bus topologies. With the bus topology, there was support for two access methods (CSMA/CD and token bus) and two media (baseband and broadband). The response of the committee was to standardize all serious proposals rather than to attempt to settle on just one. Figure 5.20 illustrates the results.



FIGURE 5.20 Local Area Network Standards

This chapter has described in some detail the LLC and MAC standards. It remains to briefly summarize the physical layer standards.

CSMA/CD (IEEE 802.3)

The IEEE 802.3 committee has been the most active in defining alternative physical configurations. This is both good and bad. On the good side, the standard has been responsive to evolving technology. On the bad side, the customer, not to mention the potential vendor, is faced with a bewildering array of options. However, the committee has been at pains to ensure that the various options can be easily integrated into a configuration that satisfies a variety of needs. Thus, the user that has a complex set of requirements may find the flexibility and variety of the 802.3 standard to be an asset.

To distinguish the various implementations that are available, the committee has developed a concise notation:

<data rate in Mbps> <signaling method><maximum segment length in hundreds of meters>

The defined alternatives are:

- 10BASE5
- 10BASE2
- 1BASE5
- 10BASET (this does not quite follow the notation; "T" stands for twisted pair)
- 10BROAD36

Table 5.10 summarizes these options.

The 10BASE5 specification is the **original 802.3 standard**; it specifies a 10-Mbps baseband coaxial cable LAN using standard baseband coaxial cable. The maximum length of a segment of cable is 500 meters, with a maximum of 100 taps per segment allowed. The length of the network can be extended using repeaters (see Figure 4.3). The standard allows a maximum of four repeaters in the path between any two stations, extending the effective length of the network to 2.5 km.

This original version, issued in 1985, was soon followed by a new option, 10BASE2, sometimes called **Cheapernet**. This provides for the use of a thinner coaxial cable at the same data rate. The thinner cable results in significantly cheaper electronics, at the penalty of fewer stations and shorter length. Segment length is reduced to 185 meters with a maximum of 30 taps per segment. It is targeted to lower-cost devices, such as UNIX workstations and personal computers.

Another option, known as **StarLAN**, specifies an unshielded twistedpair version operating at 1 Mbps. As the name suggests, the layout of StarLAN makes use of star wiring. In particular, the hub arrangement

TABLE 5.10 IEI	EE 802.3 Physical Layer A	Aedium Alternatives			
Parameter	10BASE5	10BASE2	1BASE5	10BASET	10BROAD36
Transmission medium	Coaxial Cable (50 ohm)	Coaxial Cable (50 ohm)	Unshielded twisted pair	Unshielded twisted pair	Coaxial Cable (75 ohm)
Signaling technique	Baseband (Manchester)	Baseband (Manchester)	Baseband (Manchester)	Baseband (Manchester)	Broadband (DPSK)
Data rate (Mbps)	10	10	1	10	10
Maximum sement length (m)	500	185	500	100	1800
Network span (m)	2500	925	2500	500	3600
Nodes per segment	100	30	ł	Ι	
Cable diameter (mm)	10	5	0.4-0.6	0.4–0.6	0.4-1.0

14

الاقلوب فراجات

described in Chapter 2 is employed (Figures 4.10, 4.11, and 4.12). This option is substantially lower in cost than either of the coaxial cable options and is targeted specifically at personal computer installations that do not require high capacity. This option could be appropriate for a departmental-level LAN.

The attraction of the 1BASE5 specification is that it allows the use of inexpensive unshielded twisted-pair wire, which is ordinary telephone wire. Such wire is often found prewired in office buildings as excess telephone cable and can be used for LANs. Of course, the disadvantage of this specification is the rather low data rate of 1 Mbps. By sacrificing some distance, it is possible to develop a 10-Mbps LAN using the unshielded twisted-pair medium. Such an approach is specified in the latest physical medium addition to the 802.3 family, the 10BASET specification.

As with the 1BASE5 specification, the **10BASET** specification defines a star-shaped topology. The details of this topology differ sightly from those of 1BASE5. In both cases, a simple system consists of a number of stations connected to a central point. In both cases, stations are connected to the central point via two twisted pairs. The central point accepts input on any one line and repeats it on all of the other lines. In the case of the 10BASET specification, the central point is referred to as a multiport repeater.

Stations attach to the multiport repeater via a point-to-point link. Ordinarily, the link consists of two unshielded twisted pairs. The data rate is 10 Mbps using Manchester encoding. Because of the high data rate and the poor transmission qualities of of unshielded twisted pair, the length of a link is limited to 100 meters. As an alternative, an optical fiber link may be used. In this case, the maximum length is 500 m.

The distinction between a 1BASE5 hub and a 10BASET multiport repeater becomes clear when we consider a multistar arrangement. Figure 5.21 shows a sample configuration for 10BASET. The medium access unit (MAU) denotes the logic required for interfacing a device to the LAN. Note that the connection between one repeater and the next is a link that appears the same as an ordinary station link. In fact, the repeater makes no distinction between a station and another repeater. Recall that in the 1BASE5 system, there is a distinction between intermediate hubs and header hubs. In the 10BASET system, all multiport repeaters function in the same manner as an ordinary repeater on a 10BASE5 or 10BASE2 system:

- A valid signal appearing on any input is repeated on all other links.
- If two inputs occur, causing a collision, a collision enforcement signal is transmitted on all links.
- If a collision enforcement signal is detected on any input, it is repeated on all other links.





One advantage of the use of repeaters and the use of a data rate of 10 Mbps is that the 10BASET system can be mixed with 10BASE2 and 10BASE5 systems. All that is required is that the MAU conform to the appropriate specification. Figure 5.22 shows a configuration containing four 10BASET systems and one 10BASE5 system.

Table 5.11 summarizes the allowable connections. The maximum transmission path permitted between any two stations is five segments and four repeater sets. A segment is either a point-to-point link segment or a coaxial 10BASE5 or 10BASE2 segment. The maximum number of coaxial cable segments in a path is three.

Finally, a 10-Mbps **broadband** option has been added, 10BROAD36. This provides for the support of more stations over greater distances than the baseband versions, at greater cost.

TABLE 5.11 Allowable	e Connections to a	10BASET M	ultiport Repeater
----------------------	--------------------	------------------	-------------------

Transmission Medium	Number of Attached Devices	Maximum Length (m)
Two unshielded twisted pairs	2	100
Two optical fiber cables	2	500
Coaxial cable (10BASE5)	30	185
Coaxial cable (10BASE2)	100	500

Appendix 5A IEEE 802 Standards 213

FIGURE 5.22 A Mixed 10BASET and 10BASE5 Configuration

Token Bus (IEEE 802.4)

The token bus standard specifies three physical layer options (Table 5.12). One is a **broadband** system, which supports data channels at 1, 5, and 10 Mbps with bandwidths of 1.5, 6, and 12 MHz, respectively. The standard recommends the use of a single-cable split system with a headend frequency translator. The dual-cable configuration is also allowed.

The second is a scheme known as **carrierband**, or single-channel broadband. Recall that carrierband signaling means that the entire spectrum of the cable is devoted to a single transmission path for analog signals. Because carrierband is dedicated to a single data channel, it is not necessary to take care that the modem output be confined to a narrow bandwidth. Energy can spread over the cable's spectrum. As a result, the electronics are simple and inexpensive compared with those for broadband. Carrierband data rates of 1, 5, and 10 Mbps are specified.

The most recent addition to the IEEE 802.4 physical layer standard is an **optical fiber** specification. Three data rates are specified: 5, 10, and 20 Mbps. In keeping with standard practice for optical fiber systems, the bandwidth and carrier are specified in terms of wavelength instead of frequency. For all three data rates, the bandwidth is 270 nm and the center wavelength is between 800 and 910 nm.

Parameter Phase- Continuous Phase- Continuous Parameter Instructure Broadbard Broadbard Data rate 1 5 10 1 5 Wdps) N.A. N.A. N.A. 5 4 Data rate 1 5 10 1 5 Bandwidth N.A. N.A. N.A. 1.5 MHz 5 Center 5 MHz 7.5 MHz 15 MHz 6 4 Modulation Manchester/ Phase coherent FSK Multilevel duobinary AM/PSK * Dology Omnidirectional bus Continuous FSK Multilevel duobinary AM/PSK * Tansmission Coaxial cable Coaxial cable Coaxial cable (75 ohm) Coaxial cable (75 ohm) Coaxial cable (75 ohm) * Franching No No No Yes *	TABLE 5.12	IEEE 802.4 Physical Lav	yer Medium Alternatives				
ParameterCarrierbandBroadbandData rate151075Data rate151015Rubbs)N.A.N.A.N.A.1.5 MHz5BandwidthN.A.N.A.1.5 MHz68Center5 MHz7.5 MHz15 MHz**Center5 MHz7.5 MHz15 MHz**Center5 MHz7.5 MHz15 MHz**Center5 MHz7.5 MHz15 MHz**Center5 MHz7.5 MHz15 MHz**CenterModulationManchester/Phase coherent FSKMultilevel duobinary AM/PSKModulationMonidirectionalContinuous FSKMultilevel duobinary AM/PSKTopologyOmnidirectional busDirectional bus*TarsmissionCoaxial cableCoaxial cable (75 ohm)Coaxial cable (75 ohm)Medium(75 ohm)NoNoYes		Phase- Continuous	Phase Coherent				Optical
Data rate151015(Mbps)N.A.N.A.N.A.N.A.55BandwidthN.A.N.A.N.A.1.56442BandwidthS.MHz7.57.515MHz6442Center5Marchester/Phase coherent FSKMultilevel duobinary AM/PSK**ModulationManchester/Phase coherent FSKMultilevel duobinary AM/PSK**TopologyOmnidirectionalOmnidirectional busContinuous FSKDirectional busErectional busTransmissionCoaxial cableCoaxial cableCoaxial cable(75 ohm)Coaxial cableYesScramblingNoNoNoNoYesYes	Parameter	Carrierband	Carrierband		Broadband		Fiber
BandwidthN.A.N.A.N.A.I.5 MHz6 MHzCenter5 MHz7.5 MHz15 MHz6 MHzfrequencyModulationManchester/7.5 MHz8ModulationManchester/Phase coherent FSKMultilevel duobinary AM/PSKModulationManchester/Phase coherent FSKMultilevel duobinary AM/PSKTopologyOmnidirectionalOmnidirectional busDirectional busTransmissionCoaxial cableCoaxial cable (75 ohm)Coaxial cable (75 ohm)Medium(75 ohm)NoNoNoScramblingNoNoNoYes	Data rate (Mbps)	-	Ŋ	10	F	Ń	5, 10, 20
Center5 MHz7.5 MHz15 MHz* *frequencyManchester/Phase coherent FSKMultilevel duobinary AM/PSKModulationManchester/Phase coherent FSKMultilevel duobinary AM/PSKTopologyContinuous FSKMultilevel duobinary AM/PSKTopologyOmnidirectionalOmnidirectional busTransmissionCoaxial cableCoaxial cable (75 ohm)Medium(75 ohm)NoNoScramblingNoNoNo	Bandwidth	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	1.5 MHz	6 MHz	270 nm
ModulationManchester/ phasePhase coherent FSKMultilevel duobinary AM/PSKRobologyphase continuous FSKContinuous FSKTopologyOmnidirectionalOmnidirectional busTransmissionCoaxial cableCoaxial cable (75 ohm)TransmissionCoaxial cableCoaxial cable (75 ohm)Modium(75 ohm)NoNoScramblingNoNoYes	Center frequency	5 MHz	7.5 MHz	15 MHz	*	*	800–910 nm
TopologyOmnidirectionalOmnidirectional busUnectional bus (tree)busbusDirectional bus(tree)TransmissionCoaxial cableCoaxial cable (75 ohm)Coaxial cable (75 ohm)medium(75 ohm)NoNoYesScramblingNoNoYes	Modulation	Manchester/ phase continuous FSK	Phase coherent FSK	Multile	wel duobinary AM	1/PSK	On-off
Transmission Coaxial cable Coaxial cable (75 ohm) medium (75 ohm) Coaxial cable (75 ohm) Scrambling No No Yes	Topology	Omnidirectional bus	Omnidirectional bus	D	rectional bus (tree		Active or passive star
Scrambling No No Yes	Transmission medium	Coaxial cable (75 ohm)	Coaxial cable (75 ohm)	Coa	ıxial cable (75 ohr	(H	Optical fiber
	Scrambling	No	No		Yes		No

214

FABLE 5.13 IEEE 802.5 Physical Layer A	Medium Specification
--	----------------------

Shielded Twisted Pair
4 or 16
250
Not specified

The 802.4 optical fiber specification can be used with any topology that is logically a bus. That is, a transmission from any one station is received by all other stations, and if two stations transmit at the same time, a collision occurs. The standard recommends the use of active or passive stars.

Token Ring (IEEE 802.5)

Only a single medium option is specified in 802.5: **shielded twisted pair** at 4 and 16 Mbps (Table 5.13) using Differential Manchester encoding. An earlier specification of a 1-Mbps system has been dropped from the most recent edition of the standard.

APPENDIX 5B: SERVICE PRIMITIVES AND PARAMETERS

In a communications architecture, such as the OSI model or the LAN architecture (Figure 5.1), each layer is defined in two parts: the protocol between peer (at the same layer) entities in different systems, and the services provided by one layer to the next higher layer in the same system.

We have seen a number of examples of protocols, which are defined in terms of the formats of the protocol data units that are exchanged, and the rules governing the use of those protocol data units. The services between adjacent layers are expressed in terms of primitives and parameters. A primitive specifies the function to be performed, and the parameters are used to pass data and control information. The actual form of a primitive is implementation dependent. An example is a procedure call.

Four types of primitives are used in standards to define the interaction between adjacent layers in the architecture. These are defined in Table 5.14. The layout of Figure 5.23b suggests the time ordering of these events. For example, consider the transfer of a connection request

TABLE 5.14	Primitive Types
REQUEST	A primitive issued by a service user to invoke some service and to pass the parameters needed to fully specify the requested service.
INDICATION	 A primitive issued by a service provided to either: 1. indicate that a procedure has been invoked by the peer service user on the connection and to provide the associated parameters, or 2. notify the service user of a provider-initiated action.
RESPONSE	A primitive issued by a service user to acknowledge or complete some procedure previously invoked by an indication to that user.
CONFIRM	A primitive issued by a service provider to acknowledge or complete some procedure previously invoked by a request by the service user.

from LLC user *A* to a peer entity *B* in another system. The following steps occur:

- 1. *A* invokes the services of LLC with a DL-CONNECT.request primitive. Associated with the primitive are the parameters needed, such as the destination address.
- 2. The LLC entity in *A*'s system prepares an LLC protocol data unit (PDU) to be sent to its peer LLC entity in *B*.



ì

- 3. The destination LLC entity delivers the data to *B* via a DL-CON-NECT.indication, which includes the source address and other parameters.
- 4. *B* issues a DL-CONNECT.response to its LLC entity.
- 5. *B*'s LLC entity conveys the acknowledgment to *A*'s LLC entity in a PDU.
- 6. The acknowledgment is delivered to *A* via a DL-CONNECT. confirm.

This sequence of events is referred to as a **confirmed service**, because the initiator receives confirmation that the requested service has had the desired effect at the other end. If only request and indication primitives are involved (corresponding to steps 1, 2, and 3), then the service dialogue is a **nonconfirmed service**; the initiator receives no confirmation that the requested action has taken place.

:

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 232

CHAPTER 6

Metropolitan Area Network Architecture

The newest area of interest in communications networking is that of metropolitan area networks (MANs). As the name suggests, a MAN occupies a middle ground between local area networks (LANs) and widearea networks (WANs). Interest in MANs has come about as a result of a recognition that the traditional point-to-point and switched network techniques used in WANs may be inadequate for the growing needs of organizations. While broadband ISDN, with ATM, holds out promise for meeting a wide range of high-speed needs, there is a requirement now for both private and public networks that provide high capacity at low costs over a large area. The high-speed shared-medium approach of the LAN standards provides a number of benefits that can be realized on a metropolitan scale.

Some of the key characteristics of MANs are:

- High speed: A MAN is intended to support a relatively large number of devices, including a number of LANs. Therefore, high capacity is required.
- Geographic scope: As the name suggests, a MAN is intended to cover an area extending from a few blocks of buildings to a good-size metropolitan area.
- Integrated voice/data support: In many cases, a MAN will be used not only to support the interconnection of LANs and stand-alone computers, but also as an alternative to or supplement to the local telephone service. Thus, the MAN must support traffic generally

carried by circuit-switched networks as well as packet-switched traffic.

The focus of this chapter is on standardized approaches to MAN design. We begin with a look at the fiber distributed data interface (FDDI). This standard can be viewed as either a high-speed LAN standard or as a MAN standard; the architecture supports both applications. It is convenient to treat FDDI with other MANs in this chapter.

The original specification for FDDI supports only packet-switched traffic. An enhancement, known as FDDI-II, extends FDDI to provide circuit-switching traffic; this system is examined next.

Both FDDI and FDDI-II operate over a fiber optic ring. The remainder of the chapter is devoted to the fiber bus approach. The standard configuration is the IEEE 802.6 DQDB standard, which supports both packet-switched and circuit-switched traffic.

6.1

FDDI

As with the IEEE 802.3, 802.4, and 802.5 standards, the FDDI standard encompasses both the MAC and physical layers, and supports the use of IEEE 802.3 logical link control (LLC). Figure 6.1 depicts the overall FDDI protocol architecture, which below the LLC level consists of four parts:

1. *Medium access control (MAC):* As with the 802 standards, the FDDI MAC layer is the portion of the data link layer that regulates access to the LAN medium.



FIGURE 6.1 FDDI Protocol Architecture

- 2. Physical (PHY): This is the medium-independent portion of the physical layer, which includes the encoding of digital data.
- 3. Physical medium dependent (PMD): Characterizes the mediumdependent aspects of the physical layer.
- 4. Station management (SMT): Provides the control necessary at the station level to manage the processes underway in the various FDDI layers.

Both the FDDI and FDDI-II standards make use of a ring LAN topology with optical fiber medium, operating at 100 Mbps. We turn first to FDDI.

FDDI MAC Protocol

The FDDI MAC protocol is a token-ring protocol, similar to the IEEE 802.5 specification. There are several differences that reflect the requirements of the higher data rate (100 Mbps) of FDDI. Table 6.1 summarizes the key differences. Some of these are at the MAC layer and are discussed in this subsection; the remainder are at the physical layer and are examined in a subsequent subsection.

FDDI Frame Format. Figure 6.2 depicts the frame formats for the FDDI protocol. Compare these to those of 802.5 (Figure 5.2). The standard defines the contents of this format in terms of symbols, with each symbol corresponding to 4 bits. Symbols are used because at the physical layer data are encoded and transmitted in 4-bit chunks. However, MAC entities in fact must deal with individual bits, so the discussion that follows sometimes refers to 4-bit symbols and sometime to bits. A frame other than a token frame consists of the following fields:

• Preamble: Synchronizes the frame with each station's clock. The originator of the frame uses a field of 16 idle symbols (64 bits); subse-

802.5	
FDDI	802.5
Optical fiber	Shielded twisted pair
100 Mbps	4, 16 Mbps
Reliability specification	No reliability specificatior
4B/5B code	Differential Manchester
Distributed clocking	Centralized clocking
Timed token rotation	Priority and reservation bits
New token after	New token after receive
transmit	

TABLE 6.1 Differences Between FDDI and 18

Bits	64	8	8	16 or 48	16 or 48	0	32	4	1
	Preamble	SD	FC	DA	SA	Info	FCS	ED	FS
SD = Starting delimiter FC = Frame control DA = Destination address SA = Source address FCS = Frame check sequence ED = Ending delimiter FS = Frame staus									
(a) General Frame Format									
1	<u> </u>		10						
	Freamble	50	FC.	20					

(b) Token Frame Format

FIGURE 6.2 FDDI Frame Formats

quent repeating stations may change the length of the field consistent with clocking requirements. The idle symbol is a nondata fill pattern. The actual form of a nondata symbol depends on the signal encoding on the medium.

- *Starting delimiter (SD):* Indicates start of frame. It is coded as JK, where J and K are nondata symbols.
- *Frame control (FC):* Has the bit format CLFFZZZZ, where C indicates whether this is a synchronous or asynchronous frame (explained below); L indicates the use of 16- or 48-bit addresses; FF indicates whether this is an LLC, MAC control, or reserved frame. For a control frame, the remaining 4 bits indicate the type of control frame.
- *Destination address (DA):* Specifies the station(s) for which the frame is intended. It may be a unique physical address, a multicast-group address, or a broadcast address. The ring may contain a mixture of 16- and 48-bit address lengths.
- Source address (SA): Specifies the station that sent the frame.
- Information: Contains LLC data unit or information related to a control operation.
- *Frame check sequence (FCS):* A 32-bit cyclic redundancy check, based on the FC, DA, SA, and information fields.
- *Ending delimiter (ED):* Contains one or two nondata symbols (T) and marks the end of the frame, except for the FS field. The ED is 8 bits long for a token and 4 bits long for all other frames.
- *Frame status (FS):* Contains the error detected (E), address recognized (A), and frame copied (F) indicators. Each indicator is represented by a symbol, which is R for "off" or "false" and S for "on" or "true."

A comparison with the 802.5 frame shows that the two are very similar. The FDDI frame includes a preamble to aid in clocking, which is more demanding at the higher data rate. Both 16- and 48-bit addresses
are allowed on the same network with FDDI; this is more flexible than the scheme used on all the 802 standards. Finally, there are some differences in the control bits. For example, FDDI does not include priority and reservation bits; capacity allocation is handled in a different way, as described below.

MAC Protocol. The basic (without capacity allocation) FDDI MAC protocol is fundamentally the same as IEEE 802.5. There are two key differences:

- 1. In FDDI, a station waiting for a token seizes the token by absorbing (failing to repeat) the token transmission as soon as the token frame is recognized. After the captured token is completely received, the station begins transmitting one or more data frames. The 802..5 technique of flipping a bit to convert a token to the start of a data frame was considered impractical because of the high data rate of FDDI.
- 2. In FDDI, a station that has been transmitting data frames releases a new token as soon as it completes data frame transmission, even if it has not begun to receive its own transmission. Again, because of the high data rate, it would be too inefficient to require the station to wait, as in 802.5.

Figure 6.3 gives an example of ring operation. After Station A has seized the token, it transmits frame F1, and immediately transmits a new token. F1 is addressed to Station C, which copies it as it circulates past. The frame eventually returns to A, which absorbs it. Meanwhile, B seizes the token issued by A and transmits F2 followed by a token. This action could be repeated any number of times, so that at any one time, there may be multiple frames circulating the ring. Each station is responsible for absorbing its own frames based on the source address field.

Capacity Allocation. The priority scheme used in 802.5 will not work in FDDI, as a station will often issue a token before its own transmitted frame returns. Hence, the use of a reservation field is not effective. Furthermore, the FDDI standard is intended to provide for greater control over the capacity of the network than 802.5 to meet the requirements for a high-speed LAN. Specifically, the FDDI capacity allocation scheme seeks to accommodate the following requirements:

- Support for a mixture of stream and bursty traffic
- · Support for multiframe dialogue

With respect to the first requirement, a high-capacity LAN would be expected to support a large number of devices or to act as a backbone for a number of other LANs. In either case, the LAN would be expected



FIGURE 6.3 Example of FDDI Token Ring Operation

to support a wide variety of traffic types. For example, some of the stations may generate short, bursty traffic with modest throughput requirements but a need for a short delay time. Other stations may generate long streams of traffic that require high throughput, but they may be able to tolerate moderate delays prior to the start of transmission.

With respect to the second requirement, there may sometimes be a need to dedicate a fixed fraction or all of the capacity of the LAN to a single application. This permits a long sequence of data frames and acknowledgments to be interchanged. An example of the utility of this feature is a read or write to a high-performance disk. Without the ability to maintain a constant high-data rate flow over the LAN, only one sector of the disk could be accessed per revolution—an unacceptable performance.

224

To accommodate the requirement to support a mixture of stream and bursty traffic, FDDI defines two types of traffic: synchronous and asynchronous. Each station is allocated a portion of the total capacity (the portion may be zero); the frames that it transmits during this time are referred to as **synchronous frames**. Any capacity that is not allocated or that is allocated but not used is available for the transmission of additional frames, referred to as **asynchronous frames**.

The scheme works as follows. A target token rotation time (TTRT) is defined; each station stores the same value for TTRT. Some or all stations may be provided a synchronous allocation (SA_i) , which may vary among stations. The allocations must be such that:

 $DMax + FMax + TokenTime + \Sigma SA_i \leq TTRT$

where

 SA_i = synchronous allocation for station i
DMax = propagation time for one complete circuit of the ring
FMAX = time required to transmit a maximum-length frame (4500 octets)
TokenTime = time required to transmit a token

The assignment of values for SA_i is by means of a station management protocol involving the exchange of station management frames. The protocol assures that the above equation is satisfied. Initially, each station has a zero allocation and it must request a change in the allocation. Support for synchronous allocation is optional; a station that does not support synchronous allocation may transmit only asynchronous traffic.

All stations have the same value of TTRT and a separately assigned value of SA_i. In addition, several variables that are required for the operation of the capacity-allocation algorithm are maintained at each station:

- Token-rotation timer (TRT)
- Token-holding timer (THT)
- Late counter (LC)

Each station is initialized with TRT set equal to TTRT and LC set to zero.¹ When the timer is enabled, TRT begins to count down. If a token is received before TRT expires, TRT is reset to TTRT. If TRT counts down to 0 before a token is received, then LC is incremented to 1 and TRT is reset to TTRT and again begins to count down. If TRT expires a second

¹All timer values in the standard are negative numbers with counters counting up to zero. For clarity, the discussion uses positive numbers.

time before receiving a token, LC is incremented to 2, the token is considered lost, and a claim process (described below) is initiated. Thus LC records the number of times, if any, that TRT has expired since the token was last received at that station. The token is considered to arrive early if TRT has not expired since the station received the token, that is, if LC = 0.

When a station receives the token, its actions will depend on whether the token is early or late. If the token is early, the station saves the remaining time from TRT in THT, resets TRT, and enables TRT:

 $THT \leftarrow TRT$ $TRT \leftarrow TTRT$ enable TRT

The station can then transmit according to the following rules:

- 1. It may transmit synchronous frames for a time SA_i.
- 2. After transmitting synchronous frames, or if there were no synchronous frames to transmit, THT is enabled. The station may transmit asynchronous frames only as long as THT > 0.

If a station receives a token and the token is late, then LC is set to zero, and TRT continues to run. The station can then transmit synchronous frames for a time SA_{*i*}. The station may not transmit any asynchronous frames.

This scheme is designed to assure that the time between successive sightings of a token is on the order of TTRT or less. Of this time, a given amount is always available for synchronous traffic and any excess capacity is available for asynchronous traffic. Because of random fluctuations in traffic, the actual token circulation time may exceed TTRT [JOHN87, SEVC87], as demonstrated below.

The FDDI algorithm is similar to the 802.4 algorithm with only two classes of data: 6 and 4. Synchronous data corresponds to class 6 and the value of SA_i in FDDI corresponds to the token-holding time in 802.4. TTRT corresponds to TRT4. Since the sum of the SA_i (all the synchronous allocations) must be less than or equal to TTRT, the FDDI restrictions correspond to case IIa in Figure 5.14.

Figure 6.4 illustrates the use of the station variables in FDDI by displaying the values of TRT, THT, and LC for a particular station. In this example, taken from [MCCO88], the TTRT is 100 milliseconds (ms). The station's synchronous capacity allocation, SA_i , is 30 ms. The following events occur:

- A. A token arrives early. The station has no frames to send. TRT is set to TTRT (100 ms) and begins to count down. The station allows the token to go by.
- B. The token returns 60 ms later. Since TRT = 40 and LC = 0, the token is early. The station sets THT ← TRT and TRT ← TTRT, so



FIGURE 6.4 FDDI Capacity Allocation Example [MCCO88]

that THT = 40 and TRT = 100. TRT is immediately enabled. The station has synchronous data to transmit and begins to do so.

- C. After 30 ms, the station has consumed its synchronous allocation. It has asynchronous data to transmit, so it enables THT and begins transmitting.
- D. THT expires, and the station must cease transmission of asynchronous frames. The station issues a token.
- E. TRT expires. The station increments LC to 1 and resets TRT to 100.
- F. The token arrives. Since LC is 1, the token is late, and no asynchronous data may be transmitted. At this point, the station also has no synchronous data to transmit. LC is reset to 1 and the token is allowed to go by.

Figure 6.5 provides a simplified example of a 4-station ring. We assume that the traffic consists of fixed-length frames, and that TTRT = 100 frame times and $SA_i = 20$ frame times for all stations. We also assume that the total overhead during one complete token circulation is 4 frame times. One row of the table corresponds to one circulation of the token. For each station, the arrival time is shown, followed by the value of TRT at the time of arrival, followed by the number of synchronous and asynchronous frames transmitted while the station holds the token.

The example begins after a period during which no data frames have been sent, so that the token has been circulating as rapidly as possible (4 frame times). Thus, when Station 1 receives the token, it measures a

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 241

	SA1 =	= 20		-	SA2 =	- 20			SA3 =	= 20]		SA4 =	20	
Arrival Time	TRT	Sync	Async												
0	100	0	0	1	100	0	0	2	100	0	0	3	100	0	0
4	96	20	96	121	80*	20	0	142	60*	20	0	163	40*	20	0
184	20*	20	0	205	96	20	0	226	76*	20	0	247	56*	20	0
268	36*	20	0	289	16	20	16	326	76*	20	0	347	56*	20	0
368	36*	20	0	389	0	20	0	410	92*	20	0	431	72*	20	0
452	52*	20	0	473	16	20	16	510	92*	20	0	531	72*	20	0
552	52*	20	0	573	0	20	0	594	8	20	8	623	80*	20	0
644	60*	20	0	665	8	20	8	694	0	20	0	715	88*	20	0
736	68*	20	0	757	8	20	8	786	8	20	8	815	88*	20	0
836	68*	20	0	857	0	20	0	878	8	20	8	907	96*	20	0
928	76*	20	0	949	8	20	8	978	0	20	0	999	4	20	4
1024	80*	20	0	1045	4	20	4	1070	8	20	8	1099	0	20	0

FIGURE 6.5 Operation of FDDI Capacity Allocation Scheme

circulation time of 4 (its TRT = 96). It is therefore able to send not only its 20 synchronous frames but also 96 asynchronous frames; recall that THT is not enabled until after the station has sent its synchronous frames. Station 2 experiences a circulation time of 120 (20 frames + 96 frames + 4 overhead frames), but is nevertheless entitled to transmit its 20 synchronous frames. Note that if each station continues to transmit its maximum allowable synchronous frames, then the circulation time surges to 180 at time 184, but soon stabilizes at 100. With a total synchronous utilization of 80 and an overhead of 4 frame times, there is an average capacity of 16 frame times available for asynchronous transmission. Note that if all stations always have a full backlog of asynchronous traffic, the opportunity to transmit asynchronous frames is distributed among them.

This example demonstrates that the synchronous allocation does not always provide a guaranteed fraction of capacity $\frac{SA_i}{TTRT}$. Rather, the fraction of capacity available to a station for synchronous transmission during any token circulation is $\frac{SA_i}{\tau}$, where τ is the actual circulation time. As we have seen, τ can exceed TTRT. It can be shown that τ tends, in the steady state, to TTRT and has an upper bound of 2 × TTRT [JOHN87].

Asynchronous traffic can be further subdivided into eight levels of priority. Each station has a set of eight threshold values, $T_Pr(1)$, . . . , $T_Pr(8)$, such that $T_Pr(i) =$ maximum time that a token can take to

228

circulate and still permit priority *i* frames to be transmitted. Rule 2 above is revised as follows:

2. After transmitting synchronous frames, or if there were no synchronous frames to transmit, THT is enabled and begins to run from its set value. The station may transmit asynchronous data of priority *i* only so long as THT > $T_Pr(i)$. The maximum value of any of the $T_Pr(i)$ must be no greater than TTRT.

This scheme is essentially the one used in the 802.4 token bus standard (Figure 5.13).

The above rules satisfy the requirement for support for both stream and bursty traffic and, with the use of priorities, provide a great deal of flexibility. In addition, FDDI provides a mechanism that satisfies the requirements for dedicated multiframe traffic mentioned earlier. When a station wishes to enter an extended dialog it may gain control of all the unallocated (asynchronous) capacity on the ring by using a restricted token. The station captures a nonrestricted token, transmits the first frame of the dialog to the destination station, and then issues a restricted token. Only the station that received the last frame may transmit asynchronous frames using the restricted token. The two stations may then exchange data frames and restricted tokens for an extended period, during which no other station may transmit asynchronous frames. The standard assumes that restricted transmission is predetermined not to violate the TTRT limitation, and it does not mandate the use of THT during this mode. Synchronous frames may be transmitted by any station upon capture of either type of token.

Figure 6.6 depicts the complete FDDI capacity allocation scheme.

FDDI Physical Layer Specification

The physical layer specification for FDDI includes a medium-independent part and a medium-specific part. In the medium-independent part, two key topics addressed are data encoding and jitter. We examine these first and then look at the physical medium specification.

Data Encoding. Recall from our discussion in Chapter 2 that digital data need to be encoded in some form for transmission as a signal. The type of encoding will depend on the nature of the transmission medium, the data rate, and other constraints, such as cost. Optical fiber is inherently an analog medium; signals can be transmitted only in the optical frequency range. Thus we might expect that one of the popular digital-to-analog encoding techniques (ASK, FSK, PDSK) would be used. Both FSK and PSK are difficult to do at high data rates and the optoelectronic equipment would be too expensive and unreliable



FIGURE 6.6 FDDI Capacity Allocation Scheme

[FREE91]. With amplitude-shift keying (ASK), recall, a constant-frequency signal is used, and two different signal levels are used to represent the two binary data values. In the simplest case, one value is represented by the absence of the carrier, and the other value by the presence, at constant amplitude, of the signal. This technique is often called *intensity modulation*.

Intensity modulation, then, provides a simple means for encoding digital data for transmission over optical fiber. A binary 1 can be represented by a burst or pulse of light, and a binary 0 by the absence of optical energy. The disadvantage of this approach is its lack of synchronization. Since transitions on the fiber are unpredictable, there is no way for the receiver to synchronize its clock to the transmitter. The solution to this problem is to first encode the binary data to guarantee the presence of transitions and then to present the encoded data to the optical source for transmission. For example, the data could first be encoded

using Manchester encoding; the high and low codes depicted in Figure 2.5 could then be transmitted as light and nonlight, respectively. This is in fact a common signaling technique used for optical fiber transmission; it is used in the 802.4 optical fiber specification, for example. The disadvantage of this approach is that the efficiency is only 50%. That is, because there can be as many as two transitions per bit time, a signaling rate of 200 million signal elements per second (200 Mbaud) is needed to achieve a data rate of 100 Mbps. At the high data rate of FDDI, this represents an unnecessary cost and technical burden.

To achieve greater efficiency, the FDDI standard specifies the use of a code referred to as 4B/5B. In this scheme, encoding is done 4 bits at a time; each 4 bits of data are encoded into a symbol with five *cells*, such that each cell contains a single signal element (presence or absence of light). In effect, each set of 4 bits is encoded as 5 bits. The efficiency is thus raised to 80%: 100 Mbps is achieved with 125 Mbaud.

To understand how the 4B/5B code achieves synchronization, you need to know that there is actually a second stage of encoding: each cell of the 4B/5B stream is treated as a binary value and encoded using Nonreturn to Zero Inverted (NRZI) as shown in Figure 2.5. In this code, a binary 1 is represented with a transition at the beginning of the bit interval and a binary 0 is represented with no transition at the beginning of the bit interval; there are no other transitions. The advantage of NRZI is that it employs differential encoding. Recall from Chapter 2 that in differential encoding the signal is decoded by comparing the polarity of adjacent signal elements rather than the absolute value of a signal element. A benefit of this scheme is that it is generally more reliable to detect a transition in the presence of noise and distortion than to compare a value to a threshold. This aids the ultimate decoding of the signal after it has been converted back from the optical to the electrical realm.

Now we are in a position to describe the 4B/5B code and to understand the selections that were made. Table 6.2 shows the symbol encoding used in FDDI. Each possible 5-cell pattern is shown, together with its NRZI realization. Since we are encoding 4 bits with a 5-bit pattern, only 16 of the 32 possible patterns are needed for data encoding. The codes selected to represent the 16 4-bit data blocks are such that a transition is present at least twice for each 5-cell code. Given an NRZI format, no more than three zeros in a row are allowed.

The FDDI encoding scheme can be summarized as follows:

- A simple intensity modulation encoding is rejected because it does not provide synchronization; a string of ones or zeros will have no transitions.
- 2. The data to be transmitted must first be encoded to assure transitions. The 4B/5B code is chosen over Manchester because it is more efficient.

232

• -...

lastora. L

23	TABLE 6.2 4	B/5B Code		
24	Code Group	NRZI Pattern	Symbol	Assignment
28		Line S	State Symbols	
28	00000		Q	Quiet
29	11111		I	ldle
30	00100		Н	Halt
31		Starti	ng Delimiter	
32	11000		J	1st of sequential SD Pair
33	10001		к	2nd of sequential SD Pair
34		Da	ta Sumbols	
35	11110		0	0000
36	01001		1	0001
37	10100		2	0010
38	10101		3	0011
39	01010		4	0100
40	01011		5	0101
41	01110		6	0110
42	01111		7	0111
43	10010		8	1000
44	10011		9	1001
45	10110		А	1010
46	10111		В	1011
47	11010		С	1100
48	11011		D	1101

e da cara de la

Code Group	NRZI Pattern	Symbol	Assignment
	Dai	a Symbols	
11100		E	1110
11101		F	1111
	Endir	ng Delimiter	
01101		T	Used to terminate the data stream
	Contr	ol Indicators	
00111		R	Denoting logical ZERO (reset)
11001		5	Denoting logical ONE (set)
	Invalid Co	de Assignment	5
00001		V or H	Violation or Halt
00010		V or H	Violation or Halt
00011		V	Violation
00101		V	Violation
00110		V	Violation
01000		V or H	Violation or Halt
01100		V	Violation
10000		V or H	Violation or Halt

3. The 4B/5B code is further encoded using NRZI so that the resulting differential signal will improve reception reliability.

4. The specific 5-bit patterns chosen for the encoding of the 16 4-bit data patterns are chosen to guarantee no more than three zeros in a row to provide for adequate synchronization.

Only 16 of the 32 possible cell patterns are required to represent the input data. The remaining cell patterns are either declared invalid or assigned special meaning as control symbols. These assignments are listed in Table 6.2. The nondata symbols fall into the following categories:

• Line state symbols: Q indicates the absence of any transitions and loss of clock recovery ability. Halt indicates a forced logical break in activity while maintaining DC balance and clock recovery. I indicates normal condition between frame and token transmissions. The I symbol is used in frame absorption. When a frame returns to the originating station, it is stripped by that station by transmitting I's immediately following its recognition (following the source address field), instead of repeating the frame. Similarly, a token is absorbed by a station by transmitting I's after determining that the incoming frame is a token.

- *Starting delimiter:* The starting delimiter field consists of a J and K symbol pair used to designate the beginning of a frame.
- *Ending delimiter*: The ending delimiter field consists of one or two T symbols used to designate the end of the frame, except for the frame status field if present.
- *Control indicators:* The R and S symbols are used in the frame status field to indicate presence or absence of a condition, as explained earlier.
- *Invalid code assignments:* The remaining symbol codes are designated as violation (V) symbols, some of which may be recognized as off-alignment H symbols.

Timing Jitter. Recall that in Chapter 4 we defined timing jitter as the deviation of clock recovery that can occur when the receiver attempts to recover clocking as well as data from the received signal. The clock recovery will deviate in a random fashion from the timing of the transmitter due to signal impairments in transmission and imperfections in the receiver circuitry. If no countermeasures are taken, the jitter accumulates around the ring. We saw that the IEEE 802.5 standard specifies that only one clock will be used on the ring, and that the station with the clock is responsible for eliminating jitter by means of an elastic buffer. If the ring as a whole runs ahead of or behind the master clock, the elastic buffer expands or contracts accordingly. Even with this technique, the accumulation of jitter places a limitation on the size of the ring.

This centralized clocking approach is inappropriate for a 100-Mbps fiber ring. At 100 Mbps, the bit time is only 10 ns, compared to a bit time of 250 ns at 4 Mbps. Thus the effects of distortion are more severe, and a centralized clocking scheme would put very tough, and therefore expensive, demands on the phase-lock loop circuity at each node. Therefore, the FDDI standard specifies the use of a distributed clocking scheme. Each station uses its own autonomous clock to transmit bits from its MAC layer onto the ring. For repeating incoming data, a buffer is imposed between the receiver and the transmitter. Data are clocked into the buffer at the clock rate recovered from the incoming stream, but are clocked out of the buffer at the station's own clock rate. The buffer has a capacity of 10 bits and expands and contracts as needed. At any time, the buffer contains a certain number of bits. As bits come in, they are placed in the buffer and thus experience a delay equal to the time it takes to transmit the bits ahead of it in the buffer. If the received signal is slightly faster than the repeater's clock, the buffer will expand to avoid dropping bits. If the received signal is slow, the buffer will contract to avoid adding bits to the repeated bit stream.

The buffer in each repeater is initialized to its center position each time that it begins to receive a frame, during the preamble that begins the frame. This increases or decreases the length of the preamble, initially transmitted as 16 symbols, as it proceeds around the ring. Because the stability of the transmitter clock is specified as 0.005%, a buffer of 10 bits allows transmission of frames 4500 octets in length without over-running or underrunning the limits of the buffer.

Physical Medium Specification. The FDDI standard specifies an optical fiber ring with a data rate of 100 Mbps, using the NRZI-4B/5B encoding scheme described previously. The wavelength specified for data transmission is 1300 nm.

The specification indicates the use of multimode fiber transmission. Although today's long-distance networks rely primarily on single-mode fiber, that technology generally requires the use of lasers as light sources, rather than the cheaper and less powerful light-emitting diodes (LEDs), which are adequate for FDDI requirements. The dimensions of the fiber cable are specified in terms of the diameter of the core of the fiber and the outer diameter of the cladding layer that surrounds the core. The combination specified in the standard is 62.5/125 μ m. The standard lists as alternatives 50/125, 82/125, and 100/140 μ m. In general, smaller diameters offer higher potential bandwidths but also higher connector loss.

Station and FDDI Network Configurations

Each FDDI station is composed of logical entities that conform to the FDDI standards. The role of a given station depends on the number of entities it has. Networks with different physical topologies may be constructed, depending on the types of stations used.

Dual Ring. To enhance the reliability of an FDDI ring, the standard provides for the construction of a dual ring, as illustrated in Figure 6.7. Stations participating in a dual ring are connected to their neighbors by two links that transmit in opposite directions. This creates two rings: a primary ring, and a secondary ring on which data may circulate in the opposite direction. Under normal conditions, the secondary ring is idle. When a link failure occurs, the stations on either side of the link reconfigure as shown in Figure 6.7b, isolating the link fault and restoring a



FIGURE 6.7 FDDI Dual-Ring Operation

closed ring. In this figure, a dark dot represents a MAC attachment within the station. Thus, in the counter direction, signals may be merely repeated, while the MAC protocol is involved in only the primary direction. As an option, a station may contain two MAC entities and therefore execute that MAC protocol in both directions.

Should a station fail, as shown in Figure 6.7c, then the stations on either side reconfigure to eliminate the failed station and both links to that station.

Station Types. The type of station just described is only one of four station types defined in the FDDI standard (Table 6.3). The use of four different station types allows for the creation of complex topologies and for designs with high levels of reliability.

The dual attachment station (DAS), as just described, can be used to construct a dual ring. In some cases, this dual ring will constitute the entire FDDI LAN. In other cases, the dual ring can serve as the trunk ring for a more complex topology. In its most general form, the topology that can be achieved with FDDI is referred to as a *dual ring of trees*.

Figure 6.8 is an example that shows the use of all four station types. The main trunk is a dual ring consisting only of stations that are capable of supporting the two rings. Some of these stations are DASs, whose function is to provide an attachment point for end-user stations. Others are dual-attachment concentrators (DACs) that participate in the dual ring and may support an end-user station. In addition, each DAC may support stations that attach to a single ring. Each DAC therefore serves as the root of a tree. Single attachment stations (SASs) may attach to the

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 250

6.1 FDDI

237

Station Type	Definition	Connects To
Dual Attachment (DAS)	Has two pairs of PHY and PMD entities and one or more MAC entities; participates in the trunk dual ring.	DAS, DAC
Dual Attachment Concentrator (DAC)	A DAS with additional PHY and PMD entities beyond those required for attachment to the dual ring. The additional entities permit attachment of additional stations that are logically part of the ring but are physically isolated from the trunk ring.	DAS, DAC, SAC, SAS
Single Attachment Station (SAS)	Has one each PHY, PMD, and MAC entities, and therefore cannot be attached into the trunk ring, but must be attached by a concentrator.	DAC, SAC
Single Attachment Concentrator (SAC)	A SAS with additional PHY and PMD entities beyond those required for attachment to a concentrator. The additional entities permit attachment of additional stations in a tree- structured fashion.	DAC, SAC, SAS

TABLE 6.3 FDDI Station Types

DAC by means of a single ring. The SAS connection does not provide the reliability of the dual-ring configuration available to the DAS. However, FDDI constrains the topology so that an SAS must attach to a concentrator. In the event of a failure of the SAS or its connection to the concentrator, the concentrator may isolate the SAS. Therefore, the reliability of the dual ring is maintained. To achieve a tree structure of depth greater than two, single-attachment concentrators (SACs) may be used. An SAC may attach to a DAC or another SAC and may support one or more SASs.

It is important to note that even with an elaborate tree structure, an FDDI configuration still maintains a ring topology. Figure 6.9 shows the circulation path for a simple configuration of a dual ring of two stations, one of which is a DAC. Note that the six stations form a single ring around which a single token will circulate. In addition, a secondary ring that encompasses the DASs and DACs is available for reliability.

FDDI Topologies. The definition of four station types allows for the creation of a wide variety of topologies. The following are of particular interest:



FIGURE 6.8 General FDDI Topology [WOLT90]

- *Stand-alone concentrator with attached stations:* a single concentrator and its attached stations. Such a configuration could be used to connect multiple high-performance devices in a work group or multiple LANs, with each FDDI station being a bridge.
- *Dual ring:* a set of DASs connected to form a single dual ring. This topology is useful when there are a limited number of users. It could also be used to interconnect departmental LANs, with each FDDI station being a bridge.
- *Tree of concentrators:* a good choice for interconnecting large groups of user devices. Concentrators are wired in a hierarchical star arrangement with one concentrator serving as the root of the tree. This topology provides great flexibility for adding and removing concentrators and stations or changing their location without disrupting the LAN.
- *Dual ring of trees:* the most elaborate and flexible topology. Key stations can be incorporated into the dual ring for maximum availability, and the tree structure provides the flexibility described in the preceding item.

Ring Monitoring

The responsibility for monitoring the functioning of the token ring algorithm is distributed among all stations on the ring. Each station mon-



FIGURE 6.9 Star-Shaped Ring

itors the ring for invalid conditions requiring ring initialization. Invalid conditions include an extended period of inactivity or incorrect activity (e.g., persistent data frame). To detect the latter condition, each station keeps track of how long it has been since it last saw a valid token. If this time significantly exceeds TTRT, an error condition is assumed.

Three processes are involved in error detection and correction:

- Claim token process
- Initialization process
- Beacon process

Two MAC control frames are used: the Beacon frame and the Claim frame.

Claim Token Process. A station will detect the need for initialization of the ring by observing the lack of token; as explained above, this event occurs when the station sets LC to 2. Any station detecting a lost token initiates the claim-token process by issuing a sequence of Claim frames. The purpose of the claim-token process is to negotiate the value to be assigned to TTRT and to resolve contention among stations attempting to initialize the ring. Each claiming station sends a continuous stream of Claim frames. The information field of the Claim frame contains the station's bid for the value of TTRT. Each claiming station inspects incom-

ing Claim frames and either defers (ceases to transmit its own Claim frames and just repeats incoming frames) or not (continues to transmit its own Claim frames and absorbs incoming frames), according to the following arbitration hierarchy:

- The frame with the lower TTRT has precedence.
- Given equal values of TTRT, a frame with a 48-bit address has precedence over a frame with a 16-bit address.
- Given equal values of TTRT and equal address lengths, the frame with the address of larger numerical value has precedence.

The process completes when one station receives its own Claim frame, which has made a complete circuit of the ring without being preempted. At this point, the ring is filled with that station's Claim frames and all other stations have yielded. All stations store the value of TTRT contained in the latest received Claim frame. The result is that the smallest requested value for TTRT is stored by all stations and will be used to allocate capacity.

The motivation for giving precedence to the lowest TTRT value is to make the LAN responsive to time-critical applications. If we define ring latency (RL) as the total overhead during one complete token circulation, then ring utilization can be expressed as:

$$\frac{\text{TTRT} - \text{RL}}{\text{TTRT}}$$

Low values of TTRT will provide a low guaranteed response time and thus support real-time applications. High values of TTRT allow very high ring use under heavy loads.

Initialization Process. The station that has won the claim-token process is responsible for initializing the ring. All the stations on the ring recognize the initialization process as a result of having seen one or more Claim frames. The initializing station issues a nonrestricted token. On the first circulation of the token, it may not be captured. Rather, each station uses the appearance of the token for transition from an initialization state to an operational state, and to reset its TRT.

Beacon Process. The Beacon frame is used to isolate a serious ring failure such as a break in the ring. For example, when a station is attempting the claim-token process, it will eventually time out if it does not come to a resolution (winning or losing), and it will enter the Beacon process.

Upon entering the Beacon process, a station continuously transmits Beacon frames. A station always yields to a Beacon frame received from an upstream station. Consequently, if the logical break persists, the Beacon frames of the station immediately downstream from the break will normally be propagated. If a station in the Beacon process receives its own Beacon frames, it assumes that the ring has been restored, and it initiates the claim-token process.

6.2

FDDI-II

FDDI-II is an upward-compatible extension to FDDI that adds the ability to support circuit-switched traffic in addition to the packet-mode traffic supported by the original FDDI.

With FDDI, all data are transmitted in frames of variable length. Each frame includes delimiters to mark its beginning and end, and address information indicating source and destination MAC stations. FDDI is not suitable to maintain a continuous, constant-data-rate connection between two stations. Even the so-called synchronous traffic class of FDDI guarantees only a minimum sustained data rate; it does not provide a uniform data stream with no variability. Such a continuous, constant data stream is typical of circuit-switched applications, such as digitized voice or video.

FDDI-II provides a circuit-switched service while maintaining the token-controlled packet-switched service of the original FDDI. With FDDI-II, it is possible to set up and maintain a constant-data-rate connection between two stations. Instead of using embedded addresses, the connection is established on the basis of a prior agreement, which may have been negotiated using packet messages or may have been established by some other suitable convention known to the stations involved.

The technique used in FDDI-II for providing circuit-switched services is to impose a 125-µsec frame structure on the ring. A circuit-switched connection consists of regularly repeating time slots in the frame. This mode of transmission is sometimes referred to as *isochronous*. The term is used in the FDDI documents with the generally accepted meaning. Note, however, that the terms *synchronous* and *asynchronous* are used in FDDI with special meanings that relate to ring transmission (Table 6.4).

FDDI-II Architecture

Figure 6.10 is a block diagram of an FDDI-II station. The physical layer and the presence of station management are the same as for the original FDDI. At the MAC level, two new components, referred to collectively as hybrid ring control (HRC), are added: the hybrid multiplexer (HMUX) and isochronous MAC (IMAC).

The IMAC module provides the interface between FDDI and the isochronous service, represented by the circuit-switched multiplexer

TABLE 6.4 Del	finitions of Data Transmission Mode	Se	
Term	FDDI Definition	CCITT Definition ¹	ISO Definition ²
Asynchronous transmission	A class of data transmission service whereby all requests for service contend for a pool of dynamically allocated ring bandwidth and response time.	The essential characteristic of time- scales or signals such that their corresponding significant instants do not necessarily occur at the same average rate.	Data transmission in which the time of occurrence of the start of each character, or block of characters, is arbitrary; once started, the time of occurrence of each signal representing a bit within the character, or block, has the same relationship to significant instants of a fixed time frame.
Synchronous transmission	A class of data transmission service whereby each requester is preallocated a maximum bandwidth and guaranteed a maximum access time.	The essential characteristic of timescales or signals such that their corresponding significant instants occur at precisely the same average rate.	Data transmission in which the time of occurrence of each signal representing a bit is related to a fixed time base.
Isochronous transmission	The essential characteristic of a time-scale or a signal such that the time intervals between consecutive significant instants either have the same duration or durations that are integral multiples of the shortest duration.	The essential characteristic of a time-scale or a signal such that the time intervals between consecutive significant instants either have the same duration or durations that are integral multiples of the shortest duration.	A data transmission process in which there is always an integral number of unit intervals between any two significant instants.

¹From CCITT G.701, Vocabulary of Digital Transmission and Multiplexing, and PCM Terms ²From the ISO 2382: Information Technology—Vocabulary.

.

243



FIGURE 6.10 FDDI-II Architecture

(CS-MUX). The HMUX multiplexes the packet data from the MAC and the isochronous data from IMAC.

Hybrid Mode

An FDDI-II network can operate in either basic or hybrid mode. In **basic mode**, only the packet-switched service, controlled by a circulating token, is available. In this mode, the network operates in the same fashion as the original FDDI. In **hybrid mode**, both packet and circuit services are available. An FDDI-II network typically starts out in basic mode to set up the timers and parameters necessary for the timed token protocol, then switches to hybrid mode.

When operating in hybrid mode, FDDI-II employs a continuously repeating protocol data unit referred to as a *cycle*. The cycle is a framing structure similar in principle to that used in synchronous transmission systems. The contents of the cycle are visible to all stations as it circulates around the ring. A station called the cycle master generates a new cycle 8,000 times per second, or once every 125 μ sec. At 100 Mbps, this works out to a cycle size of 12,500 bits. As each cycle completes its circuit of the ring, it is stripped by the cycle master.

Cycle Format and Channels. Figure 6.11 illustrates the format of the cycle, which consists of the following components:



FIGURE 6.11 FDDI-II Cycle Structure at 100 Mbps

- *Preamble:* a 5-symbol (20-bit) nondata stream. The actual size of the preamble will vary from 4 to 6 symbols to maintain synchronization in the face of jitter.
- *Cycle header:* 12-octet header containing information that defines the usage of the remainder of the cycle, as described below.
- Dedicated packet group: 12 octets always available for token-controlled packet transfer.
- Wideband channels: each consisting of 96 octets per cycle.

Each of the wideband channels, at 96 octets, provides a capacity of 6.144 Mbps. Each channel may be set aside for circuit switching or packet switching. If the channel is used for packet switching, then it is merged with the dedicated packet group octets and any other WBCs set aside for packet switching, to form one large channel dedicated to packet switching. This channel, referred to as the **packet data channel**,

÷.,...

is controlled by a circulating token; capacity is allocated on this channel as indicated in Figure 6.6. Thus the minimum capacity of the packet data channel is 768 Kbps, and it can grow in increments of 6.144 Mbps, to a maximum of 99.072 Mbps. The capacity allocation of FDDI-II can be summarized as follows:

	Number of bits	
	per cycle	Data rate (Mbps)
Overhead (cycle header + preamble	116	0.928
N channels of circuit- switched data	N × 768	N × 6.144
Packet data channel	96 + (16 - <i>N</i>) × 768	$0.768 + (16 - N) \times 6.144$
TOTAL	12,500	100

The IMAC sublayer within the HRC controls the WBCs that are used for circuit-switched traffic. Each 6.144-Mbps wideband channel can support a single isochronous channel. Alternatively, one WBC may be subdivided by IMAC into a number of subchannels. These separate subchannels permit simultaneous, independent, isochronous dialogues between different pairs of FDDI-II stations. Table 6.5 summarizes the possible subchannel sizes.

Cycle Header. The FDDI-II cycle header consists of the following fields (Figure 6.12):

• *Starting delimiter*: indicates the beginning of a cycle; it is represented by the JK symbol pair.

Bits/Cycle	Channel Rate (Kbps)	Possible Application and/or Current Channel Equivalents
1	8	Compressed voice, data
2	16	Compressed voice, data
4	32	Compressed voice, data
8	64	Voice, ISDN B channel
48	384	6 B channels, ISDN H0 channel
192	1536	24 B channels, ISDN H11 channel
192+1	1544	T1 carrier
240	1920	30 B channels, ISDN H12 channel
256	2048	E1 carrier
768	6144	FDDI-II WBC

TABLE 6.5 Possible WBC Subchannel Sizes

245

2 Symbols	5 1	1	2	<			>	2
SD Start Delimiter	C1 Synch Control	C2 Seq Control	CS Cycle Sequence	PO	P1		P15	IMC Isochronous Maint Chan
<> Programming Template>								

FIGURE 6.12 FDDI-II Cycle Header

- Synchronization control: used to establish the synchronization state of the ring. A value of *R* indicates that synchronization has not yet been established and that the cycle may be legally interrupted by another cycle. The C1 field is set to *R* during hybrid mode initialization or by any station that detects loss of cycle synchronization by not receiving a cycle with 125 μsec of the previous cycle. A value of *S* indicates that synchronization has been established; this value can be set only by the cycle master.
- *Sequence control:* indicates the status of cycle sequencing. A value of *R* indicates that either the cycle sequence has not yet been established or that a cycle sequence error has been detected; a value of *S* indicates that valid cycle sequence is established and stations can latch each CS value to compare to the CS value in the next cycle.
- *Cycle sequence:* takes the form NN where N is a data symbol. If the C1 and C2 fields both contain *R*, then the CS field is interpreted as containing a monitor rank. The monitor rank can take on a value from 0 to 63 and is used during the monitor contention process. During this process, monitor stations transmit their rank in the CS field and the station with the highest rank becomes the new cycle master. During normal operation, both C1 and C2 contain *S*, and CS contains a value between 64 and 255, representing the cycle sequence number. The cycle master increments this number by one for each new cycle, with 255 incremented to wrap around to 64.
- *Programming template:* Consists of 16 symbols, one for each WBC. An *R* value indicates that the corresponding WBC is part of the packet data channel, while an *S* indicates that the corresponding WBC is dedicated to isochronous traffic. The programming template is read by all stations, but may be modified only by the cycle master.
- *Isochronous maintenance channel:* dedicated to carry isochronous traffic for maintenance purposes. Its use is outside the scope of the present standard.

Operation

During normal operation, the activity on an FDDI-II network consists of a sequence of cycles generated by the cycle master. Stations communicate using circuit switching by sharing the use of a dedicated isochronous channel. Stations communicate using packet switching over the packet data channel, observing the rules imposed by the token ring protocol.

Initialization. Typically, the ring will be configured to initialize in basic mode. Once basic mode is established and operating, one or more stations may attempt to move the network to hybrid mode by issuing a cycle. One monitor station can be preassigned this task, or all monitor stations may compete. During the monitor contention process, each contending monitor station continually issues cycles with an R value in the C1 and C2 fields and its monitor value in the CS field. If a contender sees an incoming frame with a higher monitor value, it ceases to transmit its own cycles and simply repeats incoming cycles. Eventually, the monitor with the highest rank sees its own rank. It then issues cycles with an S value in the C1 and C2 fields and a cycle sequence number in the CS field.

Programming Template Maintenance. The cycle master maintains the programming template. The allocation of capacity between packet and circuit transmission may be modified dynamically by means of SMT requests to the cycle master. When a request for modification comes in, the cycle master waits until it receives the token on the packet data channel, to ensure that no other station's packet data are circulating on the ring. It then generates a new cycle with the new programming template and issues a new token on the packet data channel. Other FDDI-II stations will adjust to the new allocation as soon as they receive the new programming template.

6.3

IEEE 802.6

Although the IEEE 802.6 committee was chartered in 1982, it was only after a number of false starts that the committee has defined a technical approach to MANs that has achieved widespread support. The result is the IEEE 802.6 standard, which has been adopted by ANSI [IEEE90d].

The IEEE 802.6 standard is referred to as the Distributed Queue Dual Bus (DQDB) subnetwork standard. *DQDB* refers to the topology and access control technique employed, and *subnetwork* suggests that a single DQDB network will be a component in a collection of networks to provide a service.

Figure 6.13, based on one in IEEE 802.6, suggests the use of DQDB subnetworks. A subnetwork or set of subnetworks can be used as a public network controlled by a Bell operating company or other public pro-



FIGURE 6.13 DQDB MAN Made up of Subnetworks

vider, or as a private backbone network covering a building or set of buildings for a given user. To support services across a metropolitan area, a single DQDB network may range from a few kilometers to more than 50 km in extent. Subnetworks can operate at a variety of data rates.

DQDB subnetworks can be connected by bridges or routers. The links between a pair of bridges or routers can be point-to-point, or they can be a network such as a packet-switched network, a circuit-switched network, or ISDN.

Topology

The DQDB topology is that of a dual bus using unidirectional taps. Figure 6.14 is a logical block diagram of the basic configuration. Transmissions on the two buses are independent; thus the effective data rate of a DQDB network is twice the data rate of the bus.

For clarity in our discussion, we use the following terminology (not part of the 802.6 standard): upstream(A) refers to upstream on bus A; downstream(A) refers to downstream on bus A. The node that is upstream(A) of all other nodes is designated head of bus A, or head(A). Upstream(B), downstream(B), and head(B) have the obvious corresponding meanings.

249



· .



Synchronization and Timing. Transmission on each bus consists of a steady stream of fixed-size slots with a length of 53 octets. Nodes read and copy data from the slots; they also gain access to the subnetwork by writing to the slots. Head(A) is responsible for generating the slots on bus A, while head(B) is responsible for generating the slots on bus B. The slot-generation function is indicated by a solid circle in Figure 6.14b; the bus termination function is indicated by a solid square.

Operation of the subnetwork is controlled by a 125- μ sec clock. The timing interval was chosen to provide support for isochronous services; it reflects the 8-kHz public networking frequency required by voice services. The slot generators in head(A) and head(B) transmit multiple slots to the shared medium every 125 μ sec; the number of slots generated per clock cycle depend on the physical data rate.

Under normal conditions, the 125-µsec timing is provided by a single source. If the DQDB subnetwork is connected to a public telecommunications network, the timing may be provided by that network. Indeed, if the subnetwork is supporting certain isochronous services and is connected to a public network, it may be required that the timing be derived from the public network.

The alternative source of timing is a node within the DQDB subnetwork. One node would be designated for this purpose.

Looped Bus Topology. The topology depicted in Figure 6.14a is, for self-evident reasons, referred to as an open-bus topology. There is an alternative topology, depicted in Figure 6.15a, known as the looped-bus





.

ار ومراجع

topology. In this topology, the nodes are attached to the two buses to form two closed loops. The head(A) and head(B) roles are both played by the same node.

Note that the looped-bus topology resembles a dual-ring topology. The two, however, are different since the head(A,B) node does not repeat incoming transmissions on the corresponding outgoing link.

Reconfiguration. The DQDB subnetwork includes a reconfiguration capability in the event of the failure of a link or node. This feature is particularly effective in the case of the looped-bus topology, since full connectivity can be maintained.

Figure 6.15c shows the effect of the loss of a link on the looped-bus topology. The head(A) and head(B) functions migrate from the original head(A,B) node to the two nodes adjacent to the fault. The result is a fully connected open-bus topology. If a node adjacent to a break is not capable of performing the head-of-bus functions, then the node on the side of the fault that is nearest to the fault and capable of performing the head-of-bus functions is designated as head of one of the buses. The nodes that are passed over thus become isolated from the subnetwork.

When a fault occurs on an open bus topology, the best that can be done is to reconfigure as two separate open-bus subnetworks, as shown in Figure 6.14c.

Protocol Architecture

Figure 6.16 depicts the protocol architecture of the IEEE 802.6 DQDB standard. As with the IEEE 802 LAN standards, the DQDB standard is divided into three layers. The upper layer corresponds to the upper portion of the OSI data link layer. In the case of the 802 LAN standards, this is the LLC layer. In the case of 802.6, a number of different protocols can be supported at this layer.

The middle layer of 802.6 is referred to as the DQDB layer. This corresponds roughly with the MAC layer of the 802 LAN standards and, as with the MAC layer, regulates access to the shared medium. It corresponds to the lower portion of the OSI data link layer.

The lowest layer of the 802.6 architecture is, of course, the physical layer. This layer is defined to support a variety of physical transmission schemes.

DQDB Services. The layer above the DQDB layer is not part of the 802.6 protocol architecture as such. Rather, it serves to define the services that an 802.6 subnetwork must support. Three types of services have so far been defined: connectionless service, connection-oriented data service, and isochronous services. Convergence functions within





252

the DQDB layer adapt the underlying medium access service to provide a specific service to the user.

The **connectionless data service** provides support for connectionless communication via the LLC type 1 protocol (see Chapter 5). The connectionless media access service supports the transport of frames up to a length of 9188 octets. Transmission is in the form of fixed-length 52-octet segments. Accordingly, the service must include a segmentation and reassembly function.

The **connection-oriented service** supports the transport of 52-octet segments between nodes sharing a virtual channel connection. Thus, as

.

with the connectionless service, segmentation and reassembly are required. The control signaling required to establish, maintain, and clear a connection is outside the scope of the current 802.6 standard.

The **isochronous service** provides support for users who require a constant interarrival time. The service is provided over a logical isochronous connection. The control signaling required to establish, maintain, and clear a connection is outside the scope of the current 802.6 standard.

DQDB Layer. The DQDB layer can be viewed as being organized into three sublayers:

- Common functions
- Arbitrated functions
- Convergence functions

The **common functions** module deals with the relay of slots in the two directions and provides a common platform for asynchronous and isochronous services. In addition to the basic transmission and reception of slots, the common functions module is responsible for head-of-bus, configuration control, and MID page allocation functions.

The **head-of-bus function** is performed only by the one or two nodes designated as head of bus. It includes generating and transmitting slots. Each slot is a formatted data unit. Included in the header is a bit to indicate the type of slot; this is marked by the head-of-bus function to indicate whether this is a slot for isochronous data or asynchronous data. In the former case, the head also inserts the virtual channel identifier into the slot header.

The **configuration control function** is involved in the initialization of the subnetwork and its reconfiguration after a failure. An example of a configuration control function is the activation and deactivation of the head-of-bus functions at appropriate nodes during the process of reconfiguration.

The **MID** page allocation function participates in a distributed protocol with all nodes on the subnetwork to control the allocation of message ID values to nodes. The message ID is used in the segmentation and reassembly function, as described below.

The **arbitrated functions** are responsible for medium access control. There are two functions, corresponding to the two kinds of slots carried on the bus.

All slots on the bus are 53 octets in length, consisting of a 1-octet access control field and a 52-octet segment. The two types of slots generated on the network are queued arbitrated (QA) and prearbitrated (PA) slots.

PA slots are used to carry isochronous data. The **PA function** provides access control for the connection-oriented transfer over a guaranteed bandwidth channel of octets that form part of an isochronous octet stream. The PA function assumes the previous establishment of a connection. As a result of connection establishment, the PA function will be informed of the virtual channel ID (VCI) associated with this connection. The VCI is part of the access control field and is generated by the head-of-bus function. An isochronous connection may involve all of the segment octets in a slot; alternatively, a single segment may be shared by more than one isochronous connection. In the latter case, the PA will be informed of the VCI and the offset of the octets to be used for reading and writing within the multiple-user PA segment payload.

QA slots are used to carry asynchronous data. The **QA** function provides access control for asynchronous data transfer of 48-octet segment payloads. The QA function accepts the segment payloads from a convergence function and adds the appropriate segment header to form a segment. A distributed reservation scheme known as *distributed queuing* is used to provide medium access control. The MAC protocol is used to gain access to an available QA slot.

The DQDB layer is intended to provide a range of services. For each service, a **convergence function** is needed to map the data stream of the DQDB user into the 53-octet transmission scheme of the DQDB layer. The concept is the same as that of the ATM adaptation layer (AAL) used in BISDN. Three services have been identified so far:

- *Connectionless data transfer:* The standard fully specifies the convergence function to support the connectionless MAC data service to LLC.
- *Isochronous service*: The standard gives guidelines for the provision of an isochronous service.
- Connection-oriented data service: The convergence function for this service is under study.

The MAC convergence function (MCF) adapts the connectionless MAC service to the QA function. The key task here is one of segmentation and reassembly. MAC service units of a length up to 9188 octets must be transmitted in a sequence of slots. The MCF transmit process involves encapsulating the LLC PDU (MAC SDU) to form an initial MAC PDU (IMPDU). The IMPDU is segmented into segmentation units of 44 octets, each of which is carried in a QA slot. The segmentation and reassembly protocol is described below.

The **isochronous convergence function (ICF)** adapts an isochronous octet-based service to the guaranteed-bandwidth octet-based service of the PA function. The ICF is analogous to the isochronous MAC service of FDDI-II. The primary function of the ICF is buffering to allow for instantaneous rate differences between the PA service and the provided

isochronous service. This is because the PA function guarantees the average arrival and transmission rate of isochronous services but cannot guarantee that octets will be supplied at regular fixed intervals. The buffering ensures that a fixed interarrival time can be maintained.

A **connection-oriented convergence function (COCF)** is mentioned in the standard but not defined. The COCF would use the QA slots and the same segmentation and reassembly procedures as the MCF.

Physical Layer. The DQDB layer is independent of the physical layer. Therefore, a variety of DQDB networks can be implemented using the same access layer but operating at different data rates over different transmission systems. Three transmission systems are referenced in the standard:

- ANSI DS3: transmits data at 44.736 Mbps over coaxial cable or optical fiber.
- ANSI SONET (CCITT SDH): transmits data at 155.52 Mbps and above over single-mode optical fiber.
- CCITT G.703: transmits data at 34.368 Mbps and 139.264 Mbps over a metallic medium.

For each transmission system, a physical-layer convergence protocol is used to provide a consistent physical-layer service to the DQDB layer. The only physical-layer convergence function defined in the current standard is for DS3.

Distributed Queue Access Protocol

Access to QA slots on the DQDB medium is provided by the distributed queue access protocol. Although the basic mechanism of this protocol at any one node is straightforward, the resulting distributed activity is complex. In addition, the basic protocol is augmented by two features designed to optimize the protocol: bandwidth balancing and priorities. We begin with a general description of the basic protocol. This is followed by a more detailed discussion of the protocol mechanism and a worked-out example. Bandwidth balancing and priorities are covered in the final two subsections.

In discussing the distributed queue protocol, we need to remember that there are actually two media: bus A and bus B. Since the access control mechanisms are exactly the same with respect to bus A and bus B, we will generally confine ourselves to a discussion of access control of bus A, unless otherwise noted.

Description of the Basic Protocol. The distributed queue access protocol is a distributed reservation scheme. The two words suggest the key characteristics of the protocol: • *Reservation:* For most reservation schemes, including this one, time on the medium is divided into slots, much as with synchronous TDM. A node wishing to transmit reserves a future slot.

• *Distributed:* To accommodate changing requirements, the reservation scheme must be dynamic. That is, nodes make reservation requests when they have data to send. The function of granting requests in the IEEE 802.6 standard is distributed. That is, the network nodes collectively determine the order in which slots are granted.

The distributed reservation scheme for the DQDB subnetwork must take into account the nature of the topology. The essence of the protocol can be summarized as follows. Node X wishes to transmit a block of data to node Y. X must choose the bus on which Y is downstream from X. Let us assume that the bus is A; that is, Y is downstream(A) from X. For X to transmit a block of data in a slot to Y, it must use an available block coming from upstream(A). If the upstream(A) stations monopolize the medium, X is prevented from transmitting. Therefore, X's reservation request must be made to its upstream (A) peers. This requires the use of bus B, since those stations upstream(A) from X are also downstream(B) from X and capable of receiving a reservation request from X on bus B.

The protocol requires that each station defer its own need to transmit to the needs of its downstream peers. As long as one or more downstream peers have an outstanding reservation request, a station will refrain from transmitting, allowing unused slots to continue downstream. The key requirement for the protocol, then, is a mechanism by which each station can keep track of the requests of all of its downstream peers.

The actual behavior of a node will depend on its position on the bus. The four positions of significance (with respect to bus A) are illustrated in Figure 6.17, which shows a DQDB subnetwork with N nodes. Consider first **node (N-1)**, which is head(B). This node has no downstream(A) nodes, and therefore does not transmit data on bus A and does not need to make reservations on bus B. The only data transfer activity for node (N-1) on bus A is reception. The node reads all passing slots. Any QA slot with a destination address matching node (N-1) is copied.

Now consider the node closest to head(B), which in this case is labeled **node (N-2)**. Whenever this node needs to transmit a segment of data, it issues a request on bus B for an available slot on bus A. This is actually done by setting a request bit in a passing slot. Although node (N-2) makes reservations on bus B, it never receives any reservation requests on bus B: its only upstream(B) peer is node (N-1), which does not issue requests on bus B. On bus A, node (N-2) receives segments of





data addressed to it. In addition, when node (N-2) has data to send, and has issued a request, it may transmit its segment of data in the first free slot that passes. The first bit in each slot indicates whether the slot is free or busy.

A third node whose position is important is head(A), which is labeled **node 0** in the figure. As the head of bus A, this node is responsible for generating the stream of slots on bus A. Thus there will be no QA slots on bus A with data addressed to this node, and all QA slots originate as free slots. When this node has data to send to any other node, it can simply insert those data in the next QA slot that it generates. Because there are no upstream(B) peers of node 0, it has no need to issue requests.

However, head(A) is responsible for seeing that outstanding requests plus its own needs are satisfied in a round-robin, or first-come-firstserved, basis. To do this, node 0 must keep a running count of how many requests have arrived on bus B that have not yet been satisfied. Table 6.6a summarizes the required behavior. Head(A) keeps track of the number of outstanding requests, which is simply the difference between incoming QA slots with a request and outgoing QA slots that are free. If head (A) has a segment of data to send at time T, it must wait

TABLE 6.6Behavior of Nodes in Figure 6–17

(a) Behavior of Head(A) At instant of time when it is ready to issue the next QA slot on bus A

		One or more
	No preceding requests	preceding requests
	outstanding	outstanding
Head(A) has no data to send	Issue a free QA slot (busy bit set to zero).	Issue a free QA slot and reduce by one the count of preceding requests.
Head(A) has a segment of QA data to send	Issue a QA slot containing the data (busy bit set to one; destination address and data inserted); following requests, if any, now become preceding requests.	Issue a free QA slot and reduce by one the count of preceding requests.
А	t instant of time when it is receives th	e next
	QA slot on bus B	
		Incoming slot does
	Incoming slot contains a	not contain a
	request	request
Head(A) has no data to send	Add 1 to count of preceding requests	_
Head(A) has a segment of QA data to send	Add 1 to count of following requests	

 $\{ \cdot \}_{i=1}^{n-1}$
(b) Behavior of Node x At instant of time when it observes a free QA slot on bus A

	No preceding requests outstanding	One or more preceding requests outstanding
Node x has no data to send	Let free slot pass.	Let free slot pass and reduce by one the count of preceding requests.
Node has a segment of QA data to send and has previously issued a request on bus B	Set the busy bit to one on the passing slot and insert data; following requests, if any, now become preceding requests.	Let free slot pass and reduce by one the count of preceding requests.

At instant of time when it observes a QA slot on bus B

	Incoming slot contains a request	Incoming slot does not contain a request
Node x does not have an outstanding request	Add 1 to count of preceding requests	
Node x has a segment of QA data to send and has already issued a request for that segment	Add 1 to count of following requests	_
Node x has a segment of QA data to send and has not yet issued a request for that segment	le x has a Add 1 to count of Inse gment of QA data preceding requests pa send and has not re- t issued a request r that segment	

until it has satisfied all of the requests outstanding at that time by issuing free QA slots. Once it has satisfied all those requests, it may transmit its own segment. Meanwhile, head(A) must keep track of additional requests that arrive after time T. To distinguish between requests that arrive before and after time T, they are referred to as preceding and following requests respectively in Table 6.6.

One way to visualize this operation is to think of it in terms of tickets. Each time a request arrives, head(A) generates a ticket. Each time that head(A) issues a free QA slot, it discards the oldest ticket. When the node has its own data to send, it generates a ticket on its behalf and places it on the bottom of the stack. As additional tickets are generated by arriving requests, these are placed on the bottom of the stack. When head(A)'s ticket reaches the top of the stack, head(A) can issue a busy QA slot containing its data.

Finally, Figure 6.17 depicts the behavior of a node other than the three already discussed, labeled **node** x. Like node (N-2), whenever node x needs to transmit a segment of data, it issues a request on bus B for an available slot on bus A. In addition, like node 0, node x must keep a count of requests that pass by on bus B so that its own requests are handled fairly. On bus A, node x receives segments of data addressed to it. In order to enforce a round-robin discipline, node x must keep track of incoming requests that precede and follow its own request, in a manner similar to the behavior of node 0. When node x has data to send, and has issued a request, it may transmit its segment of data in a passing free slot only after all preceding requests have been satisfied. Table 6.6b, which is quite similar to Table 6.6a, summarizes the rules of behavior for node x.

Counter Mechanism. This mechanism can be described in terms of a distributed collection of FIFO (first in, first out) queues. At each node, a queue is formed for each bus. For each request read in a passing slot, the node inserts one item in the queue. When the node itself issues a request, it adds an item to the queue for itself. When its own item is at the top of the queue, the node may transmit in the next free QA slot. A node may have only one item for itself in each queue (one for each bus) at any time.

This queueing mechanism can be simply implemented with a pair of counters for each queue, as illustrated in Figure 6.18, which shows the counters used for transmission on bus A; a corresponding pair of counters is used by the same node for transmission on bus B. When the node is not ready to send, it keeps track of requests on bus B from its downstream(A) neighbors in a request count. Each time a request is observed (request bit is set), the count is increased by 1; each time a free slot passes on bus A, the count is decremented by 1 to a minimum count of 0.





At any time, the value of RQ represents the unmet need for free QA slots by the node's downstream(A) peers. The node is obligated to let this number of free slots pass before itself using a QA slot to transmit. Therefore, when the node does have data to transmit on bus A, it issues a request on bus B as soon as possible. The earliest opportunity will be the first slot to pass in which the request bit has not yet been set. Of course, while waiting for the opportunity to set the request bit, the node must continue to count passing requests in RQ. When the node does set the request bit on a passing slot on bus B, it immediately transfers the current value of RQ to a countdown count (CD) and resets RQ to 0. The node then decrements CD until it reaches 0, at which time the node may transmit on bus A in the next free QA slot. Meanwhile, the node counts new requests on bus B in RQ. The effect of the above is to maintain a single FIFO queue into which the node may insert its own request.

Note that the queue formation is such that a slot is never wasted on the subnetwork if there is a segment queued for it, because the CD count in the queued nodes represents the number of segments queued ahead. Since at any point in time one segment must have queued first, then at least one node is guaranteed to have a CD count of zero. It is that node that will access the next passing free QA slot.

This is a remarkably effective protocol. Under conditions of light load, the value of CD will be small or 0 and free QA slots will be frequent. Thus, with a light load, delay is negligible, a property shared by CSMA/CD protocols. Under heavy loads, virtually every free QA slot will be utilized by one of the waiting nodes. Thus, with a heavy load, efficiency approaches 100%, a property shared by token bus and token ring protocols. This combination of quick access under light load and predictable queueing under heavy load makes the protocol suitable for a MAN of high data rate that will carry a mix of bursty traffic (e.g., interactive use) and more sustained stream-like traffic (e.g., file transfers).

A Simple Example. Figure 6.19, adapted from an example in the 802.6 document, provides a simple example of the operation of the basic protocol. The example is limited to transmission of data on bus A; none of the nodes is a head-of-bus node.

The example starts at a point when there are no outstanding requests. At that point, all nodes have an RQ value of 0. Then, the following events occur:

- **a** Node E issues a request on bus B by changing the busy bit in a passing slot from 0 to 1. Each downstream(B) node (nodes A–D) increments its RQ counter. At the same time, node E transfers its RQ count to its CD count. In this case, the count is 0, so node E can transmit on bus A as soon as it sees a free QA slot.
- **b** Node B issues a request on bus B. The node transfers the value of RQ, which is 1, to CD and sets RQ to 0. This node will have to wait until a free QA slot passes on bus A before gaining access. Node A sees the request bit that has been set and increments its RQ value to 2.
- **c** Node C issues a request on bus B. C sets its CD value to 1 and its RQ value to 0. Node B increments its RQ value to 1. Note that B's CD value is unchanged; the arrival of new requests after B has issued its own request does not affect the timing of B's access to bus A. Node A increments its RQ to 3.
- **d** A free QA slot passes down bus A. Nodes A and D decrement their RQ counts. Nodes B and C decrement their CD counts. Node E has a CD of 0 and so can seize the free slot by changing the busy bit from 0 to 1 and inserting a QA segment.
- e Another free QA slot passes down bus A. Node A decrements its RQ count. Both nodes B and C are eligible to seize the free slot. However,

10.00



263

FIGURE 6.19 Example of the QA Protocol

the free slot passes node B first, which uses it to transmit a QA segment.

f Node C uses the next passing free slot to transmit. The system returns to its original state, in which all nodes have an RQ value of 0.

Note that the three requests are satisfied in the order issued. Thus the behavior of the network as a whole is that of a FIFO queue.

Priority Distributed Queueing. The distributed queueing protocol supports three levels of priority. Priority access control is absolute in that QA segments with a higher priority will always gain access ahead of segments at all lower levels. This is achieved by operating separate distributed queues for each level of priority.

Several refinements need to be made to the access method described so far to support priority. Each segment includes 3 request bits, one for each level of priority. A node wishing to transmit on bus A at a particular priority level sets the appropriate bit on the next slot on bus B for which that bit is 0. To keep track of these requests, each node must maintain 6 RQ counters, one for each priority level in each direction, and 6 CD counters.

The operation of the RQ and CD counters is specified in such a way as to achieve absolute priority. We need to consider the two cases of a request pending and no request pending by a node at a particular priority level for one of the buses. First, let us consider the case of a node that has no requests pending at a given priority level for bus A; the same description will also apply to bus B. The RQ count operating at that priority level will count requests at the same and higher priority levels. Thus the RQ count records all queued segments at equal and higher priorities. As before, the RQ count is decremented for each passing QA slot on bus B.

Now suppose that the node has a QA segment queued at a particular priority level for bus A. In our original definition of the CD count, this variable is decremented with passing QA slots on one bus and unaffected by traffic on the other bus. To account for priorities, we continue to decrement CD with every passing free QA slot on one bus, but increment CD for every request on the other bus that is of higher priority. This allows the higher-priority segments to claim access ahead of already queued segments. To avoid double counting, the RQ count is incremented only for requests of the same priority level; the higherpriority requests are already being counted in the CD count.

At the present time, the use of the priority levels is unspecified in the standard. The standard dictates that connectionless data segments (carry LLC PDUs) must operate at the lowest priority level (level 0). It is possible that control signaling messages or connection-oriented data might be assigned to one of the two higher-priority levels; this is a matter for further study.

Bandwidth Balancing. A problem can arise in the access control mechanism so far described under conditions of heavy load and a network of large extent. To understand the problem, which is one of bandwidth unfairness, we first need to clarify the relationship between data that a node needs to send, the use of requests, and the use of free slots. This relationship is illustrated in Figure 6.20, taken from the 802.6 document. The relationship concerns data generated at a node to be transmitted in QA segments. The DQDB user (i.e., LLC) provides service data units to the DQDB layer. Each block of arriving data is broken up into one or more segments and placed in a FIFO segment queue awaiting transmission. There are six such queues, one for each of three levels of priority on each of the two buses.

The figure shows the relationships for one of the six segment queues. A segment transmit queue is used to hold a segment that is awaiting a free slot on the bus. When a segment is transferred from the segment queue to the transmit queue, a request needs to be issued on the other bus. It may not be possible to issue the request immediately, since the node must wait for a passing slot in which the corresponding request bit has not yet been set. Therefore, a request queue is needed, which holds the requests until they can be issued. Each time that an empty





request bit on a passing slot is set, one item is removed from the request queue.

The DQDB protocol dictates that once a node has issued a request for a free QA slot, it cannot issue another request until the first one is satisfied. To enforce this, the transmit queue can hold only one segment. If there is a segment in the transmit queue, it may be issued when the corresponding CD count is zero and an empty QA slot passes. If there is no segment in the transmit queue, one item from the segment queue may be transferred to the transmit queue, accompanied by the insertion of an entry in the request queue.

We are now in a position to explain the bandwidth unfairness problem, using an example in [HAHN90]. Consider two nodes that are transmitting very long messages on bus A: call the upstream(A) node 1 and the downstream (A) node 2; no other nodes require access to bus A. Define the following:

- D = the number of slots in transit between nodes 1 and 2; this is obviously a direct function of the physical length of the medium between the two nodes. Assume an integer value.
- Δ = the difference in the arrival times of the messages from DQDB users to the DQDB entities. That is, (time that the first segment is placed in the segment queue of node 2) - (time that the first segment is placed in the segment queue of node 1)

$c(\Delta) =$ a function that clips its argument to the range [-D,D]

P = number of requests plus idle slots circulating between the two nodes

Once both nodes have received messages from their users (both nodes have begun filling their segment queues), node 1 leaves slots idle only in response to requests from node 2. Therefore, once node 2 begins to receive QA slots from node 1, the only idle slots node 2 receives are in response to its earlier requests. Each idle slot received by node 2 results in a segment being transmitted, a new segment being placed in the transmit queue, and a request being issued on bus B. Therefore the value of P is constant; let us refer to these conserved entities as *permits*. This quantity determines the throughput of the downstream node. We can express P as follows:

$$P = 1 + D - c(\Delta)$$

To verify this equation, consider two extreme cases. First, assume that a message arrives from node 1's user more than *D* time units before node 2 has a message to send ($\Delta \ge D$). In that case, node 1 will fill the bus with data and will allow a free slot to pass only when it receives a request from node 2. When node 2 is ready to transmit, it must issue a request and wait for that request to reach node 1 and for a free slot to return. In this instance, there is only one permit in the network: P = 1. At the other extreme is the case of $\Delta \le -D$. Initially, only node 2 is active. It inserts its first segment in the transmit queue and sends its first reservation request. The first segment is transmitted immediately in a free slot. The node continues to transmit segments and issue requests in this fashion. By the time node 1 is ready to transmit, bus B is already carrying *D* requests. In the time that it takes for node 1's first segment to reach node 2, node 2 injects another *D* requests, so that $P \approx 2D$.

Now define the following quantities:

 γ_1 = steady-state throughput of node 1 (in segments per slot time)

 γ_2 = steady-state throughput of node 2 (in segments per slot time)

Q = average value of CD at node 1

γ

Note that, at any instant in time, permits can be stored in the request channel (bus B between nodes 1 and 2), in the data channel (bus A between nodes 1 and 2), and in the counter CD at node 1. Some thought should convince you that the following relationships hold:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & & & \gamma_{2} & = & 1 \\ & & & \gamma_{1} & = & 1/Q \\ & & & \gamma_{2} & = & P/T \\ & & T & = & 2D \, + \, Q \end{array}$$

Solving these equations, we have:

$$\gamma_{1} = \frac{2}{2 - D - c(\Delta) + \sqrt{(D - c(\Delta) + 2)^{2} + 4Dc(\Delta)}}$$

$$\gamma_{2} = 1 - \gamma_{1}$$

Note that if the nodes are very close together ($D \approx 0$), or if they start transmitting at about the same time ($\Delta \approx 0$), then each node gets about half of the capacity. However, if *D* is very large (large network) and the downstream node starts later, its predicted throughput rate is only about

 $\frac{1}{2D}$. Node 1 also suffers a penalty if it starts later, though not as great;

its worst case rate is approximately $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2D}}$.

As [HAHN90] points out, one way of explaining the bandwidth unfairness phenomenon of DQDB is that the protocol pushes the system too hard. In its attempt to use every single slot on the bus, the protocol causes request queues to build up in the nodes that never recede. The refinement proposed in the paper, and subsequently adopted by IEEE 802.6, "leaks" some bandwidth to prevent the hogging of bandwidth in overload situations. The technique is known as **bandwidth balancing**.

In ordinary DQDB, a node may transmit a segment when its CD count is zero and the current QA slot is free. Bandwidth balancing permits the node to transmit only a fraction α of that time. This is achieved by artificially incrementing RQ after every β segments are transmitted; thus $\alpha = \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta}$. This forces the node to send an extra free slot downstream after using β free slots. For example, if $\alpha = 0.9$ ($\beta = 9$), then after every 9 QA segments transmitted, the node lets an extra slot pass. To implement this scheme, one more counter, called the *trigger counter*, is needed for each direction at each node. The trigger counter is incre-

equals β , it is set to 0 and RQ is incremented by 1. The parameter β , called *bandwidth balancing modulus*, or *BWB_MOD*, in the standard must be set in each node. The value may be set between 0 and 64, with a default value of 8. A value of 0 disables the bandwidth

mented by 1 every time a QA segment is transmitted. When the counter

balancing function. One BWB_MOD is associated with each bus, but no distinction is made on the basis of priority. When BWB_MOD resets to 0, the RQ counts for that bus are incremented for all priority levels for which no QA segment is queued, and the CD counts for that bus are incremented for all priority levels for which a QA segment is queued.

The standard recommends that bandwidth balancing be enabled for a bus that spans a distance that is greater than the effective length of one 53-octet slot, which is approximately the following: 2 km at 44.376 Mbps (DS3 rate) 546 m at 155.520 Mbps (STM-1 rate) 137 m at 622.080 Mbps (STM-4 rate)

DQDB Protocol Data Units

A rather complex set of protocol data unit formats is used to support the DQDB layer functions.

Slot. As we have already discussed, the basic unit of transfer on a DQDB subnetwork is the 53-octet slot. The slot consists of a 1-octet header and a 52-octet segment; its format is shown in Figure 6.21a.

The slot header, referred to as the *access control field*, contains the bits that control slot access. The fields are:

- *Busy*: indicates whether the slot contains information or is free.
- *Slot type:* indicates whether this is a QA slot or a PA slot. The combination of busy bit and slot-type bit is referred to as the slot access control field.
- *Previous slot reserved:* indicates whether the segment in the previous slot may be cleared or not. This bit is set by a node when the immediately preceding slot contains a QA segment destined only for that node. The use of this bit is for further study.
- Reserved: set to 00; reserved for future use.
- *Request:* three request bits for the three priority levels.



FIGURE 6.21 IEEE 802.6 PDU Formats

Segment. Each slot contains a 52-octet segment that may be either a QA segment or a PA segment. Both types of segments consist of a 4-octet header followed by a 48-octet segment payload. The QA and PA segment headers have the identical format, with some differences in interpretation of the fields. The formats are shown in Figure 6.21b. The header fields are:

- Virtual channel identifier: identifies the virtual channel, or logical connection, to which the segment belongs. The VCI value of all ones corresponds to the connectionless MAC service. Other nonzero VCI values are available for use for the connection-oriented data service and isochronous services.
- *Payload type:* indicates the nature of the data to be transferred. The field could be used by DQDB subnetworks interconnected via bridges, where this value could differentiate between user data and network signaling and management data. The default value for both PA and QA segments is 00; all other values are for further study.
- *Segment priority:* reserved for future use with multiport bridges. A multiport bridge is one that connects three or more subnetworks.
- *Header check sequence:* covers the segment header, and is used for the detection of errors and the correction of single-bit errors.

Transfer of MAC Service Data Units. The DQDB layer provides the MAC service by accepting MAC service data units (LLC PDUs) from a DQDB user and transmitting each to a destination DQDB user. Since the QA segment format limits the protocol to a segment payload of 48 octets, it is clear that a segmentation and reassembly function must be performed. The approach that is taken to this function is depicted in Figure 6.22. An arriving MAC SDU is encapsulated into an initial MAC PDU (IMPDU), which includes an IMPDU header and trailer plus the entire MAC SDU. This IMPDU is then segmented into 44-octet *segmentation units*, each of which can be fit into a derived MAC PDU (DMPDU). The DMPDU includes the 44-octet segmentation unit plus a header and trailer for a total length of 48 octets. Thus each 48-octet DMPDU fits into a single QA segment, which in turn fits into a single QA slot.

A MAC SDU is transferred within an **initial MAC Protocol Data Unit** (**IMPDU**). An IMPDU is transferred between peer MAC convergence function protocol entities. The format of an IMPDU is shown in Figure 6.23. The IMPDU is constructed by adding the following major elements to a variable-length MAC SDU, which is stored in the INFO field:

- Common PDU header: carried in all DQDB-layer PDUs supporting frame-based bursty data services.
- *MCP header*: specific to the MAC convergence protocol, and therefore specific to the transfer of a MAC SDU.

ţ.



FIGURE 6.22 PDUs for Support of MAC Service

IMPD	U Header					Common VDU
Common PDU Header	MAC Convergence Protocol Header	Header Extension	INFO	PAD	CRC 32	Trailer
4 octets	20	+	*	#	ļ	4

+ = In the range 0 to 20 octets inclusive, in steps of 4 octets * = Contains the MAC SDU; up to and including 9188 octets

= 0, 1, 2, or 3 octets, as needed to make the total length of the INFO plus PAD

fields an integral multiple of 4 octets



FIGURE 6.23 Initial MAC PDU Format

· • · ·

- *Header extension:* provides the optional capability to convey additional IMPDU protocol control information that may be standardized in the future. An example of its use would be to convey service-provider-specific information in cases where this protocol is used to access the services of a public network.
- *PAD*: contains the minimum number of octets so that the total length of the INFO field plus the PAD field is an integral multiple of four octets.
- *CRC 32:* provides the optional capability for including a 32-bit CRC, calculated over all the fields of the MCP header, the header extension field, the INFO field, and the PAD field.
- *Common PDU trailer:* carried in all DQDB-layer PDUs supporting frame-based bursty data services.

The common PDU header consists of three fields:

- *Reserved*: reserved for future use.
- *Beginning-end tag:* an 8-bit sequence number associated with an IMPDU and incremented by one (modulo 256) for successive IMP-DUs sent by the node. This value is used in segmentation and reassembly, explained below.
- *Buffer allocation size:* the total length of the IMPDU, exclusive of the common PDU header and trailer. This alerts the receiver to buffer space requirements. Again, this value is used in segmentation and reassembly.

The MCP header consists of the following fields:

- Destination address: the MAC address of the destination node.
- *Source address:* the MAC address of the source node.
- *PI/PL:* the protocol identification subfield identifies the MAC service user to which the INFO field is to be sent. The pad-length subfield indicates the length of the PAD field in the IMPDU.
- *QOS/CIB/HEL*: the QOS delay subfield indicates the requested quality of service for an IMDPU with respect to delay in accessing the subnetwork. The value is based on the priority requested by the MAC user. The QOS loss bit is currently reserved. It may be used for congestion control at bridges by indicating which IMPDUs are eligible for discard. The CRC32 Indicator bit indicates the presence or absence of the CRC32 field in the IMPDU. The header-extension-length subfield gives the length of the header extension field in the IMPDU, in units of 4 octets.
- *Bridging:* Reserved for future use for MAC-level bridging. One use for this field would be a hop count: after an IMPDU has passed through a given number of bridges, it would be discarded.

The two address fields have the format shown in Figure 6.23. The first 4 bits indicate the address type. Support for 48-bit addresses is man-

datory. Support for 16-bit addresses is optional. Both of these address types conform to the MAC address format for IEEE 802. Support is also optional for 60-bit addresses, which may be publicly administered (local MAN operator) or privately administered.

The **common PDU trailer** contains the same information in the same format as the common PDU header. The same value is inserted into the beginning-end tag field in both header and trailer, and the same value is inserted in the buffer allocation size and length fields.

As Figure 6.22 illustrates, an IMPDU is segmented into one or more **derived MAC protocol data units (DMPDUs).** Each DMPDU carries a 44-octet portion of the IMPDU, known as a **segmentation unit**. In addition, each DMPDU has a header and trailer.

The **DMPDU header** contains the following fields:

- Segment type: There are four types of DMPDUs. A single segment message (SSM) contains an entire IMPDU. If the IMPDU is segmented into two or more DMPDUs (Figure 6.22), the first DMPDU is the beginning of message (BOM), the last DMPDU is the end of message (EOM), and any intermediate DMPDUs are a continuation of message (COM).
- Sequence number: This is used in reassembling an IMPDU to verify that all of the DMPDU segmentation units have been received and concatenated properly. A value of the sequence number is set as a BOM and incremented for each successive COM and the EOM for a single IMPDU.
- *Message identifier:* This is a unique identifier associated with the set of DMPDUs that carry a single IMPDU. Again, this number is needed to ensure proper reassembly.

The **DMPDU trailer** contains the following fields:

- *Payload length:* indicates the number of octets from the IMPDU that occupy the segmentation unit of the DMPDU. The number has a value between 4 and 44 octets, in multiples of 4. The value will always be 44 for BOM and COM DMPDUs. It is a lesser number in an SSM if the IMPDU is less than 44 octets in length. It is a lesser number in an EOM if the length of the IMPDU is not an integer multiple of 44 octets in length, necessitating the use of a partially filled EOM.
- Payload CRC: a 10-bit CRC on the entire DMPDU.

6.4

RECOMMENDED READING

[KESS92] is a clear and technically detailed account of both FDDI and DQDB. [SLON91] contains good overviews of the two standards. Both

[ABEY91] and [RUBI90] compare FDDI and DQDB with many other high-speed LAN and MAN systems. [KARO90] summarizes the two standards and examines enhancements to each to improve performance. [SACH88] and [MAXE88] survey MAC techniques for fiber bus networks.

6.5

PROBLEMS

- **6.1** Compare the capacity allocation schemes of token bus, 803.5 token ring, and FDDI. What are the relative pros and cons?
- **6.2** Rework the example of Figure 6.5 using a TTRT of 12 frames and assuming that no station ever has more than 8 asynchronous frames to send.
- **6.3** Assess CSMA/CD, token bus, and the DQDB scheme as MAC algorithms for a MAN. Justify the assertion that DQDB is best suited to the requirements of a bus-based MAN.
- 6.4 How many slots are spread out on the DQDB bus in each direction for a 30-km bus running at 150 Mbps?
- 6.5 Suppose that two nodes are randomly placed on a bus; that is, each is placed independently and the position of each is chosen from a uniform distribution over the length of the bus. For a bus of length *L*, show that the expected distance between the two nodes is ¹/₃.
- **6.6** The bandwidth balancing technique is one method of overcoming the unfairness of the DQDB protocol. Another proposed solution is referred to as reservation request control (RRC). The basic idea of RRC is to prevent unfair access to request bits. This is accomplished by providing downstream nodes with information about the number of upstream nodes, and allowing each node to have multiple outstanding requests for the same bus and priority level. If a node knows that there are *N* upstream(A) nodes that need access to bus A, it may send a request on the first available slot on bus B and then it must defer to the *N* upstream(A) nodes by allowing *N* slots available for sending requests to pass on bus B before it tries to send its next request. In this case, each upstream(A) node can use one of these slots to send a request.
 - **a.** Suggest a dynamic means of implementing RRC that allows the node to consider only the upstream nodes that may want to send rather than the physical total of all upstream nodes.
 - **b.** Compare RRC with bandwidth balancing, in terms of fairness and in terms of efficient use of the medium.

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 288

CHAPTER 7

Circuit-Switched Local Networks

Up until now, we have been looking at local networks that use packet switching. For many observers, this is the only kind of local network there is. But there is an alternative, based on the older circuit-switched approach. As we shall see, the differences in architecture and design issues are striking. We will also learn, perhaps to your surprise, that underneath, the similarities are equally striking.

The chapter begins by summarizing the characteristics of a star topology local network. Then we look at the digital switching concepts that underlie this type of network. Next we look at the devices most commonly used to build local networks (although these are rarely thought of as "true" local networks)—digital data switches. We are at last ready to look at the digital private branch exchange (PBX). Finally, the digital PBX and LAN are compared.

7.1

STAR TOPOLOGY NETWORKS

A star topology network, as described in Chapter 3, consists in essence of a collection of devices or stations attached to a central switching unit. Circuit switching is used; the central switch establishes a dedicated path between any two devices that wish to communicate.





²⁷⁶

FIGURE 7.1 Star Topology Elements

Figure 7.1 depicts the major elements of a star topology network. The heart of a modern system is a digital switch. The advent of digital switching technology has dramatically improved the cost, performance, and capability of circuit-switched networks. Key to the operation of such systems are that (1) all signals are represented digitally, and (2) synchronous time-division multiplexing (TDM) techniques are used.

The network interface element represents the functions and hardware needed to connect digital devices, such as data processing devices and digital telephones, to the network. Analog telephones can also be attached if the network interface contains the logic for converting to digital signals. Trunks to external systems may also be attached. These may include analog voice trunks and digital TDM lines.

The control unit performs three general tasks. First, it establishes connections. This is generally done on demand, that is, at the request of an attached device. To establish the connection, the control unit must handle and acknowledge the request, determine if the intended destination is free, and construct a path through the switch. Second, the logic must maintain the connection. Since the digital switch uses time-division principles, this may require ongoing manipulation of the switching elements. However, the bits of the communication are transferred transparently. This is in contrast to the packet switching used on LANs and HSLNs, which are sensitive to the transmission protocol and can be considered content dependent. Third, the logic must tear down the connection, either in response to a request from one of the parties or for its own reasons.

Star networks may be either one-sided or two-sided. In a one-sided system, all attachment points are viewed the same: A connection can be established between any two devices. In a two-sided system, attachment points are grouped into two classes and a connection can be established only between two devices from different classes. A typical application of the latter is the connection of a set of terminals to a set of computer ports; in many cases, only terminal-to-port connections are allowed.

An important characteristic of a star topology network is whether it is blocking or nonblocking. Blocking occurs when the network is unable to connect two stations because all possible paths between them are already in use. A blocking network is one in which such blocking is possible. Hence a nonblocking network permits all stations to be connected at once and grants all possible connection requests as long as the called party is free. When a network is supporting only voice traffic, a blocking configuration is generally acceptable, since it is expected that most phone calls are of short duration and that, therefore, only a fraction of the telephones will be engaged at any time. However, when data processing devices are involved, these assumptions may be invalid. For example, for a data entry application, a terminal may be continuously connected to a computer for hours at a time. [BHUS85] reports that typical voice connections on a PBX have a duration of 120 to 180 seconds, whereas data calls can have a range of from 8 seconds to 15 hours. Hence, for data applications, there is a requirement for a nonblocking or "nearly nonblocking" (very low probability of blocking) configuration.

7.2

DIGITAL-SWITCHING CONCEPTS

The technology of switching has a long history, most of it covering an era when analog signal switching predominated. With the advent of PCM and related techniques, both voice and data can be transmitted via digital signals. This has led to a fundamental change in the design and technology of switching systems. Instead of dumb space-division systems, modern digital-switching systems rely on intelligent control of space- and time-division elements.

This section looks at the concepts underlying contemporary digital switching (good discussions can be found in [SKAP79], [JOEL77], [JOEL79a], [JOEL79b], and [FLEM79]). We begin with a look at spacedivision switching, which was originally developed for the analog environment and has been carried over into digital technology. Then the various forms of time-division switching, which were developed specifically to be used in digital switches, are examined. Later sections discuss how these concepts are implemented in digital data switches and digital PBXs.

Space-Division Switching

The *space-division switch* is, as its name implies, one in which paths between pairs of devices are divided in space. Each connection requires the establishment of a physical path through the switch that is dedicated solely to the transfer of signals between the two end points. The basic building block of the switch is an electronic crosspoint or semiconductor gate [ABBO84] that can be enabled and disabled by a control unit.

Figure 7.2a shows a simple crossbar matrix with n inputs and m outputs. Interconnection is possible between any input line and any output line by engaging the appropriate crosspoint. The crossbar depicts a bilateral arrangement: there is a distinction between input and output. For example, input lines may connect to terminals, while output lines connect to computer ports. The crossbar switch is said to perform concentration, distribution, or expansion according as n > m, n = m, or n < m.

The crossbar matrix makes a distinction between input and output: any input can connect to any output. It requires $n \times m$ crosspoints. However, if the inputs and outputs are the same, then n = m and the requirement is that any end point can connect to any other end point. This requires only a triangular array of n(n - 1)/2 crosspoints (Figure 7.2b) and is referred to as a folded configuration.

The crossbar switch has a number of limitations or disadvantages:

• The number of crosspoints grows with *n*². This is costly for large *n* and results in high capacitive loading on any message path.



(b) Triangular Switch



- The loss of a crosspoint prevents connection between the two devices involved.
- The crosspoints are inefficiently utilized.

To overcome these limitations, multiple-stage switches are employed. The N input lines (inlets) are broken up into N/n groups of n lines. Each group of lines goes into a first-stage matrix. The outputs of the first-stage matrices become inputs to a group of second-stage matrices, and so on. Figure 7.3 depicts a three-stage network of switches that is symmetric; that is, the number of inlets to the first stage equals the number of outlets from the last stage. There are k second-stage matrices, each with N/n inlets and N/n outlets. Each first-stage matrix has k outlets so that it connects to all second-stage matrices.

This type of arrangement has a couple of advantages over the simple crossbar switch:

- The number of crosspoints is reduced (see below), increasing crossbar utilization.
- There is more than one path through the network to connect two end points, increasing reliability.

Of course, a multistage network requires a more complex control scheme. To establish a path in a single-stage network, it is only neces-



Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 293

sary to open a single gate. In a multistage network, a free path through the stages must be determined and the appropriate gates enabled.

A consideration with a multistage space-division switch is that it may be blocking. It should be clear from Figure 7.2 that a crossbar matrix is nonblocking; that is, a path is always available to connect an input to an output. That this is not always the case with a multiple-stage switch can be seen in Figure 7.4. The figure shows a three-stage switch with N = 9, n = 3, and k = 3. The heavier lines indicate lines that are already in use. In this stage, input line 9 cannot be connected to either output line 4 or 6, even though both of these output lines are available.

It should be clear that by increasing the value of k (the number of outlets from each first-stage switch and the number of second-stage switches), the probability of blocking is reduced. What value of K is required for a nonblocking three-stage switch? The answer is depicted in Figure 7.5. Consider that we wish to establish a path from input line a to output line b. The worst-case situation for blocking occurs if all of the remaining n - 1 input lines and n - 1 output lines are busy and are connected to different center-stage switches. Thus a total of (n - 1) + (n - 1) = 2n - 2 center switches are unavailable for creating a path from a to b. However, if one more center-stage switch exists, the appropriate links must be available for the connection. Thus, a three-stage network will be nonblocking if

$$k = 2n - 1 \tag{7.1}$$



FIGURE 7.4 Example of Blocking in a Three-Stage Switch



FIGURE 7.5 Nonblocking Three-Stage Switch

We now return to our claim that a multiple-stage switch requires fewer crosspoints than a single-stage switch. From Figure 7.3, the total number of crosspoints N_x in a three-stage switch is

$$N_x = 2Nk + k \left(\frac{N}{n}\right)^2 \tag{7.2}$$

281

Substituting Equation (7.1) into (7.2),

$$N_x = 2N(2n - 1) + (2n - 1)\left(\frac{N}{n}\right)^2$$
(7.3)

for a nonblocking switch. The actual value as a function of *N* depends on the number of arrays (N/n) in the first and third stages. To optimize, differentiate N_x with respect to *n* and set the result to 0. For large *N*, the result converges to $n = (N/2)^{1/2}$. Substituting into (7.3),

$$N_x = 4N(\sqrt{2N} - 1)$$
(7.4)

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 295

TABLE 7.1	Number of	Crosspoints	in	а
Nonblocking	g Switch			

Number of Lines	Number of Crosspoints for Three-Stage Switch	Number of Crosspoints for Single-Stage Switch
128	7,680	16,384
512	63,488	262,144
3,048	516,096	4.2×10^{6}
8,192	4.2×10^{6}	6.7×10^{7}
32,768	3.3×10^{7}	1×10^{9}
131,072	2.6×10^{8}	1.7 × 10 ¹⁰

Table 7.1 compares this value with the number of crosspoints in a singlestage switch. As can be seen, there is a savings, which grows with the number of lines.

A further discussion of this topic can be found in [JAS]83] and [JORD85].

Time-Division Switching

In contrast to space-division switching, in which dedicated paths are used, *time-division switching* involves the partitioning of a slower-speed data stream into pieces that share a higher-speed data stream with other data pieces. The individual pieces or slots are manipulated by the control logic to route data from input to output. Three concepts comprise the technique of time-division switching:

- TDM bus switching
- Time-slot interchange (TSI)
- Time-multiplex switching (TMS)

TDM Bus Switching

As discussed in Chapter 2, TDM is a technique that allows multiple signals to share a single transmission line by separating them in time. In this chapter we are concerned primarily with synchronous TDM, that is, a situation in which time slots are preassigned so that few or no overhead bits are required.

As shown in Figure 7.6a, synchronous TDM was designed to permit multiple low-speed streams to share a high-speed line. This permits multiple channels of data to be handled efficiently both within and outside switching systems. A set of inputs is sampled in turn. The samples are organized serially into slots (channels) to form a recurring frame of

283

7.2 Digital-Switching Concepts



(a) Synchronous Time Division Multiplexing



(b) A Simple Time-Division Switch

(c) A Simple Folded Time-Division Switch

FIGURE 7.6 TDM Bus Switching

n slots. A slot may be a bit, a byte, or some longer block. An important point to note is that with synchronous TDM, the source and destination of the data in each time slot are known. Hence there is no need for address bits in each slot.

The mechanism for synchronous TDM may be quite simple. For example, each input line deposits data in a buffer; the multiplexer scans these buffers sequentially, taking fixed-size chunks of data from each buffer and sending them out on the line. One complete scan produces one frame of data. For output to the lines, the reverse operation is performed, with the multiplexer filling the output line buffers one by one.

The I/O lines attached to the multiplexer may be synchronous or asynchronous; the multiplexed line between the two multiplexers is synchronous and must have a data rate equal to the sum of the data rates of the attached lines. Actually, the multiplexed line must have a slightly higher data rate, since each frame will include some overhead bits headers and trailers—for synchronization.

The time slots in a frame are assigned to the I/O lines on a fixed, predetermined basis. If a device has no data to send, the multiplexer must send empty slots. Thus the actual data transfer rate may be less than the capacity of the system.

Figure 7.6b shows a simple way in which TDM can be used to achieve switching. A set of buffered input and output lines is connected through controlled gates to a high-speed digital bus. Each input line is assigned a time slot. During that time, the line's gate is enabled, allowing a small burst of data onto the bus. For that same time slot, one of the output line gates is also enabled. Since the enabling and disabling of gates is controlled, the sequence of input and output line activations need not be in the same order. Hence a form of switching is possible. Curiously, this technique has no commonly used name; we shall refer to it as TDM bus switching.

Of course, such a scheme need not be two-sided. As shown in Figure 7.6c, a folded switch can be devised by attaching n I/O pairs to the bus. Any attached device achieves full-duplex operation by transmitting during one assigned time slot and receiving during another. The other end of the connection is an I/O pair for which these time slots have the opposite meanings.

The TDM bus switch has an advantage over a crossbar switch in terms of efficient use of gates. For *n* devices, the TDM bus switch requires 2n gates or switchpoints, whereas the most efficient multistage crossbar network requires on the order of $n\sqrt{n}$ switchpoints.

Let us look at the timing involved a bit more closely. First, consider a nonblocking implementation of Figure 7.6c. There must be *n* repetitively occurring time slots, each one assigned to an input and an output line. We will refer to one iteration for all time slots as a frame. The input assignment may be fixed; the output assignments vary to allow various connections. When a time slot begins, the designated input line may insert a burst of data onto the line, where it will propagate to both ends past all other lines. The designated output line will, during that time, copy the data if any as they go by. The time slot, then, must equal the transmission time of the input line plus the propagation delay between input and output lines. In order to keep the successive time slots uniform, time slot length should be defined as transmission time plus the end-to-end bus propagation delay. For efficiency, the propagation delay should be much less than the transmission time. Note that only one time slot or burst of data may be on the bus at a time.

To keep up with the input lines, the slots must recur sufficiently frequently. For example, consider a system connecting full-duplex lines at 19.2 kbps. Input data on each line are buffered at the gate. The buffer must be cleared, by enabling the gate, fast enough to avoid overrun. So if there are 100 lines, the capacity of the bus must be at least 1.92 Mbps. Actually, it must be higher than that to account for the wasted time due to propagation delay.

These considerations determine the traffic-carrying capacity of a blocking switch as well. For a blocking switch, there is no fixed assignment of input lines to time slots; they are allocated on demand. The data rate on the bus dictates how many connections can be made at a time. For a system with 200 devices at 19.2 kbps and a bus at 2 Mbps, about half of the devices can be connected at any one time.

The TDM bus-switching scheme can accommodate lines of varying data rates. For example, if a 9600-bps line gets one slot per frame, a 19.2-kbps line would get two slots per frame. Of course, only lines of the same data rate can be connected.

Figure 7.7 is an example that suggests how the control for a TDM bus switch could be implemented. Let us assume that propagation time on the bus is zero. Time on the bus is organized into 30- μ s frames of six 5- μ s slots each. A control memory indicates which gates are to be enabled during each time slot. In this example, six words of memory are needed. A controller cycles through the memory at a rate of one cycle every 30 μ s. During the first time slot of each cycle, the input gate from device 1 and the output gate to device 3 are enabled, allowing data to pass from device 1 to device 3 over the bus. The remaining words are accessed in



FIGURE 7.7 Control of a TDM Bus Switch

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 299

succeeding time slots and treated accordingly. As long as the control memory contains the contents depicted in Figure 7.7, connections are maintained between 1 and 3, 2 and 5, and 4 and 6.

Several questions may occur to you. For one, is this circuit switching? Circuit switching, recall, was defined as a technique in which a dedicated communications path is established between devices. This is indeed the case for Figure 7.6. To establish a connection between an input and output line, the controller dedicates a certain number of time slots per frame to that connection. The appropriate input and output gates are enabled during those time slots to allow data to pass. Although the bus is shared by other connections, it is nevertheless used to create a dedicated path between input and output. Another question: Is this synchronous TDM? Synchronous TDM is generally associated with creating permanent dedicated time slots for each input line. The scheme depicted in Figure 7.6 can assume a dynamic character, with the controller allocating available time slots among connections. Nevertheless, at steady state—a period when no connections are made or broken—a fixed number of slots is dedicated per channel and the system behaves as a synchronous time-division multiplexer.

The control logic for the system described above requires the enabling of two gates to achieve a connection. This logic can be simplified if the input burst into a time slot contains destination address information. All output devices can then always connect to the bus and copy the data from time slots with their address. This scheme blurs the distinction between circuit switching and packet switching.

This point bears further comment. In the bus reservation schemes described in Chapter 6, a device that has a quantity of data to send reserves sufficient future slots to handle that data. After the data are sent, the reservation goes away until the station again wants to send. In the TDM bus-switching scheme, a station reserves one or more time slots per frame for the indefinite future by requesting a connection. The reservation lasts until a disconnect is requested. The logic of the two schemes is very close.

Another point: The LAN/HSLN bus and the TDM bus switch differ only in geometry, not topology. The LAN or HSLN bus involves a relatively long bus with stations attached via relatively short lines. The star topology of the TDM bus switch actually involves a relatively short bus with stations attached via relatively long lines. This difference is crucial, of course: The timings on the shorter bus are amenable to greater control because of the much shorter propagation delay. Also, as we shall see, not all digital-switch architectures use a pure TDM bus switch. Nevertheless, the implication of the preceding discussion is valid: the differences between the technologies and architectures of the various types of networks discussed in this book are less than one might think.

Time-Slot Interchange. The basic building block of many time-division switches is the *time-slot interchange* (TSI) mechanism. A TSI unit operates on a synchronous TDM stream of time slots, or channels, by interchanging pairs of slots to achieve full duplex operation. Figure 7.8a shows how the input line of device I is connected to the output line of device J, and vice versa.

We should note several points. The input lines of N devices are passed through a synchronous multiplexer to produce a TDM stream with N slots. To achieve interconnection, the slots corresponding to two inputs are interchanged. This results in a full-duplex connection between two lines. To allow the interchange of any two slots, the incoming data in a slot must be stored until they can be sent out on the right channel in the next frame cycle. Hence the TSI introduces a delay and produces output slots in the desired order. These are then demultiplexed and routed to the appropriate output line. Since each channel is provided a time slot in the frame, whether or not it transmits data, the



(b) TSI Mechanism FIGURE 7.8 Time-Slot Interchange (TSI)

287

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 301

size of the TSI unit must be chosen for the capacity of the TDM line, not the actual data transfer rate.

Figure 7.8b depicts a mechanism for TSI. Individual lines are multiplexed and demultiplexed. These functions can be integrated as part of the switch itself, or they may be implemented remotely, as a deviceclustering mechanism. A random-access data store whose width equals one time slot of data and whose length equals the number of slots in a frame is used. An incoming TDM frame is written sequentially, slot by slot, into the data store. An outgoing TDM frame is created by reading slots from the memory in an order dictated by an address store that reflects the existing connections. In the figure, the data in channels I and J are interchanged, creating a full-duplex connection between the corresponding stations.

TSI is a simple, effective way to switching TDM data. However, the size of such a switch, in terms of number of connections, is limited by the memory access speed. It is clear that, in order to keep pace with the input, data must be read into and out of memory as fast as they arrive. So, for example, if we have 24 sources operating at 64 kbps each, and a slot size of 8 bits, we would have an arrival rate of 192,000 slots per second (this is the structure of the PCM T1 carrier). Memory access time would need to be 1/192,000, or about 5 µs.

Let us look more closely at the operation of the data store; in particular, we need to view it as a function of time. As an example [DAV173], consider a system with eight input/output lines, in which the following connections exist: 1–2, 3–7, and 5–8. The other two stations are not in use. Figure 7.9 depicts the contents of the data store over the course of one frame (eight slots). During the first time slot, data are stored in location 1 and read from location 2. During the second time slot, data are stored in location 2 and read from location 1. And so on.

As can be seen, the write accesses to the data store are cyclic, that is, accessing successive locations in sequential order, whereas the read accesses are acyclic, requiring the use of an address store. The figure also depicts two frames of the input and output sequences and indicates the transfer of data between channels 1 and 2. Note that in half the cases, data slots move into the next frame.

As with the TDM bus switch, the TSI unit can handle inputs of varying data rates. Figure 7.10 suggests a way in which this may be done. Instead of presenting the input lines to a synchronous multiplexer, they are presented to a selector device. This device will select an input line based on a channel assignment provided from a store controlled by the time-slot counter. Hence, instead of sampling equally from each input, it may gather more slots from some channels than others.

Time-Multiplexed Switching. As we have seen, a TSI unit can support only a limited number of connections. Further, as the size of the unit



FIGURE 7.9 Operation of a TSI Store



FIGURE 7.10 TSI Operation with Variable-Rate Input

289

grows, for a fixed-access speed, the delay at the TSI grows. To overcome both of these problems, multiple TSI units are used. Now, to connect two channels entering a single TSI unit, their time slots can be interchanged. However, to connect a channel on one TDM stream (going into one TSI) to a channel on another TDM stream (going into another TSI), some form of space-division multiplexing is needed. Naturally, we do not wish to switch all of the time slots from one stream to another; we would like to do it one slot at a time. The technique is known as *timemultiplexed switching* (TMS).

Multiple-stage networks can be built up by concatenating TMS and TSI stages: TMS stages, which move slots from one stream to another, are referred to as S, and TSI stages are referred to as T. Systems are generally described by an enumeration of their stages from input to output, using the symbols T and S. Figure 7.11 is an example of a two-stage TS network. Such a network is blocking. For example, if one channel in input stream 1 is to be switched to the third channel in output stream 1, and another channel in input stream 1 is to be switched to the connections is blocked.

To avoid blocking, three or more stages are used. Some of the more common structures used in commercially available systems are [SKAP79]:

- TST
- TSSST
- STS



FIGURE 7.11 Two-Stage Digital Switch

290

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 304

TSTST

The requirements on the TMS unit are stringent. The unit must provide space-division connections between its input and output lines, and these connections must be reconfigured for each time slot. This requires, in effect, a control store whose width is sufficient to handle the number of ingoing and outgoing lines and whose length equals the number of time slots in a frame.

One means of implementing the TMS stage is the crossbar switch discussed earlier. This requires that the crosspoints be manipulated at each time slot. More commonly, the TMS stage is implemented by digital selectors (SEL) that select only one input at a time on a time-slot basis. These SEL devices are the same as those described in the preceding section, except that here each of their inputs is a TDM stream rather than a single line. Figure 7.12 shows STS and TST networks implemented with the SEL units.

In an STS network, the path between an incoming and outgoing channel has multiple possible physical routes equal to the number of TSI units. For a fully nonblocking network, the number of TSI units must be double the number of incoming and outgoing TDM streams. On the other hand, the multiple routes between two channels in a TST network are all in the time domain; there is only one physical path possible. Here, too, blocking is a possibility. One way to avoid blocking is by expanding the number of time slots in the space stage. In all multistage networks, a path-search algorithm is needed to determine the route from input to output.

It is interesting to compare the TDM bus switch with TSI and TMS. It does not exactly fit into either category. Compare it to a space switch. The TDM bus switch does connect any input with any output, as in a crossbar or SEI switch. The space switch operates simultaneously on all inputs, whereas the TDM bus switch operates on the inputs sequentially. However, because the frame time on the bus is less than the slot time of any input, the switching is effectively simultaneous. On the other hand, a comparison of Figures 7.8 and 7.6b reveals the similarity between TSI and TDM bus switching.

7.3

DIGITAL DATA-SWITCHING DEVICES

The techniques discussed in the preceding section have been used to build a variety of digital-switching products designed for data-only applications. These devices do not provide telephone service and are generally cheaper than a digital PBX of comparable capacity.

SSTSS



(a) Space-Time-Space Network





FIGURE 7.12 Three-Stage TDM Switches

The variety of devices is wide and the distinction between types is blurred. For convenience, we categorize them as follows:

- Terminal/port-oriented switch
- Data switch

In what follows we will look at the functions performed by each type of device and suggest an architecture that supports those functions. Keep in mind that usually any of the techniques in Section 7.2, or any combination, may be used to implement any of these switches. The discussion here is intended only to give examples.

Before turning to the specific device types, let us look at the requirements for data switching.

Data-Switching Requirements

For any circuit-switching system used to connect digital-data-transmitting devices, certain generic requirements can be defined. These requirements apply both to pure digital-data-switching devices and to digital PBX systems. We begin first by looking briefly at the data transmission techniques that must be supported by a data switch and then look at the functions to be performed.

The devices attached to a data switch will use either asynchronous or synchronous transmission. Asynchronous transmission, recall, is character-at-a-time. Each character consists of a start bit, 5 to 8 data bits, a parity bit, and a stop signal, which may be 1, 1.5, or 2 bit times in length. Logic is available that can automatically determine character length, parity, and even bit rate. Hence it is a relatively easy matter for a data switch to handle asynchronous transmission. On input, data are accumulated a character at a time and transmitted internally using synchronous transmission. At the other end of the connection, they are buffered and transmitted a character at a time to the output line. This applies to any switch using time-division switching techniques. Of course, a pure space-division switch need not concern itself with such matters; a dedicated physical path is set up and bits are transmitted transparently.

Synchronous transmission represents a greater challenge. Synchronous communication requires either a separate clock lead from the transmission point to the reception point or the use of a self-clocking encoding scheme, such as Manchester. The latter technique is typical. With synchronous communication, the data rate must be known ahead of time, as well as the synchronization pattern (bits or characters used to signal the beginning of a frame). Thus there can be no universal synchronous interface.

Of course, for either synchronous or asynchronous transmission, full duplex operation is required. Typically, this requires two twisted pairs (known as a *twin pair*) between a device and the switch, one for transmission in each direction. This is in contrast to the case with analog signaling where a single twisted-pair suffices (see Figure 2.4). Recently, however, some vendors have begun to offer full-duplex digital signaling on a single twisted pair, using a *ping-pong protocol*. In essence, data are buffered at each end and sent across the line at double the data rate, with the two ends taking turn. So, for example, two devices may communicate, full-duplex, at 56 kbps, if they are attached to a 112-kbps line and the line drivers at each end buffer the device data and transmit, alternately, at 112 kbps. In fact, a somewhat higher data rate is required to account for propagation delay and control signals.

We turn now to the functions to be provided by a data switch. The most basic, of course, is the making of a connection between two attached lines. These connections can be preconfigured by a system operator, but more dynamic operation is often desired. This leads to two additional functions: port contention and port selection. *Port contention* is a function that allows a certain number of designated ports to contend or access to a smaller number of ports. Typically, this is used for terminal-to-host connection to allow a smaller number of host ports to service a larger number of terminal ports. When a terminal user attempts to connect, the system will scan through all the host ports in the contention group. If any of the ports is available, a connection is made.

Port selection is an interactive capability. It allows a user (or an application program in a host) to select a port for connection. This is analogous to dialing a number in a phone system. Port selection and port contention can be combined by allowing the selection, by name or number, of a contention group. Port-selection devices are becoming increasingly common. A switch without this capability only allows connections that are preconfigured by a system operator. If one knows in advance what interconnections are required, fine. Otherwise, the flexibility of port selection is usually worth the additional cost.

An interactive capability carries with it an additional responsibility: the control unit of the switch must be able to talk to the requesting port. This can be done in two ways. In some cases, the manufacturer supplies a simple keypad device that attaches to and shares the terminal's line. The user first uses the keypad to dial a connection; once the connection is made, communication is via the terminal. As an alternative, the connection sequence can be effected through the terminal itself. A simple command language dialogue is used. However, this technique requires that the system understand the code and protocol being used by the terminal. Consequently, this feature is generally limited to asynchronous ASCII devices.

Terminal/Port-Oriented Switches

The devices discussed in this section were designed to address a specific problem: the connection of interactive terminals to computer ports. In many computer sites with one or more time-sharing systems and a population (usually growing) of terminals, means must be found for inter-connection.

One means of connection is simply to assign each terminal to a specific computer port, even when not active. This is expensive in terms of computer ports, since generally only a fraction of the terminals are logged on. Further, the user cannot change to a different computer without making cable changes. Another approach is to use multiple dial-up telephone rotaries, for each computer and each transmission speed. The
rotary allows a user to call a single number and gain access to one of several autoanswer modems; if all modems are busy, the rotary returns a busy signal. The approach ties up telephone lines for extended periods and requires the use of modems.

One early solution that avoided some of the expenses mentioned above was the patch panel. This device enabled manual connection of two lines and could also provide some system monitoring and diagnostics. The addition of intelligence to this type of device to eliminate the manual connection function has resulted in a variety of intelligent terminal/port-oriented switches. A variety of names are used, depending partly on function, including intelligent path panel, port selector, and port-contention device.

At a minimum, these devices permit a set of connections to be set up and periodically updated by a system operator. Port-selection and portcontention functions are also provided on many products.

Figure 7.13 is an example of a noninteractive (without port selection) system. The system allows connection of one I/O port to any other I/O port on the same or a different port card. Connections are set up at system initialization time and may be changed dynamically by the system operator (not the user). The means of establishing connections is simple. Each port has associated with it a destination address register. To connect two ports, the address of each is placed in the other. To transmit data, the sending device puts its data (8 bits) and the destination address (8 bits) on the bus. All devices continually monitor the bus for their own address. The switch is nonblocking, allowing the preassignment of time slots to transmitting devices. Receiving devices need not know the time slot for reception since they are looking for an address. Thus, at the cost of 100% overhead, the control logic is greatly simplified.

Figure 7.14 is an example of a port-selection system. A collection of line modules is scanned to produce a TDM stream that is passed over a bus to a switch module. The output of the switch module is a switched set of time slots that are directed to the proper port. Note the redundant architecture for reliability.

Data Switches

Not much else needs to be said about these devices. No distinction is made between terminal lines and ports. The switch simply has a set of I/O lines and is capable of establishing connections between lines. Any or a combination of the digital-switching techniques described in Section 7.2 may be used. Some or all of the functions described in this section may be provided.

1/O Ports Port Card #F •~• **€**2 1/0 Ports Port Card #E Panel I/O Ports Port Card #D EIA Connectors System Control Console System Logging Printer 1/O Cable Tele-test Interface High Speed 👕 Clock Bus High Speed Data Bus Master Timing Cards I/O Adaptor Control Bus Primary M-Processor FIGURE 7.13 Example of a Port Contention System (From [BYTE85]) System MUX Bus Baek-up (Secondary) M - Processor Downstream Autoswitch Extension Unit Control Card (EUCC) I/O Cable Micro-processor Bus Upstream Autoswitch 1/O Ports Port Card #1 1/O Cable Network Channel Interface Card (NCIC) I/O Ports Port Card #1 1/O Cable +5 Option Cards

296

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 310





FIGURE 7.14 Example of a Port Selection System (From [VONA80])

7.4

THE DIGITAL PRIVATE BRANCH EXCHANGE

Evolution of the Digital PBX

The digital PBX is a marriage of two technologies: digital switching and telephone exchange systems. The forerunner of the digital PBX is the *private branch exchange* (PBX). A PBX is an on-premise facility, owned or leased by an organization, that interconnects the telephones within the facility and provides access to the public telephone system. Typically, a telephone user on the premises dials a three- or four-digit number to call another telephone on the premises, and dials one digit (usually 8 or 9) to get a dial tone for an outside line, which allows the caller to dial a number in the same fashion as a residential user.

The original private exchanges were manual, with one or more operators at a switchboard required to make all connections. Back in the 1920s, these began to be replaced by automatic systems, called *private automatic branch exchanges* (PABX), which did not require attendant intervention to place a call. These first-generation systems used electromechanical technology and analog signaling. Data connections could be made via modems. That is, a user with a terminal, a telephone, and a modem or acoustic coupler in the office could dial up an on-site or remote number that reached another modem and exchange data.

The second-generation PBXs were introduced in the mid-1970s. These systems use electronic rather than electromagnetic technology and the internal switching is digital. Such a system is referred to as a digital PBX, or *computerized branch exchange* (CBX). These systems were designed primarily to handle analog voice traffic, with the codec function built into the switch so that digital switching could be used internally. The systems were also capable of handling digital data connections without the need of a modem.

The third-generation systems are touted as "integrated voice/data" systems, although the differences between third generation and upgraded second generation are rather blurred. Perhaps a better term is "improved digital PBX." Some of the characteristics of these systems that differ from those of earlier systems include:

- *The use of digital phone:* This permits integrated voice/data work-stations.
- Distributed architecture: Multiple switches in a hierarchical or meshed configuration with distributed intelligence provides enhanced reliability.
- *Nonblocking configuration:* Typically, dedicated port assignments are used for all attached phones and devices.

As new features and technologies are employed, incremental improvements make difficult the continuing classification of PBXs into generations. Nevertheless, it is worth noting recent advances in PBX products that, together, might be considered to constitute a fourth generation [JEWE85, COOV85]:

- *Integrated LAN link:* This capability provides a direct high-speed link to a LAN. This allows an optimum distribution of lower-speed devices (terminals) on the PBX and higher-speed devices (computers) on the LAN in a fashion that is fully transparent to the user.
- *Dynamic bandwidth allocation:* Typically, a PBX offers one or only a small number of different data rate services. The increased sophistication of capacity allocation within the PBX allows it to offer virtually any data rate to an attached device. This allows the system to grow as user requirements grow. For example, full-motion color video at 448 kbps or advanced voice codecs at 32 kbps could be accommodated.
- *Integrated packet channel:* This allows the PBX to provide access to an X.25 packet-switched network.

It is worthwhile to summarize the main reasons why the evolution described above has taken place. To the untrained eye, analog and digital PBXs would seem to offer about the same level of convenience. The analog PBX can handle telephone sets directly and it uses modems to accommodate digital data devices; the digital PBX can handle digital data devices directly and it uses codecs to accommodate telephone sets. Some of the advantages of the digital approach are

- *Digital technology:* By handling all internal signals digitally, the digital PBX can take advantage of low-cost LSI and VLSI components. Digital technology also lends itself more readily to software and firm-wave control.
- *Time-division multiplexing:* Digital signals lend themselves readily to TDM techniques, which provide efficient use of internal data paths, access to public TDM carriers, and TDM switching techniques that are more cost effective than older, cross-bar techniques.
- *Digital control signals:* Control signals are inherently digital and can easily be integrated into a digital transmission path via TDM. The signaling equipment is independent of the transmission medium.
- *Encryption:* This is more easily accommodated with digital signals.

Telephone Call Processing Requirements

The characteristic that distinguishes the digital PBX from a digital data switch is its ability to handle telephone connections. Freeman [FREE89] lists eight functions required for telephone call processing:

- Interconnection
- Control
- Attending
- Busy testing
- Alerting
- Information receiving
- Information transmitting
- Supervision

The interconnection function encompasses three contingencies. The first contingency is a call originated by a station bound for another station on the digital PBX. The switching technologies that we have discussed are used in this context. The second contingency is a call originated by a digital PBX station bound for an external recipient. For this, the PBX must not only have access to an external trunk, but must perform internal switching to route the call from the user station to the trunk interface. The PBX also performs a line-to-trunk concentration function to avoid the expense of one external line per station. The third

contingency is a call originated externally bound for a PBX station. Referred to as *direct inward dialing*, this allows an external caller to use the unique phone number of a PBX station to establish a call without going through an operator. This requires trunk-to-line expansion plus internal switching.

The control function includes, of course, the logic for setting up and tearing down a connection path. In addition, the control function serves to activate and control all other functions and to provide various management and utility services, such as logging, accounting, and configuration control.

The PBX must recognize a request for a connection; this is the attending function. The PBX then determines if the called party is available (busy testing) and, if so, alerts that party (alerting). The process of setting up the connection involves an exchange of information between the PBX and the called and calling parties. Note how dramatically this differs from the distributed packet-switching approach of LANs and HSLNs.

Finally, a supervisory function is needed to determine when a call is completed and the connection may be released, freeing the switching capacity and the two parties for future connections.

Let us look more closely at the sequence of events required to successfully complete a call. First, consider an internal call from extension 226 to extension 280. The following steps occur:

- 1: 226 goes off-hook (picks up the receiver). The control unit recognizes this condition.
- 2. The control unit finds an available digit receiver and sets up a circuit from 226 to the digit receiver. The control unit also sets up a circuit from a dial-tone generator to 226.
- 3. When the first digit is dialed, the dial-tone connection is released. The digit receiver accumulates dialed digits.
- 4. After the last digit is dialed, the connection to the digit receiver is released. The control unit examines the number for legitimacy. If it is not valid, the caller is informed by some means, such as connection to a rapid busy signal generator. Otherwise, the control unit then determines if 280 is busy. If so, 226 is connected to a busy-signal generator.
- 5. If 280 is free, the control unit sets up a connection between 226 and a ring-back-tone generator and a connection between 280 and a ringer.
- 6. When 280 answers by going off-hook, the ringing and ring-back connections are dropped and a connection is set up between 226 and 280.
- 7. When either 280 or 226 goes on-hook, the connection between them is dropped.

For outgoing calls, the following steps are required:

- 1–3. As above. In this case the caller will be dialing an access code number (e.g., the single digit 9) to request access to an outgoing trunk.
 - 4. The control unit releases the connection to the digit receiver and finds a free trunk group and sends out an off-hook signal.
 - 5. When a dial tone is returned from the central office, the control unit repeats steps 2 and 3.
 - 6. The control unit releases the connection to the digit receiver and sends the number out to the trunk and makes a connection from the caller to the trunk.
 - 7. When either the caller or the trunk signals on-hook, the connection between them is dropped.

There are variations on the foregoing sequence. For example, if the PBX performs least-cost routing, it will wait until the number is dialed and then select the appropriate trunk.

Finally, incoming calls, when direct inward dialing is supported, proceed as follows.

- 1. The control unit detects a trunk seizure signal from the central office and sends a start-dialing signal out on that trunk. It also sets up a path from the trunk to a digit receiver.
- 2. After the last digit is received, the control unit releases the path, examines the dialed number, and checks the called station for busy, in which case a busy signal is returned.
- 3. If the called number is free, the control unit sets up a ringing connection to the called number and a ring-back connection to the trunk. It monitors the called station for answer and the trunk for abandon.
- 4. When the called station goes off-hook, the ringing and ring-back connections are dropped and a connection is set up between the trunk and the called station.
- 5. When either the trunk or called station signals on-hook, the connection between them is dropped.

As you can see, the requirements for setting up a telephone connection are more complex than those for a data connection.

Advanced Services

The digital PBX is very application oriented. That is, a considerable portion of the design and development effort is spent fitting the product directly to the application.

In a digital PBX, a distinction is made between advanced services that are possible with digital control and the "plain old telephone services"

TABLE 7.2 Typical PBX Features

Automatic Call Distribution

A call to one number is spread among a group of telephones. When a call comes in, it is routed to the next available phone in rotary fashion.

Automatic Callback

When the caller rings a busy number the caller is alerted and may hang up. The system will monitor the called number until it is free; it may also alert the called party with a beep on the line. When the called number is free, the system rings the caller and re-places the call. The same service can be provided for gaining access to a special outside line, such as a WATS circuit.

Call Detail Recording

The details of telephone traffic are measured and recorded, including a history of numbers called and call duration from each phone. The record includes both internal calls and external calls plus the related charges.

Call Forwarding

Permits a station to be set so that incoming calls are automatically referred to another telephone: (1) when the station subscriber expects to be away and/or (2) when the subscriber is using the telephone line.

Call Intercept

When a busy number is called, the call is intercepted by a message center or operator.

Call Notification

Enables the PBX to notify a user already engaged in a call of an incoming call. The user than has the choice of accepting, rejecting, or ignoring the waiting call. Some systems permit "executive override," permitting a calling party literally to interrupt an ongoing conversation.

Call Pickup

This feature is useful in an office with many phones. If another phone in the room rings and there is no one to pick it up, a subscriber at a nearby phone can dial a code that transfers the call to that subscriber.

Call Transfer

When two subscribers are connected, one may transfer the call to a third party and leave the connection. This service is different from the call-forward service since, in this case, the call to be transferred must have an established end-to-end connection prior to the transfer.

Conference Call

Permits the addition of extensions to a two-party call.

Direct Inward Dialing

Enables an outside call to be placed directly to a PBX user without attendant intervention.

Direct Outward Dialing

A subscriber can dial a call on the public network without assistance from an operator.

Don't Disturb

)

Allows a station user to instruct the PBX not to ring through a call to the telephone.

TABLE 7.2 (Cont.)

External Number Repetition

Similar to automatic callback but to an external number. The system will repeatedly call a busy external number until the number is free and begins ringing. At that point the system rings the caller.

Outgoing Call Restriction

Certain extensions are prevented from making certain categories of calls (e.g., any outgoing call, any outgoing long-distance call).

Route Optimization

When more than one option exists for outside calls (e.g., more than one carrier, WATS, etc.) the system will pick the cheapest available route at each point in time.

Three-party Service

The possibility for a busy subscriber to hold the existing call and make a call to a third party. The following arrangements may then be possible: the ability to switch between the two calls, the introduction of a common speech path between the three parties, and the connection of the other two parties.

(POTS) of an older PBX; the call processing functions just described are examples of the latter. Table 7.2 lists advanced services that are typically found in digital PBX products.

Data-Switching Requirements

The data-switching requirements for a digital PBX are the same as those for a digital data switch. Typically, a terminal user will be requesting connection to a computer port. The same issues of speed, asynchronous/synchronous, and calling technique arise.

There are several new wrinkles. The PBX may support a voice/data workstation with one twisted pair for the phone and two pairs for the terminal. In such arrangements, the destination port may be selected from the phone rather than the terminal or a keypad.

The PBX has the advantage of direct connection to outgoing telephone lines. The terminal user who wishes to access an external computer need not have a telephone and a modem; the PBX can provide the link-up service. Typically, the connection is to an outgoing analog voice line. To provide the proper service, the PBX maintains a pool of modems that can be used by any data device to communicate over the external lines.

The exact implementation of the modem pool depends on the architecture of the PBX, but some strange contortions may be required. Consider the case of a PBX whose switching capability consists of a TDM bus switch. Figure 7.15 illustrates this. A device wishing to communicate outside will be connected to an available modem in the pool. The modem produces analog signals that must be switched to an outgoing



Chapter 7 Circuit-Switched Local Networks

FIGURE 7.15 Use of a Modem in a Digital PBX

analog trunk. But the PBX switches only digital signals! Therefore, the modem output is routed to a codec that digitizes the data and puts them back onto the TDM bus. They are then routed to a trunk interface, where the signal is converted back to analog and sent on its way.

The most important characteristic is the internal integration of data and digitized voice. The same switching mechanism is used for both. Therefore, both must conform to common slot size and timing conventions. This is a requirement not faced by the digital switch designer.

Digital PBX Architecture

A variety of architectures have been developed by digital PBX manufacturers. Since these are proprietary, the details are not generally known in most cases. In this section, we attempt to present the general architecture features common to all PBX systems.

Digital PBX Components. Figure 7.16 presents a generic PBX architecture. You should find it quite similar to the data-switching architecture we have discussed. Indeed, since the requirements for the PBX are a superset of those for the data switch, a similar architecture is not surprising.

As always, the heart of the system is some kind of digital-switching network. The switch is responsible for the manipulation and switching of time-multiplexed digital-signal streams, using the techniques described in Section 7.2. The digital-switching network consists of some



FIGURE 7.16 Generic Digital PBX Architecture

number of space- and time-switching stages. Many of the PBXs are not sufficiently large, in terms of lines or capacity, to require complex switching networks. Indeed, some have no network as such, but simply use a TDM bus switch.

Attached to the switch are a set of interface units that provide access to and from the outside world. Typically, an interface unit will perform a synchronous time-division multiplexing function in order to accommodate multiple incoming lines. On the other side, the unit requires two lines into the switch for full-duplex operation.

It is important to understand that the interface unit is performing synchronous and not asynchronous TDM, even though connections are dynamically changing. On the input side, the unit performs a multiplex operation. Each incoming line is sampled at a specified rate. For n incoming lines each of data rate x, the unit must achieve an input rate of nx. The incoming data are buffered and organized into chunks of timeslot size. Then, according to the timing dictated by the control unit, individual chunks are sent out into the switch at the internal PBX data rate, which may be in the range 50 to 500 Mbps. In a nonblocking switch, n time slots are dedicated to the interface unit for transmission, whether or not they are used. In a blocking switch, time slots are assigned for the duration of a connection. In either case, the time-slot assignment is fixed for the duration of the connection, and synchronous TDM techniques may be used.

On the output side, the interface unit accepts data from the switch during designated time slots. In a nonblocking switch these may be dedicated (requiring more than a simple TDM bus switch), but are in any case fixed for the duration of the connection. Incoming data are demultiplexed, buffered, and presented to the appropriate output port at its data rate.

Several types of interface units are used. A data line group unit handles data devices, providing the functions described in Section 7.3. An analog voice line group handles a number of twisted-pair phone lines. The interface unit must include codecs for digital-to-analog (input) and analog-to-digital (output) conversion. A separate type of unit may be used for integrated digital voice/data workstations, which present digitized voice at 64 kbps and data at the same or a lower rate. The range of lines accommodated by interface units is typically 8 to 24.

In addition to multiplexing interface units that accommodate multiple lines, trunk interface units are used to connect to off-site locations. These may be analog voice trunks or digital trunks, which may carry either data or PCM voice. Whereas a line interface unit must multiplex incoming lines to place on the switch, the demultiplex switch traffic to send to the lines, the trunk unit must demultiplex and multiplex in both directions (see Figure 7.17). Consider an incoming digital line with nchannels of time-multiplexed data (the argument is the same for an analog trunk, which presents n channels of frequency-multiplexed voice). These data must be demultiplexed and stored in a buffer of length *n* units. Individual units of the buffer are then transmitted out to the switch at the designated time slots. Question: Why not pass the TDM stream directly from input to the bus, filling n contiguous time slots? Actually, in a nonblocking dedicated port system, this is possible. But for a system with dynamic time-slot assignment, the incoming data must be buffered and sent out on time slots that vary as connections are made and broken.

The other boxes in Figure 7.16 can be explained briefly. The control unit operates the digital switch and exchanges control signals with attached devices. For this purpose, a separate bus or other data path is used; control signals generally do not propagate through the switch itself. As part of this or a separate unit, network administration and control functions are implemented. Service units would include such things as tone and busy-signal generators and dialed-digit registers. Some PBX systems provide protocol converters for connecting dissimilar lines. A connection is made from each line to the protocol converter.

It should be noted that this generic architecture lends itself to a high degree of reliability. The failure of any interface unit means the loss of

7.4 The Digital Private Branch Exchange



307

FIGURE 7.17 Operation of a Trunk Interface Unit

only a small number of lines. Key elements such as the control unit can be made redundant.

Distributed Architecture. For reasons of efficiency and reliability, many PBX manufacturers offer distributed architectures for their larger systems. The PBX is organized into a central switch and one or more distributed modules, with coaxial or fiber optic cable between the central switch and the modules, in a two-level hierarchical star topology.

The distributed modules off-load at least some of the central-switch processor's real-time work load (such as off-hook detection). The degree to which control intelligence is off-loaded varies. At one extreme, the modules may be replicas of the central switch, in which case they function almost autonomously with the exception of certain overall management and accounting functions. At the other extreme, the modules are as limited as possible.

A distributed architecture means that it will often be necessary to concatenate several connections to achieve a connection between two devices. Consider Figure 7.18. A connection is desired between lines a and b. In module A, a connection is established between line a and one channel on a TDM trunk to the central switch. In the central switch, that channel is connected to a channel on a TDM trunk to module B. In module B, that channel is connected to line b.

There are several advantages to a distributed architecture:

- It permits growth beyond the practical size of a single digital switch.
- It provides better performance by off-loading of functions.
- It provides higher reliability: the loss of a single module need not disable the entire system.
- It reduces twisted-pair wiring distances.



Modular Architecture. The discussion so far has concerned what might be termed "traditional" digital-switch architecture. More recently, a modular-switch architecture has been developed based on the use of one module type for all switching stages [KAJI83]. A single module contains both time and space switching.

A major motivation for going to a modular architecture is to overcome some inherent disadvantages of the traditional multistage time-andspace switch. With the traditional switch, the designer must decide in advance the maximum exchange size in order to determine the number of stages and the switch size at each stage. These decisions, in turn, determine a lower size limit. In addition, central control is needed to set up and tear down paths through the switch. As the size of the switch grows, this task becomes increasingly complex. The modular architecture does not possess these disadvantages, as we shall see.

We can contrast the modular switch to the traditional digital switch by listing some of the advantages of the former:

- *Flexible size:* The modules serve as building blocks, allowing a large number of different switch sizes, ranging from very small to very large.
- *Simplified control:* Path setup and tear-down is distributed. Each module is intelligent and control is provided via the data path.
- *Simplified manufacturing, testing, and maintenance:* There are fewer parts to build and install.

The principal disadvantage of the modular architecture is potentially increased propagation delay. Each module performs a TSI function. In a large switch, a circuit may pass through multiple modules, and the TSI delays can become substantial. In the remainder of this section, we briefly describe one example of a modular architecture, the ITT 1240 [COTT81, KEIS85]. For another example, the reader is referred to [ENOM85].

The basic building block of the ITT switch is depicted in Figure 7.19. This module is a plug-in printed circuit board that carries 16 identical LSI *switch ports* interconnected by a TDM bus switch. Each port has an incoming and an outgoing synchronous TDM line. Each line has a data rate of 4.096 Mbps and carries 32 channels. Each channel is used for either digital data or PCM voice. One TDM frame consists of 16 bits from each of the 32 channels. Eight of these bits are control or unused bits. A little arithmetic reveals that each channel is therefore 64 kbps.

There is no common mechanism or control processor to control the modules. Each module is controlled by the individual switch ports act-



FIGURE 7.19 Digital Switch Module

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 323

déber f

ing together over the TDM bus to make and break connections. The receive (incoming) side of each switch port is in essence a synchronous demultiplexer. It sends the channel data, along with destination port number and channel number, out in 16-bit chunks onto the bus during assigned time slots. The transmit (outgoing) side recognizes its port number on the bus and places each slot of data in the appropriate frame slot of the outgoing line. Since the slots are then transmitted in a (possibly) different order than that in which they were received from the bus, the switch port performs, in effect, a TSI operation. With this architecture, any channel on any of the 16 incoming lines can be connected to any of the 512 (16×32) outgoing channels. Thus the module provides a combination of time and space switching.

To begin, let us consider the operation of the simplest switch, depicted in Figure 7.20. Individual terminals (digital data or PCM voice) attach to a *terminal control element* (TCE), which produces two 32-channel



FIGURE 7.20 Single-Stage Modular Switch

TDM streams destined for the switch. Similarly, the TCE receives two 32-channel streams from the switch. Thus the TCE is nothing more than a synchronous TDM multiplexer/demultiplexer. Up to 60 terminals attach to the switch (the extra channels are used for control). The switch in this case consists of two modules that in this context are called *access switches*, with one full-duplex 32-channel link from each TCE going to each module. The use of two modules provides redundancy in the case of failure. Thus any two of the 60 devices on the TCE can be connected via the switch.

Note that one TCE uses up only one port on each of the access switches. The switches support up to 12 TCEs using 12 of the available 16 ports. The remaining ports are unused in this configuration. Thus the simplest one-stage switch consists of two modules and supports 720 terminals. Switching is accomplished as follows. When a terminal requests a connection, and if the destination terminal is attached to the same TCE, the TCE completely implements the connection without involving an access switch. Otherwise, the TCE selects an available outgoing channel (out of the 64) and transmits a path setup request over that channel, which includes the destination address. The access switch responds by selecting an available channel going to the appropriate TCE.

The way in which a switch may be expanded and the operation of a multistage switch can be explained with reference to Figure 7.21. The single-stage switch is enclosed in a box labeled A. For a first expansion, up to three more pairs of access switches may be added to the first stage, all interconnected by a second stage of switching. The four unused ports on each access switch (32 in all) connect to a second-stage switch called a group switch. This stage consists of up to four modules, with eight ports on each module utilized. The four ports on each access switch attach, one each, to the four modules of stage 2. Thus full connectivity is achieved. The full switch can now handle a total of 2880 terminals. Switching is accomplished as follows. If two terminals connect via TCEs to the same access switch, a path is set up that "reflects back" through the access switch without going to the second stage. Addresses have a hierarchical format, so it is easy for a module to determine if reflection is allowed. If not, an available channel to the stage 2 switch is selected and that switch in turn reflects back to a different access switch that connects to the TCE of the destination terminal.

The two-step configuration is labeled B in the diagram. Further expansion proceeds similarly. The eight unused ports on each second-stage module are used to connect to up to eight third-stage switches. Reflection can occur at stage 1, 2, or (boxes C and D). The maximum configuration consists of four stages and supports over 100,000 terminals.

5 1

Chapter 7 Circuit-Switched Local Networks



FIGURE 7.21 Modular Switch Architecture

Centrex

To conclude this section, we briefly describe another approach to supporting voice and data devices in an office environment. Known as Centrex, this approach provides the same service as a digital PBX and appears the same to the user [KLIN86, BRAY85]. In contrast to the digital PBX, however, Centrex performs switching functions in equipment located in the telephone company's central office as opposed to the customer's premises. Centrex utilizes public central-office switching technology enhanced to form a virtual private switch. All telephone and voice lines are routed from the customer site to the central switch. The user can still make local calls with an extension number, giving the appearance of a local switch.

There are several benefits associated with Centrex as opposed to PBXs:

- *Reliability and availability:* The central office equipment is engineered for reliability, with extensive use of redundancy. Maintenance and technical support personnel are available at the switch at all times.
- *Flexibility:* The user is not committed to a switch of a certain size. The customer can grow or shrink the service as required.
- Avoidance of PBX-related expenses: These include capital investment, space requirements, and insurance.
- *Continued updating of functionality:* New hardware and software features are regularly added to Centrex offerings.

Centrex is not a true local network because of the distances involved. However, from the user's point of view, this is irrelevant, and Centrex is a major competitor to the digital PBX.

7.5

DIGITAL PBX VERSUS LAN

There is a clear overlap between the capabilities provided by a digital PBX system and a LAN. Both can support a large number and wide variety of digital devices. In order to choose between the two technologies, the potential customer should lay out all the mandatory and desirable features, and then compare the two based on a match against requirements and cost (this process is described in [DERF83]). In this section we compare briefly the two approaches, based on a checklist suggested in [PFIS82]. PBX and LAN can be compared in the following categories:

- Installation
- Reliability
- · Data types
- Distance
- Speed
- Capacity
- Cost

The *installation* of the cable for a LAN in an already finished building is without question a time-consuming and expensive task. It is not out of bounds to have the wire costs of a LAN installation be 50% of the total! Consider the requirements for a PBX. Phone connections require

a two-wire (usually twisted-pair) connection. Data connections, using digital signaling, usually require two twisted pairs for a full-duplex line. Therefore, with many PBX systems, the user who wants both a phone and a terminal in the office requires three twisted-pair lines. The third-generation PBX systems often provide integrated digital voice/data workstations that require only two twisted pairs. Some vendors, using the ping-pong protocol, offer an integrated voice/data link consisting of a single 256-kbps twisted pair (128 kbps for full-duplex voice, 128 kbps for full-duplex data). In any case, just about all existing office sites are wired with twisted pairs for distribution of traditional phone services. Further, PBXs are almost always installed with two pairs of wiring per outlet—one for backup. So most PBXs have a number of available spare twisted-pair lines. This could represent a tremendous savings compared to a LAN.

With respect to *reliability*, there are problems with both PBX and LAN systems. The reliability problems of the LAN may be the more severe, contrary to the usual first impression. PBX systems can be made fully redundant, virtually eliminating network-wide failures. But it is easy to postulate situations that would disable all or a substantial part of a LAN.

Both the PBX and the LAN can adequately handle most *data type* requirements. The PBX is superior for handling voice. The centralized control nature of the PBX is ideal for the variety of voice processing requirements in an office environment. Another type of transmission video—can at present only be practically handled by a broadband LAN.

The *distances* achievable by the PBX and the LAN are about equal. With a distributed architecture, a PBX can easily span a multibuilding complex by locating a switching center in each building, thus matching the range achievable with a broadband LAN.

In terms of *data rate*, the LAN has an edge. Third-generation PBX systems generally support data up to a maximum of 64 kbps (some vendors have plans for rates of up to 256 kbps). A LAN can, with proper interfaces, accommodate attachments in the Mbps range. To many users, 64 kbps may appear to be equivalent to infinity. However, some of the newer workstations, with high-resolution graphics, require much higher data rates. Furthermore, file transfer operations can get severely bogged down at those lower rates.

Closely related to this is the question of capacity and here the picture is murkier. On its face, it would appear that the PBX has the edge. The total digital transfer capacity of a PBX can go up to about 500 Mbps (the data rate on a TDM bus, for example). Baseband bus and ring systems are far less, and even a broadband tops out at about 300 Mbps over a number of channels. However, the nature of the traffic must be taken into account. Most digital data traffic in the office is bursty in nature (terminal to host traffic). On a LAN, the network is utilized by a node

only for the duration of the burst. But on a PBX, a node will consume a dedicated portion of the capacity for the duration of a connection.

Last on our checklist is the question of *cost*. For this, there is no definitive answer, partly because component costs are changing rapidly and partly because they are installation dependent. There is a final point of comparison that was not included in the checklist because it relates to detailed design strategy rather than the pros and cons of the two approaches. This point has to do with the nature of the network interface. In a circuit-switched system, the network is usually "transparent"; that is, two connected devices communicate as if they had a direct connection. In a packet-switched system, the issue of the protocol between the network and the attached device arises. Of course, with the introduction of protocol-conversion services on the PBX, the distinctions blur. In any case, it is to this issue that we turn in the next chapter.

7.6

RECOMMENDED READING

[BELL91] provides a clear discussion of TSI and TMS. Other good treatments of digital switching include [FREE89], [RONA86], and [KEIS85]. An interesting analysis of the performance of TST switches is provided in [MANF91]

[HELD87], [MULL87], and [MEHT88] describe and discuss port selection and port-contention devices.

A very clear discussion of the PBX appears in [MART90], which also discusses the large list of features and services found in a modern PBX. This latter topic is also treated extensively in [DAV190]. [COOV89] contains reprints of a number of useful papers on the PBX.

7.7

PROBLEMS

- 7.1 Demonstrate that there is a high probability of blocking in a twostage switch.
- **7.2** Explain the following statement in Section 7.2: "The timings on the shorter bus are amenable to greater control because of the much shorter propagation delay."
- 7.3 What is the magnitude of delay through a TSI stage?
- 7.4 For STS, give an example of blocking when the number of TSI units equals the number of incoming lines. What is the minimum number of TSI units for proper functioning (even in a blocking mode)?

- **7.5** In Figure 7.15, why is it not possible to route the digital data coming from the device directly to an outgoing trunk, where they will be converted to analog by the codec for transmission?
- **7.6** Assume that the velocity of propagation on a TDM bus is 0.7*c*, its length is 10 m, and the data rate is 500 Mbps. How many bits should be transmitted in a time slot to achieve a bus efficiency of 99%?
- 7.7 Demonstrate that in a TSI data store at most only half of the memory is usefully occupied at any one time. Devise a means of reducing the TSI memory requirement while maintaining its non-blocking property.
- **7.8** Is it necessary to include address bits with each time slot in a statistical TDM stream? Is there a more efficient technique?
- 7.9 Justify the assertion in Section 7.2 that, for an STS network, the number of TSI units must be double the number of incoming and outgoing lines for nonblocking.
- **7.10** Reconsider Problem 3.6, but now assume that there is a central switching unit on floor 3 and a satellite switching unit on floor 6.
- 7.11 Consider the use of a 500-ns memory in a TSI device. How many full-duplex voice channels can be supported if the voice is encoded using PCM?
- **7.12** Determine the number of crosspoints and the total number of memory bits required for a TST switch defined as follows:
 - Number of lines = 32
 - · Single-stage space switch
 - Number of channels per frame = 30
 - Time expansion = 2
- **7.13** How many bits of memory are needed in a TSI unit for a 60-channel signal with 9 bits per time slot?
- 7.14 Consider a three-stage crossbar switch system with 1000 input and 1000 output lines. It is nonblocking. For an optimum design, what is the total number of crosspoints required? How many arrays are needed for each stage and how many input and output lines are there per stage?
- 7.15 Repeat Problem 7.14 using 2048 as the number of input and output lines.
- **7.16** Consider the configuration of Figure 7.6b. Suppose that the maximum length of the bus is 1 meter. What is the maximum delay that could occur end-to-end on the bus? (Assume electricity travels in a copper wire at a rate of 0.8 times the speed of light.)
- 7.17 For the system of Problem 7.14, how many switch points would be needed if a TDM bus were used?
- 7.18 Consider a simple nonblocking implementation of Figure 7.6c. Suppose that the bus is 1500 meters long and that 2048 bits of data

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 330

.

are to be input in each time slot. The data rate of the bus is 100 Mbps. The maximum number of stations attached to the bus is limited so that a station is guaranteed a slot every 10 milliseconds. Assume that electricity travels in copper wire at 0.8 times the speed of light.

- a. What is the guaranteed data rate for a station?
- b. What is the maximum sustained data rate of the system?
- c. What is the maximum number of stations that can be serviced by this system?
- **7.19** Consider a simple time-slot interchange switching system. Assume a memory of 50-nsec cycle time. The memory is organized into 16-bit words. Frames are 1024 bits. What is the maximum data rate per channel? What is the data rate of the trunk lines to and from the switch?

.

a sa dida

CHAPTER 8

The Network Interface

Previous chapters have looked at the capabilities and features of the various types of local networks, but little has been said of the devices that connect to those networks. The purpose of a local network is to provide a means of communication for the various attached devices. To realize this purpose, the interface between the network and attached devices must be such as to permit cooperative interaction. This section addresses the complexities implicit in that seemingly simple notion.

We began with a statement of the problem: the networking requirements for cooperative interaction. Then we consider the connection of digital devices to packet-switched networks, the common case for LANs and HSLNs; this is the area in which most of the complexity arises. The simpler issues relating to circuit-switched networks and to analog devices are then considered.

8.1

THE REQUIREMENT

To understand the network interface requirement, let us first consider, from the computer vendor's point of view, how to provide a computer networking capability. Many vendors offer some sort of networking capability. Applications such as transaction processing, file transfer, and

electronic mail are available to run on a network of computers and intelligent terminals. The vendor supports these applications with a networking and communications software package. Examples are IBM's SNA and DEC's DECNET. For clarity in the following discussion, we will consider a generic package based on the OSI model; the principles apply equally well to other proprietary architectures.

It is important to note that the OSI model does not provide an architecture for the *networking* of *multiple* computers; it is a model for the *communications* between *two* computers, based on a set of protocols. Networking requires not only communications protocols but network management, a naming facility, network services, and so on. These issues are addressed later. In this chapter we are concerned with the communications protocol implications of local networking.

Communications protocols, such as those compatible with the OSI model, do provide a basis for computer networking. Traditionally, this has been done in two ways, as depicted in Figure 8.1. A common vendor offering is a private network (Figure 8.1a). Computers are connected by point-to-point links that can be local direct connects (a special case of "local network"!) or long-haul links, either dial-up or leased. Each node in the network can act both as an end point for executing applications and as a switch for passing along data. A layer 2 protocol, such as HDLC, is sufficient to provide connectivity; the network can be viewed as a set of computers hooked together by paired, point-to-point, layer 2 links. Any given computer supports more than one link by using more than one physical port, as indicated in the diagram.

This figure is a bit misleading in that it shows a link at only one protocol layer—layer 2. Of course, there is also a layer 1 link for each layer 2 link. Furthermore, the figure suggests that there will be peer communication at higher protocol layers. However, it would be possible with this configuration for a user to write a package for exchanging data between two machines that makes use of none of these higher-layer services; the user still needs a physical connection and link-control logic. Layer 2, then, is the highest *required* layer for this configuration to work.

For a long-haul network, the private network configuration can be justified if there is either a very high volume of traffic or a very low volume. With a high volume of traffic between nodes, the expense of dedicated (leased) lines is reasonable. With a low volume of traffic, dialup lines are cost effective. Over some range between these two extremes the public or value-added network (VAN) provides the most cost-effective communications support for computer networking (Figure 8.1b). The VAN, discussed in Chapter 2, consists of switches and communication lines configured to provide connectivity among attached devices. The VAN provides, among others, three services that are relevant here:





a. Private Network





- 1. *Routing:* To send data, an attached device specifies the address of the destination device; the VAN is responsible for routing those data through the network to their destination.
- 2. *Multiplexing:* An attached device does not need one physical port for each device with which it may communicate. Rather, the VAN supports multiple virtual circuits multiplexed on a single physical line.

3. *Standardized interface:* The use of a standardized interface allows the user to attach devices from a variety of vendors, since vendors readily support standards. If the VAN used a special-purpose proprietary interface, the user would be forced to develop or procure the needed hardware and software to attach to the VAN, and would have to do this for every different type of device to be attached to the VAN.

The reader will recognize that the first two items are functions requiring a layer 3 protocol. Typically, the X.25 standard is used. Most vendors who provide private networks can provide the same networking applications over a VAN. The discussion in Chapter 2 describes the mechanism by which this is accomplished.

Figure 8.1 thus serves to illustrate the network interface requirement. With a private network, the vendor's devices are directly connected to each other and the issue does not really arise. With a separate packet-switched communications network, the vendor must determine how to interface its devices to the network. The solution is to implement compatible layers 1, 2, and 3, as with X.25.

A multiaccess local network does not fit neatly into either of the categories noted above. Devices are not attached by point-to-point links as in a private network; instead, a multipoint link exists. Neither is a local network a VAN, with a network of intermediate switching nodes. The problem for the computer vendor is how to integrate the local network into its communications and networking software. The alternatives for this integration are discussed below.

From the customer's point of view, the local network interface is also an important issue. Typically, a customer will acquire some new data processing equipment in addition to the local network. The customer probably also has existing equipment to be hooked into the network. The customer would also like the flexibility of acquiring future equipment of various types, possibly from various vendors. The problem, then, for the customer is to procure a local network whose interface accommodates a variety of equipment with little or no special software required for that equipment. What approach should the customer take?

8.2

PACKET-SWITCHED INTERFACING

Approaches to LAN/MAN Attachment

Packet transmission is used on both LANs and MANs. As we have seen, packet switching implies that the data to be sent over the network by a device are organized into packets that are sent through the network one

at a time. Protocols must be used to specify the construction and exchanges of these packets. At a minimum for a local network, protocols at layers 1 and 2 are needed to control the multiaccess network communication (e.g., these layers would comprise the LLC, MAC, and physical functions specified by IEEE 802).

Thus all attached devices must share these common local network protocols. From a customer's point of view, this fact structures the ways in which devices attach to a LAN or MAN into three alternatives, depicted in Figure 8.2:

- Homogeneous/single-vendor approach
- "Standards" approach
- Standard network interface approach

A homogeneous network is one in which all equipment—network plus attached devices—is provided by a single vendor. All equipment shares a common set of networking and communications software. The vendor has integrated a local network capability into its product line. Customers need not concern themselves with details of protocols and interfaces.

Undoubtedly, many customers will adopt this approach. The singlevendor system simplifies maintenance responsibility and provides an easy path for system evolution. On the other hand, the flexibility to obtain the best piece of equipment for a given task may be limited. Relying on a vendor, without consideration of its network architecture, to be able easily to accommodate foreign equipment is risky.



FIGURE 8.2 Approaches to LAN/MAN Attachment

Another approach that a customer may take is to procure a local network that conforms to a standard and dictate that all equipment be compatible with that standard. The local network would consist of a transmission medium plus an expandable set of "attachment points." This approach, although attractive, has some problems. The IEEE standard for LANs is loaded with options, so that two devices claiming to be IEEE compatible may not be able to coexist on the same network. Without a standard for network management, it is unlikely that local network equipment from different vendors can be mixed successfully in a single local network. The topic of network management is explored in Chapter 11.

The promise of local networks standards lies not in the solving of the interconnect problem. Standards offer the hope that the prospect of a mass market will lead to cheap silicon implementations of local network protocols. But the interconnect problem is an architectural issue, not a protocol issue.

Now consider a local network as consisting of not only a transmission medium, but also a set of intelligent devices that implement the local network protocols *and* provide an interface capability for device attachment. We will refer to this device as a *network interface unit* (NIU). The NIUs, collectively, control access to and communications across the local network. Subscriber devices attach to the NIU through some standard communications or I/O interface. The details of the local network operation are hidden from the device.

The NIU architecture is commonly used by independent local network vendors (those who sell only networks, not the data processing equipment that uses the network). Thus, in many cases, the NIUs in a local network configuration are supplied by a different vendor than the supplier of the terminal and computer equipment. This approach has several advantages. First, attached devices are relieved of the burden of the local network processing logic. Second, the user has more flexibility in selecting equipment to attach to the network. It is not necessary that the attached equipment support the particular type of local network that the user has implemented. It is necessary only that the NIU and the attached devices share a common, standardized interface.

Next, we look at the workings of an NIU. Following that, we consider the architectural implications for networking.

The Network Interface Unit

The NIU is a microprocessor-based device that acts as a communications controller to provide data transmission service to one or more attached devices. The NIU transforms the data rate and protocol of the subscriber device to that of the local network transmission medium and vice versa. Data on the medium are available to all attached devices, whose NIUs screen data for reception based on address. In general terms, the NIU performs the following functions:

- · Accepts data from attached device
- Buffers the data until medium access is achieved
- · Transmits data in addressed packets
- · Scans each packet on medium for own address
- Reads packet into buffer
- · Transmits data to attached device at the proper data rate

The hardware interface between the NIU and the attached device is typically a standard serial communications interface, such as RS-232-C. Almost all computers and terminals support this interface. For higher speed, a parallel interface, such as an I/O channel or direct memory access (DMA) interface can be provided. For example, a number of vendors offer an NIU interface directly into the UNIBUS of DEC's minicomputer line. Figure 8.3 gives a generic architecture for an NIU.

The NIU can either be an outboard or an inboard device. As an outboard device, the NIU is a stand-alone unit that may have one or more serial communications ports for device attachment. High-speed parallel ports are also used. As an inboard device, the NIU is integrated into the chassis of the data processing device, such as a minicomputer or terminal. An inboard NIU generally consists of one or more printed-circuit boards attached to the device's internal system bus.

From a customer's point of view, an NIU with standard interface options solves, at least at the electrical level, the interconnect problem. From a designer's point of view, the NIU is a useful architectural con-



FIGURE 8.3 NIU Architecture

cept. Whether a network is homogeneous or not, and whether the interface provided to the local network is standard or not, there must be some distributed logic for controlling local network access. Conceptualizing this logic as an NIU clarifies some of the communications architectural issues associated with networking applications on a local network.

In what follows, we treat the NIU as a distinct device. Recognize that this device could be so integrated into the attached device as to be indistinguishable. This does not affect the reasoning involved.

8.3

THE DEVICE/NIU INTERFACE

Local Network Protocol Architecture

Having introduced the concept of the NIU, we can now turn to the problem of integrating local network protocols into the communications software of a system. Before beginning this discussion, it will be useful to examine the protocol architecture requirements for communication across a LAN.

Figure 5.3 suggests that a LAN communication architecture involves three layers: physical, medium access control (MAC), and logical link control (LLC). The physical layer provides for attachment to the medium. MAC enables multiple devices to share the medium's capacity in an orderly fashion. Finally, LLC provides for the management of a logical link across the network. The figure indicates that higher layers of software will make use of LLC. Put another way, LLC provides the service of transmitting frames of data across the LAN, and that service is invoked by a higher layer of software.

In pursuit of this line of reasoning, we need to consider what higher layers of software are appropriate in this context. In terms of the OSI model (Figure 2.11). the three IEEE 802 layers correspond to the lowest two layers (physical, data link) of the OSI model. The next layer, then, would be the network layer. But, from our discussion of Section 5.1, we have determined that a network layer is not really needed in the context of a local network. Thus, we can improve efficiency by eliminating the network layer and going directly to the transport layer.

Figure 8.4a reflects this line of reasoning. The LLC layer provides a service for moving frames of data from one station on the LAN to another. The transport layer provides end-to-end reliability. Thus, the user of transport is guaranteed that its data will be delivered with no losses and no misorderings. We can trace the operation of this architecture on a single unit of user data in Figure 8.4b, which is keyed to event times marked on Figure 8.4a. At some time t_o , the user of transport presents a block of data to transport. The transport entity encapsulates these data



FIGURE 8.4 Operation of a LAN Protocol Architecture

with a transport header and passes the resulting unit to LLC (t+). LLC adds to its own header (Figure 5.2) and passes the resulting unit to MAC (t_2). MAC produces a frame that includes both a MAC header and a MAC trailer and this frame is transmitted across the LAN (t_3). The MAC frame includes a destination station address, and the frame will be copied by the station with that address (t_4). The user's block of data then moves up through the layers, with the appropriate headers and trailers stripped off at each layer (t_5 , t_6 , t_7).

Modes of Attachment

The scenario of Figure 8.4 assumes that all of the protocol layers at each station are integrated and execute on a single processor. However, in most cases, some of the lower layers will execute on an NIU, with the upper layers executing on the main processor. The remainder of this section is devoted to an examination of various approaches to achieving this split.

All of the approaches fall into one of two general categories illustrated in Figure 8.5. For simplicity, the three LAN-related protocols (LLC, MAC, and physical) are represented by the two lowest layers of the OSI model in this figure.

To provide service to an attached device, the NIU can function as a gateway. A *gateway* is a device for connecting two systems that use different protocols: a protocol converter, if you like. In this case, the NIU contains logic for communicating with the attached device using some

328

Chapter 8 The Network Interface



(a) THE NIU AS GATEWAY (PROTOCOL MODEL)



(b) THE NIU AS FRONT-END NETWORK PROCESSOR (INTERFACE MODE) FIGURE 8.5 Protocol Layers for Local Networks

protocol native to that device; the level of communication is at some layer n (Figure 8.5a). The NIU converts between that protocol and that of the local network.

Compare this architecture with Figure 2.15 describing the VAN/PDN architecture. In that figure the level of communication between the DTE and DCE is at layer 3. The operation is as follows. The data that originate at the application layer, plus all the headers generated by layers 7 through 4, are treated as a unit of data by layer 3. Layer 3 has the responsibility of routing this data unit to the destination system. It does this by means of a protocol with the *local* DCE at layer 3. Of course, to transmit a layer 3 frame from DTE to DCE, a logical link (layer 2) over a physical link (layer 1) is needed. Hence the DTE-DCE conversation consists of protocols at layers 1, 2, and 3. Now, the DCE uses a different set of protocols to route the data unit through the network to the destination DCE, which in turn has a layer 1, 2, 3 protocol conversation with the destination DTE. The result is that a layer 4 packet has been routed from source to destination through gateways that convert the protocols up through layer 3.

In Figure 8.5b we see that the NIU can function instead as a frontend network processor (FNP). An FNP is a network processor that provides communications management services to an attached information processor. In contrast to a gateway, which *converts* from one set of protocols to another, the FNP *replaces* the protocols that might be found in the attached device. The attached device contains layers 7 down through *n*. There is an *n*/*n*-1 interface to the NIU that contains layers *n*-1 to 1, with layers 2 and 1 being the local network protocols.

The typical host–FNP situation is one in which the host is a mainframe and the front end is a minicomputer. In that case, a common interface boundary is between session (5) and transport (4). This is a reasonable break: layers 1 through 4 can be thought of as communications management, responsible for managing physical and logical links and providing a reliable end-to-end transport service. Layers 5 and 6 can be thought of as message management, responsible for maintaining a dialogue between end points and providing appropriate message formatting services. So the 5/4 break, although certainly not unique, is a logical one.

Within these two general categories, a number of specific approaches have been tried by various vendors and experimenters. The remainder of this section looks at those approaches that appear to be the most appropriate:

- Layer 1 Gateway (Transparent Mode)
- Layer 2 Gateway
- Layer 3 Gateway (X.25 Interface)
- Layer 4/2 FNP (LLC Interface)
- Layer 5/4 FNP (Transport Interface)

The NIU as Gateway

Layer 1 Gateway. This mode, which could be referred to as the transparent mode, permits protocol-compatible devices to communicate as if the NIUs and cable were not present. The NIU appears as a modem and provides signaling transparency. For example, for an RS-232C interface, when the originating device raises Request-to-Send to the originating NIU, the destination NIU raises Received-Line-Signal Detector (Carrier Detect) to the destination device. Data transfer is accomplished using buffering within the NIU. The transmitting NIU accumulates data from the transmitting device until either a buffer fills, a timer expires, or a control sequence is detected. The accumulated data are packaged in a frame and sent to the receiving NIU. The receiving NIU transmits the data to the receiving device.

Four parameters within each NIU control data transfer. These are: buffer size, time-out, control sequence(s), and destination address (NIU,

SAP). At least the address parameter is variable and must be set using a control mode. For intelligent attached devices, a small I/O program would be needed to set NIU parameters. For dumb terminals, the associated NIU would have to provide a user interface (terminal handler) for setting parameters.

There is a lot of appeal in this approach. If the local network is truly transparent to the attached devices, any networking or communications capability that operates over traditional communications lines will operate over the local network with no modification.

Of course, this mode is not quite "transparent." There are two phases (this is true of all the modes): a control phase, for requesting a connection, and a data transfer phase. But the logic needed for the control phase is minor.

There are some disadvantages to this approach, particularly for synchronous communications. Chief among these is flow control. Flowcontrol mechanisms for synchronous communication function at layer 2. If one device attached to the local network via its NIU is beginning to overrun the capacity of the destination device, the destination device can send, at layer 2, a message that will halt or reduce the flow of data. However, if the attached device sends data to the NIU faster than it can be accepted, there is no way for the NIU to exert flow control on the attached device. A similar problem exists with error control. If an attached device fails to receive an acknowledgment to a frame, it does not know whether the fault is in the NIU or the destination device.

These problems are not fatal, but they do present difficulties to the designer.

Layer 2 Gateway. In this mode, a layer 2 protocol is established between the attached device and the NIU. An example is HDLC.

As with a layer 1 gateway, there are two phases of communication: control and data transfer. For setting up a connection to some destination device, a dialogue is needed that is outside the layer 2 protocol. Again, this can be provided by a small I/O program. Once a connection is established, the layer 2 protocol would support data transfer.

This mode also presents a flow-control problem. In this case, the NIU can exercise flow control over the attached device. However, the remote device has no means, *at layer* 2, of exercising flow control on the source device. Higher-layer protocols must be relied on in the two devices.

Another problem, common to both layer 1 and 2 protocols, is that the NIU does not support multiplexing. That is, for each logical connection to another device on the network, the attached device must have one physical connection to the network. This situation most closely resembles that of a private network using dial-up lines.
Layer 3 Gateway. In this mode, the local network presents the appearance of a VAN. Typically, the NIU provides the X.25 standard for attached intelligent devices. The advantage of this approach is that any networking capability that will work on X.25 VAN will work on the local network. The X.25 layer 3 protocol provides a multiplexing capability so that multiple virtual circuits are supported over a single physical link. In this mode, we at last get away from the necessity of a separate control dialogue. As the discussion will show, the network layer functionally includes a connection request capability.

X.25 is perhaps the best known and most widely used protocol standard. The standard specifies an interface between attached devices and a packet-switched network. The standard actually encompasses the three lowest layers of the OSI model (Figure 2.15). The physical layer makes use of a standard known as X.21, but in many cases, the RS-232-C standard is substituted. The data link layer is LAP-B, which is a subset of HDLC. The network layer is referred to in the standard as the packet level; it specifies a virtual-circuit service.

Figure 8.6 shows the layer 3 packet formats used in the X.25 standard. A 24-bit header is used for data packets. The header includes a 12-bit virtual-circuit number (expressed as a 4-bit group number and an 8-bit channel number). The N(S) and N(R) fields perform the same function





FIGURE 8.6 X.25 Layer 3 Packet Formats

on a virtual-circuit basis as they do on a link basis in HDLC. The control packet has a variable-length header, depending on the specific function; some of these functions are referred to in the following discussion.

Figure 8.7 shows a typical sequence of events in a virtual call. The left-hand part of the figure shows the packets exchanged between user machine A and its DCE (the NIU plays the role of the DCE in a local network); the right-hand part shows the packets exchanged between user machine B and its DCE. The routing of packets between the DCEs is the responsibility of the internal logic of the network.

The sequence of events is as follows:

- 1. A requests a virtual circuit to B by sending a Call Request packet to its DCE. The packet includes a virtual-circuit number (group, channel), as well as source and destination addresses. Future incoming and outgoing data transfers will be identified by the virtual circuit number.
- 2. B's DCE receives the call request and sends a Call Indication packet to B. This packet has the same format as the Call Request packet but a different virtual circuit number, selected by B's DCE from the set of locally unused numbers.
- 3. B indicates acceptance of the call by sending a Call Accepted packet specifying the same virtual-circuit number as that of the Call Indication packet.
- 4. A receives a Call Connected packet with the same virtual-circuit number as that of the Call Request packet.
- 5. A and B send Data and Control packets using their respective virtual circuit numbers.
- 6. A (or B) sends a Clear Request packet to terminate the virtual circuit and receives a local Clear Confirmation packet.
- 7. B (or A) receives a Clear Indication packet and transmits a Clear Confirmation packet.

Figure 8.8 depicts the operation of this architecture in transmitting one block of user data. In this case, the packet level of X.25 adds a network-layer header (t_2), and the link layer adds a link header and trailer (t_3). The resulting frame is then sent to the station's NIU, where the link header and trailer are peeled off and the packet is delivered to the network layer (t_4). Notice in the figure that there is no "user" of this layer. The NIU is an intermediate gateway whose job is to relay the data on. So the packet, or network, header is left in place to be examined at the other end. Relay logic within the NIU passes the packet to LLC, which appends its own header (t_5) and passes the result to MAC. The remainder of the figure should be self-explanatory.

The X.25 interface is already offered by a number of LAN vendors. Because this is such a common means of connecting to long-haul com. .





FIGURE 8.7 Sequence of Events: X.25 Protocol



FIGURE 8.8 Layer 3 Gateway

munications networks, its use for local networks is likely to become popular.

The NIU as Front-End Processor

Layer 4/2 FNP. Figure 8.9 illustrates a layer 4/2 FNP mode. This is an attractive option that lends itself to a high-performance inboard NIU. The two layers needed to control the local network are in the NIU: all other layers are in the attached device. This configuration also provides high "visibility" for the local network. Software executing in the attached device can directly invoke layer 2 functions provided by the local network, such as broadcasting and priorities.

The architecture of this option is not as straightforward as you might have expected. First, there is no network layer, as has already been explained. However, there are additional layers needed to link an NIU and its attached station. In the figure, we assume a serial communication link between the NIU and the attached device. Thus, a physical-layer protocol and a link-layer protocol are needed to exchange data across that link. One more protocol is also needed. This protocol has no universally accepted name, but is often referred to as a *host-to-front-end protocol* (HFP). To identify this as referring to a front end whose highest layer is LLC, we shall identify it as LLC-HFP.

To understand the need for an HFP, consider again the case of an integrated architecture (Figure 8.4). At t_1 , the transport entity passes a





⁽b) Operation

block of data to LLC for transmission. How does LLC know what to do with this data block? That information is contained in the link-control primitive used by the transport layer to invoke LLC. These primitives were listed in Table 5.2. For example, if the unacknowledged connectionless service is used, the transport entity would use the following primitive and associated parameters to invoke LLC:

DL-UNIDATA.request (source address, destination address, data, priority)

where

source address = local LLC user (service access point plus MAC address) destination address = remote LLC user (service access point plus MAC address) data = block of data to be transmitted priority = desired priority for this data transfer

Exactly how this information is passed to an LLC entity from an LLC user will depend on the implementation. For example, if LLC is invoked by a subroutine call, then the DL-UNIDATA.request call is compiled into a machine-language subroutine branch, and the parameters of the call are placed somewhere in registers or memory to be picked up by the

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 349

) _____{ij

FIGURE 8.9 Layer 4/2 FNP

called routine. The details are not, and should not be, part of the LLC standard. The internal implementation of this interface depends on the machine language and operating system, and upon design choices made to optimize the implementation.

The subroutine-call approach works fine if the transport and LLC entities execute in the same processor. But in the case of Figure 8.9, they are in separate systems. We need a way for the transport and LLC entities to exchange commands (primitives) and parameters, and this is the function of the LLC-HFP. LLC-HFP provides a way for the transport and LLC entities to communicate. In the host, the LLC-HFP entity presents an interface to the transport entity that mimics LLC. This allows the transport entity to use calls such as L_DATA.request as if LLC were in the same system. In the NIU, LLC-HFP behaves like any other LLC user.

We can now trace the operation of the architecture of Figure 8.9. At t_1 , the transport entity passes the user's data plus a transport header to LLC-HFP, using the L_DATA.request call. LLC-HFP appends a header and passes the result to the link layer (t_2). Table 8.1 suggests a format for the header. The remaining steps in Figure 8.9 should be clear.

Now, the above scenario assumes an outboard NIU and the use of a data link protocol such as HDLC across the host-NIU interface. If the NIU is a communications board, then there will be no link and physicallayer protocols as such between the NIU and the host. In most cases, the NIU and host processor will connect to the same backplane bus and exchange information through a common main memory; the NIU typically uses direct-memory access (DMA) to access the memory. In this case, a specific area of memory is shared and is used to construct a system control block for communication. As an example, Table 8.2 is the system control block format used in an Intel product [WEBB84].

One issue remains to be examined. Whether the host-NIU exchange is achieved by an LLC-HFP or by the exchange of system control blocks, a specification of that exchange is needed. If both the NIU and the host are provided by the same vendor, then that specification can be proprietary. If, however, the NIU and the host are from different vendors, then a standard specification would be preferable. As we have commented, it is likely that NIUs will be from a different vendor than the vendor for the attached devices. Indeed, there may be attached devices from a number of different vendors. Thus, the case for a standard for the host-NIU exchange is a strong one. Unfortunately, no such standard exists or is even contemplated by IEEE 802, ANSI X3T9.5, or ISO.

Layer 5/4 FNP. In this mode, the NIU takes on the scope and characteristics of what is normally thought of as true FNP. All of the layers normally associated with communications management are implemented in the NIU; the higher message management layers are in the

TABLE 8.1 Example LLC-HFP PDU Header

LLC User
LLC primitive being invoked. Codes are listed in (b)
Number of parameters
This field occurs once for each parameter and consists of two subfields:
Length in octets of value subfield
Value of the parameter

b. Primitive codes

1	DL-UNITDATA.request
2	DL-UNITDATA.indication
3	DL-CONNECT.request
4	DL-CONNECT.indication
5	DL-CONNECT.response
6	DL-CONNECT.confirm
7	DL-DATA.request
8	DL-DATA.indication
9	DL-DISCONNECT.request
10	DL-DISCONNECT.indication
11	DL-RESET.request
12	DL-RESET.indication
13	DL-RESET.response
14	DL-RESET.confirm
15	DL-CONNECTION-FLOWCONTROL.request
16	DL-CONNECTION-FLOWCONTROL.indication
17	DL-DATA-ACK.request
18	DL-DATA-ACK.indication
19	DL-DATA-ACK-STATUS.indication
20	DL-REPLY.request
21	DL-REPLY.indication
22	DL-REPLY-STATUS.indication
23	DL-REPLY-UPDATE.reguest

24 DL-REPLY-UPDATE-STATUS.indication

attached device. For communication between two devices that implement these higher layers, this approach works well.

Figure 8.10 depicts this architecture. Note the similarity to Figure 8.9. The same reasoning applies. In this case, the user of transport executes on a different processor than the transport entity. A TP-HFP is needed to allow the transport user to exchange primitives and parameters with the transport entity. The same techniques discussed earlier can be used in this architecture. The TP-HFP header will contain a code specifying which primitive is being invoked, and the remainder of the header is a

337

. · · · · · · · · ·

÷.

Word	Name	Description
1	Status	NIU or host status information, such as whether or not ready to receive data.
2	Directive	Management-related commands and acknowledgements.
3	Command Pointer	Points to an area of memory that contains one or more commands. Each command consists of a command code and a list of parameters.
4	Frame Pointer	Points to a buffer containing a block of data being passed between LLC and the LLC user.
5—8	Error Counters	Error-related statistics.

TABLE 8.2 LLC-HFP System Control Block

list of parameter lengths and values. In the case of an inboard NIU, the primitives and parameters can be exchanged by means of a system control block.

Table 8.3 lists the primitives for the ISO transport protocol standard. The ISO transport protocol standard also has the concept of a service access point (SAP), so that there may be multiple users of a transport entity. The first six primitives listed in the table are used to establish and subsequently tear down a logical connection between transport SAPs. Most of the parameters are self-explanatory. The quality of service parameter allows the transport user to request specific transmission ser-



(b) Operation

FIGURE 8.10 Layer 5/4 FNP

TABLE 8.3 ISO Transport Service Primitives

T_CONNECT.request (Called Address, Calling Address, Expedited Data Option, Quality of Service, Data)

T_CONNECT.indication (Called Address, Calling Address, Expedited Data Option, Quality of Service, Data)

T...CONNECT.response (Quality of Service, Responding Address, Expedited Data Option, Data)

T_CONNECT.confirm (Quality of Service, Responding Address, Expedited Data Option, Data)

T_DISCONNECT.request (Data)

T_DISCONNECT.indication (Disconnect Reason, Data)

T_DATA.request (Data)

T_DATA.indication (Data)

T_EXPEDITED_DATA.request (Data) T_EXPEDITED_DATA.indication (Data)

vices, such as priority and security levels. The remaining primitives are concerned with data transfer. The expedited version requests that the transport entity attempt to deliver the associated data as rapidly as possible. Note the similarity of these primitives to those for LLC.

Placement of transport layer and below in the NIU is becoming a popular option (e.g., sees [BAL85], [DAVI83], [WOOD79]). Again, a standard specification for TP-HFP and a transport-level system control block are desirable, but are not in sight.

Summary

The discussion in this section has dealt with the issue of which communications architecture layers should reside in the NIU and should therefore be considered part of the local network service, and which should reside in the attached device. The choice will depend on a variety of factors, including cost and performance. As yet, there is little operational experience with most of these alternatives to guide us. Consequently, we can close this section only with a few preliminary observations.

The principal advantage of placing as many layers as possible in the NIU is that this makes the task of intelligent device attachment as easy as possible. The customer or user must be assured that the various devices on the network are compatible. By placing more of the communications functionality in the network, the scope of this task is reduced. On the other hand, placing as little functionality as possible in the network may increase the network's flexibility. Functions in the attached device can be tailored to achieve certain objectives in such areas as performance, priorities, and security.

8.4

TERMINAL HANDLING FOR LANS

In the preceding section, the discussion focused on the requirements for attaching an intelligent device to a LAN or MAN. Such devices implement the necessary communication layers and can communicate across a network as described in Chapter 2. For simplicity, we will refer to all such devices as hosts. But there are other devices that do not have the processing power to implement the OSI layers, such as dumb terminals, printers, and even limited-function intelligent terminals. We will refer to these devices generically as terminals.

From a hardware point of view, terminals attach to a LAN in the same fashion as hosts: through NIUs. An NIU for terminals will provide a number of serial communication ports for terminal attachment; support for from 4 to 32 terminals on a single NIU is typical. From a software point of view, the approaches outlined in Section 8.3 are not directly applicable to terminal support. All of the approaches discussed assumed that some number of layers would be implemented in the attached device. How, then, are terminals attached?

Two general approaches are possible. The first is to treat terminalhost communication as fundamentally different from host-host communication. This approach can be explained using the concept of a secondary network, which is discussed next. The application of this concept in the local network context is then described. The second approach relies on the use of a virtual terminal protocol. This concept is defined and its use in the local network context is discussed.

The Secondary Network

Let us refer to the kind of computer network we have discussed in Section 2.3 and previously in this chapter as a primary network. A primary network consists of the hardware and software required to interconnect applications executing within the OSI architecture. These include mainframes, FNPs, minicomputers, and intelligent terminals (generically, hosts). Typically a mainframe will contain the higher-order layers (5, 6, 7), and all communications functions (layers 1 through 4) are off-loaded to an FNP. Minis and intelligent terminals typically contain all the OSI layers.

Within the OSI architecture, applications execute as modules sitting on top of the presentation layer (layer 6). Interconnection is logically achieved by means of a session established by session control (layer 5). To communicate with another application, an application requests that a session be established between a "logical port" attached to itself and a "logical port" attached to the other application. This service is provided by layer 5, which can establish both local and remote connections. This mechanism works fine for devices containing all the software needed to implement the OSI layers. However, there are a number of devices, such as dumb terminals, printers, and so on (generically, terminals), that should be accessible over a network but that do not have the functionality of the various OSI layers. The secondary network consists of the hardware and software required to connect these devices to an OSI network. Whereas the primary network uses a 7-layer architecture, secondary connections use protocols tailored to the device in question.

Conceptually, we can think of these devices being serviced by application programs that act as gateways to the primary network. We will refer to these gateways as *secondary network servers* (SNSs). Since an SNS is an application, it can establish sessions with other applications over the primary network. A device such as a terminal local to a particular computer connects directly to a local SNS and, through it, participates on the primary network.

These concepts are illustrated in Figure 8.11. Applications are represented as bubbles resting on layer 6. Sessions are represented by dashed



FIGURE 8.11 Primary/Secondary Network Concepts

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 355

lines. For example, consider the terminal T1 communicating with the SNS in minicomputer A. T1 could be directly connected to A or remotely via communications link or even by a network, as we will explain below. In any case, there is a connection between T1 and SNS that does not use the OSI layers; this we refer to as a secondary network connection. Now, suppose that T1 is logged on to A via the SNS and wishes to access an application on minicomputer B. The SNS, as an application, can invoke the communications functions of A to establish a session with the application on B; we refer to this as primary network connection.

With this brief background, we now look at the use of the secondary network approach for local networks. To provide a secondary network connection from terminal to host, the NIU must allow the terminal user to request a connection to an SNS in a host (alternatively, a host could set up the connection). Data transfer must occur as if the terminal were directly connected to the host. Note that the local network medium is being used to support both primary (host-host) and secondary (terminal-host) network connections.

As we shall see, in providing secondary network connections for terminals, the NIU functions in the gateway mode (Figure 8.5a). The specific approach taken depends on whether the terminals being supported are asynchronous or synchronous.

Asynchronous Terminals: Transparent Mode

Asynchronous terminals communicate by transmitting and receiving characters one at a time. Generally, no link-control protocol as such is used. Thus, there is usually no or only a primitive form of error and flow control provided.

The simplest, and most common, way of supporting asynchronous terminals on a LAN is to use a layer 1 gateway, which we referred to as a transparent mode. The architecture is illustrated in Figure 8.12a. Communication between the NIU and terminals is managed by an asynchronous handler, which simply transmits and receives using the asynchronous scheme described in Chapter 2 (Figure 2.8a). As characters come in from a terminal, they are placed in an input buffer by a buffer handler program; when a carriage return is received, or when a time-out occurs, the contents of the buffer are passed to LLC for transmission. The data are transmitted across the LAN to an NIU to which is attached the destination host. Data arriving from the host are delivered in a block by LLC to the buffer handler. These data are then transmitted to the terminal one character at a time. The host side of this architecture is identical to the terminal side. The NIU attaches to the host through one or more asynchronous communications lines. Thus, it appears to both the host and the terminal as though they were directly connected.



FIGURE 8.12 NTU Architectures for Terminal Handling

Asynchronous Terminals: PAD Approach

ار در به ما

The approach just outlined is simple and effective. Its main drawback is evident in Figure 8.13. Because the connection is transparent, there must be one asynchronous port on the host for each terminal connection. This is referred to as the "milking machine" approach, and is clearly wasteful of host hardware. It would be preferable if the link to the host could be a multiplexed link that could carry traffic from a number of terminals at the same time. This approach has been standardized within the context of the X.25 standard.



FIGURE 8.13 Asynchronous Terminal Support: Milking Machine Approach

and the second second

343

As supplements to the X.25 standard, CCITT has developed a set of standards related to a facility known as a *packet assembler disassembler* (PAD). The PAD is designed to solve the two fundamental problems associated with the attachment of terminals to a network:

- 1. Many terminals are not capable of implementing the protocol layers for attaching in the same manner as a host. The PAD facility provides the intelligence for communicating with a host using the X.25 protocol.
- 2. There are differences among terminal types. The PAD facility provides a set of parameters to account for those differences. However, it deals with only asynchronous, start-stop terminals.

Three standards define the PAD facility:

- X.3: Describes the functions of the PAD and the parameters used to control its operation (Table 8.4)
- X.28: Describes the PAD--terminal protocol
- X.29: Describes the PAD–host protocol

Number	Description	Selectable Values
1	Whether terminal operator can escape from data transfer to PAD command state	0: not allowed 1: escape character 32–126: graphic characters
2	Whether PAD echoes back characters received from terminal	0: no echo 1: echo
3	Terminal characters that will trigger the sending of a partially full packet by the PAD	0: send full packets only 1: alphanumeric 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64: other control characters
4	Time-out value that will trigger the sending of a partially full packet by the PAD	0: no time-out 1–255: multiple of 50 ms
5	Whether PAD can exercise flow control over terminal output, using X-ON, X-OFF	0: not allowed 1: allowed
6	Whether PAD can send service signals (control information) to terminal	0: not allowed 1: allowed
7	Action(s) taken by PAD on receipt of break signal from terminal	0: nothing 1: send interrupt 2: reset 4: send break signal 8: escape 16: discard output

TABLE 8.4 PAD Parameters (X.3)

- S. 5 - 5

345

Number	Description	Selectable Values
8	Whether PAD will discard DTE data intended for terminal	0: normal delivery 1: discard
9	Number of padding characters inserted after carriage return (to terminal)	0: determined by data rate 1–255: number of characters
10	Whether PAD inserts control characters to prevent terminal line overflow	0: no 1–255: yes, line length
11	Terminal speed (bps)	0-18: 50 to 64,000
12	Whether terminal can exercise flow control over PAD, using X-ON, X-OFF	0: not allowed 1: allowed
13	Whether PAD inserts line feed after carriage return sent or echoed to terminal	0: no line feed 1, 2, 4: various conditions
14	Number of padding characters inserted after line feed (to terminal)	0: no padding 1–255: number of characters
15	Whether PAD supports editing during data transfer (defined in parameters 16–18)	0: no 1: yes
16	Character delete	0–127: selected character
17	Line delete	0–127: selected character
18	Line display	0–127: selected character
19	Terminal type for editing PAD service signals (e.g., character delete)	0: no editing signals 1: printing terminal 2: display terminal
20	Characters that are not echoed to terminal when echo is enabled	0: no echo mask Each bit represents certain characters
21	Parity treatment of characters to/from terminal	0: no parity treatment 1: parity checking 2: parity generation
22	Number of lines to be displayed at one time	0: page wait disabled 1–255: number of lines

TABLE 8.4 (Cont.)

,

....

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 359

Figure 8.14a indicates the architecture for use of the PAD. The terminal attached to the PAD sends characters one at a time. These are buffered in the PAD and then assembled into an X.25 packet and sent through the network to the host. Host packets are received at the PAD, disassembled by stripping off the X.25 header, and passed to the terminal one character at a time. Simple commands between terminal and PAD (X.28), used to set parameters and establish virtual circuits, consist of character strings. Similar host–PAD control information (X.29) is



(a) Parameter-Defined Terminal



(b) Virtual Terminal Protocol



transmitted in the data field of an X.25 packet, with a bit set in the X.25 header to indicate that this is control information.

Figure 8.12b shows the architecture of the NIU that provides the PAD function for terminals. The host NIU architecture is the same one shown in Figure 8.8.

Synchronous Terminals: Layer 2 Gateway

For synchronous terminals, a layer 2 gateway can be used (Figure 8.12c). This gateway accepts frames of data from the synchronous terminal and transmits them across the LAN; it also accepts frames from the LAN and delivers them to the terminal.

Support for synchronous terminals is complicated by the fact that most synchronous terminals employ a poll-and-select data link protocol. With this type of protocol, all communication is initiated by the host. When the host has data to send to a terminal, it issues a select command with the terminal's address. If the terminal is ready to receive data, it responds with a positive acknowledgment, and the host sends the data. When the host is prepared to receive data, it issues a poll command with the terminal's address. If the terminal has data to send, it can then send the data. This protocol was developed to allow multiple terminals to be connected to a host over a single multipoint line.

With terminals scattered around a LAN, some mechanism is needed for performing the polling and selecting. Two approaches have been used:

- 1. Poll and select commands are delivered across the LAN to the various terminals.
- 2. Poll and select commands terminate at the host NIU. Each terminal NIU does its own polling and selecting, and the data to be exchanged are buffered at the host and terminal NIUs. Thus, when a host issues a poll, data will be delivered to the host only if there is already data in the host NIU buffer for the polled terminal.

In both cases, the NIUs must know which terminals are logically connected to which hosts in order to exercise the poll-and-select protocol.

Virtual Terminal Protocols

We have surveyed various techniques for connecting terminals to packet-switched local networks so that they can effectively communicate with host devices. There is, however, a problem buried in this approach that relates to one of the advertised benefits of a local network. Specifically, the local network user typically would like not to be locked into a single vendor. The user would like to be able to procure hosts and terminals from a variety of vendors, but also to maintain complete connectivity.

What does this imply? Usually, in order to be able to use a terminal from one vendor with a host from another vendor, a special host software package must be built to accommodate the foreign terminal. Now consider a LAN with N types of terminals and M types of hosts. For complete connectivity, each host type must contain a package for handling each terminal type. In the worst case, MN I/O packages must be developed. Furthermore, if a new type of host is acquired, it must be equipped with N new I/O packages. If a new type of terminal is acquired, each host must be equipped with a new I/O package, for a total of M new packages. This is not the type of situation designed to encourage multivendor LANs.

To solve this problem, a universal terminal protocol is needed—one that can handle all types of terminals. Such a thing exists today in name only: *virtual terminal protocol* (VTP). However, rudimentary versions do already exist. One is the TELNET protocol of ARPANET [DAVI77]. The true VTP is a fundamentally different and more flexible approach than the PAD concept. In this section we present a brief overview of VTP principles; more detail may be found in [DAY80], [DAY81], [MAGN79], and [LOWE83].

As the name implies, the VTP is a protocol, a set of conventions for communication between peer entities. It includes the following functions:

- Providing the service of establishing and maintaining a connection between two application-level entities
- Controlling a dialogue for negotiating the allowable actions to be performed across the connection
- Creating and maintaining a data structure that represents the "state" of the terminal
- Translating between actual terminal characteristics and a standardized representation

The first two functions are in the nature of session control (layer 5); the latter two are presentation control (layer 6) functions. Figure 8.14 illustrates the difference in philosophy between this approach and that of the PAD. In the VTP approach, the terminal handler, which implements the terminal side of the protocol, is considered architecturally as a host attached to the network. Thus the protocol is end-to-end in terms of reliability, flow control, and so on. On the other hand, the X.29 standard is not a protocol as such. The PAD is considered part of the network, not a separate host. From the point of view of the host, the PAD facility is part of its local DCE's X.25 layer 3 functionality. Although the PAD concept affords an easily implemented capability, it does not provide the architectural base for a flexible terminal-handling facility.

The principal purpose of the VTP is to transform the characteristics of a real terminal into a standardized form or virtual terminal. Because of the wide differences in capabilities among terminals, it is unreasonable to attempt to develop a single virtual terminal type. Four classes of interest are:

- 1. *Scroll mode*: These are terminals with no local intelligence, including keyboard-printer and keyboard-display devices. Characters are transmitted as they are entered, and incoming characters are printed or displayed as they come in. On a display, as the screen fills, the top line is scrolled off.
- 2. *Page mode:* These are keyboard-display terminals with a cursor-addressable character matrix display. Either user or host can modify random-accessed portions of the display. I/O can be a page at a time.
- 3. Form/data entry mode: These are similar to page mode terminals, but allow definition of fixed and variable fields on the display. This permits a number of features, such as transmitting only the variable part and defining field attributes to be used as validity checks.
- 4. *Graphics mode:* These allow the creation of arbitrary two-dimensional patterns.

For any VTP, there are basically four phases of operation:

- 1. *Connection management:* includes session-layer-related functions, such as connection request and termination
- 2. *Negotiation:* used to determine a mutually agreeable set of characteristics between the two correspondents
- 3. *Control:* exchange of control information and commands (e.g., defining the attributes of a field)
- 4. Data: transfer of data between two correspondents

Figure 8.12d shows the terminal NIU architecture that supports a virtual terminal protocol. The VTP can be thought of as occupying layers 6 and 7 of the architecture. It interfaces to a session protocol entity at layer 5. On the host side, either of the FNP modes of attachment would work.

The ISO Virtual Terminal Service

The ISO virtual terminal service is an application-layer service defined within the framework of the open systems interconnection (OSI) model. The standard defines a model for a virtual terminal, which is an abstract representation of a real terminal. The standard defines operations that can be performed, such as reading text from the virtual keyboard, writing text on the virtual screen, and moving a cursor to a particular position on the virtual screen. The standard also defines a virtual terminal protocol for the exchange of data and control messages between a terminal and an application via the virtual terminal service. The protocol standard specifies the display data stream structure and the control messages by which the two sides can agree on the details of the terminal capabilities to be supported.

Rather than defining a single virtual terminal for all possible applications, the standard provides its users with the tools to define a virtual terminal suited to the application at hand and the physical limitations of the terminal. For example, if the physical terminal is monochrome, then the two sides agree not to use color information.

Table 8.5 lists some of the key aspects of the ISO standard. We examine each of these in turn.

Classes of Service. The ISO standard provides different classes of service. Each class meets the needs of a specific range of applications and terminal functions. So far, Basic, Forms, and Graphics classes have been identified. Of these, only the Basic class is fully defined and supported by vendors. We can expect to see the other classes available in the next few years.

The Basic class is a character-oriented service. In its simplest form, it meets the terminal access requirements of applications such as line editing and operating system command language interaction, which can be satisfied with simple scroll-mode terminals. The basic class also supports page-mode terminals and provides for the exchange of data in blocks instead of character-at-a-time. An extension to the basic class pro-

TABLE 8.5Aspects of the ISO VirtualTerminal Service

Classes of Service Basic Forms Graphics Modes of Operation

Two-way alternate Two-way simultaneous

Delivery Control

No delivery control Simple delivery control Quarantine delivery control

Echo Control Local echo

Remote echo

vides a primitive set of forms-related services. It allows the definition and addressing of individual fields and the transmission of selected fields. With this capability, the service can transfer just the variable fields on a form. However, there is no facility for defining or using field attributes.

The Forms class is designed to handle all of the operations associated with forms-mode terminals, such as the 3270 terminals. This would allow any forms-mode terminals from any vendor to interact with formsmode applications on any host from any vendor. Finally, the Graphics class will deal with graphics and image-processing terminals.

Modes of Operation. The virtual terminal standard supports two modes of operation: two-way alternative (half-duplex) and two-way simultaneous (full-duplex). When a terminal sets up a connection to a host, the mode of operation is agreed on between the two virtual service modules.

Two-way alternate mode enforces the discipline that only one side at a time can transmit. This prevents the situation in which data from the computer begin to appear on the terminal display screen while the user is entering text from the keyboard. The two-way alternate mode is typical of synchronous forms-mode terminals such as the 3270. Most normal enquiry/response applications are naturally two-way alternate, for example.

The two-way simultaneous mode permits both sides to transmit at the same time. An example of the utility of this would be the control terminal for a complex real-time system such as a process control plant. For such an application, the terminal must be capable of being updated rapidly with status changes even if the operator is typing in a command.

Delivery Control. Delivery control allows one side to control delivery of data to the other side to coordinate multiple actions. Normally, any data entered at a terminal are automatically delivered to the application on the other side as soon as possible, and any data transmitted by the application are delivered to the terminal as soon as possible. In some cases, however, one side may require explicit control over when certain data are delivered to its peer.

For example, suppose a user is logged on to a time-sharing system via the virtual terminal service. The time-sharing system may issue a single prompt character (e.g., ">") when it is ready for the next command. However, the terminal side of the virtual terminal service may choose to deliver data to the terminal for display only after several characters have been received, rather than one character at a time. Since this

single prompt character must be displayed, and since the terminal side cannot reasonably be expected to know what the prompt character is, some mechanism is needed to force delivery. Another example is the use of special function keys that are often found on terminals and that can be set up to perform multiple actions, resulting in the transmission of multiple messages to the host. Sometimes it is desirable that all of the functions of the key be presented to the peer user simultaneously.

Three types of delivery control can be specified with any transmission:

- 1. *No delivery control:* This is the default type. In this case, data are made available to the peer at the convenience of the implementation of the virtual terminal service.
- Simple delivery control: In this case, the service user (terminal or application) can issue a request that all undelivered data be delivered. The invoking side may also, optionally, request acknowledgment of the delivery to the other side.
- 3. *Quarantine delivery control:* This requires that the remote virtual terminal service module hold all incoming data until they are explicitly released for delivery by the other side. For example, an application could send a screenful of data in several small blocks but instruct the other side to defer delivery, so that the entire screen update is displayed at once. Another example is the function key action mentioned previously.

Echo Control. Echo control is concerned with the control of how characters typed on a keyboard will cause updates to a display. In real terminals, characters typed on the keyboard may be displayed on the screen locally by the terminal as they are typed or may be "echoed back" to the display by the computer. The former option is less flexible but is often chosen when the communication link is half-duplex and echoing back would therefore not be practical. The latter option is used where the communication line is full-duplex and where greater control over the screen is required. For example, a time-sharing system may wish to suppress the display of the terminal user's password and identification code but display all other characters.

Virtual Terminal Parameters. In addition to the aspects of the virtual terminal service listed in Table 8.5, a major feature of the service is the use of terminal parameters. These are similar to those used in X.3 in that they provide a way of defining various characteristics of the terminal. However, the parameters available in the ISO standard are much more complex and powerful than those of X.3. They allow the user to define various characteristics of displayable characters, such as font, size, in-

tensity, and color. Control objects can be defined that are used to control formatting on the display, and to trigger various events such as ringing an alarm. Characteristics of other devices such as printers can also be specified.

8.5

CIRCUIT-SWITCHED NETWORKS

The interface issues relating to circuit-switched local networks are far simpler to deal with than those of packet-switched networks. With a circuit-switched local network, such as a digital PBX, the mode of at-tachment is essentially transparent, much like the layer 1 protocol mode discussed above.

As before, there are two phases of operation, a connection phase and a data transfer phase. The data transfer phase uses synchronous TDM; thus no protocols and no logic are required. As was mentioned, this is a truly transparent connection.

For the connection phase, the main issue is the means by which the attached device requests a connection. For this discussion, it is useful to refer back to Figure 7.16, which indicates that each digital data device attaches to the network via some form of data line group. At least three means of connection establishment have been used:

- 1. Data devices typically connect via a twisted pair; therefore, near the data device, there must be a line driver to which the device attaches. This driver can include a simple keypad for selecting a destination.
- 2. Either the line driver or the data line group (more likely the latter) can contain the logic for conducting a simple dialogue with a terminal. In this case, the terminal user enters the connection request via the terminal.
- 3. The attached device (host) could contain a simple I/O program that generates connection requests in a form understandable to the network.

Regardless of the means, the switch architecture can support the private network configuration shown in Figure 8.1a. The local network connection would appear as a dial-up line to the attached device.

Finally, we mention the protocol converter featured in Figure 7.16. This facility acts as a gateway between devices with dissimilar protocols. It is used, for example, to convert between asynchronous ASCII terminals and the synchronous IBM 3270 protocol. In the future, this might be used to implement a VTP.

Sec.

8.6

ANALOG DEVICES

There are very few cases of analog device attachment to a local network to discuss. The most common is the telephone. Analog telephones are easily accommodated on a digital PBX that includes a codec in the line group (Figure 7.16). The other likely place to find analog devices is on a broadband network. As we have discussed, these networks easily accommodate video and audio attachments by dedicating channels for their use.

8.7

RECOMMENDED READING

As yet, there is not much literature on this subject. [STAC80] is perhaps the most systematic look at NIU interfaces for LANs; other articles of interest are [BAL85], [CERR87], [SPAN86], and [CZOT87]. [OLSE83] describes the layer 1 gateway approach for asynchronous terminals. A view of the issue for high-speed LANs is contained in [NESS81].

A readable description of X.3/X.28/X.29 is contained in [MART88]. [DAY80] contains a good discussion of virtual terminal protocols. [RICH90] discusses a host-to-front-end protocol, and [KANA88] details a proposal for one.

8.8

PROBLEMS

- **8.1** Consider Figure 8.8. Assume that the host–NIU protocol is X.25 and the NIU–NIU protocol is IEEE 802. Describe, with an example, how the layer 3 X.25 protocol is converted to the LLC protocol for transmission over the network.
- 8.2 Repeat Problem 8.1, but now assume the ANS LDDI link layer for NIU–NIU.
- **8.3** Consider Figure 8.9. Assume that the host–NIU interface is IEEE-802 LLC. Describe, with an example, how the host layer 4 software makes use of the layer 2 services to transmit data to a destination host.
- 8.4 Repeat Problem 8.3, but now assume the ANS LDDI interface.
- **8.5** Consider a layer 1 gateway being used by devices that communicate with a synchronous layer 2 protocol, such as HDLC or BISYNC. How is the NIU overflow problem handled?

8.6 Describe how a protocol convert in a digital switch architecture can be used to implement VTP.

8.7 In Figure 8.10, the transport layer is in the NIU rather than the host and therefore part of the communications subnetwork. Since the transport layer is supposed to provide end-to-end reliability, is there cause for concern? Describe any potential problems and ways of attacking them.

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 370

CHAPTER 9

LAN/MAN Performance

This chapter has two objectives:

- 1. To give the reader some insight into the factors that affect performance and the relative performance of various local network schemes
- 2. To present analytic techniques that can be used for network sizing and to obtain first approximations of network performance

It is beyond the scope of this book to derive analytic expressions for all of the performance measures presented; that would require an entire book on local network performance. Further, this chapter can only sketch the techniques that would be useful to the analyst in approximating performance; for deeper study, references to appropriate literature are provided.

This chapter begins by presenting some of the key performance considerations for LANs and MANs; the section serves to put the techniques and results presented subsequently into perspective. Separate sections present results for LAN and MAN systems. Finally, the more difficult problem of end-to-end performance is broached.

357

9.1

LAN/MAN PERFORMANCE CONSIDERATIONS

The key characteristics of the LAN that structure the way its performance is analyzed are that there is a shared access medium, requiring a medium access control protocol, and that packet switching is used. MANs share these characteristics. It follows that the basic performance considerations, and the approaches to performance analysis, will be the same for both. With the above points in mind, this section explores these basic considerations. The section begins by defining the basic measures of performance, then looks at the key parameter for determining LAN/MAN performance, known affectionately to devotees as *a*. Having been introduced to *a*, the reader is in a position to appreciate the interrelationship of the various factors that affect LAN/MAN performance, which is the final topic.

The results that exist for the portion of performance within the local network boundary are summarized in subsequent sections. As we shall see, these results are best organized in terms of the medium access control protocol.

Measures of Performance

Three measures of LAN and MAN performance are commonly used:

- *D*: the delay that occurs between the time a packet or frame is ready for transmission from a node, and the completion of successful transmission.
- *S*: the throughput of the local network: the total rate of data being transmitted between nodes (carried load).
- *U*: the utilization of the local network medium; the fraction of total capacity being used.

These measures concern themselves with performance within the local network. How they relate to the overall performance of the network and attached devices is discussed later.

The parameter *S* is often normalized and expressed as a fraction of capacity. For example, if over a period of 1 s, the sum of the successful data transfers between nodes is 1 Mb on a 10-Mbps channel, then S = 0.1. Thus *S* can also be interpreted as utilization. The analysis is commonly done in terms of the total number of bits transferred, including overhead (headers, trailers) bits; the calculations are a bit easier, and this approach isolates performance effects due to the local network alone. One must work backward from this to determine effective throughput.

Results for *S* and *D* are generally plotted as a function of the offered load *G*, which is the actual load or traffic demand presented to the local

network. Note that S and G differ. S is the normalized rate of data packets successfully transmitted; G is the total number of packets offered to the network; it includes control packets, such as tokens, and collisions, which are destroyed packets that must be retransmitted. *G*, too, is often expressed as a fraction of capacity. Intuitively, we would expect D to increase with G: the more traffic competing for transmission time, the longer the delay for any individual transmission. S should also increase with *G*, up to some saturation point, beyond which the network cannot handle more load.

Figure 9.1 shows the ideal situation: channel utilization increases to accommodate load up to an offered load equal to the full capacity of the system; then utilization remains at 100%. Of course, any overhead or inefficiency will cause performance to fall short of the goal. The depiction of S versus G is a reasonable one from the point of view of the network itself. It shows the behavior of the system based on the actual load on it. But from the point of view of the user or the attached device, it may seem strange. Why? Because the offered load includes not only original transmissions but also acknowledgments and, in the case of errors or collisions, retransmissions. The user may want to know the throughput and the delay characteristics as a function of the devicegenerated data to be put through the system-the input load. Or if the network is the focus, the analyst may want to know what the offered load is given the input load. We will return to this discussion later.

The reader may also wonder about the importance of *U*. *D* and *S* are certainly of interest, but the efficiency or utilization of the channel may seem of minor importance. After all, local networks are advertised as having very high bandwidth and low cost compared to long-haul net-



FIGURE 9.1 Ideal Channel Utilization

works. Although it is true that utilization is of less importance for local compared to long-haul links, it is still worth considering. Local network capacity is not free, and demand has a tendency to expand to fill available capacity.

In summary, we have introduced two additional parameters:

- 1. *G:* the offered load to the local network; the total rate of data presented to the network for transmission
- 2. *I*: the input load; the rate of data generated by the stations attached to the local network

Table 9.1 is a very simplified example to show the relationship among these parameters. Here we assume a network with a capacity of C = 1000 frames per second. For simplicity, *I*, *S*, and *G* are expressed in frames per second. It is assumed that 1% of all transmitted frames are lost and must be repeated. Thus at an input I = 100 frames per second, on the average 1 frame per second will be repeated. Thus S = 100 and G = 101. Assume that the input load arrives in batches, once per second. Hence, on average, with I = 100, D = 0.0505 s. The utilization is defined as S/C = 0.1.

The next two entries are easily seen to be correct. Note that for I = 990, the entire capacity of the system is being used (G = 1000). If I increases beyond this point, the system cannot keep up. Only 1000 frames per second will be transmitted. Thus *S* remains at 990 and *U* at 0.99. But *G* and *D* grow without bound as more and more backlog accumulates; there is no steady-state value. This pattern will become familiar as the chapter proceeds.

The Effect of Propagation Delay and Transmission Rate

Recall from Figure 3.6 that local networks are distinguished from longhaul networks on the one hand, and multiprocessor systems on the

TABLE 9.1Example of Relationships AmongLAN/MAN Measures of Performance^{a,b}

1	S	G	D	U
100	100	101	0.0505	0.1
500	500	505	0.2525	0.5
990	990	1000	0.5	0.99
2000	990			0.99

Capacity: 1000 frames/s.

^b*I*, input load (frames per second); *S*, throughput (frames per second); *G*, offered load (frames per second;)*D*, delay (seconds); *U*, utilization (fraction of capacity).

other, by the data rate (*R*) employed and the distance (*d*) of the communications path. In fact, it is the product of these two terms, $R \times d$, that can be used to characterize local networks. Furthermore, as we shall see, this term, or cousins of it, is the single most important parameter for determining the performance of a local network. We shall see that a network's performance will be the same, for example, for both a 100-Mbps, 1 km-bus and a 10-Mbps, 10-km bus.

A good way to visualize the meaning of $R \times d$ is to divide it by the propagation velocity of the medium, which is nearly constant among most media of interest. A good approximation for propagation velocity is about two-thirds of the speed of light, or 2×10^8 m/s. A dimensional analysis of the formula

 $\frac{Rd}{V}$

shows this to be equal to the length of the transmission medium in bits, that is, the number of bits that may be in transit between two nodes at any one time.

We can see that this does indeed distinguish local networks from multiprocessor and long-haul networks. Within a multiprocessor system, there are generally only a few bits in transit. For example, the latest IBM I/O channel offering operates at up to 24 Mbps over a distance of up to 120 m, which yields at most about 15 bits. Processor-to-processor communication within a single computer will typically involve fewer bits than that in transit. On the other hand, the bit length of a long-haul network can be hundreds of thousands of bits. In between, we have local networks. Several examples: a 500-m Ethernet system (10 Mbps) has a bit length of 25; both a 1-km HYPERchannel (50 Mbps) and a typical 5-km broadband LAN (5 Mbps) are about 250 bits long.

A useful way of looking at this is to consider the length of the medium as compared to the typical frame transmitted. Multiprocessor systems have very short bit lengths compared to frame length; long-haul nets have very long ones. Local networks generally are shorter than a frame up to about the same order of magnitude as a frame.

Intuitively, one can see that this will make a difference. Compare local networks to multiprocessor computers. Relatively speaking, things happen almost simultaneously in a multiprocessor system; when one component begins to transmit, the others know it almost immediately. For local networks, the relative time gap leads to all kinds of complications in the medium access control protocols, as we have seen. Compare longhaul networks to local networks. To have any hope of efficiency, the long-haul link must allow multiple frames to be in transit simultaneously. This places specific requirements on the link-layer protocol, which must deal with a sequence of outstanding frames waiting to be acknowledged. LAN and MAN protocol generally allow only one frame to be in transit at a time, or at the most a few for some ring protocols. Again, this affects the access protocol.

The length of the medium, expressed in bits, compared to the length of the typical frame is usually denoted by *a*:

 $a = \frac{\text{length of data path (in bits)}}{\text{length of frame}}$

Some manipulation shows that

$$a = \frac{Rd}{VL}$$

where *L* is the length of the frame. But d/V is the propagation time on the medium (worst case), and *L*/*B* is the time it takes a transmitter to get an entire frame out onto the medium. So

 $a = \frac{\text{propagation time}}{\text{transmission time}}$

Typical values of a range from about 0.01 to 0.1 for LANs and 0.01 to over 1 for MANs. Table 9.2 gives some sample values for a bus topology. In computing a, keep in mind that the maximum propagation time on a broadband network is double the length of the longest path from the headend, plus the delay, if any, at the headend. For baseband bus and ring networks, repeater delays must be included in propagation time.

The parameter *a* determines an upper bound on the utilization of a local network. Consider a perfectly efficient access mechanism that allows only one transmission at a time. As soon as one transmission is over, another node begins transmitting. Furthermore, the transmission is pure data—no overhead bits. (*Note:* These conditions are very close to

TABLE 9.2 Values of a

Data Rate (Mbps)	Packet Size (bits)	Cable Length (km)	a
1	100	1	0.05
1	1,000	10	0.05
1	100	10	0.5
10	100	1	0.5
10	1,000	1	0.05
10	1,000	10	0.5
10	10,000	10	0.05
50	10,000	1	0.025
50	100	1	2.5

being met in a digital switch but not, alas, in LANs and MANs.) What is the maximum possible utilization of the network? It can be expressed as the ratio of total throughput of the system to the capacity or bandwidth:

$$U = \frac{\text{throughput}}{R} = \frac{L/(\text{propagation} + \text{transmission time})}{R}$$
$$= \frac{L/(d/V + L/R)}{R} = \frac{1}{1 + a}$$
(9.1)

So, utilization varies inversely with *a*. This can be grasped intuitively by studying Figure 9.2. This figure shows a baseband bus with two stations as far apart as possible (worst case) that take turns sending frames. If we normalize time such that the frame transmission time = 1, then a = propagation time. The sequence of events can be expressed as follows:

- 1. A station begins transmission at t_0 .
- 2. Reception begins at $t_0 + a$.
- 3. Transmission is completed at $t_0 + 1$.
- 4. Reception ends at $t_0 + 1 + a$.
- 5. The other station begins transmitting.

Event 2 occurs *after* event 3 if a > 1.0. In any case, the total time for one "turn" is 1 + a, but the transmission time is only 1, for a utilization of 1/(1 + a).

The same effect can be seen to apply to a ring network in Figure 9.3. Here we assume that one station transmits and then waits to receive its own transmission before any other station transmits. The identical sequence of events outlined above applies.

Equation (9.1) is plotted in Figure 9.4. The implications for throughput are shown in Figure 9.5. As offered load increases, throughput remains equal to offered load up to the full capacity of the network (when 1)

$$S = G = \frac{1}{1 + a}$$
, and then remains at $S = \frac{1}{1 + a}$ as load increases.

So we can say that an upper bound on the utilization or efficiency of a LAN or MAN is 1/(1 + a), regardless of the medium access protocol used. Two caveats: First, this assumes that the maximum propagation time is incurred on each transmission. Second, it assumes that only one transmission may occur at a time. These assumptions are not always true; nevertheless, the formula 1/(1 + a) is almost always a valid upper bound, because the overhead of the medium access protocol more than makes up for the lack of validity of these assumptions.

The overhead is unavoidable. Frames must include address and synchronization bits. There is administrative overhead for controlling the protocol. In addition, there are forms of overhead peculiar to one or $\{ (a_{i}) \} \in \{ (a_{i}) \}$









the forest

more of the protocols. We highlight these briefly for the most important protocols:

• Contention protocols (ALOHA, S-ALOHA, CSMA, CSMA/CD): time wasted due to collisions; need for acknowledgment frames. S-ALOHA requires that slot size equal transmission plus maximum propagation time.





366





- *Delay scheduling:* time spent waiting to see if other stations have data to send; acknowledgment frames.
- *Token bus:* time waiting for token if logically intervening stations have no data to send; token transmission; acknowledgment frames.
- *Token ring:* time waiting for token if intervening stations have no data to send.
- *Slotted ring:* time waiting for empty slot if intervening stations have no data to send.
- *Register insertion:* delay at each node of time equal to address length. From the point of view of a single station, the propagation time and hence *a* may increase due to insertion of registers on the ring.
- Explicit reservation: reservation transmission, acknowledgments.
- *Implicit reservation:* overhead of protocol used to establish reservation, acknowledgments.

There are two distinct effects here. One is that the efficiency or utilization of a channel decreases as a increases. This, of course, affects throughput. The other effect is that the overhead attributable to a protocol wastes bandwidth and hence reduces effective utilization and effective throughput. By and large, we can think of these two effects as independent and additive. However, we shall see that, for contention protocols, there is a strong interaction such that the overhead of these protocols increases as a function of a.

In any case, it would seem desirable to keep a as low as possible. Looking back to the defining formula, for a fixed network a can be reduced by increasing frame size. This will be useful only if the length of messages produced by a station is an integral multiple of the frame size (excluding overhead bits). Otherwise, the large frame size is itself a source of waste. Furthermore, a large frame size increases the delay for other stations. This leads us to the next topic: the various factors that affect LAN/MAN performance.

Factors That Affect Performance

We list here those factors that affect the performance of a LAN or a MAN. We are concerned here with that part which is independent of the attached devices—those factors that are exclusively under the control of the local network designer. The chief factors are:

- Capacity
- Propagation delay
- Number of bits per frame
- Local network protocols
- Offered load
- Number of stations

The first three terms have already been discussed; they determine the value of *a*.

Next are the local network protocols: physical, medium access, and link. The physical layer is not likely to be much of a factor; generally, it can keep up with transmissions and receptions with little delay. The link layer will add some overhead bits to each frame and some administrative overhead, such as virtual circuit management and acknowledgments. This area has not been studied much, and is best considered as part of the end-to-end performance problem discussed in Section 9.4. This leaves the medium access layer, which can have a significant effect on network performance. Sections 9.2 and 9.3 are devoted to this topic.

We can think of the first three factors listed above as characterizing the network; they are generally treated as constants or givens. The local network protocol is the focus of the design effort—the choice that must be made. The next two factors, offered load and the number of stations, are generally treated as the independent variables. The analyst is concerned with determining performance as a function of these two variables. Note that these two variables must be treated separately. Certainly, it is true that for a fixed offered load per station, the total offered load increases as the number of stations increases. The same increase could be achieved by keeping the number of stations fixed but increasing the offered load per station. However, as we shall see, the network performance will be different for these two cases.

One factor that was not listed above: the error rate of the channel. An error in a frame transmission necessitates a retransmission. Because the error rates on local networks are so low, this is not likely to be a significant factor.

9.2

LAN PERFORMANCE

A considerable amount of work has been done on the analysis of the performance of various LAN protocols for bus/tree and ring. This section is limited to summarizing the results for the protocols discussed in Chapter 5, those protocols that are most common for LANs.

We begin by presenting an easily used technique for quickly establishing bounds on performance. Often, this back-of-the-envelope approach is adequate for system sizing.

Next, a comparison of the three protocols standardized by IEEE 802 (CSMA/CD, token bus, token ring) is presented. These three protocols are likely to dominate the market and an insight into their comparative performance is needed.

We then look more closely at contention protocols and devote more time here to the derivation of results. This process should give the reader a feeling for the assumptions that must be made and the limitations of the results. More time is spent on the contention protocols because we wish to understand their inherent instability. As we shall see, the basis of this instability is a positive feedback mechanism that behaves poorly under heavy load.

Finally, we revisit token ring and view it in context with the other two common ring protocols: register insertion and slotted ring.

Bounds on Performance

The purpose of this section is to present a remarkably simple technique for determining bounds on the performance of a LAN. Although a considerable amount of work has been done on developing detailed analytic and simulation models of the performance of various LAN protocols, much of this work is suspect because of the restrictive assumptions made. Furthermore, even if the models were valid, they provide a level of resolution not needed by the local network designer.

A common-sense argument should clarify this point. In any LAN or MAN, there are three regions of operation, based on the magnitude of the offered load:

- 1. A region of low delay through the network, where the capacity is more than adequate to handle the load offered.
- 2. A region of high delay, where the network becomes a bottleneck. In this region, relatively more time is spent controlling access to the network and less in actual data transmission compared to the low-delay region.
- 3. A region of unbounded delay, where the offered load exceeds the total capacity of the system.

This last region is easily identified. For example, consider the following network:

- Capacity = 1 Mbps
- Number of stations = 1000
- Frame size = 1000 bits

If, on average, each station generates data at a rate exceeding 1 frame per second, then the total offered load exceeds 1 Mbps. The delay at each station will build up and up without bound.

The third region is clearly to be avoided. But almost always, the designer will wish to avoid the second region as well. The second region implies an inefficient use to the network. Further, a sudden surge of data while in the second region would cause corresponding increases in the already high delay. In the first region, the network is not a bottleneck and, as we will discuss in Section 9.4, will contribute typically only a small amount to the end-to-end delay.

Thus the crucial question is: What region will the network operate in, based on projected load and network characteristics? The third region is easily identified and avoided; it is the boundary between the first two regions that must be identified. If the network operates below that boundary, it should not cause a communications bottleneck. If it operates above the boundary, there is reason for concern and perhaps redesign. Now, the issue is: How precisely do we need to know the boundary? The load on the network will vary over time and can only be estimated. Because the load estimates are unlikely to be precise, it is not necessary to know exactly where that boundary is. If a good approximation for the boundary can be developed, then the network can be sized so that the estimated load is well below the boundary. In the example just described, the estimated load is 1 Mbps. If the capacity of the LAN is such that the boundary is approximately 4 Mbps, then the designer can be reasonably sure that the network will not be a bottleneck.

With the above points in mind, we present a technique for estimating performance bounds, based on the approach taken by the IEEE 802 committee [STUC85]. To begin, let us ignore the medium access control protocol and develop bounds for throughput and delay as a function of the number of active stations. Four quantities are needed:

- 1. T_{idle} = the mean time that a station is idle between transmission attempts: the station has no messages awaiting transmission.
- 2. T_{msg} = the time required to transmit a message once medium access is gained.
- 3. T_{delay} = the mean delay from the time a station has a packet to transmit until completion of transmission; includes queueing time and transmission time.
- 4. THRU = mean total throughput on the network of messages per unit time.

We assume that there are *N* active stations, each with the same loadgenerating requirements. To find an upper bound on total throughput, consider the ideal case in which there is no queueing delay: each station transmits when it is ready. Hence each station alternates between idle and transmission with a throughput of $1/(T_{idle} + T_{msg})$. The maximum possible throughput is just the summation of the throughputs of all *N* stations:

$$\Gamma HRU \le \frac{N}{T_{idle} + T_{mse}}$$
(9.2)

This upper bound increases as *N* increases, but is reasonable only up to the point of raw capacity of the network, which can be expressed

$$\text{THRU} \le \frac{1}{T_{\text{msg}}} \tag{9.3}$$

The breakpoint between these two bounds occurs at

$$\frac{N}{T_{\text{idle}} + T_{\text{msg}}} = \frac{1}{T_{\text{msg}}}$$

$$N = \frac{T_{\text{idle}} + T_{\text{msg}}}{T_{\text{msg}}}$$
(9.4)

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 384

This breakpoint defines two regions of operation. With the number of stations below the breakpoint, the system is not generating enough load to utilize fully system capacity. However, above the breakpoint, the network is saturated: it is fully utilized and is not able to satisfy the demands of the attached stations.

To see the reasonableness of this breakpoint, consider that the capacity of the network is $1/T_{msg}$. For example, if it takes 1 µs to transmit a message, the data rate is 10⁶ messages per second. The amount of traffic being generated by *N* stations is $N/(T_{idle} + T_{msg})$. If the traffic exceeds the network's capacity, messages get backlogged and delay increases. Note also that traffic increases either by increasing the number of stations (*N*) or increasing the rate at which stations transmit messages (reduce T_{idle}).

These same considerations allow us to place a lower bound on delay. Clearly,

$$T_{\text{delay}} \ge T_{\text{msg}}$$
 (9.5)

Now, consider that at any load the following relationship holds:

$$THRU = \frac{N}{T_{idle} + T_{delay}}$$
(9.6)

since $1/(T_{idle} + T_{delay})$ is the throughput of each station. Combining (9.3) and (9.6) we have

$$T_{\text{delay}} \ge NT_{\text{msg}} - T_{\text{idle}}$$

The breakpoint calculation, combining (9.5) and the equation above, yields the same result as before (see Figure 9.6). Keep in mind that these bounds are asymptotes of the true delay and throughput curves. The breakpoint delimits two regions. Below the breakpoint, capacity is underutilized and delay is low. Above the breakpoint, capacity saturates and delay blows up. In actuality, the changes are gradual rather than abrupt.

Bounds on the other side are easily found. The delay would be maximized if all *N* stations had a message to transmit simultaneously:

$$T_{\text{delay}} \leq NT_{\text{msg}}$$

Combining with equation (9.6) gives us

$$\text{THRU} \ge \frac{N}{T_{\text{idle}} + NT_{\text{msg}}}$$

These bounds give one a rough idea of the behavior of a system. They allow one to do a simple back-of-the-envelope calculation to determine if a proposed system is within reasonable bounds. If the answer is no, much analysis and grief may be saved. If yes, the analyst must dig deeper.



FIGURE 9.6 Feasible Operating Region, Zero-Overhead System

Two examples should clarify the use of these equations. First, consider a workstation attached to a 1-Mbps local network that generates, on average, three messages per minute, with messages averaging 500 bits. With message transmission time equal to 500 μ s, the mean idle time is 20 s. The breakpoint number of stations is, roughly,

$$N = \frac{20}{500 \times 10^{-6}} = 40,000 \text{ stations}$$

If the number of stations is much less than this, say 1000, congestion should not be a problem. If it is much more, say 100,000, congestion may be a problem.

Second, consider a set of stations that generates PCM digitized voice packets on a 10-Mbps local network. Data are generated at the rate of 64 kbps. For 0.1-s packets, we have a transmission time per packet of 640 μ s. Thus

$$N = \frac{0.1}{640 \times 10^{-6}} = 156$$
 stations

die.

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 386

ą,

Generally, we would not expect all voice stations (telephones) to be active at one time; perhaps one-fourth is a reasonable estimate, so the breakpoint is around 600 stations.

Note that in both these examples, we have very quickly arrived at a first-order sizing of the system with no knowledge of the protocol. All that is needed is the load generated per station and the capacity of the network.

The calculations above are based on a system with no overhead. They provide bounds for a system with perfect scheduling. One way to account for overhead is to replace T_{msg} with $T_{sys'}$ where the latter quantity includes an estimate of the overhead per packet. This is done in Figure 9.6.

A more accurate though still rough handle on performance can be had by considering the protocol involved. We develop the results for token passing. A similar analysis can be found in [HAYE81]. This protocol, for bus or ring, has the following characteristics:

- Stations are given the opportunity to transmit in a fixed cyclical sequence.
- At each opportunity, a station may transmit one message.
- Frames may be of fixed or variable length.
- Preemption is not allowed.

Some additional terms are needed:

- R(K) = mean throughput rate (messages/second) of station K
- T_{over} = total overhead (seconds) in one cycle of the *N* stations
- C = duration (seconds) of a cycle
- UTIL(*K*) = utilization of the network due to station *K*

Let us begin by assuming that each station always has messages to transmit; the system is never idle. The fraction of time that the network is busy handling requests from station *K* is just

$$\text{UTIL}(K) = R(K) T_{\text{msg}}(K)$$

To keep up with the work, the system must not be presented with a load greater than its capacity:

$$\sum_{K=1}^{N} \text{UTIL}(K) = \sum_{K=1}^{N} R(K)T_{\text{msg}}(K) \le 1$$

Now consider the overhead in the system, which is the time during a cycle required to pass the token and perform other maintenance functions. Clearly,

$$C = T_{\text{over}} + \sum_{K=1}^{N} T_{\text{msg}}(K)$$

From this we can deduce that

$$R(K) = \frac{1}{C} = \frac{1}{T_{over} + \sum_{K=1}^{N} T_{msg}(K)}$$

Now, let us assume that the medium is always busy but that some stations may be idle. This line of reasoning will lead us to the desired bounds on throughput and delay. Since we assume that the network is never idle, the fraction of time the system spends on overhead and transmission must sum to unity:

$$\frac{T_{\text{over}}}{C} + \sum_{K=1}^{N} R(K)T_{\text{msg}}(K) = 1$$

Thus

$$C = \frac{T_{\text{over}}}{1 - \sum_{K=1}^{N} R(K)T_{\text{msg}}(K)}$$

Note that the duration of a cycle is proportional to the overhead; doubling the mean overhead time should double the cycle time for a fixed load. This result may not be intuitively obvious; the reader is advised to work out a few examples.

With *C* known, we can place an upper bound on the throughput of any one source:

$$R(J) \le \frac{1}{C} = \frac{1 - \sum_{K=1}^{N} R(K) T_{\text{msg}}(K)}{T_{\text{over}}}$$
(9.7)

Now let us assume that all sources are identical: R(K) = R, $T_{msg}(K) = T_{msg}$. Then (9.7) reduces to

$$R \le \frac{1 - NRT_{\rm msg}}{T_{\rm over}}$$

Solving for *R*:

$$R < \frac{1}{T_{\rm over} + NT_{\rm msg}}$$

But, by definition, $R = 1/(T_{delay} + T_{idle})$, so we can express:

$$T_{\text{delay}} = \frac{1}{R} - T_{\text{idle}}$$

$$T_{\text{delay}} \ge T_{\text{over}} + NT_{\text{msg}} - T_{\text{idle}}$$

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 388

In practice, T_{over} may consist of some fixed amount of time C_0 for each cycle plus an amount C_1 for each station that receives the token. These numbers will differ for token ring and token bus:

$$T_{\text{delay}} \ge C_0 + N(T_{\text{msg}} + C_1) - T_{\text{idle}}$$

We also have the inequality of (9.5) and can solve for the breakpoint:

$$N = \frac{T_{\rm msg} + T_{\rm idle} - C_0}{T_{\rm msg} + C_1}$$
(9.8)

Figure 9.7 depicts the delay-station plot, showing the two regions. Note that the slope of the line in the heavily loaded region is $T_{msg} + C_1$.

A similar analysis can be carried out for CSMA/CD. Figure 9.8 is a comparison developed in [STUC85]. The absolute positions of the various policies depend on specific assumptions about overhead and, in the case of CSMA/CD, the value of *a*. But the relative positions are generally true: under lightly loaded conditions CSMA/CD has a shorter delay time, but the protocol breaks down more rapidly under increasing load.



FIGURE 9.7 Bounds on Token-Passing Performance

3 - 1-





Comparative Performance of Token Passing and CSMA/CD

The purpose of this section is to give the reader some insight into the relative performance of the most important LAN protocols: CSMA/CD, token bus, and token ring. We begin with simplified models that highlight the main points of comparison. Following this, a careful analysis performed by the IEEE 802 committee is reported.

For the models, we assume a local network with N active stations. Our purpose is to estimate the maximum throughput achievable on the LAN. For this purpose, we assume that each station is always prepared to send a frame.

First, let us consider token ring. Time on the ring will alternate between data frame transmission and token passing. Refer to a single instance of a data frame followed by a token as a cycle and define:

- C = average time for one cycle
- *DF* = average time to transmit a data frame
- *TF* = average time to pass a token

It should be clear that the average cycle rate is just 1/C = 1/(DF + TF). Intuitively,

$$S = \frac{DF}{DF + TF}$$
(9.9)

That is, the throughput, normalized to system capacity, is just the fraction of time that is spent transmitting data.

Refer now to Figure 9.3; time is normalized such that frame transmission time equals 1 and propagation time equals *a*. For the case of a < 1, a station transmits a frame at time t_0 , receives the leading edge of its own frame at $t_0 + a$, and completes transmission at $t_0 + 1$. The station then emits a token, which takes time a/N to reach the next station (assuming equally spaced stations). Thus one cycle takes 1 + a/N and the transmission time is 1. So S = 1/(1 + a/N).

For a > 1, the reasoning is slightly different. A station transmits at t_0 , completes transmission at $t_0 + 1$, and receives the leading edge of its frame at $t_0 + a$. At that point, it is free to emit a token, which takes a time a/N to reach the next station. The cycle time is therefore a + a/N and S = 1/[a(1 + 1/N)]. Summarizing,

$$Token: S = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1 + a/N} & a < 1\\ \frac{1}{a(1 + 1/N)} & a > 1 \end{cases}$$
(9.10)

The reasoning above applies equally well to token bus, where we assume that the logical ordering is the same as the physical ordering and that token-passing time is therefore a/N.

For CSMA/CD, we base our approach on a derivation in [METC76]. Consider time on the medium to be organized into slots whose length is twice the end-to-end propagation delay. This is a convenient way to view the activity on the medium; the slot time is the maximum time, from the start of transmission, required to detect a collision. Again, assume that there are N active stations, each generating the same load. Clearly, if each station always has a packet to transmit, it does, so there will be nothing but collisions on the line. Therefore, we assume that each station restrains itself to transmitting during an available slot with probability p.

Time on the medium consists of two types of intervals. First is a transmission interval, which lasts 1/2a slots. Second is a contention interval, which is a sequence of slots with either a collision or no transmission in each slot. The throughput is just the proportion of time spent in transmission intervals [similar to the reasoning for equation (9.1)].

To determine the average length of a contention interval, we begin by computing A, the probability that exactly one station attempts a

transmission in a slot and therefore acquires the medium. This is just the binomial probability that any one station attempts to transmit and the others do not:

$$A = \binom{N}{1} p^{1} (1 - p)^{N-1} = N p (1 - p)^{N-1}$$

This function takes on a maximum over *p* when p = 1/N:

$$A = \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right)^{N-1}$$

Why are we interested in the maximum? Well, we want to calculate the maximum throughput of the medium. It should be clear that this will be achieved if we maximize the probability of successful seizure of the medium. During periods of heavy usage, a station should restrain its offered load to 1/N. (This assumes that each station knows the value of N; in order to derive an expression for maximum possible throughput, we live with this assumption.) On the other hand, during periods of light usage, maximum utilization cannot be achieved because G is too low; this region is not of interest here.

Now we can estimate the mean length of a contention interval, *w*, in slots:

 $E[w] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i \cdot \Pr[i \text{ slots in a row with a collision or no}]$

transmission followed by a slot with one transmission]

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}i(1 - A)^{i}A$$

The summation converges to

$$E[w] = \frac{1-A}{A}$$

We can now determine the maximum utilization, which is just the length of a transmission interval as a proportion of a cycle consisting of a transmission and a contention interval.

CSMA/CD:
$$S = \frac{1/2a}{1/2a + \frac{1-A}{A}} = \frac{1}{1+2a\frac{1-A}{A}}$$
 (9.11)

Figure 9.9 shows normalized throughput as a function of a for various values of N and for both token passing and CSMA/CD. For both protocols, throughput declines as a increases. This is to be expected. But the

S Token N ≈ 1 Token N = 101.0 N = 2 CSMA/CD0,8 0.6 N=10 CSMA/CD 0.4 0.2 0.01 1.0 10 100 1000 FIGURE 9.9 Throughput as a Function of a for Token-Passing and CSMA/CD

dramatic difference between the two protocols is seen in Figure 9.10, which shows throughput as a function of N. Token-passing performance actually improves as a function of N, because less time is spent in token passing. Conversely, the performance of CSMA/CD decreases because of the increased likelihood of collision.

It is interesting to note the asymptomatic value of *S* as *N* increases. For token:

Token:
$$\lim_{N \to \infty} S = \begin{cases} 1 & a < 1 \\ \frac{1}{a} & a > 1 \end{cases}$$

For CSMA/CD, we need to know that $\lim_{N\to\infty} (1 - 1/N)^{N-1} = 1/e$. Then

$$CSMA/CD: \lim_{N \to \infty} S = \frac{1}{1 + 3.44a}$$

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 393





Continuing this example, it is relatively easy to derive an expression for delay for token passing. Once a station (station 1) transmits, it must wait for the following events to occur before it can transmit again:

- Station 1 transmits token to station 2.
- Station 2 transmits data frame.
- Station 2 transmits token to station 3.
- Station transmits data frame.
- Station N 1 transmits token to station N.
- Station N transmits data frame.
- Station *N* transmits token to station 1.

Thus the delay consists of (N - 1) cycles plus a/N, the token passing time. We have

$$Token: D = \begin{cases} N + a - 1 & a < 1 \\ aN & a > 1 \end{cases}$$
(9.12)

Thus, delay increases linearly with load, and for a fixed number of stations delay is constant and finite even if all stations always have something to send. The delay for CSMA/CD is more difficult to express and depends on the exact nature of the protocol (persistence, retry policy). In general, we can say that the delay grows without bound as the system becomes saturated. As *N* increases, there are more collisions and longer contention intervals. Individual frames must make more attempts to achieve successful transmission. We explore this behavior further in the next station.

We now report the results of a deeper analysis done for the IEEE 802 committee [STUC85]. A similar analysis is also reported in [BUX81]. The analysis is based on considering not only mean values but second moments of delay and message length. Two cases of message arrival statistics are employed. In the first, only 1 station out of 100 has messages to transmit and is always ready to transmit. In such a case, one would hope that the network would not be the bottleneck, but could easily keep up with one station. In the second case, 100 stations out of 100 always have messages to transmit. This represents an extreme of congestion and one would expect that the network may be a bottleneck.

The results are shown in Figure 9.11. It shows the actual data transmission rate versus the transmission speed on a 2-km bus. Note that the abscissa is not offered load but the actual capacity of the medium. The 1 station or 100 stations provide enough input to utilize the network fully. Hence these plots are a measure of maximum potential utilization. Three systems are examined: token ring with a 1-bit latency per station, token bus, and CSMA/CD. The analysis yields the following conclusions:

- For the given parameters, the smaller the mean frame length, the greater the difference in maximum mean throughput rate between token passing and CSMA/CD. This reflects the strong dependence of CSMA/CD on *a*.
- Token ring is the least sensitive to work load.
- CSMA/CD offers the shortest delay under light load, while it is most sensitive under heavy load to the work load.

Note also that in the case of a single station transmitting, token bus is significantly less efficient than the other two protocols. This is so because the assumption is made that the propagation delay is longer than for token ring, and that the delay in token processing is greater than for token ring.

Another phenomenon of interest is seen most clearly in Figure 9.11b. For a CSMA/CD system under these conditions, the maximum effective throughput at 5 Mbps is only about 1.25 Mbps. If the expected load is, say, 0.75 Mbps, this configuration may be perfectly adequate. If, however, the load is expected to grow to 2 Mbps, raising the network data





rate to 10 Mbps or even 20 Mbps will not accommodate the increase! The same conclusion, less precisely, can be drawn from the model presented at the beginning of this section.

As with all the other results presented in this chapter, these depend on the nature of the assumptions made and do not reflect accurately the nature of the real-world load. Nevertheless, they show in a striking manner the nature of the instability of CSMA/CD and the ability of token ring and token bus to continue to perform well in the face of overload conditions.

The Behavior of Contention Protocols

The preceding section revealed that CSMA/CD performs less well than token passing under increasing load or increasing *a*. This is characteristic of all contention protocols. In this section we explore this subject in

t the second second



Data Rate(MPBS) FIGURE 9.11b 500 bits per packet; 100 stations active out of 100 stations total

more detail for the interested reader. To do this, we present results based on the assumption that there is an infinite number of stations. This may strike the reader as an absurd tactic, but, in fact, it leads to analytically tractable equations that are, up to a point, very close to reality. We will define that point shortly. For now, we state the infinitesource assumption precisely: there is an infinite number of stations, each generating an infinitely small rate of frames such that the total number of frames generated per unit of time is finite.

The following additional assumptions are made:

- 1. All frames are of constant length. In general, such frames give better average throughput and delay performance than do variable-length frames. In some analyses, an exponential distribution of frame length is used.
- 2. The channel is noise-free.



FIGURE 9.11c 2000 bits per packet; 1 station active out of 100 stations total

- 3. Frames do not collect at individual stations; that is, a station transmits each frame before the next arrives, hence I = S. This assumption weakens at higher loads, where stations are faced with increasing delays for each packet.
- 4. G, the offered load, is Poisson distributed.
- 5. For CSMA/CD, no time is lost for carrier sense and collision detection.

These assumptions do not accurately reflect any actual system. For example, higher-order moments or even the entire probability distribution of frame length or G may be needed for accurate results. These assumptions do provide analytic tractability, enabling the development of closed-form expressions for performance. Thus they provide a common basis for comparing a number of protocols and they allow the de-

384

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 398

385



9.2 LAN Performance

FIGURE 9.11d 500 bits per packet; 1 station active out of 100 stations total

velopment of results that give insight into the behavior of systems. In the following discussion, we shall cite simulation and measurement studies that indicate that these insights are valid.

Let us look first at the simplest contention protocol, pure ALOHA. Traffic, of course, is generated as so many frames per second. It is convenient to normalize this to the frame transmission time; then we can view *S* as the number of frames generated per frame time. Since the capacity of the channel is one frame per frame time, *S* also has the usual meaning of throughput as a fraction of capacity.

The total traffic on the channel will consist of new frames plus frames that must be retransmitted because of collision:

G = S

+ (number of retransmitted frames per frame transmission time)

Now, a frame must be retransmitted if it suffers a collision. Thus we can express the rate of retransmissions as *G*•Pr [individual frame suffers a collision]. Note that we must use *G* rather than *S* in this expression. To determine the probability of collision, consider as a worst case, two stations, A and B, as far apart as possible on a bus (i.e., a normalized distance *a*, as in Figure 9.2). A frame transmitted by station A will suffer a collision if B begins transmission prior to A but within a time 1 + a of the beginning of A's transmission, or if B begins transmission after A within a time period 1 + a of the beginning of A's transmission. Thus the vulnerable period is of length 2(1 + a).

We have assumed that *G* is Poisson distributed. For a Poisson process with rate λ , the probability of an arrival in a period of time *t* is $1 - e^{-\lambda t}$. Thus the probability of an arrival during the vulnerable period is $1 - e^{-2(1+\alpha)G}$. Therefore, we have

$$G = S + G[1 - e^{-2(1+a)G}]$$

So

$$ALOHA: S = Ge^{-2(1+\alpha)G}$$
(9.13)

This derivation assumes that *G* is Poisson, which is not the case even for *I* Poisson. However, studies indicate that this is a good approximation [SCHW77]. Also, deeper analysis indicates that the infinite population assumption results closely approximate finite population results at reasonably small numbers—say, 50 or more stations [KLEI76, PATE87]. This is also true for CSMA and CSMA/CD systems [TOBA80a, TOBA82].

Another way of deriving (9.13) is to note that S/G is the fraction of offered frames transmitted successfully, which is just the probability that for each frame, no additional frames arrive during the vulnerable period, which is $e^{-2(1+a)G}$.

Throughput for slotted ALOHA is also easily calculated. All frames begin transmission on a slot boundary. Thus the number of frames transmitted during a slot time is equal to the number that was generated during the previous slot and await transmission. To avoid collisions between frames in adjacent slots, the slot length must equal frame transmission time plus propagation delay (i.e., 1 + a). Thus the probability that an individual frame suffers collision is $1 - e^{(1+a)G}$. Thus we have:

$$S-ALOHA: S = Ge^{-(1+a)G}$$
(9.14)

Differentiating (9.13) and (9.14) with respect to *G*, the maximum possible values for *S* are 1/[2e(1 + a)] and 1/[e(1 + a)] respectively. These results differ from those reported in previous accounts of local network performance [TROP81, FRAN81], which ignore *a* and have $S = Ge^{-2G}$ for ALOHA and $S = Ge^{-G}$ for slotted ALOHA. The discrepancy arises because these formulas were originally derived for satellite channels, for

which they are valid, but are often compared with CSMA-type protocols derived for local networks (e.g., [TOBA80b]). The results that correspond to a = 0 are plotted in Figure 9.12. For small values of $a(a \le 0.01)$, these figures are adequate; but for comparison with CSMA protocols, equations (9.13) and (9.14) should be used.

Figure 9.12 provides insight into the nature of the instability problem with contention protocols. As offered load increases, so does throughput until, beyond its maximum value, throughput actually declines as *G* increases. This is because there is an increased frequency of collisions: more frames are offered, but fewer successfully escape collision. Worse, this situation may persist even if the input to the system drops to zero! Consider: For high *G*, virtually all offered frames are retransmissions and virtually none get through. So, even if no new frames are generated, the system will remain occupied in an unsuccessful attempt to clear the backlog; the effective capacity of the system is virtually zero. Thus, even in a moderately loaded system, a temporary burst of work could move the network into the high-collision region permanently. This type of instability is not possible with the noncontention protocols.

Delay is more difficult to calculate, but the following reasoning gives a good approximation. We define delay as the time interval from when a node is ready to transmit a frame until when it is successfully received. This delay is simply the sum of queueing delay, propagation delay, and transmission time. In ALOHA, the queueing delay is 0; that is, a node transmits immediately when it has a frame to transmit. However, because of collisions, we may consider the queueing delay time to be the total time consumed prior to successful transmission (i.e., the total time spent in unsuccessful transmissions). To get at this, we need to know the expected number of transmissions per frame. A little thought shows



Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 401

that this is simply *G/S*. So the expected number of retransmissions per frame is just $G/S - 1 = e^{2(1+a)G} - 1$. The delay *D* can then be expressed as

$$D = [e^{2(1+a)G} - 1] \delta + a + 1$$

where δ is the average delay for one transmission. A common algorithm used for ALOHA is to retransmit after a time selected from a uniform distribution of from 1 to *K* frame-transmission times. This minimizes repeated collisions. The average delay is then (K + 1)/2. To this, we must add the amount of time a station must wait to determine that its frame was unsuccessful. This is just the time it would take to complete a transmission (1 + a) plus the time it would take for the receiver to generate an acknowledgment (*w*) plus the propagation time for the acknowledgment to reach the station (*a*). For simplicity, we assume that acknowledgment packets do not suffer collisions. Thus:

ALOHA:
$$D = [e^{2(1+a)G} - 1] \left(1 + 2a + w + \frac{K+1}{2} \right) + a + 1$$
 (9.15)

For S-ALOHA, a similar reasoning obtains. The main difference now is that there is a delay, averaging half a slot time between the time a node is ready to send a frame and the time the next slot begins:

S-ALOHA:
$$D = [e^{(1+a)G} - 1] \left(1 + 2a + w + \frac{K+1}{2} \right) + 1.5 a + 1.5$$
 (9.16)

These formulas confirm the instability of contention-based protocols under heavy load. As the rate of new frames increases, so does the number of collisions. We can see that both the number of collisions and the average delay grow exponentially with *G*. Thus there is not only a tradeoff between throughput (*S*) and delay (*D*), but a third factor enters the trade-off: stability. Figure 9.13 illustrates this point. Figure 9.13a shows that delay increases exponentially with offered load. But Figure 9.13b is perhaps more meaningful. It shows that delay increases with throughput up to the maximum possible throughput. Beyond that point, although throughput declines because of increased numbers of collisions, the delay continues to rise.

It is worth pondering Figures 9.12 and 9.13 to get a better feeling for the behavior of contention channels. Recall that we mentioned that both *S* and *G* are derived parameters, and what we would really like to estimate is the actual traffic generated by network devices, the input load *I*. As long as the input load is less than the maximum potential throughput, $Max_G(S)$, then I = S. That is, the throughput of the system equals the input load. Therefore, all frames get through. However, if I > I



9.2 LAN Performance

ţ

389

 $Max_G(S)$, Figures 9.12 and 9.13 no longer apply. The system cannot transmit frames as fast as they arrive. The result: if *I* remains above the threshold indefinitely, then *D* goes to infinity, *S* goes to zero, and *G* grows without bound.

Figure 9.13b shows that, for a given value of *S*, there are two possible values of *D*. How can this be? In both cases, I = S, and the system is transmitting all input frames. The explanation is as follows: as the input, I = S, approaches the saturation point, the stochastic nature of the input will eventually lead to a period of a high rate of collisions, resulting in decreased throughput and higher frame delays.

Finally, we mention that these results depend critically on the assumptions made. For example, if there is only one station transmitting, then the achievable throughput is 1.0, not 0.18 or 0.37. Indeed, with a single user at a high data rate and a set of other users at very low data rates, utilization approaching 1 can be achieved. However, the delay encountered by the other users is significantly longer than in the homogeneous case. In general, the more unbalanced the source rates, the higher the throughput [KLEI76].

We now turn to the CSMA protocols. A similar line of reasoning can be used to derive closed-form analytic results as is done with ALOHA and S-ALOHA. Perhaps the clearest derivations can be found in [LABA78]. The same or similar results can be found in [KLEI75], [KLEI76], [SCHW77], [HERR79], [TOBA80a], [TOBA82], and [HEYM82].

Figure 9.14 compares the various contention protocols for a = 0.01 and 0.05. Note the dramatic improvement in throughput of the various CSMA schemes over ALOHA. Also note the decline in performance for increased *a*. This is seen more clearly in Figure 9.15 [TAKA85]. As expected, the performance of all CSMA schemes declines with increasing *a* since the period of vulnerability grows. For high enough values of *a*, say 0.5 to 1.0, the slotted protocols approach S-ALOHA, and the unslotted protocols approach ALOHA. At these values, neither the carrier sense nor the collision detection are of much use. Thus the distributed reservation protocol for MANs in Chapter 6 does not suffer by using S-ALOHA rather than CSMA to contend for reservations.

Figure 9.16 shows delay as a function of throughput. As can be seen, CSMA/CD offers significant delay and throughput improvements over CSMA at a = 0.05. As *a* increases, these protocols converge with each other and with S-ALOHA.

One of the critical assumptions used in deriving all these results is that the number of sources is infinite. The validity of the assumption can be seen in Figure 9.10. Note that for small values of a, the efficiency of the system with a finite number of stations differs little from that achieved as the number of stations grows to infinity. For larger values of a, the differences are more marked. The figure shows that the infinite-population assumption underestimates efficiency but is still a good approximation.



FIGURE 9.14 Throughput for Various Contention Protocols

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 404



FIGURE 9.15 Maximum Channel Utilization for Various Contention Protocols



FIGURE 9.16 Delay for Various Contention Protocols

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 405

A second assumption that is unrealistic is that of fixed frame sizes. While a local network could enforce fixed frame sizes, this is clearly inefficient if the messages are of variable length. One common situation is to have one long frame size for file transfer and a shorter size for interactive traffic and acknowledgments. Now, as frame length decreases, *a* increases, so if all frames were short, then the utilization would be less than if all frames were long. Presumably, with a mixture of the two traffic types, the efficiency would be somewhere in between. This has been shown to be the case in [TOBA80a]. The analysis also showed that only a small percentage of longer frames is sufficient to achieve close to the higher throughput of the case of long frames only. However, this increased throughput is to the detriment of the throughput and delay characteristics of the shorter frames. In effect, they are crowded out.

A final point about the foregoing derivations: all represent analytic models of local network performance. Greater validity can be achieved through simulation, where some of the assumptions may be relaxed, and through actual performance measurement. In general, these efforts tend to confirm the validity of the analytic models. Although not entirely accurate, these models provide a good feel for the behavior of the network. Interested readers may consult [TASA86, LABA78, SHOC80a, MARA82, AIME79, OREI82, BEVE88, GONS88, MOLL87]. A general discussion of CSMA/CD modeling techniques is contained in [ROUN83].

Comparative Performance of Ring Protocols

It is far more difficult to do a comparative performance of the three major ring protocols than the comparison of bus and token ring protocols. The results depend critically on a number of parameters unique to each protocol. For example:

- Token ring: size of token, token processing time
- *Slotted ring:* slot size, overhead bits per slot
- *Register insertion:* register size

Thus it is difficult to do a comparison, and although there have been a number of studies on each one of the techniques [TROP81, PENN79], few have attempted pairwise comparisons, much less a three-way analysis. Given this unfortunate situation, this section will merely attempt to summarize the most significant comparative studies.

The most systematic work in this area has been done by two different groups: Hammond and O'Reilly [HAMM86], and Liu and his associates [LIU78, LIU82]. We report on the results of the former; those of the latter

are virtually identical. The analysis compares token ring, slotted ring, and register insertion. The following parameters are varied:

- Number of stations: 10, 100
- Value of *a*: 1.0, 0.1
- Ratio of header size to data size for slotted ring: 1, 0

Figure 9.17 summarizes the results. They show that register insertion is best for a small number of stations or under low loads. Token ring seems to have the best performance under a variety of conditions. Note also that register insertion appears to be able to carry a load greater than 1.0; this is because the protocol permits multiple frames to circulate.

Bux performed an analysis comparing token ring, slotted ring, and CSMA/CD [BUX81]. This careful analysis produced several important conclusions. First, the delay-throughput performance of token ring versus CSMA/CD confirms our earlier discussion. That is, token ring suffers greater delay than CSMA/CD does at light load but less delay and stable throughput at heavy loads. Further, token ring has superior delay characteristics to slotted ring. The poorer performance of slotted ring seems to have two causes: (1) the relative overhead in the small slots of a slotted ring is very high, and (2) the time needed to pass empty slots around the ring to guarantee fair bandwidth is significant. Bux also reports several positive features of slotted ring: (1) the expected delay for a message is proportional to length (i.e., shorter packets get better service than long ones), and (2) overall mean delay is independent of packet length distribution. Bux extended his analysis to include register insertion [BUX83], achieving results comparable to Liu's.

Another study of token ring versus slotted ring is reported in [CHEN82]. Cheng's results confirm those of Bux; that is, the delay of slotted ring exceeds that of token ring. Interestingly, Cheng also showed that the performance of the ring improves as the number of slots increases at least to equal the number of nodes. However, for local area networks, which typically have a < 1, a multiple-slot ring is achieved only by having very small slots or artificial delays. With smaller slots, the overhead is proportionally greater.

Finally, we mention a study reported in [YU81], which also concluded that insertion ring had shorter delays than token ring. In this study, Yu looked at a ring with a data rate of 100 Mbps over a 5-km distance. The distribution of packet size was assumed to be bimodal, with half having a length of 4 Kbytes and half with a length of 100 bytes. Thus the value of *a*, using average packet size, was about 0.125.

It is difficult to draw conclusions from the efforts made so far. The slotted ring seems to be the least desirable over a broad range of parameter values, owing to the considerable overhead associated with each small packet. For example, the Cambridge ring, which is the ring most



FIGURE 9.17 Delay for Various Ring Protocols

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 408

े होत्रियन संदर्भक्षत्र स

•

widely available commercially in Europe, uses a 37-bit slot with only 16 data bits! The designers of the Cambridge ring originally started out with register insertion but rejected it for slotted ring. The sole reason seems to have been reliability: a fault developing in a shift register can disrupt the entire ring [WILK79].

As between token ring and register insertion, the evidence suggests that at least for some sets of parameter values, register insertion gives superior delay performance. Interestingly, there are very few commercially available register insertion products. On the other hand, token ring in the United States, with a boost from IEEE 802 and IBM, and slotted ring in Europe, where many firms have licensed the Cambridge slotted ring, seem destined to dominate the marketplace.

The primary advantage of register insertion is the potentially high utilization it can achieve. In contrast with token ring, multiple stations can be transmitting at a time. Further, a station can transmit as soon as a gap opens up on the ring; it need not wait for a token. On the other hand, the propagation time around the ring is not constant, but depends on the amount of traffic.

A final point in comparing token ring and register insertion. Under light loads, register insertion operates more efficiently, resulting in slightly less delay. However, both systems perform adequately. Our real interest is under heavy load. A typical LAN will have a < 1, usually a << 1, so that a transmitting station on a token ring will append a token to the end of its packet. Under heavy load, a nearby station will be able to use the token. Thus about 100% utilization is achieved, and there is no particular advantage to register insertion.

9.3

MAN PERFORMANCE

There has been considerably less material published on MAN performance, compared to that on LAN performance. The principles, of course, remain the same. In this section, we look at some of the key performance aspects of FDDI and DQDB and at a comparative study of the two protocols.

FDDI Performance

One of the key performance factors for FDDI is TTRT, the target token rotation time. Recall from Chapter 6 that this parameter, negotiated among all participating stations, defines the expected time for successive sighting of a token by a station when the ring is busy. Since this parameter is set by user action, it is important to understand its effect on performance.

The FDDI standard specifies a number of rules for the selection of TTRT:

- 1. The token rotation time can be as twice the TTRT. Thus, a station with synchronous data to transmit may suffer a delay of up to $2 \times$ TTRT. Therefore, a station requiring a guaranteed response time should request a TTRT value of one-half the required response time.
- 2. Each station has a parameter T_Min, which is the minimum value of TTRT that may be requested. T_Min may be set by station management (see Chapter 11) dynamically or it may be configured as a default value. The maximum default value of T_Min is 4 ms. That is, if stations are configured with a default value of T_Min, that value may not exceed 4 ms.
- 3. Each station has a parameter T_Max, which is the maximum value of TTRT that may be requested. T_Max may be set by station management (see Chapter 11) dynamically or it may be configured as a default value. The minimum default value of T_Min in basic mode is 165 ms, and the minimum default value in hybrid mode is 670 ms.

Within these constraints, TTRT should be chosen to optimize performance. We now consider some aspects of effect of TTRT on performance.

A simple analytic model for the effect of TTRT has been reported in [JAIN91]. In what follows, we summarize the derivation of this model and the key results.

Consider an FDDI ring with the following parameters:

 D = ring latency; total time for a token to circulate the ring in the absence of data traffic
 N = number of stations on the ring
 T = negotiated value of TTRT

We will show that the following equations hold:

$$U = \frac{N \times (TTRT - D)}{(N \times TTRT) + D}$$
(9.17)

Maximum access delay = $(N - 1) \times TTRT + 2D$ (9.18)

Let us first demonstrate that this relationship holds for a ring with three stations. Figure 9.18 shows the ring and illustrates a sequence of events. Time proceeds vertically down the page. The token is shown as a thick horizontal line, and a frame transmission is indicated by a thick

9.3 MAN Performance

397



FIGURE 9.18 Sequence of Events for 3-Station FDDI Network

line along the time axis. We assume that, initially, all three stations are idle until t + D, when the three stations suddenly have a large number of asynchronous frames to send. The sequence of events is as follows:

- 1. t = 0. Station S_1 sees the token and resets its token rotation time (TRT). Since the station has no data to send, it does not capture the token, which proceeds around the ring.
- 2. $t = t_{12}$. Station S_2 resets its TRT and allows the token to pass.
- 3. $t = t_{13}$. Station S_3 resets its TRT and allows the token to pass.
- 4. t = D. Station S_1 captures the token. Its value of TRT is D, so it can hold the token and transmit data for a time T TRT = T D.
- 5. t = T. The token holding timer (THT) expires at S_1 and it issues a token.
- 6. $t = T + t_{12}$. Station S_2 observes the token. The elapsed time since its last sighting is *T*, so it is unable to transmit any asynchronous frames. The token is allowed to pass.
- 7. $t = T + t_{13}$. Station S_3 must also allow the token to pass.
- 8. t = T + D. Station S_1 must also allow the token to pass.
- 9. $t = T + D + t_{12}$. Station S_1 captures the token. Its value of TRT

is *D*, so it can hold the token and transmit data for a time T - TRT = T - D.

10. $t = T + D + t_{12} + (T - D) = 2T + t_{12}$. The token holding timer (THT) expires at S_1 and it issues a token.

The remainder of the steps are easily followed. The illustration ends at t = 3T + D. We can see that the system goes through a cycle in which each station can transmit for a total time of T - D, and the total elapsed time is 3T + D. As long as each station has unlimited asynchronous frames to transmit, the cycle will repeat. During each cycle, the total time spent transmitting is 3(T - D). During each cycle, each station waits for an interval of 2T + 2D after releasing the token. This interval is the maximum access delay; it will be less at lower loads. Thus, for a ring with three active stations, the efficiency and maximum access delay under heavy load are:

$$U = \frac{3 \times (T - D)}{(3 \times T) + D}$$

Maximum access delay = $(3 - 1) \times T + 2D$

The above analysis can be generalized to *N* stations. Equations 9.17 and 9.18 can be used to compute the utilization and maximum access delay for any FDDI ring configuration. For example, consider a ring with 16 stations and a total fiber length of 20 km. Light travels along fiber at a speed of 5.085 μ s/km, and a typical repeater delay is 1 μ s. The ring latency can therefore be calculated as follows:

 $D = (20 \times 5.085) + (16 \times 1) = 0.12 \text{ ms}$

Assuming a TTRT of 5 ms, and all 16 stations active, we have:

$$U = \frac{16 \times (5 - 0.12)}{(16 \times 5) + 0.12} = 0.975$$

Maximum access delay = $(16 - 1) \times 5 + 2 \times 0.12 = 75.24$ ms

Figure 9.19 shows the effect of TTRT on utilization. Three configurations are considered:

- 1. *Typical:* Consists of 20 single-attachment stations (SASs) on a 4-km ring. This would be sufficient to interconnect a number of LANs and computers in a single office building. The ring latency for this configuration is about 0.04 ms.
- 2. *Big:* Consists of 100 SASs on a 100-km ring. The ring latency for this configuration is about 0.6 ms.
- 3. *Maximum*: Consists of 500 dual-attachment, dual-MAC stations (one MAC entity in each direction) on a 200-km ring. The ring latency for this configuration is about 2 ms.



399

9.3 MAN Performance

FIGURE 9.19 FDDI Utilization as a Function of TTRT

The figure shows that efficiency is low at values close to ring latency and increases as TTRT increases. This is intuitively reasonable: if TTRT is very small, then on many token circulations, many of the stations will have to let the token pass. Note also that beyond a certain point increases in TTRT bring very little increase in utilization. Of course, as might also be expected, as the utilization of the ring increases, there are increasing congestion and queueing delays for stations to transmit. This effect is shown in Figure 9.20. Thus, there is a trade-off in setting TTRT between efficient utilization of the ring and minimizing delay to active stations.

DQDB Performance

As with FDDI, there is a key user-settable parameter in DQDB that has a significant effect on performance: the bandwidth balancing modulus.

Recall from Chapter 6 that a node, without bandwidth balancing, may use an empty QA slot if it has placed a reservation and there are no downstream reservations in line ahead of it. With bandwidth balancing, after every BWB_MOD QA segments transmitted, a node must let an extra free QA slot pass, where BWB_MOD is the bandwidth balancing modulus.





To get a feel for the effect of BWB_MOD on performance, let us consider the following set of conditions, which yield maximum subnetwork throughput:

- No station has any PA traffic.
- Every station has QA traffic ready to transmit at all times.
- All QA segments have the same priority.
- All nodes have the same value for BWB_MOD.

Define

 γ = throughput of any one node N = number of nodes

 β = value of BWB_MOD

Recall that the maximum throughput of a node is limited by $\frac{\beta}{1 + \beta}$. We can express the throughput of a node as the amount of capacity not used by the other nodes, subject to the limitation. Therefore:

$$\gamma = \frac{\beta}{1+\beta} \times [1 - (N-1) \times \gamma]$$

Solving for γ :

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{N + (1/\beta)}$$

Thus the total normalized throughput, or utilization, for a bus with N stations is:

$$U = \frac{N}{N + (1/\beta)}$$

Figure 9.21 plots utilization as a function of the number of stations for various values of BWB_MOD. The smaller the value of BWB_MOD, the greater the number of slots that each station will let pass unused. Accordingly, the smaller the value of BWB_MOD, the lower the utilization. On the other hand, increasing the number of stations increases utilization, since there is an increased opportunity for passed slots to be used downstream.

Comparative Performance of FDDI and DQDB

As yet, little work has been reported on comparing the performance of FDDI and DQDB. As the figures of this section suggest, both schemes



FIGURE 9.21* Effect of Bandwidth Balancing on DQDB Utilization

401

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 415

are capable of achieving very high levels of utilization. This is important because the high speed and large extent of these networks make efficient utilization difficult to achieve.

One of the few analyses that has been published is reported in [DRAV91]. Similar but less detailed results were reported in [NEWM88] and [RODR90].

Figure 9.22 shows the results reported in [DRAV91]. The figures assume a mixed application environment of interactive and bulk file transfer applications, with all stations uniformly loaded with 20% of the load from the file transfer application. A distinction is made between short packets generated by interactive applications and long packets generated by file transfer. In the case of FDDI, a short packet is transferred in a short frame; a long packet is transferred in one or a very few large frames. In the case of DQDB, a short packet is carried in a single QA slot; a long packet requires a number of QA slots. The figure yields several interesting results:

• DQDB provides much less delay for short packets for both network sizes. This is because, with multiple QA segments, a node must wait until one segment is transmitted before reserving for the next segment, and then must wait for a free slot after all intervening downstream reservations have been satisfied.



• Utilization above about 80% causes long queueing delays for all

FIGURE 9.22* Delay for FDDI and DQDB
DQDB traffic, whereas a small FDDI network can sustain higher utilization.

• DQDB appears to be better suited to interactive traffic, whereas FDDI is better suited to bulk traffic.

9.4

END-TO-END PERFORMANCE

So far, we have been concerned with the throughput and delay performance for transmitting packets over a LAN or MAN. Some useful insights have been gained and techniques developed for estimating that performance. Alas, this is of no concern to the local network user. The user is concerned with *end-to-end* performance. Examples:

- Two hosts regularly exchange large files. What is the end-to-end throughput rate during file transfer?
- A user at a terminal is querying a data base on a host. What is the delay from the end of query entry to the beginning of response?

Consider the steps involved in sending data from one host to another. In general terms, we have:

- 1. Process in source host initiates transfer.
- 2. Host system software transfers data to NIU.
- 3. Source NIU transfers data to destination NIU.
- 4. Destination NIU transfers data to destination host.
- 5. Host system software accepts data, notifies destination process.
- 6. Destination process accepts data.

Each of these steps involves some processing and the use of a resource potentially shared by others. What we have discussed so far, and the focus of virtually all local network performance studies, is step 3.

To get a handle on end-to-end performance, the analyst must model the NIU, the host–NIU link, and the host, as well as the NIU–NIU link. This requires the development of computer system performance models. Although these techniques have been around for a while, one of the few attempts to apply these principles systematically to local network performance has been undertaken by a group at CONTEL Information Systems [LISS81, MAGL80, MAGL81, MAGL82, MITC81, MITC86]. We summarize their approach in this section.

The discussion will be with reference to Figure 9.23. As mentioned earlier, the total delay, say, from the time a message is generated at node A by some application until it reaches node B, is just the sum of the delays encountered at each step. Each such step can be modeled using queueing theory. A queueing situation arises when a "customer" arrives



(b) Queueing Model

404

FIGURE 9.23 End-to-end Local Network Performance Model

at a service facility and, finding it busy, is forced to wait. The delay incurred by a customer is just the time spent waiting in the queue plus the time for service. The delay depends on the pattern of arriving traffic and the characteristics of the server. Table 9.3 summarizes some simple results. Results for more complex cases may be found in [MART72].

The system depicted in Figure 9.23 consists of a set of single-server queueing systems in tandem, that is, the output of one queue is the input to the next. In the general case, it is a complex task to characterize the behavior of this system, and closed-form analytic solutions do not exist. However, there is a theorem (Jackson's theorem) stating that under certain conditions each node in the network of queues can be treated independently. Thus the delay at each queue can be calculated separately and summed to give an overall figure. The assumptions [JACK63]:

- Work arrives from outside the system with a Poisson distribution.
- · Exponential service time at each node is with a first-come, firstserved policy.
- No saturated queues: queues are large enough to hold the maximum number of waiting customers.

There is some evidence that networks of the type we are discussing, which may violate these assumptions, are nevertheless closely approximated by the decomposition approach [MAGL81]. Furthermore, the assumption of exponential service time usually results in upper bounds to delays; thus the analysis will give conservative estimates.

Solving for total delay is thus computationally simple. Starting with the first queue, and given an arrival rate λ , the delay at that step is determined. As long as $\rho = \lambda S \leq 1$, the queue is stable and the output rate is equal to the input rate. This now becomes the input to the second stage, and so on. For a stable system, we must have

$$\lambda \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Max}[S_i]}$$

TABLE 9.3Isolated Queues

Parameters

- w = mean number of items waiting for service (not including items being served)
- q = mean number of items in system (waiting and being served)
- t_w = mean time item spends waiting for service
- t_q = mean time item spends in system, waiting and being served
- ρ = utilization: fraction of time a server is busy
- S = mean service time for an item

Assumption: Poisson arrivals with parameter λ , exponential service times

$w = \frac{\rho^2}{1-\rho}$			
$q = \frac{\rho}{1-\rho}$			
$t_{w} = \frac{\rho S}{1-\rho}$			
$t_{q} = \frac{S}{1 - \rho}$			
$\rho = \lambda S$			

This represents the maximum achievable throughput. As long as this condition is satisfied, the total delay for a message over N stages is simply

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^{N} D_i$$

Now, let us begin with the first stage, node A. Node A must perform a number of tasks related to the passing of a message, including applications processing and the processing for the various protocol layers. These tasks may have various priorities with preemptive interrupts allowed. For each class of task, the queueing equation is

$$t_{qj} = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \rho_i} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{j} \rho_i S_i}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{j} \rho_i} + S_j \right\}$$

where $\rho_i = \lambda_1 S_i$.

To solve this equation, we need values for the λ_i and S_i . The λ_i depend on the rate at which messages are generated; this should become clear in the example below. The S_i can be approximated by estimating the execution path length of each service routine and dividing by the effective instruction per second rate of the processor. Since other activities,

such as disk I/O, may be handled by the processor, its raw instruction execution rate needs to be modified by some overhead factor.

The next delay encountered is the communications link between node A and its NIU. This delay will depend on the nature of the interface. As an example, consider a half-duplex line with a given interface transfer rate. Here there are two classes of arrivals for a single server: node-to-NIU and NIU-to-node traffic. The λ_i (i = 1, 2) depend on the rate at which messages arrive for transmission across the link. The service time in either direction (S_1 , S_2) is just the average message length divided by the data rate. It is easy to see that, assuming no priorities,

$$\rho = \lambda_1 S_1 + \lambda_2 S_2$$
$$S = \frac{\rho}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}$$

where *S* is the overall average service time. Then

$$t_{qj} = t_w + S_j$$
$$= \frac{\rho S}{1 - \rho} + S_j \qquad j = 1, 2$$

The NIU is the next source of delay and may be modeled in the same fashion as node A. Next comes the local network itself. The delay at this stage depends on the topology (ring, bus, or tree) and the medium access protocol. Sections 9.2 and 9.3 are devoted to developing results in this area. The remainder of the steps are symmetric with those already discussed and they need not be described.

Two refinements to the model above: First, an NIU often has multiple ports. Hence the arrival rate of work at an NIU consists of the rates from multiple hosts. This must be taken into account. Second, the node-NIU link may be multiplexed so that arrivals are from multiple remote nodes.

As an example, we consider an analysis reported in [MITC81]. In this example, node A is a host and node B is an intelligent workstation. Within node A, there is some application program exchanging messages with the workstation. There are five main classes of activities associated with the application. We assume that these are serviced by the host on a preemptive resume basis. The activities, in descending order of priority:

- 1. Link-in: link level functions for messages inbound from the NIU.
- 2. Link-out: link level functions for messages outbound to the NIU.
- 3. Protocols-in: higher-level protocol functions for inbound messages.
- 4. Protocols-out: higher-level protocol functions for outbound messages.
- 5. Application: application processing.

The host/NIU interface is assumed to have an effective transfer rate of 800 kbps, while the workstation/NIU interface is 9.6 kbps. This would be the case for an integrated host NIU and a stand-alone terminal NIU.

The NIU is assumed to implement up through the transport layer with the following priorities:

- 1. Network link-in
- 2. Node link-in
- 3. Network link-out
- 4. Node link-out
- 5. Higher-layer protocols

Finally, a nonpersistent CSMA/CD bus system operating at 1.544 Mbps is assumed.

The results are summarized in Table 9.4, which shows that, within the moderate utilization range of the bus, the bus contributes only 5% of the delay. The implication, confirmed by the other studies referenced earlier, is that the effect of the topology and medium access control on overall delay is negligible until the medium approaches saturation. In Section 9.2, we outlined a quick and simple means of estimating the saturation point. It is clearly desirable to operate below that point and, while operating below that point, only a rough approximation of the delay due to the medium will suffice.

Nevertheless, we have devoted considerable space to looking at the performance of various topology/MAC approaches. This is so because the saturation points for different approaches are different. But it needs to be pointed out that beyond the determination of a saturation point, the focus of activity should be the broader end-to-end delay issue.

This section has touched only briefly on the techniques for end-toend delay analysis. The interested reader is referred to [MART67], [SAUE81], [KOBA78], and [IBM71].

9.5

RECOMMENDED READING

Books on the subject of LAN/MAN performance include [STUC85], [HAMM86], and [TASA86]. [LI87] is a special issue of the *IEEE Journal* on Selected Areas in Communications devoted to performance of broadcast networks, especially LANs. [KLEI86] presents a clever graphical technique for analyzing the effect of *a*. [GOOD88] is a careful study of CSMA/CD taking into account the use of binary exponential backoff. [TAKA88] is a rigorous analysis of polling schemes in general, with application to token bus and token ring. An interesting analysis of end-to-

TABLE 9.4 End-to-End Delay, CSMA/CI	D Network
Traffic Parameters	Protocol Path-Length Parameters
Arrival rate: 0.017 message per second	Node protocols
Aggregate load: 100,000 bps	Send: 12,000 instructions
CSMA/CD Parameters	Receive: 12,000 instructions
Propagation: 30 μs	Node access link layer
Retransmission interval: 5	Send: 75 instructions
MSG Lengths	Receive: 75 instructions
Input: 800 characters	Network access link layer
Output: 12,000 characters	Send: 75 instructiions
I/F Transfer Rates	Receive: 75 instructions
Host: 800,000 bps	TCP/IP: 12,000
Workstation: 9600 bps	Multiprogram level: 32
	Processor Capacities Host: 1.100 MIPS BIU: 0.615 MIPS Workstation: 0.115 MIPS

Host application program path length: 50,000 instructions Cable utilization: 0.0565

Total normalized traffic, including retransmissions: 0.06

Delay Catego	ories							
Throughput	Response	Host	HI/F	WI/F	HBIU	WBIU	W/S	Cable
0.50	2.0066	0.04	0.01	0.84	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.05
1.00	2.0252	0.04	0.01	0.84	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.05
1.50	2.0444	0.04	0.01	0.84	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.05
2.00	2.0645	0.04	0.01	0.84	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.05
2.50	2.0853	0.04	0.01	0.83	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05
3.00	2.1071	0.04	0.01	0.83	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05
3.50	2,1298	0.04	0.01	0.83	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05
4.00	2.1536	0.04	0.01	0.83	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05
4.50	2.1785	0.05	0.01	0.83	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05
5.00	2.2047	0.05	0.01	0.83	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05
5.50	2.2324	0.05	0.01	0.83	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05
6.00	2.2616	0.05	0.01	0.82	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.05
6.50	2.2927	0.05	0.01	0.82	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.05
7.00	2.3259	0.05	0.01	0.82	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.05
7.50	2.3615	0.06	0.01	0.82	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.05
8.00	2.4001	0.06	0.01	0.81	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.05
8.50	2.4424	0.06	0.01	0.81	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.05
9.00	2.4892	0.06	0.01	0.80	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.05
9.50	2.5423	0.07	0.01	0.79	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.05
10.00	2.6041	0.07	0.01	0.78	0.05	0.01	0.03	0.05

Source: [MITC81]

) 1 (. end performance is [MITC86]. Similar results are reported in [MURA88], [BUX84], and [WONG84].

[BERT92] and [SPRA91] cover network performance more generally, but have interesting and worthwhile sections on LAN/MAN performance.

As a balance to the many works on LAN/MAN performance, it is worthwhile to read [SMIT91], which compares some of the assumptions about LAN behavior underlying most analytic and simulation studies against empirical data on the actual behavior of some networks. The results indicate that there may be some cause for concern about the validity of many models when heavy loads are analyzed.

9.6

PROBLEMS

- **9.1** Equation (9.1) is valid for token ring and baseband bus. What is an equivalent expression for
 - a. Broadband bus?
 - b. Slotted ring?
 - c. Register insertion ring?
 - d. Broadband tree (use several different configurations)?
- **9.2** Develop a display similar to Figure 9.6 that shows throughput as a function of *N*.

9.3 Derive equations similar to (9.10) and (9.11) for the case where there are two types of frames, one 10 times as long as the other, that are transmitted with equal probability by each station.

- **9.4** Consider a 10-Mbps, 1-km bus, with *N* stations and frame size = *F*. Determine throughput and delay for token bus and throughput for CSMA/CD:
 - **a.** N = 10, F = 1000
 - **b.** N = 100, F = 1000
 - c. N = 10, F = 10,000
 - d. N = 100, F = 10,000.
- **9.5** Compare equations (9.1), (9.10), and (9.11). Under what circumstances does the throughput for the latter two equations exceed the theoretical maximum of (9.1)? Explain.
- **9.6** For the graphs in Figure 9.11, determine *a* and comment on the results.
- **9.7** Demonstrate that the number of stations and offered load affect performance independently for the following protocols:
 - a. CSMA/CD
 - **b**. Collision avoidance
 - c. Token bus

- **d.** Token ring
- e. Slotted ring
- **f.** Register insertion
- g. Reservation
- **9.8** Consider an S-ALOHA system with a finite number of stations N and a = 0. The offered load from each station is G_1 , the throughput S_i . Derive an equation for S as a function of G_i . Assume that the G_i are identical; what is the equation for S? Verify that this approaches Ge^{-G} as $N \to \infty$. Above what value of N is the difference negligible?
- 9.9 Demonstrate that CSMA/CD is biased toward long transmissions.
- **9.10** Show that, for a = 0, the following relationship holds for 1-persistent CSMA

$$S = \frac{G(1 + G)e^{-G}}{G + e^{-G}}$$

- **9.11** The performance of CSMA/CD depends on whether the collision detection is performed at the same site as the transmission (baseband) or at a time later whose average is *a* (broadband). What would you expect the relative performance to be?
- **9.12** Let $T_{msg}(K) = 0.1 s$ and $T_{over} = 0.1 s$ for a 50-station token system. Assume that all stations always have something to transmit. Compute *C*, *R*(*K*), and UTIL(*K*). What is the percentage of overhead? Now let $T_{over} = 0.2$. What is the percentage of overhead?
- **9.13** Consider the conditions extant at the end of Problem 9.12. Assume that individual stations may be busy or idle. What is the cycle time C? Now halve the overhead ($T_{over} = 0.1$). What is the cycle time C?
- **9.14** For equation (9.7), let the number of stations be two. Plot R(2) versus R(1) and show the admissible mean throughput rates. Interpret the result in terms of relative static priority policies.
- 9.15 Do an asymptotic breakpoint analysis for CSMA/CD.
- **9.16** Equations (9.10) and (9.12) are valid for token ring and for token baseband bus. What are equivalent equations for broadband bus?
- **9.17** For equations 9.17 and 9.18, consider the special cases of one active station (N = 1) and a large number of active stations (N approaches ∞). Discuss the resulting equations.

CHAPTER 10

Internetworking

In many, perhaps most, cases a local network will not be an isolated entity. An organization may have more than one type of local network at a given site to satisfy a spectrum of needs. An organization may have local networks at various sites and need them to be interconnected for central control of distributed information exchange. And an organization may need to provide a connection for one or more terminals and hosts on a local network to other computing resources.

Table 10.1 lists some commonly used terms relating to the interconnection of networks, or internetworking. An interconnected set of networks, from a user's point of view, may appear simply as a larger network. However, if each of the constituent networks retains its identity, and special mechanisms are needed for communicating across multiple networks, then the entire configuration is often referred to as an **internet**, and each of the constituent networks as a **subnetwork**.

Each constituent subnetwork in an internet supports communication among the devices attached to that subnetwork; these devices are referred to as **end systems** (ESs). In addition, subnetworks are connected by devices referred to in the ISO documents as **intermediate systems** (ISs).¹ ISs provide a communications path and perform the necessary relaying and routing functions so that data can be exchanged between devices attached to different subnetworks in the internet.

¹The term *gateway* is sometimes used to refer to an IS or to a particular kind of IS. Because of the lack of consistency in the use of this term, we will avoid it.

411

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 425

TABLE 10.1 Internetworking Terms

Communication Network

A facility that provides a data transfer service among stations attached to the network.

Internet

A collection of communication networks interconnected by bridges and/or routers.

Subnetwork

Refers to a constituent network of an internet. This avoids ambiguity since the entire internet, from a user's point of view, is a single network.

End System (ES)

A device attached to one of the subnetworks of an internet that is used to support end-user applications or services.

Intermediate System (IS)

A device used to connect two subnetworks and permit communication between end systems attached to different subnetworks.

Bridge

An IS used to connect two LANs that use similar LAN protocols. The bridge acts as an address filter, picking up packets from one LAN that are intended for a destination on another LAN and passing those packets on. The bridge does not modify the contents of the packets and does not add anything to the packet. The bridge operates at layer 2 of the OSI model.

Router

A device used to connect two subnetworks that may or may not be similar. The router employs an internet protocol present in each router and each end system of the network. The router operates at layer 3 of the OSI model.

Two types of ISs of particular interest are bridges and routers. The differences between them have to do with the types of protocols used for the internetworking logic. In essence, a **bridge** operates at layer 2 of the open systems interconnection (OSI) seven-layer architecture and acts as a relay of frames between like networks. A **router** operates at layer 3 of the OSI architecture and routes packets between potential networks. Both the bridge and the router assume that the same upper-layer protocols are in use. In this chapter, we examine these two types of IS in turn.

10.1

BRIDGES

Functions of a Bridge

The simplest of the internetworking devices is the bridge. This device is designed for use between local area networks (LANs) that use identical

protocols for the physical and medium access layers (e.g., all conforming to IEEE 802.3 or all conforming to FDDI). Because the devices all use the same protocols, the amount of processing required at the bridge is minimal. The concept of a bridge was introduced in Chapter 4 as a means of linking multiple rings.

Figure 10.1 illustrates the operation of a bridge between two LANs, A and B. The bridge performs the following functions:

- Read all frames transmitted on A, and accept those addressed to stations on B.
- Using the medium access control protocol for B, retransmit the frames onto B.
- Do the same for B-to-A traffic.

In addition to these basic functions, there are some interesting design considerations:

- 1. The bridge makes no modifications to the content or format of the frames it receives.
- 2. The bridge should contain enough buffer space to meet peak demands. Over a short period of time, frames may arrive faster than they can be retransmitted.
- 3. The bridge must contain addressing and routing intelligence. At a minimum, the bridges must know which addresses are on each



network in order to know which frames to forward. Further, there may be more than two networks in a sort of cascade configuration. The bridge must be able to pass along frames intended for networks further on. The subject of routing is explored later in this section.

4. A bridge may connect more than two networks. This was discussed in Chapter 4.

In summary, the bridge provides an extension to the LAN that requires no modification to the communications software in the stations attached to the LANs. It appears to all stations on the two (or more) LANs that there is a single LAN on which each station has a unique address. The station uses that unique address and need not explicitly discriminate between stations on the same LAN and stations on other LANs; the bridge takes care of that.

The bridge encompasses only layers 1 and 2 of the OSI model. In effect, the bridge operates as a layer-2 relay. Layer 3 and above must be identical in the two end systems for successful end-to-end communications.

Since the bridge is used in a situation in which all of the LANs have the same characteristics, the reader may ask why not simply have one large LAN. Depending on circumstance, there are several reasons for the use of multiple LANs connected by bridges:

- *Reliability:* The danger in connecting all data processing devices in an organization to one network is that a fault on the network may disable communication for all devices. By using bridges, the network can be partitioned into self-contained units.
- *Performance*: In general, performance on a LAN or MAN declines with an increase in the number of devices or the length of the medium. A number of smaller LANs will often give improved performance if devices can be clustered so that *intranetwork* traffic significantly exceeds *internetwork* traffic.
- Security: The establishment of multiple LANs may improve security of communications. It is desirable to keep different types of traffic (e.g., accounting, personnel, strategic planning) that have different security needs on physically separate media. At the same time, the different types of users with different levels of security need to communicate through controlled and monitored mechanisms.
- *Geography:* Clearly, two separate LANs are needed to support devices clustered in two geographically distant locations. Even in the case of two buildings separated by a highway, it may be far easier to use a microwave bridge link than to attempt to string coaxial cable between the two buildings. In the case of widely separated networks, two "half bridges" are needed (see Figures 10.3 and 10.4).

The description above has applied to the simplest sort of bridge. More sophisticated bridges can be used in more complex collections of LANs. These would include additional functions, such as:

- Each bridge can maintain status information on other bridges, together with the cost and number of bridge-to-bridge hops required to reach each network. This information may be updated by periodic exchanges of information among bridges. This allows the bridges to perform a dynamic routing function.
- A control mechanism can manage frame buffers in each bridge to overcome congestion. Under saturation conditions, the bridge can give precedence to enroute packets over new packets just entering the internet from an attached LAN, thus preserving the investment in line bandwidth and processing time already made in the enroute frame.

Bridge Protocol Architecture

The IEEE 802 committee has produced three specifications for bridges [IEEE90e, IEEE91a, IEEE91b]. In all cases, the devices are referred to as MAC-level relays. In addition, all of the MAC standards suggest formats for a globally administered set of MAC station addresses across multiple homogeneous LANs. In this subsection, we examine the protocol architecture of these bridges.

Within the 802 architecture, the endpoint or station address is designated at the MAC level. At the LLC level, only an SAP address is specified. Thus, it is at the MAC level that a bridge can function. Figure 10.2 shows the simplest case, which consists of two LANs connected by a single bridge. The LANs employ the same MAC and LLC protocols. The bridge operates as previously described. A MAC frame whose destination is not on the immediate LAN is captured by the bridge, buffered briefly, and then transmitted on the other LAN. As far as the LLC layer is concerned, there is a dialogue between peer LLC entities in the two endpoint stations. The bridge need not contain an LLC layer since it is merely serving to relay the MAC frames.

Figure 10.2b indicates the way in which data are encapsulated using a bridge. Data are provided by some user to LLC. The LLC entity appends a header and passes the resulting data unit to the MAC entity, which appends a header and a trailer to form a MAC frame. On the basis of the destination MAC address in the frame, it is captured by the bridge. The bridge does not strip off the MAC fields; its function is to relay the MAC frame intact to the destination LAN. Thus the frame is deposited on the destination LAN and captured by the destination station.

3 - 1.



<u>416</u>

(b) Operation

FIGURE 10.2 Connection of Two LANs by a Bridge

The concept of a MAC relay bridge is not limited to the use of a single bridge to connect two nearby LANs. If the LANs are some distance apart, then they can be connected by two bridges that are in turn connected by a communications facility. For example, Figure 10.3 shows the case of two bridges connected by a point-to-point link. In this case, when a bridge captures a MAC frame, it appends a link layer (e.g., MAN) header and trailer to transmit the MAC frame across the link to the other bridge. The target bridge strips off these link fields and transmits the original, unmodified MAC frame to the destination station.

The intervening communications facility can even be a network, such as a wide-area packet-switching network, as illustrated in Figure 10.4. In this case, the bridge is somewhat more complicated although it performs the same function of relaying MAC frames. The connection between bridges is via an X.25 virtual circuit. Again, the two LLC entities in the end systems have a direct logical relationship with no intervening LLC entities. Thus, in this situation, the X.25 packet layer is operating below an 802 LLC layer. As before, a MAC frame is passed intact between the endpoints. When the bridge on the source LAN receives the frame, it appends an X.25 packet-layer header and an X.25 link-layer header and trailer and sends the data to the DCE (packet-switching node) to which it attaches. The DCE strips off the link-layer fields and sends the X.25 packet through the network to another DCE. The target DCE appends the link-layer field and sends this to the target bridge.

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 430





(b) Operation

FIGURE 10.3 Bridge Over a Point-to-Point Link



FIGURE 10.4 Bridge Over an X.25 Network

The target bridge strips off all the X.25 fields and transmits the original unmodified MAC frame to the destination endpoint.

10.2

ROUTING WITH BRIDGES

In the configuration of Figure 10.1, the bridge makes the decision to relay a frame on the basis of destination MAC address. In a more com-

plex configuration, the bridge must also make a routing decision. Consider the configuration of Figure 10.5. Suppose that station 1 transmits a frame on LAN A intended for station 5. The frame will be read by both bridge 101 and bridge 102. For each bridge, the addressed station is not on a LAN to which the bridge is attached. Therefore, each bridge must make a decision of whether or not to retransmit the frame on its other LAN, in order to move it closer to its intended destination. In this case, bridge 101 should repeat the frame on LAN B, whereas bridge 102 should refrain from retransmitting the frame. Once the frame has been transmitted on LAN B, it will be picked up by both bridges 103 and 104. Again, each must decide whether or not to forward the frame. In this case, bridge 104 should retransmit the frame on LAN E, where it will be received by the destination, station 5.



FIGURE 10.5 Internet Configuration of Bridges and LANs

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 432

Thus we see that, in the general case, the bridge must be equipped with a routing capability. When a bridge receives a frame, it must decide whether or not to forward it. If the bridge is attached to more than two networks, then it must decide whether or not to forward the frame and, if so, on which LAN the frame should be transmitted.

The routing decision may not always be a simple one. In Figure 10.6, bridge 107 is added to the previous configuration, directly linking LAN A and LAN E. Such an addition may be made to provide for higher overall internet availability. In this case, if station 1 transmits a frame on LAN A intended for station 5 on LAN E, then either bridge 101 or bridge 107 could forward the frame. It would appear preferable for bridge 107 to forward the frame, since it will involve only one "hop," whereas if the frame travels through bridge 101, it must suffer two hops. Another



FIGURE 10.6 Internet Configuration of Bridges and LANs, with Alternate Routes

consideration is that there may be changes in the configuration. For example, bridge 107 may fail, in which case subsequent frames from station 1 to station 5 should go through bridge 101. So we can say that the routing capability must take into account the topology of the internet configuration and may need to be dynamically altered.

One final point: Figure 10.6 suggests that a bridge knows the identity of each station on each LAN. In a large configuration, such an arrangement is unwieldly. Furthermore, as stations are added to and dropped from LANs, all directories of station locations must be updated. It would facilitate the development of a routing capability if all MAC-level addresses were in the form of a network part and a station part. For example, the IEEE 802.5 standard suggests that 16-bit MAC addresses consist of a 7-bit LAN number and an 8-bit station number, and that 48-bit addresses consist of a 14-bit LAN number and a 32-bit station number.² In the remainder of this discussion, we assume that all MAC addresses include a LAN number and that routing is based on the use of that portion of the address only.

A variety of routing strategies have been proposed and implemented in recent years. The simplest and most common strategy is **fixed routing.** This strategy is suitable for small internets and for internets that are relatively stable. More recently, two groups within the IEEE 802 committee developed specifications for routing strategies. The IEEE 802.1 group has issued a standard for routing based on the use of a *spanning tree* algorithm. The token ring committee, IEEE 802.5, has issued its own specification, referred to as **source routing**. We examine these three strategies in turn.

Fixed Routing

For fixed routing, a route is selected for each source-destination pair of LANs in the internet. If alternate routes are available between two LANs, then typically the route with the least number of hops is selected. The routes are fixed, or at least only change, when there is a change in the topology of the internet.

Figure 10.7 suggests how fixed routing might be implemented. A central routing matrix is created, to be stored perhaps at a network control center. The matrix shows, for each source-destination pair of LANs, the identity of the first bridge on the route. So, for example, the route from LAN E to LAN F begins by going through bridge 107 to LAN A. Again consulting the matrix, the route from LAN A to LAN F goes through bridge 102 to LAN C. Finally, the route from LAN C to LAN F is directly

²The remaining bit in the 16-bit format is used to indicate whether this is a group or individual address. Of the two remaining bits in the 48-bit format, one is used to indicate whether this is a group or individual address, and the other is used to indicate whether this is a locally administered or globally administered address.

			ł	Destin	ation I	LAN		
		A	В	С	D	E	F	G
	A	_	101	102	101	107	102	102
	В	101	-	101	103	104	101	101
AN.	С	102	102	_	102	102	105	106
urce I	D	103	103	103	_	103	103	103
So	E	107	104	107	104	_	107	107
	F	105	105	105	105	105	_	105
	G	106	106	106	106	106	106	_

Central Routing Matrix

E	Bridge 10	1 Table		E	ridge 10	2 Table		В	ridge 10	3 Table	
From	LAN A	From I	LAN B	From	LAN A	From I	LAN C	From	LAN B	From I	AN D
Dest.	Next	Dest.	Next	Dest.	Next	Dest.	Next	Dest.	Next	Dest.	Next
в	В	А	Α	В	_	А	А	А	_	Α	В
С	_	C	A	С	C	В	А	С	_	В	В
D	в	D	_	D	-	D	А	D	D	С	в
Е	_	Е		E	-	Е	А	Е	_	Ē	В
F	-	F	A	F	С	F	-	F	_	F	В
G		G	Α	G	С	G	_	G	-	G	В
H	Bridge 10	4 Table		E	ridge 10	5 Table		В	ridge 10	6 Table	
From	LAN B	From I	LAN E	From	LAN C	From 1	LAN F	From	LAN C	From I	.AN G
Dest,	Next	Dest.	Next	Dest.	Next	Dest.	Next	Dest.	Next	Dest.	Next
Α	—	А	—	А		A	С	A	-	А	С
С	_	В	В	В	-746	В	С	В	_	В	С
D	_	С	_	D		С	С	D	_	С	С
Е	E	D	В	E	-	D	С	E	-	D	С
F		F		F	F	Е	С	F	-	E	C
G	_	G	-	G	_	G	С	G	G	F	С

Bridge 107 Table

-

From	LAN A	From 1	AN E	
Dest.	Next	Dest.	Next	
В	-	А	А	
С	-	В	_	
D	-	С	Α	
Е	E	D	_	
F	—	F	Α	
G	—	G	А	

FIGURE 10.7 Fixed Routing (Using Figure 10.6)

.

through bridge 105. Thus the complete route from LAN E to LAN F is bridge 107, LAN A, bridge 102, LAN C, bridge 105.

From this overall matrix, routing tables can be developed and stored at each bridge. Each bridge needs one table for each LAN to which it attaches. The information for each table is derived from a single row of the matrix. For example, bridge 105 has two tables, one for frames arriving from LAN C and one for frames arriving from LAN F. The table shows, for each possible destination MAC address, the identity of the LAN to which the bridge should forward the frame. The table labeled "from LAN C" is derived from the row labeled C in the routing matrix. Every entry in that row that contains bridge number 105 results in an entry in the corresponding table in bridge 105.

Once the directories have been established, routing is a simple matter. A bridge copies each incoming frame on each of its LANs. If the destination MAC address corresponds to an entry in its routing table, the frame is retransmitted on the appropriate LAN.

The fixed routing strategy is widely used in commercially available products. It has the advantage of simplicity and minimal processing requirements. However, in a complex internet, in which bridges may be dynamically added and in which failures must be allowed for, this strategy is too limited. We now turn to two more powerful alternatives.

IEEE 802.1 Transparent Bridge

The IEEE 802.1 committee has developed a bridge routing approach referred to as the transparent bridge [IEEE90e]. The distinguishing characteristics of this standard are:

- It is intended for use in interconnecting not just LANs with the same MAC protocol but also dissimilar LANs that satisfy any of the MAC standards (802.3, 802.4, 802.5). Hence the term *transparent*.
- The routing mechanism is a technique referred to as the spanning tree algorithm [BACK88, HART88, PERL84].

We look first at the basic operation of the transparent bridge and then in more detail at the three key aspects of bridge operation: frame forwarding, address learning, and spanning tree algorithm.

Basic Operation. So far, we have discussed the bridge as a device that relays frames from one LAN to another. In the case of the 802.1 transparent bridge, we need to be more careful and more explicit in describing the way in which this is done. The transparent bridge must be capable of relaying a MAC frame from one type of LAN to another. However, as we know (see Figure 5.2), the MAC formats for the various 802 LANs differ. Accordingly, it is not possible to simply pick up a frame from one LAN and place it down, unaltered, on another LAN. If the

two LANs use different MAC protocols, then the bridge must map the contents of the incoming frame into an outbound frame that conforms to the frame format for the outbound LAN.

Figure 10.8a, taken from the standard, indicates the bridge architecture that supports this mapping. Each bridge attachment to a LAN is referred to as a **port**. A bridge with N ports will have N MAC entities; thus the bridge has N MAC addresses, one for each port. Each MAC entity conforms to the relevant MAC standard and behaves in the normal manner with one exception: the MAC entity will capture all frames, not just those addressed to the bridge itself. Incoming MAC frames fall into three categories:

- 1. *Frames addressed to this bridge:* These include bridge protocol data units (BPDUs) that are part of the spanning tree algorithm described later, and management frames. All such frames are passed to higher-layer entities within the bridge using the standard MAC service for that MAC protocol.
- 2. *Control frames:* These are handled as part of the MAC protocol. Examples include tokens and frames involved in the maintenance of the token bus and token ring protocols. These frames are handled by the MAC entity without reference to any higher layer.
- 3. *User data frames:* These are frames containing LLC information. The LLC information is handed to the MAC relay entity using an internal sublayer service. If a capture frame is to be forwarded onto another LAN, the LLC information is handed down to the appropriate MAC relay entity, again using the internal sublayer service.

The internal sublayer service is defined in the usual way, namely as a set of primitives and parameters. Table 10.2 shows this service definition, and Table 10.3 defines the parameters. The operation implied by these definitions is as follows: an incoming frame is disassembled, and the LLC information field plus the values of some of the other fields are passed up to a MAC relay entity. The information is then passed down to the MAC entity for the outgoing LAN, and the MAC frame is reconstructed. Because the frame is disassembled and then reconstructed, the format can be altered to allow a bridge to function between two different types of LANs.

Several parameters in the service definition deserve additional comment. Only frames with a frame_type of user_data_frame will be relayed by a bridge between dissimilar LANs. MAC-specific frames may be relayed for a bridge between two similar LANs; this would allow certainMAC-specificmanagementfunctions to be implemented. The MAC action parameter is relevant only to IEEE 802.4, which has a special feature that allows for a request/response type of exchange of MAC frames.



FIGURE 10.8 IEEE 802.1D Bridge Architecture

424

.

M_UNITDATA.indication (frame__type, mac__action, destination__address, source__address, mac__service__data__unit, user__priority, frame__check__sequence)

M_UNITDATA.request (frame__type, mac__action, destination__address, source__address, mac__service__data__unit, user__priority, access__priority, frame__check__sequence)

TABLE 10.3Definition of IEEE 802.1D MAC Bridge Internal SublayerService Parameters

Parameter	Definition
frametype	Type of frame: value is user_data_frame, mac_specific_frame, or reserved_frame
macaction	If the value of the frame_type parameter is user_data_frame, then the mac_action parameter is request_with_response, request_with_no_response, or response
destinationaddress	Address of destination MAC entity or group of MAC entities
sourceaddress	Address of the source MAC entity that initiated transmission of the mac_service_data_unit
mac <u>service</u> data <u>u</u> nit	Service user data. For a user data frame, this is provided by the source LLC
userpriority	Priority requested by the originating service user
accesspriority	Priority to be used by the local MAC service provider to convey the request
framechecksequence	Frame check sequence value of the incoming frame

The user_priority and access_priority parameters relate to the problem of how to handle priorities. In the case of IEEE 802.3, priority is not supported. IEEE 802.4 supports eight levels of priority, and IEEE 802.5 supports eight levels of priority.³ The user_priority value provided to the MAC layer entity in an MA_UNITDATA.indication is derived from the incoming MAC frame; in the case of an incoming 802.3 frame, no

³In fact, as we have seen, 802.4 supports four classes of access: classes 6, 4, 2, and 0. However, 3 bits are reserved in the frame control field for priority. These are mapped into access classes as follows: access class 6 = priority 7 and 6; class 4 = priority class 5 and 4; class 2 = priority class 3 and 2; class 0 = priority class 1 and 0.

• •

priority value is available and a value of *unspecified* is used. The user_priority value issued to a MAC entity in an MA_UNITDATA.request is to be placed in the outbound MAC frame for 802.4 and 802.5. The access priority refers to the priority used by a bridge MAC entity to access a LAN for frame transmission. We may not want the access priority to be equal to the user priority for two reasons:

- 1. A frame that must go through a bridge has already suffered more delay than a frame that does not have to go through a bridge; therefore, we may wish to give such a frame a higher access priority than the requested user priority.
- 2. It is important that the bridge not become a bottleneck; therefore, we may wish to give all frames being transmitted by a bridge a relatively high priority.

In considering user priority and access priority, we can group the alternatives into three cases:

- 1. *Outbound LAN* = 802.3: Priorities are not used to transmit 802.3 MAC frames, and the frame itself has no priority field. Therefore, any inbound priority is ignored, and there is no access priority.
- 2. *Outbound LAN* = 802.4 or 802.5; *inbound LAN* = 802.4 or 802.5: The priority field in the outbound MAC frame is set equal to the priority field in the inbound MAC frame; the value is communicated from inbound to outbound via the user_priority parameter. The access priority used on the outbound LAN can be set either to the user_priority value or to a default access_priority value.
- 3. *Outbound LAN* = 802.4 or 802.5; *inbound LAN* = 802.3: The priority field in the outbound frame is set to a default user_priority value. The access priority used on the outbound LAN is set to a default access_priority value.

The frame_check_sequence value provided to the MAC layer entity in an MA_UNITDATA.indication is derived from the incoming MAC frame. If the outbound LAN is the same type as the inbound LAN, then the outbound MAC frame will be the same as the inbound MAC frame, and the FCS can be reused.

Table 10.4 summarizes these relationships, plus one other that is significant. One concern relates to the maximum frame size limitation on the various networks. In the case of 802.3, the maximum size is 1518 octets. For 802.4, it is 8191 octets. For 802.5, the maximum frame size may not exceed the token holding time; for the default value of 10 ms, this results in a maximum frame size of 5000 octets at 4 Mbps and 20,000 octets at 16 Mbps. If the outbound LAN does not support a frame size large enough to handle an inbound frame, that frame must be discarded.

• · · · · · · ·

TABLE 10.4	MAC-Dependent Bridge Actions		
Source		Destination LAN	
LAN	IEEE 802.3	IEEE 802.4	IEEE 802.5
IEEE 802.3		Calculate frame check sequence. Set default user priority. Use default access priority.	Calculate frame check sequence. Set default user priority. Use default access priority
IEEE 802.4	Discard frame if too long. Calculate frame check sequence.	Use user priority of inbound frame.	Discard frame if too long. Calculate frame check servionce
	Discard user priority.	Use user priority or default access priority for access.	Use user priority of inbound frame.
			Use user priority or default access priority for access.
IEEE 802.5	Discard frame if too long. Calculate frame check sequence.	Discard frame if too long. Calculate frame check sequence.	Use user priority of inbound frame.
	Discard user priority.	Use user priority of inbound frame.	Use user priority or default access priority for access.
		Use user priority or default access priority for access	-

Frame Forwarding. In this scheme, a bridge maintains a filtering data base based on MAC address (Figure 10.8b). Each entry consists of a MAC individual or group address, a port number, and an aging time (described below). We can interpret this in the following fashion. A station is listed with a given port number if it is on the "same side" of the bridge as the port. For example, for bridge 102 of Figure 10.5, stations on LANs C, F, and G are on the same side of the bridge as the LAN A port, and stations on LANs A, B, D, and E are on the same side of the bridge must decide whether that frame is to be forwarded through the bridge and out through one of the bridge's other ports. Suppose that a bridge receives a MAC frame on port *x*. The following rules are applied (Figure 10.9):

- 1. Search the forwarding data base to determine if the MAC address is listed for any port except port *x*.
- 2. If the destination MAC address is not found, flood the frame by sending it out on all ports except the port by which it arrived.
- 3. If the destination address is in the forwarding data base for some port $y \neq x$, then determine whether port y is in a blocking or forwarding state. For reasons explained below, a port may sometimes be blocked, which prevents it from receiving or transmitting frames.
- 4. If port *y* is not blocked, transmit the frame through port *y* onto the LAN to which that port attaches.

Rule 2 is needed because of the dynamic nature of the filtering data base. When a bridge is initialized, the data base is empty. Since the bridge does not know where to send the frame, it floods the frame onto all of its LANs except the LAN on which the frame arrives. As the bridge gains information, the flooding activity subsides.

Address Learning. The above scheme is based on the use of a filtering data base that indicates the direction, from the bridge, of each destination station. This information can be preloaded into the bridge, as in static routing. However, an effective automatic mechanism for learning the direction of each station is desirable. A simple scheme for acquiring this information is based on the use of the source address field in each MAC frame (Figures 10.8c and 10.9).

When a frame arrives on a particular port, it clearly has come from the direction of the incoming LAN. The source address field of the frame indicates the source station. Thus a bridge can update its filtering data base for that MAC address. To allow for changes in topology, each entry in the data base is equipped with an aging timer. When a new entry is added to the data base, its timer is set; the recommended default value is 300 seconds. If the timer expires, then the entry is eliminated from







۱ د the data base, since the corresponding direction information may no longer be valid. Each time a frame is received, its source address is checked against the data base. If the entry is already in the data base, the entry is updated (the direction may have changed) and the timer is reset. If the entry is not in the data base, a new entry is created, with its own timer.

The above discussion indicates that the individual entries in the data base are station addresses. If a two-level address structure (LAN number, station number) is used, then only LAN addresses need to be entered in the data base. Both schemes work the same. The only difference is that the use of station addresses requires a much larger data base than the use of LAN addresses.

Note from Figure 10.9 that the bridge learning process is applied to all frames, not just those that are forwarded.

Spanning Tree Algorithm. The address learning mechanism described above is effective if the topology of the internet is a tree; that is, if there are no alternate routes in the network. The existence of alternate routes means that there is a closed loop. For example in Figure 10.6, the following is a closed loop: LAN A, bridge 101, LAN B, bridge 104, LAN E, bridge 107, LAN A.

To see the problem created by a closed loop, consider Figure 10.10. At time t_0 , station A transmits a frame addressed to station B. The frame is captured by both bridges. Each bridge updates its data base to indicate that station A is in the direction of LAN X, and retransmits the frame on LAN Y. Say that bridge α retransmits at time t_1 and bridge β a short time later, t_2 . Thus B will receive two copies of the frame. Furthermore, each bridge will receive the other's transmission on LAN Y. Note that each transmission is a MAC frame with a source address of A and a destination address of B. Thus each bridge will update its data base to indicate that station A is in the direction of LAN Y. Neither bridge is now capable of forwarding a frame addressed to station A.

But the problem is potentially much more serious than that. Assume that the two bridges do not yet know of the existence of station B. In this case, we have the following scenario. A transmits a frame addressed to B. Each bridge captures the frame. Then, each bridge, since it does not have information about B, automatically retransmits a copy of the frame on LAN Y. The frame transmitted by bridge α is captured by station B *and* by bridge β . Since bridge β does not know where B is, it takes this frame and retransmits it on LAN X. Similarly, bridge α receives bridge β 's transmission on LAN Y and retransmits the frame on LAN X. There are now two frames on LAN X that will be picked up for retransmission on LAN Y. This process repeats indefinitely.

To overcome this problem, a simple result from graph theory is used: for any connected graph, consisting of nodes and edges connecting



431

10.2 Routing with Bridges

FIGURE 10.10 Loop of Bridges

pairs of nodes, there is a spanning tree of edges that maintains the connectivity of the graph but contains no closed loops. In terms of internets, each LAN corresponds to a graph node, and each bridge corresponds to a graph edge. Thus, in Figure 10.6, the removal of one (and only one) of bridges 107, 101, and 104, results in a spanning tree. What is desired is to develop a simple algorithm by which the bridges of the internet can exchange sufficient information to automatically (without user intervention) derive a spanning tree. The algorithm must be dynamic. That is, when a topology change occurs, the bridges must be able to discover this fact and automatically derive a new spanning tree.

The algorithm is based on the use of the following:

- 1. Each bridge is assigned a unique identifier; in essence, the identifier consists of a MAC address for the bridge plus a priority level.
- 2. There is a special group MAC address that means "all bridges on this LAN." When a MAC frame is transmitted with the group address in the destination address field, all of the bridges on the LAN will capture that frame and interpret it as a frame address to itself.
- 3. Each port of a bridge is uniquely identified within the bridge, with a "port identifier."

With this information established, the bridges are able to exchange routing information in order to determine a spanning tree of the internet. We will explain the operation of the algorithm using Figures 10.11 and 10.12 as an example. The following concepts are needed in the creation of the spanning tree:

• *Root bridge:* The bridge with the lowest value of bridge identifier is chosen to be the root of the spanning tree.



FIGURE 10.11 Example Configuration for Spanning Tree Algorithm

• *Path cost:* Associated with each port on each bridge is a path cost, which is the cost of transmitting a frame onto a LAN through that port. A path between two stations will pass through zero or more bridges. At each bridge, the cost of transmission is added to give a total cost for a particular path. In the simplest case, all path costs would be assigned a value of 1; thus the cost of a path would simply be a count of the number of bridges along the path. Alternatively, costs could be assigned in inverse proportion to the data rate of the

 $\{ (k, j) \}$



FIGURE 10.12 Spanning Tree for Configuration of Figure 10.11

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 447

corresponding LAN, or any other criterion chosen by the network manager.

- *Root port:* Each bridge discovers the first hop on the minimum-cost path to the root bridge. The port used for that hop is labeled the root port.
- *Root path cost:* For each bridge, the cost of the path to the root bridge with minimum cost (the path that starts at the root port) is the root path cost for that bridge.
- *Designated bridge, designated port:* On each LAN, one bridge is chosen to be the designated bridge. This is the bridge on that LAN that provides the minimum cost path to the root bridge. This is the only bridge allowed to forward frames to and from the LAN for which it is the designated bridge. The port of the designated bridge that attaches the bridge to the LAN is the designated port. For all LANs to which the root bridge is attached, the root bridge is the designated bridge. All internet traffic to and from the LAN passes through the designated port.

In general terms, the spanning tree is constructed in the following fashion:

- 1. Determine the root bridge.
- 2. Determine the root port on all other bridges.
- 3. Determine the designated port on each LAN. This will be the port with the minimum root path cost. In the case of two or more bridges with the same root path cost, the highest-priority bridge is chosen as the designated bridge. If the designated bridge has two or more ports attached to this LAN, then the port with the lowest value of port identifier is chosen.

By this process, when two LANs are directly connected by more than one bridge, all of the bridges but one are eliminated. This cuts any loops that involve two LANs. It can be demonstrated that this process also eliminates all loops involving more than two LANs and that connectivity is preserved. Thus, this process discovers a spanning tree for the given internet. In our example, the solid lines indicate the bridge ports that participate in the spanning tree.

The steps outlined above require that the bridges exchange information (Figure 10.8d). The information is exchanged in the form of bridge protocol data units (BPDUs). A BPDU transmitted by one bridge is addressed to and received by all of the other bridges on the same LAN. Each BPDU contains the following information:

- The identifier of this bridge and the port on this bridge
- The identifier of the bridge that this bridge considers to be the root
- The root path cost for this bridge

To begin, all bridges consider themselves to be the root bridge. Each bridge will broadcast a BPDU on each of its LANs that asserts this fact. On any given LAN, only one claimant will have the lowest-valued identifier and will maintain its belief. Over time, as BPDU's propagate, the identity of the lowest-valued bridge identifier throughout the internet will be known to all bridges. The root bridge will regularly broadcast the fact that it is the root bridge on all of the LANs to which it is attached. This allows the bridges on those LANs to determine their root port and the fact that they are directly connected to the root bridge. Each of these bridges in turn broadcasts a BPDU on the other LANs to which it is attached (all LANs except the one on its root port), indicating that it is one hop away from the root bridge. This activity is propagated throughout the internet. Every time that a bridge receives a BPDU, it transmits BPDUs indicating the identity of the root bridge and the number of hops to reach the root bridge. On any LAN, the bridge claiming to be the one that is closest to the root becomes the designated bridge.

We can trace some of this activity with the configuration of Figure 10.11. At startup time, bridges 1, 3, and 4 all transmit BPDUs on LAN 2 claiming to be the root bridge. When bridge 3 receives the transmission from bridge 1, it recognizes a superior claimant and defers. Bridge 3 has also received a claiming BPDU from bridge 5 via LAN 5. Bridge 3 recognizes that bridge 1 has a superior claim to be the root bridge; it therefore assigns its LAN 2 port to be its root port and sets the root path cost to 10. By similar actions, bridge 4 ends up with a root path cost of 5 via LAN 2; bridge 5 has a root path cost of 5 via LAN 1; and bridge 2 has a root path cost of 10 via LAN 1.

Now consider the assignment of designated bridges. On LAN 5, all three bridges transmit BPDUs attempting to assert a claim to be the designated bridge. Bridge 3 defers because it receives BPDUs from the other bridges that have a lower root path cost. Bridges 4 and 5 have the same root path cost, but bridge 4 has the higher priority and therefore becomes the designated bridge.

The results of all this activity are shown in Figure 10.12. Only the designated bridge on each LAN is allowed to forward frames. All of the ports on all of the other bridges are placed in a blocking state. After the spanning tree is established, bridges continue to periodically exchange BPDUs to be able to react to any change in topology, cost assignments, or priority assignment. Any time that a bridge receives a BPDU on a port it makes two assessments:

- 1. If the BPDU arrives on a port that is considered the designated port, does the transmitting port have a better claim to be the designated port?
- 2. Should this port be my root port?

The behavior of the bridges can be more precisely explained with reference to the state transition diagram of Figure 10.13. When a bridge is initialized, or when a bridge must participate in a change of configuration, all of its ports are placed in a listening state. For each port an associated timer is initialized to a value called *forward delay*. This timer is allowed to run down as long as no information is received to indicate that this port should be blocked from transmitting and receiving MAC frames. In the listening state, the spanning tree protocol information is received and transmitted, but station traffic is not forwarded to or from the bridge port, and MAC frames that arrive are not submitted to the learning process.

Once the forwarding timer expires, the bridge port transitions to the learning state, and the timer is reinitialized to the value of the forward delay parameter. Behavior in the learning state is exactly as in the listening state, with the exception that frames are submitted to the learning process. Once the forward delay timer expires a second time, the bridge port moves to the forwarding state, which means that this port is part of the spanning tree and will accept frames to be forwarded through the bridge as transmit frames out of the bridge as appropriate.

If at any time the bridge receives configuration information that indicates that this port should not be part of the spanning tree, the port is put in the blocking state. A future change in topology will move the port back to the listening state.



FIGURE 10.13 Spanning Tree State Transition Diagram for a Bridge Port

The motivation for this apparently complex process is to account for the propagation delays in communicating configuration information among the bridges. To move a state directly from a blocking state to a forwarding state risks having temporary data loops and the duplication and misordering of frames. Time is needed for new information to be received by all bridges and for other bridges to reply to inferior protocol information before starting to forward frames.

Bridge Protocol Data Units. The 802.1D standard defines two bridge protocol data units: the configuration BPDU and the topology change notification BPDU. Figure 10.14 illustrates the formats.

The configuration BPDU consists of the following fields:

- *Protocol identifier (2 octets):* identifies the spanning tree algorithm and protocol defined by 802.1. The value is all zeros.
- *Protocol version identifier (1 octet):* identifies the version of this standard.
- *BPDU type (1 octet):* the type of BPDU. For the configuration BPDU, the value is all zeros.
- *Flags (1 octet):* consists of the Topology Change flag (bit 1 of octet 5) and the Topology Change Acknowledgment flag (bit 8 of octet 5). The use of these flags is explained below.



Configuration BPDU Parameters and Format

1

FIGURE 10.14 Bridge Protocol Data Units

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 451

- *Root identifier (8 octets):* the unique bridge identifier of the bridge assumed to be the root by the bridge transmitting this BPDU. This parameter is conveyed to enable all bridges to agree on the root.
- *Root path cost (4 octets):* the cost of the path from the transmitting bridge to the bridge identified by the root identifier above. This parameter is conveyed to enable a bridge to decide which of the bridges attached to the LAN on which this BPDU has been received offers the lowest-cost path to the root for that LAN.
- *Bridge identifier (8 octets):* the unique identifier of the bridge transmitting this BPDU. This parameter is conveyed to enable a bridge to decide, in the case of a LAN to which two or more bridges are attached and that offers equal cost paths to the root, which of the bridges should be selected as the designated bridge for that LAN.
- *Port identifier (2 octets):* the identifier of the port transmitting this BPDU. This identifier uniquely identifies a port on the transmitting bridge.
- *Message age (2 octets):* the age of the configuration message, which is the time since the generation of the configuration BPDU by the root that instigated the generation of this configuration BPDU. This parameter is conveyed to enable a bridge to discard information whose age exceeds the maximum age.
- *Maximum age (2 octets):* a time-out value to be used by all bridges in the internet. The value is set by the root. This parameter is conveyed to ensure that each bridge has a consistent value against which to test the age of stored configuration information.
- *Hello time (2 octets):* the time interval between the generation of configuration BPDUs by the root. This parameter is not directly used in the spanning tree algorithm but is conveyed to facilitate the monitoring of protocol performance by management functions.
- *Forward delay (2 octets):* a timeout value to be used by all bridges. The value is set by the root. This parameter is conveyed to ensure that each bridge uses a consistent value for the forward delay timer when transferring the state of a port to the forwarding state. This parameter is also used as the time-out value for aging filtering data base dynamic entries following changes in active topology.

The transmission of configuration BPDUs is triggered by the root (or a bridge that temporarily considers itself to be the root). The root will periodically (once every hello time) issue a configuration BPDU on all LANs to which it is attached. A bridge that receives a configuration BPDU on what it decides is its root port passes that information on to all the LANs for which it believes itself to be the designated bridge. Thus, in a stable configuration, the generation of a configuration BPDU by the root causes a cascade of configuration BPDUs throughout the
spanning tree. This collection of BPDU transmissions is referred to as a **configuration message**.

A bridge may decide that it must change the topology of the spanning tree. For example, in Figure 10.12, if bridge 4 fails, it would cease to transmit configuration BPDUs as part of the periodic configuration messages. Bridge 3 would time out bridge 4 as the designated bridge on LAN 2 once the maximum-age timer expires and would enter the listening state. Eventually, the port on LAN 2 of bridge 3 would enter the forwarding state. At this point, bridge 3 must notify the root of a change in topology. This is done by transmitting a **topology change notification BPDU** on the root port of the bridge. This BPDU consists merely of a protocol identifier, protocol version identifier, and a BPDU type field with a code for this type of 10000000.

The intent is to communicate the topology change notification to the root. This is done by, in effect, relaying the change notification up the spanning tree to the root. To assure reliable delivery of the notification, the transmitting bridge will repeat the topology change notification BPDU until it receives an acknowledgment from the designated bridge for that LAN. The acknowledgment is carried in a configuration BPDU (Topology Change Acknowledgment flag). The designated bridge passes the notification to, or toward, the root using the same procedure.

When the root receives such a notification, or changes the topology itself (e.g., if a new root is declared), it will set the Topology Change flag in all configuration messages transmitted for some time. This time is such that all bridges will receive one or more configuration messages. While this flag is set, bridges use the value of forwarding delay to age out entries in the filtering data base. When the flag is reset again, the bridges revert to using a filtering timer that, typically, is much longer. It is desirable to shorten the aging time during this period of reconfiguration because, after a topology change, stations may be in a new direction with respect to the bridge. Since a bridge must endure a wait of at least two forwarding times (see Figure 10.13) to transition from listening to forwarding, this will allow enough time for currently en route frames to be delivered or eliminated by time-out.

IEEE 802.5 Source Routing Bridge

The IEEE 802.5 committee has developed a bridge routing approach referred to as source routing. With this approach, the sending station determines the route that the frame will follow and includes the routing information with the frame; bridges read the routing information to determine if they should forward the frame [DIXO88, HAMN88, PITT87b, BEDE86, PITT85, IEEE91a]. **Basic Operation.** The basic operation of the algorithm can be described with reference to the configuration of Figure 10-15a. A frame from station X can reach station Y by either of the following routes:

- LAN 1, bridge B1, LAN 3, bridge B3, LAN 2
- LAN 1, bridge B2, LAN 4, bridge B4, LAN 2

Station X may choose one of these two routes and may place the information, in the form of a sequence of LAN and bridge identifiers, in the frame to be transmitted. When a bridge receives a frame, it will forward





⁽b) Single-Route Broadcast Request



(c) All-Routes Broadcast Response



that frame if the bridge is on the designated route; all other frames are discarded. In this case, if the first route above is specified, bridges B1 and B3 will forward the frame; if the second route is specified, bridges B2 and B4 will forward the frame.

Note that with this scheme bridges need not maintain routing tables. The bridge makes the decision whether or not to forward a frame solely on the basis of the routing information contained in the frame. All that is required is that the bridge know its own unique identifier and the identifier of each LAN to which it is attached. The responsibility for designating the route falls to the source station.

For this scheme to work, there must be a mechanism by which a station can determine a route to any destination station. Before dealing with this issue, we need to discuss different types of routing directives.

Routing Directives and Addressing Modes. The source routing scheme developed by the IEEE 802.5 committee includes four different types of routing directives. Each frame that is transmitted includes an indicator of the type of routing desired. The four directive types are:

- 1. *Null:* No routing is desired. In this case, the frame can be delivered only to stations on the same LAN as the source station.
- 2. *Nonbroadcast:* The frame includes a route, consisting of a sequence of LAN numbers and bridge numbers that defines a unique route from the source station to the destination station. Only bridges on that route forward the frame, and only a single copy of the frame is delivered to the destination station.
- 3. *All-routes broadcast:* The frame will reach each LAN of the internet by all possible routes. Thus each bridge will forward each frame once to each of its ports in a direction away from the source node, and multiple copies of the frame may appear on a LAN. The destination station will receive one copy of the frame for each possible route through the network.
- 4. *Single-route broadcast:* Regardless of the destination address of the frame, the frame will appear once, and only once, on each LAN in the internet. For this effect to be achieved, the frame is forwarded by all bridges that are on a spanning tree (with the source node as the root) of the internet. The destination station receives a single copy of the frame.

Let us first examine the potential application of each of these four types of routing, and then examine the mechanisms that may be employed to achieve them. First, consider null routing. In this case, the bridges that share the LAN with the source station are told not to forward the frame. This will be done if the intended destination is on the same LAN as the source station. Nonbroadcast routing is used when the two stations are not on the same LAN and the source station knows a route that can be used to reach the destination station. Only the bridges on that route will forward the frame.

The remaining two types of routing can be used by the source to discover a route to the destination. For example, the source station can use all-routes broadcasting to send a request frame to the intended destination. The destination returns a response frame, using nonbroadcast routing, on each of the routes followed by the incoming request frame. The source station can pick one of these routes and send future frames on that route. Alternatively, the source station could use single-route broadcasting to send a single request frame to the destination station. The destination station could send its response frame via all-routes broadcasting. The incoming frames would reveal all of the possible routes to the destination station, and the source station could pick one of these for future transmissions. Finally, single-route broadcasting could be used for group addressings, as discussed below.

Now consider the mechanisms for implementing these various routing directives. Each frame must include an indicator of which of the four types of routing is required. For null routing, the frame is ignored by the bridge. For nonbroadcast routing, the frame includes an ordered list of LAN numbers and bridge numbers. When a bridge receives a nonbroadcast frame, it forwards the frame only if the routing information contains the sequence LAN *i*, Bridge *x*, LAN *j*, where

LAN i = LAN from which the frame arrived Bridge x = this bridge

LAN j = another LAN to which this bridge is attached

For all-routes broadcasting, the source station marks the frame for this type of routing, but includes no routing information. Each bridge that forwards the frame will add its bridge number and the outgoing LAN number to the frame's routing information field. Thus, when the frame reaches its destination, it will include a sequenced list of all LANs and bridges visited. To prevent the endless repetition and looping of frames, a bridge obeys the following rule. When an all-routes broadcast frame is received, the bridge examines the routing information field. If the field contains the number of a LAN to which the bridge is attached, the bridge will refrain from forwarding the frame on that LAN. Put the other way, the bridge will forward the frame only to a LAN that the frame has not already visited.

Finally, for single-route broadcasting, a spanning tree of the internet must be developed. This can either be done automatically, as in the 802.1 specification, or manually. In either case, as with the 802.1 strategy, one bridge on each LAN is the designated bridge for that LAN, and is the only one that forwards single-route frames.

It is worth noting the relationship between addressing mode and routing directive. Recall from Chapter 5 that there are three types of MAC addresses:

- 1. Individual: The address specifies a unique destination station.
- 2. *Group:* The address specifies a group of destination addresses; this is also referred to as *multicast*.
- 3. *All-stations:* The address specifies all stations that are capable of receiving this frame; this is also referred to as *broadcast*. We will refrain from using this latter term since it is also used in the source routing terminology.

In the case of a single, isolated LAN, group and all-stations addresses refer to stations on the same LAN as the source station. In an internet, it may be desirable to transmit a frame to multiple stations on multiple LANs. Indeed, since a set of LANs interconnected by bridges should appear to the user as a single LAN, the ability to do group and allstations addressing across the entire internet is mandatory.

Table 10.5 summarizes the relationship between routing specification and addressing mode. If no routing is specified, then all addresses refer only to the immediate LAN. If nonbroadcast routing is specified, then addresses may refer to any station on any LAN visited on the nonbroadcast route. From an addressing point of view, this combination is not generally useful for group and all-stations addressing. If either the allroutes or single-route specifications are included in a frame, then all stations on the internet can be addressed. Thus the total internet acts as a single network from the point of view of MAC addresses. Since less traffic is generated by the single-route specification, this is to be preferred for group and all-stations addressing. Note also that the singleroute mechanism in source routing is equivalent to the 802.1 spanning tree approach. Thus, the latter supports both group and all-stations addressing.

Route Delivery and Selection. With source routing, bridges are relieved of the burden of storing and using routing information. Thus the burden falls on the stations that wish to transmit frames. Clearly, some mechanism is needed by which the source stations can know the route to each destination for which frames are to be sent. Three strategies suggest themselves:

1. Manually load the information into each station. This is simple and effective but has several drawbacks. First, any time that the configuration changes, the routing information at all stations must be

.

		Routing Sp	Decification	
Addressing Mode	No Routing	Nonbroadcast	All-Routes	Single-Route
Individual	Received by station if it is on the same LAN	Received by station if it is on one of the LANs on the	Received by station if it is on any LAN	Received by station if it is on any LAN
Group	Received by all group members on the same LAN	Received by all group members on all LANs visited on this route	Received by all group members on all LANs	Received by all group members on all LANs
All-Stations	Received by all stations on the same LAN	Received by all stations on all LANs visited on this route	Received by all stations on all LANs	Received by all stations on all LANs

TABLE 10.5 Effects of Various Combinations of Addressing and Source Routing

updated. Second, this approach does not provide for automatic adjustment in the face of the failure of a bridge or LAN.

- 2. One station on a LAN can query other stations on the same LAN for routing information about distant stations. This approach may reduce the overall amount of routing messages that must be transmitted, compared to option 3 below. However, at least one station on each LAN must have the needed routing information, so this is not a complete solution.
- 3. When a station needs to learn the route to a destination station, it engages in a dynamic route discovery procedure.

Option 3 is the most flexible and the one that is specified by IEEE 802.5. As was mentioned earlier, two approaches are possible. The source station can transmit an all-routes request frame to the destination. Thus, all possible routes to the destination are discovered. The destination station can send back a nonbroadcast response on each of the discovered routes, allowing the source to choose which route to follow in subsequently transmitting the frame. This approach generates quite a bit of both forward and backward traffic, and requires the destination station to receive and transmit a number of frames. An alternative is for the source station to transmit a single-route request frame. Only one copy of this frame will reach the destination. The destination responds with an all-routes response frame, which generates all possible routes back to the source. Again, the source can choose among these alternative routes.

Figure 10.15 illustrates the latter approach. Assume that the spanning tree that has been chosen for this internet consists of bridges B1, B3, and B4. In this example, station X wishes to discover a route to station Z. Station X issues a single-route request frame. Bridge B2 is not on the spanning tree and so does not forward the frame. The other bridges do forward the frame and it reaches station Z. Note that bridge B4 forwards the frame to LAN 4, although this is not necessary; it is simply an effect of the spanning-tree mechanism. When Z receives this frame, it responds with an all-routes frame. Two messages reach X: one on the path LAN 2, B3, LAN 3, B1, LAN 1, and the other on the path LAN 2, B4, LAN 4, B2, LAN 1. Note that the frame that arrived by the latter route is received by bridge B1 and forwarded onto LAN 3. However, when bridge B3 receives this frame, it sees in the routing information field that the frame has already visited LAN 2; therefore, it does not forward the frame. A similar fate occurs for the frame that follows the first route and is forwarded by bridge B2.

Once a collection of routes has been discovered, the source station needs to select one of the routes. The obvious criterion would be to select the minimum-hop route. Alternatively, a minimum-cost route could be selected, where the cost of a network is inversely proportional

to its data rate. In either case, if two or more routes are equivalent by the chosen criterion, then there are two alternatives:

- 1. Choose the route corresponding to the response message that arrives first. One may assume that that particular route is less congested than the others since the frame on that route arrived earliest.
- 2. Choose randomly. This should have the effect, over time, of leveling the load among the various bridges.

Another point to consider is how often to update a route. Routes should certainly be changed in response to network failures and perhaps should be changed in response to network congestion. If connection-oriented logical link control is used (see Chapter 5), then one possibility is to rediscover the route with each new connection. Another alternative, which works with either connection-oriented or connectionless service, is to associate a timer with each selected route, and rediscover the route when its time expires.

Frame Format. With source routing, changes must be made to the MAC frame format. Figure 10.16 shows the frame format specified by the 802.5 source routing document. Recall that the first bit of the destination address indicates whether the address is an individual or a group address. Clearly a source address must always be an individual address. To accommodate source routing, this bit becomes the routing information indicator (RII). The RII bit is set to 0 to indicate null routing and to 1 to indicate that routing information is present in the frame. In the latter case, a new field is added to the MAC frame, the routing information field, which consists of a routing control field followed by from 0 to 14 route designation fields. The routing control field consists of the following subfields:

- Broadcast (3 bits): indicates the type of routing directive (none, nonbroadcast, all-routes, single-route).
- *Length (5 bits):* indicates the length of the routing information control field, in octets.
- *Direction (1 bit):* indicates to a bridge whether the frame is traveling from the originating station to the target or vice versa. Its use allows the list of route designation fields to appear in the same order for frames traveling in both directions along the route.
- Largest frame (4 bits): specifies the largest size of the MAC information field that may be transmitted on this route. This field is encoded to indicate certain common sizes. For example: 0011 indicates a maximum size of 1500 octets, which corresponds to the IEEE 802.3 CSMA/CD and Ethernet limitations; 0111 indicates 4472 octets, which corresponds to FDDI. When a bridge receives a frame, it up-





FCS = Frame check sequence

dates this field if the current value exceeds what the bridge can handle or what its adjoining LANs allow. In this way, the route discovery process also discovers the maximum frame size that can be handled on a particular route.

SN = Segment number BN = Bridge number

The remainder of the routing information field consists of a sequence of route designators, each designator corresponding to one hop. The route designator consists of a 12-bit segment number (LAN number) and a 4-bit bridge number.

Spanning Tree versus Source Routing

In this subsection, a brief comparison of the two approaches to bridge routing is provided. For a further discussion, see [SOHA88], [ZHAN88], and [PITT86].

The spanning-tree approach requires no addition to the station logic and no changes to MAC frame format. Thus it preserves full transparency. That is, a collection of LANs interconnected by bridges using spanning-tree routing behaves, from the station's point of view, as a single LAN. The principal drawback of this approach is that it limits the use of redundant bridges to a standby role for availability. Only designated bridges forward frames, and other bridges are unused until a designated bridge fails. Thus redundant bridges cannot be used to share the traffic load, which would provide load leveling and perhaps improved throughput.

Source routing requires additional station logic (route discovery, route selection, insertion of the routing information field in the MAC frame) and changes to the MAC frame format. Thus this method is not fully transparent. However, source routing does permit the selection of an optimal route for each source-destination pair, and permits all bridges to participate in frame forwarding, thus leveling the load. Furthermore, this method requires additional bits to be added to each frame that traverses more than one LAN, increasing the traffic burden.

The other concern relating to source routing is the magnitude of the effect of the route discovery algorithm. We will illustrate the concern with an example from [ZHAN88], which uses the configuration of Figure 10.17. The shaded bridges in the configuration are assumed to be the designated bridges if the spanning-tree approach is used. Using the spanning-tree approach, a frame sent from H2 to H1 will traverse 2 bridges and 3 LANs; only one copy of the frame will arrive at H1. In the source routing case, the route from H1 to H2 must first be discovered. Using single-route broadcasting, a request frame is sent from H2 to H1. H1 responds with an all-routes frame. When B0, B1, and B3 receive the frame, each of them will try to forward it further to LANs it has not passed through. The original response frame will then be fabricated to multiple copies on other LANs. Specifically, 4 copies will be transmitted on LAN 2, 5 on LAN 3, and 6 on LAN 4, for a total of 16 transmissions of the frame (including the initial transmission on LAN 1). The result of this effort will be a route through B3 that is shorter than the spanningtree route through B2 and B1.

Thus, while the source routing method may produce shorter routes and provides load leveling, the source discovery algorithm is very resource intensive. Even for this small example, 16 transmissions were required. In general, the number of frame copies transmitted for route discovery is on the order of $O(N^M)$, where *N* is the average number of bridges on each LAN and *M* is the number of LANs in the configuration [ZHAN88]. For example, a configuration consisting of 12 LANs with an average of 2 bridges per LAN, which is still a modest configuration, would generate on the order of $2^{12} = 4096$ frames for each route discovery.

In summary, source routing offers certain advantages in route selection at the cost of additional station logic, frame overhead, and consid-

448

S. 3. 5.

a second seco

10.2 Routing with Bridges

449





erable traffic overhead. In most situations, the spanning-tree approach should prove adequate and avoids the disadvantages of the source routing approach.

Source Routing Transparent

The transparent bridge standard is available on many IEEE 802.3 and 802.4 products, and the source routing bridge standard is widely available on 802.5 products. While both types of bridges have advantages and disadvantages, a key problem with both is that they are incompatible. In order to allow the interconnection of LANs by a mixture of transparent and source routing bridges, a new standard has been developed by the 802.5 committee, referred to as the SRT (source routing transparent) technique [IEEE91b, NETR91, GREE90a].

The key to the operation of an SRT bridge is the RII bit in the MAC source address field. Recall that this bit is set to 1 by a source station to indicate that routing is desired and to 0 to indicate that no routing is to be performed (i.e., the frame should not be picked up by a source routing bridge). This bit is not used by stations that are supported by transparent bridges. As the name implies, the transparent bridge approach is transparent to the end stations. Thus the RII bit is always set to 0 by a station that is not participating in source routing.

Figure 10.18 indicates how the RII bit is used by an SRT bridge. All passing user data frames are observed by the bridge. If RII = 1, then



FIGURE 10.18 SRT Bridge Logic

the frame is handled by source routing logic; if RII = 0, then the frame is handled by transparent bridge logic.

So much for the basic frame forwarding logic. The difficult design problems associated with SRT bridging have to do with how routes are established. For transparent bridging, a spanning tree must be developed among all of the bridges. For source routing, a spanning tree must also be developed. The requirement for SRT operation is that the bridge must permit both transparent and source routing stations to participate within the same spanning tree. Since the SRT bridge includes transparent bridge logic, it can interoperate with pure transparent bridges to create the spanning tree. Thus, we can have a collection of LANs interconnected by a mixture of transparent bridges and SRT bridges. However, pure source routing bridges could not be incorporated into such a configuration, because they are incapable of passing transparent frames.

10.3

ROUTERS

The bridge is applicable only to a configuration involving a single type of LAN. Of course, in many cases, an organization will need access to devices on a variety of networks. For example, as Figure 1.6 illustrates, an organization may have a tiered LAN architecture, with different types of LANs used for different purposes within an organization. There may also need to be access to devices on a wide-area network. Examples of the latter are a public information source or data base for query and transaction applications and a customer or supplier computer for transferring ordering information.

A general-purpose device that can be used to connect dissimilar networks and that operates at layer 3 of the OSI model is known as a router. We begin this section by looking at the requirements that must be satisfied by the router to support internetworking.

Requirements

Up until now, we have discussed instances in which the differences among networks were small. Now let us consider the more general problem of connecting a local network to outside resources. For now, let us limit ourselves to LANs; the principles for MANs are the same, and the protocol issues we are discussing do not apply to digital PBXs. For LAN connection, we can distinguish a number of cases:

- 1. *LAN-to-LAN:* A user or application process on one LAN desires access to a user or application process on another. The possibilities include:
 - **a.** Point-to-point link, homogeneous networks. For example, a corporation might procure a LAN for each of its main offices from a single vendor.
 - **b.** Network link, homogeneous networks. As above, but it is found more feasible to connect through a network (e.g., an X.25 long-haul packet-switched network).
 - **c.** Point-to-point link, heterogeneous networks. An organization may have two LANs, in the same location, or separated, from different vendors.
 - **d.** Network link, heterogeneous networks. As above, but linked by a packet-switched network.
- 2. *LAN-to-network*: In this case, some or all LAN subscribers need access to services available on a long-haul network (e.g., a data base or information utility available through a packet-switched network). Two possibilities are:
 - a. Host-to-network link. Each host (or terminal) that requires a network link establishes one independent of the LAN.
 - **b.** LAN-to-network link. As a service, the LAN establishes a link to the long-haul network that may be multiplexed to provide access for multiple hosts.

Of these six cases, three are of no real interest as problems in internetworking. Case 1a can be handled with a bridge. The two halves of the bridge maintain a layer 2 point-to-point link. Case 1b is also solved by a bridge, with a special adaptation to handle the long-haul network protocol. For example, consider two LANs connected via an X.25 network. The bridge on LAN A accepts frames as before. Now, it wraps that frame in a layer 3 packet and transmits it to the bridge at LAN B, which unwraps the frame and inserts it into LAN B. For this purpose, a virtual circuit may be maintained between the two bridges. Case 2a does not involve internetworking at all! Each host on the LAN is responsible for its own link to the long-haul network and for implementing the protocols of that network; the LAN is not involved.

The remaining three cases require some kind of logic or protocol beyond that needed for intranetwork routing and delivery. This logic can be considered to reside in a router. Figure 10.19 depicts these three cases. It can be seen (or will be seen) that these three cases are fundamentally the same. The internetworking requirements are the same for all these cases. In essence, we wish to permit process-to-process communication across more than one network.

Before turning to the architectural approaches to providing the service of Figure 10.19, we list some of the requirements on the internetworking facility. These include:

- 1. Provide a link between networks. At minimum, a physical and link control connection is needed.
- 2. Provide for the routing and delivery of data between processes on different networks.
- 3. Provide an accounting service that keeps track of the use of the various networks and gateways and maintains status information.
- 4. Provide the services listed above in such a way as not to require modifications to the networking architecture of any of the attached networks. This means that the internetworking facility must accommodate a number of differences among networks. These include:





(b) Wide-Area Network Link, Heterogeneous LANs



FIGURE 10.19 LAN Internetworking Requirements

- a. Different addressing schemes. The networks may use different endpoint names and addresses and directory maintenance schemes. Some form of global network addressing must be provided, as well as a directory service.
- **b.** Different maximum packet size. Packets from one network may have to be broken up into smaller pieces for another. This process is referred to as segmentation.
- c. Different network interfaces. For purposes of this discussion, we will assume that the interface is at layer 3, such as is found in an X.25 network. This assumption is a reasonable one since layers 1, 2, and 3 are specific to the communications subnetwork, while layer 4 and above relate to end-to-end host process considerations. As we discussed in Chapter 8, there are a number of protocol residency alternatives between host and NIU for LANs. In this chapter, we use the DTE, DCE terminology for the communications architecture, to avoid confusion with the host-NIU architecture.
- **d.** Different time-outs. Generally, a connection-oriented transport service will await an acknowledgment until a time-out expires, at which time it will retransmit its segment of data. Generally, longer times are required for successful delivery across multiple networks. Internetwork timing procedures must allow successful transmission that avoids unnecessary retransmissions.
- e. Error recovery. Intranetwork procedures may provide anything from no error recovery up to reliable end-to-end (within the network) service. The internetwork service should not depend on or be interfered with by the nature of the individual network's error recovery capability.
- **f.** Status reporting. Different networks report status and performance differently. Yet it must be possible for the internetworking facility to provide such information on internetworking activity to interested and authorized processes.
- **g.** Routing techniques. Intranetwork routing may depend on fault detection and congestion control techniques peculiar to each network. The internetworking facility must be able to coordinate these to adaptively route data between DTEs on different networks.
- **h.** Access control. Each network will have its own user access control techniques. These must be invoked by the internetwork facility as needed. Further, a separate internetwork access control technique may be required.
- i. Connection, connectionless. Individual networks may provide connection-oriented (e.g., virtual circuit) or connectionless (datagram) service. The internetwork service should not depend on the nature of the connection service for the individual networks.

Protocol Architecture

Router operation depends on a protocol at OSI layer 3 (network layer), sometimes known as an internet protocol (IP). Figure 10.20 depicts a typical example, in which two LANs are interconnected by a wide-area X.25 network. The figure depicts the operations involved for the transfer of data from station A on LAN 1 and station B on LAN 2. The two stations and the routers must share a common internet protocol. In addition, to communicate successfully, the two stations must share the same protocols above IP.

The data to be sent by A are passed down to A's internet protocol. IP attaches a header specifying, among other things, the global internet address of B. That address is in two parts: network identifier and station identifier. The result is called an internet protocol data unit, or simply a



LMH-i : Combined LLC and MAC header for LAN i

LH-*i* : Link Header (X.25 Layer 2) for DTE = Router *j* NH-*k* : Network Header (X.25 Layer 3) for DTE = Router *k* IPH : Internet Protocol Header

: Transport Protocol Header TPH

FIGURE 10.20 Message Encapsulation: IP Approach

datagram. The datagram is then encapsulated with the LAN protocol and is sent to a router that strips off the LAN header. The datagram is then encapsulated with the X.25 protocol and transmitted across the network to a router. The router strips off the X.25 fields and recovers the datagram, which is then wrapped in LAN 2 headers and sent to B. If a connection-oriented service is required, A and B must share a common layer 4 protocol.

With this example in mind, we describe briefly the sequence of steps involved in sending a datagram between two stations on different networks. This is followed by a more detailed discussion of the design issues involved.

The process starts in the sending station. Station A wants to send an IP datagram to a station B on another network. The IP module in A constructs the datagram with the global internet address of B in the datagram header and recognizes that the destination is on another network. So the first step is to send the datagram to router X. To do this, the IP module passes the datagram down to the next lower layer (in this case LLC) with instructions to send it to the router. The header at this lower layer will contain the address of the router. In our example, this is the MAC-level address of router X on LAN 1.

Next, the packet travels through LAN 1 to router X. The router strips off the MAC and LLC headers and analyzes the IP header to determine whether this datagram contains control information intended for itself, or data intended for a station farther on. In our example, the data are intended for station B. The gateway must therefore make a routing decision. There are three possibilities:

- 1. The destination station B is connected directly to one of the subnetworks to which the router is attached.
- 2. To reach the destination, one or more additional routers must be traversed.
- 3. The router does not know the destination address.

In case 1, the router sends the datagram directly to the destination. In case 2, a routing decision must be made: To which router should the datagram be sent? In both cases, the router sends the datagram down to the next lower layer with a destination station address. Remember, we are speaking here of a lower-layer address that refers to this network (a layer 3 address for an X.25 network; a MAC address for a LAN). In case 3, the router returns an error message to the source of the datagram.

In this example, the data must be routed through router Y before reaching the destination. So router X constructs a packet by appending an X.25 header to the IP data unit containing the address of router Y. When this packet arrives at router Y, the packet header is stripped off. The router determines that this IP data unit is destined for B, which is connected directly to a network to which the router is attached. The router therefore creates a MAC frame with a destination address and sends it out onto LAN 3.

At each router, before the data can be forwarded, the router may need to segment the datagram to accommodate a smaller maximum packet size limitation on the outgoing network. The datagram is split into two or more segments, each of which becomes an independent IP datagram. Each new datagram is wrapped in a lower-layer packet and queued for transmission. The router may also limit the length of its queue for each network to which it attaches so as to avoid having a slow network penalize a faster one. Once the queue limit is reached, additional datagrams are simply dropped.

The process described above continues through as many routers as it takes for the datagram to reach its destination. As with a router, the destination host recovers the IP datagram from its network wrapping. If segmentation has occurred, the IP module in the destination host buffers the incoming data until the entire original data field can be reassembled. This block of data is then passed to a higher layer in the host.

The internet protocol does not guarantee that all data will be delivered or that the data that are delivered will arrive in the proper order. It is the responsibility of the next higher layer, the transport layer, to recover from any errors that occur. This approach provides for a great deal of flexibility.

With the internet protocol approach, each unit of data is passed from router to router in an attempt to get from source to destination. Since delivery is not guaranteed, there is no particular reliability requirement on any of the subnetworks. Thus the protocol will work with any combination of subnetwork types. Since the sequence of delivery is not guaranteed, successive data units can follow different paths through the internet. This allows the protocol to react to congestion and failure in the internet by changing routes.

Design Issues

With that brief sketch of the operation of an IP-controlled internet, we can now go back and examine some design issues in greater detail. These are:

- Addressing
- Routing
- Datagram lifetime
- Segmentation and reassembly

Addressing. In order to transfer data from one end system to another end system, there must be some way of uniquely identifying the destination ES. Thus, with each ES, we must be able to associate a unique identifier, or address. This address will allow ESs and ISs to perform the routing function properly.

In the OSI environment, this unique address is typically equated to a **network service access point** (NSAP). An NSAP uniquely identifies an ES within the internet. An ES may have more than one NSAP, but each is unique to that particular ES. A network layer address may also refer to the network protocol entity itself. This latter is appropriate in an intermediate system, which does not support upper layers via an NSAP. In the case of an IS, the network layer address is called a **network entity title** (NET).

Both NSAPs and NETs provide an unambiguous global internet address. Frequently, this address is in the form of (*network*, *host*), where the parameter *network* identifies a particular subnetwork and the parameter *host* identifies a particular ES attached to that subnetwork.

Figure 10.21 suggests that another level of addressing is needed. Each subnetwork must maintain a unique address for each ES attached to that subnetwork. This allows the subnetwork to route data units through the subnetwork and deliver them to the intended ES. Such an address is referred to as a **subnetwork point of attachment** (SNPA) address.

It would appear convenient for the *host* parameter in the global address to be identical to the SNPA for that ES. Unfortunately, this may



FIGURE 10.21 Network-Layer Addressing

not always be practical. Different networks use different addressing formats and different address lengths. Furthermore, an ES may enjoy more than one attachment point into the same network. Accordingly, we must assume that the *host* parameter has global significance and the SNPA has significance only within a particular subnetwork. In this case, the internetworking facility must translate from the global address to the locally significant address to route data units.

Routing. Routing is generally accomplished by maintaining a routing table in each end system and router that gives, for each possible destination network, the next router to which the internet datagram should be sent.

Table 10.6 shows the routing table for the BBN router, which is part of the DARPA internet. If a network is directly connected, it is so indicated. Otherwise, the datagram must be routed through one or more routers (one or more hops). The table indicates the identity of the next router on the route (which must share a common subnetwork with this router or end system) and the number of hops to the destination.

The routing table may be static or dynamic. A static table, however, could contain alternate routes if a router is unavailable. A dynamic table is more flexible in responding to both error and congestion conditions. In the DARPA internet, for example, when a router goes down, all of its neighbors will send out a status report, allowing other routers and stations to update their routing tables. A similar scheme can be used to control congestion. This latter is particularly important because of the mismatch in capacity between local and wide-area networks. Section 10.4 discusses routing protocols.

Routing tables may also be used to support other internetworking services, such as security and priority. For example, individual networks might be classified to handle data up to a given security classification. The routing mechanism must assure that data of a given security level are not allowed to pass through networks not cleared to handle them.

Another routing technique is source routing. The source station specifies the route by including a sequential list of routers in the datagram. This, again, could be useful for security or priority requirements.

Finally, we mention a service related to routing: route recording. To record a route, each router appends its internet address to a list of addresses in the datagram. This feature is useful for testing and debugging purposes.

Datagram Lifetime. If dynamic or alternate routing is used, the potential exists for a datagram to circulate indefinitely through the internet. For example, if there are sudden, significant shifts in internet traffic, the datagram might be diverted first one way and then another to avoid

a starter

TABLE 10.6 INTERNET Routing Table for the BBN Router

	Net	
Network Name	Address	Route
SATNET	4	Directly connected
ARPANET	10	Directly connected
BBN-NET	3	1 hop via RCC 10.3.0.72 (ARPANET 3/72)
PURDUE-COMPUTER SCIENCE	192.5.1	2 hops via PURDUE 10.2.0.37 (ARPANET 2/37)
INTELPOST	43	2 hops via MILLS 10.3.0.17 (ARPANET 3/17)
DECNET-TEST	38	3 hops via MILLS 10.3.0.17 (ARPANET 3/17)
wideband	28	3 hops via RCC 10.3.0.72 (ARPANET 3/72)
BBN-PACKET RADIO	1	2 hops via RCC 10.3.0.72 (ARPANET 3/72)
DCN-COMSAT	29	1 hop via MILLS 10.3.0.17 (ARPANET 3/17)
FIBERNET	24	3 hops via RCC 10.3.0.72 (ARPANET 3/72)
Bragg-packet Radio	9	1 hop via BRAGG 10.0.0.38 (ARPANET 0/38)
CLARK NET	8	2 hops via MILLS 10.3.0.17 (ARPANET 3/17)
LCSNET	18	1 hop via MIT-LCS 10.0.0.77 (ARPANET 0/77)
BBN-TERMINAL CONCENTRATOR	192.1.2	3 hops via RCC 10.3.0.72 (ARPANET 3/72)
BBN-JERICHO	192.1.3	3 hops via RCC 10.3.0.72 (ARPANET 3/72)
UCLNET	11	1 hop via UCL 4.0.0.60 (SATNET 60)
RSRE-NULL	35	1 hop via UCL 4.0.0.60 (SATNET 60)
RSRE-PPSN	25	2 hops via UCL 4.0.0.60 (SATNET 60)
SAN FRANCISCO- PACKET RADIO-2	6	1 hop via C3PO 10.1.0.51 (ARPANET 1/51)

"Names and acronyms identify gateways in the INTERNET system. Source: [SHEL82].

areas of congestion. Additionally, there might be a flaw in the routing tables of the various routers that causes the datagram to stay inside the network. These problems place an undesirable burden on the internet. To avoid these problems, each datagram can be marked with a lifetime. Once the lifetime expires, the datagram is discarded.

A simple way to implement lifetime is to use a hop count. Each time that a datagram passes through a router, the count is decremented. Alternatively, the lifetime could be a true measure of time, which requires that the router must somehow know how long it has taken for the datagram to traverse the last network, so as to know by how much to decrement the lifetime field. The advantage of using a true measure of

÷

time is that it can be used in the reassembly algorithm, which is described next.

Segmentation and Reassembly. To avoid fixing the maximum size of a packet, a constraint that is unfavorable to CSMA/CD LANs, segmentation must be done at the internet level by routers.

The ISO standard, described below, specifies an efficient technique for datagram segmentation. The technique requires the following fields in the datagram header:

- ID
- Length
- Offset
- More Flag

The ID is some means of uniquely identifying a station-originated datagram. It consists of the source and destination addresss, an identifier of the protocol layer that generated the datagram, and a sequence number supplied by that protocol layer. The Length is the length of the data field in octets, and the Offset is the position of a fragment in the original datagram in octets.

The source station IP layer creates a datagram with Length equal to the entire length of the data field, with Offset = 0, and the More Flag reset. To segment a long packet, an IP module in a router performs the following tasks:

- 1. Creates two new datagrams and copies the header fields of the incoming datagram into both.
- 2. Divides the data into two approximately equal portions along an 8-bit boundary, placing one portion in each new datagram.
- 3. Sets the Length field of the first datagram to the length of the inserted data, and sets the More Flag. The Offset field is unchanged.
- 4. Sets the Length field of the second datagram to the Length of the inserted data, and adds the length of the first data portion divided by 8 to the Offset field. The More Flag remains the same.

Table 10.7 gives an example. The procedure can be generalized to an *n*-way split.

To reassemble a datagram, there must be sufficient buffer space at the reassembly point. As segments with the same ID arrive, their data fields are inserted in the proper position in the buffer until the entire datagram is reassembled, which is achieved when a contiguous set of data exists starting with an Offset of 0 and ending with data from a segment with a reset More Flag. Typically, reassembly is done at the destination station, to avoid burdening routers with unnecessarily large buffer space and to permit segments to arrive via different routes. However, as men-

460

TABLE 10.7	Segmentation	Fxample
	JULINUUUU	LAUHIDIC

0		
Original Datagram	First Segment	Second Segment
Length = 472	Length = 240	Length $= 232$
Offset = 0	Offset = 0	Offset = 240
More $= 0$	More = 1	More = 0

tioned, it is an advantage in certain local networks to make the packet size as large as possible. Therefore, it might be a good design decision to dictate reassembly of datagrams entering a local network.

One eventuality that must be dealt with is that one or more of the segments may not get through: the IP service does not guarantee delivery. Some means are needed to decide to abandon a reassembly effort to free up buffer space. The ISO IP standard suggests two approaches. First, assign a reassembly lifetime to the first segment to arrive. This is a local, real-time clock assigned by the reassembly function and decremented while the segments of the original datagram are being buffered. If the time expires prior to complete reassembly, the received segments are discarded. A second approach is to make use of the datagram lifetime, which is part of the header of each incoming segment. The lifetime field continues to be decremented by the reassembly function; as with the first approach, if the lifetime expires prior to complete reassembly, the received segments are discarded.

The ISO Internetworking Standard

Now we look at the internetworking standard developed by ISO [ISO87, ISO88, PISC86]. As with any layer specification, IP can be described in two parts:

- The services provided to the next higher layer (e.g., ISO transport)
- The protocol mechanisms and formats that are used to provide the service

ISO IP Service. The ISO internetworking service is defined by two primitives at the interface between IP and an IP user. There are:

- N-UNITDATA.request (Source Address, Destination Address, Quality of Service, NS-User-Data)
- N-UNITDATA.indication (Source Address, Destination Address, Quality of Service, NS-User-Data)

The request primitive is issued by an IP user to submit data to IP for transfer across the internet. The indication primitive is issued by IP to a

higher-layer user to deliver data arriving from the internet. The *source* address and destination address parameters are global internet addresses that uniquely identify end stations. The quality-of-service parameter consists of options drawn from the list of Table 10.8. The IP entities in the source station and the routers will endeavor, within the limitations of the network services available, to provide these additional services. Finally, *NS-User-Data* is the unit of data transferred across the internet in a datagram.

ISO IP Protocol. The ISO IP protocol is best explained with reference to the IP header format (Table 10.9). The header is largely self-explanatory. Some clarifying remarks:

• *Protocol identifier*: When the source and destination stations are connected to the same network, an internal protocol is not needed. In that case, the internet layer is null and the header consists of this single field of 8 bits.

Transit Delay	The elapsed time between an N-UNITDATA.request at the source station and the corresponding N-UNITDATA.indication at the destination	
	station	
Protection from Unauthorized Access	 Four options are defined: no protection features protection against passive monitoring protection against modification, replay, addition, or deletion both (1) and (2) 	
Cost Determinants	Permits the user to specify:1. that the service provider (IP) should use the least expensive means available2. maximum acceptable cost	
Residual Error Probability	Probability that a particular NS-User-Data unit will be lost, duplicated, or delivered incorrectly	
Priority	Specifies the relative priority of NS-User-Data units with respect to:1. the order in which the data units have their quality of service degraded, if necessary2. the order in which data units are to be discarded to recover resources, if necessary	

 TABLE 10.8
 Quality-of-Service Parameters for the ISO

 Connectionless-Mode Network Service

TABLE 10.9 ISO IP Header Format

Name	Size (bits)	Purpose
Protocol Identifier	8	Indicates if internet service is provided
Header Length	8	Header length in octets
Version	8	Version of protocol
PDU Lifetime	8	Lifetime in units of 500 ms
Flags	3	Three 1-bit indicators
Туре	5	Data or Error PDU
Segment Length	16	Header plus data length
Checksum	16	Applies to header only
Destination Address Length	8	Length of field in octets
Destination Address	Variable	Structure not specified
Source Address Length	8	Length of field in octets
Source Address	Variable	Structure not specified
Identifier	16	Unique for source, destination
Segment Offset	16	Offset in octets
Total Length	16	Length of original PDU
Options	Variable	Additional services

- *Lifetime*: Expressed as a multiple of 500 ms. It is determined and set by the source station. Each gateway that the IP datagram visits decrements this field by 1 for each 500 ms of estimated delay for that hop. When the lifetime value reaches 0, the datagram is discarded. This technique prevents endlessly circulating datagrams.
- *Flags:* The S/P flag indicates whether segmentation is permitted. The M/S flag is the More Flag described earlier. The E/P flag indicates whether an error report is desired by the source station if a datagram is discarded.
- *Checksum:* Computed at each gateway.
- *Addresses:* Variable-length addresses are provided; the structure of the addresses is not specified in the standard.
- *Options:* The optional parameters that may be specified include: Security, defined by the user; Source Routing, which allows the source station to dictate the gateway routing; Recording of Route, used to trace the route a datagram takes; Priority; and Quality of Service, which specifies reliability and delay parameters.

dian.

10.4

ROUTING WITH ROUTERS

The routers in an internet perform much the same function as packetswitching nodes in a packet-switching network. As with the nodes of a packet-switching network, the bridges or routers of an internet need to make routing decisions based on knowledge of the topology and conditions of the internet. In simple internets, a fixed routing scheme is possible. However, in more complex internets, a degree of dynamic cooperation is needed among the routers. In particular, the router must avoid portions of the network that have failed and should avoid portions of the network that are congested. In order to make such dynamic routing decisions, routers exchange routing information using a special protocol for that purpose. Information is needed about the status of the internet, in terms of which networks can be reached by which routes, and the delay characteristics of various routes.

In considering the routing function of routers, it is important to distinguish two concepts:

- *Routing information:* information about the topology and delays of the internet
- *Routing algorithm:* the algorithm used to make a routing decision for a particular datagram, based on current routing information

There is another way to partition the problem that is useful from the point of view of allocating routing functions properly and effective standardization. This is to partition the routing function into:

Routing between end systems (ESs) and intermediate systems (ISs)
Routing between ISs

The reason for the partition is that there are fundamental differences between what an ES must know to route a packet and what an IS must know. In the case of an ES, it must first know whether the destination ES is on the same subnet. If so, then data can be delivered directly using the subnetwork access protocol. If not, then the ES must forward the data to an IS attached to the same subnetwork. If there is more than one such IS, it is simply a matter of choosing one. The IS forwards datagrams on behalf of other systems and needs to have some idea of the overall topology of the network in order to make a global routing decision.

Accordingly, ISO has developed standards for two types of routing protocols: ES-IS protocols and IS-IS protocols. We can list three technical advantages to this approach:

 The more difficult and complicated procedures can be placed in the ISs, which are dedicated to the internetworking function, minimizing overhead in the ESs.

- 2. A specialized ES-IS protocol can be made independent of the IS-IS routing procedures. This allows multiple IS-IS procedures to be used, if necessary, without burdening the ES.
- 3. Many subnetworks are of a broadcast nature; the ES-IS protocol can exploit this feature, where it exists, to improve efficiency.

In the remainder of this section, we provide an introduction to ES-ES and ES-IS protocols. More detail can be found in [STAL93b].

ES-IS Routing

The ES-IS protocol is designed to solve the basic routing problems associated with ESs on a subnetwork. These are:

- 1. When an ES is presented with data from a higher layer, in the form of a network service data unit (NSDU), with a destination NSAP, it must first decide whether the destination ES is on the same subnetwork as itself. If so, then it can deliver the user data by using the subnetwork access protocol (SNAcP) and providing that protocol with the subnetwork point of attachment (SNPA) address of the destination ES. However, direct examination of the NSAP may not provide the identity of the destination subnetwork. Therefore, the ES needs a method for discovering the existence and SNPA of other ESs on the same subnetwork.
- 2. If the destination ES is not on the same subnetwork, then the ES must forward the user data to an IS for routing through the internet. It does this, again, by using the SNAcP and providing that protocol with the SNPA of an IS attached to this subnetwork. Therefore, the ES needs a method for discovering the existence and SNPA of at least one IS on the same subnetwork.
- 3. If there is more than one IS on the subnetwork, then the ES should send datagrams to that IS which can most efficiently deliver the datagram to the destination. Therefore, the ES needs a method for deciding which IS to use for any particular destination ES.
- 4. For ISs, the final stage of relaying occurs when the IS is connected to the same subnetwork as the destination ES. When a datagram is forwarded to an IS, it must first decide whether the destination ES is on one of the subnetworks to which the IS is directly attached. If so, then it can deliver the datagram by using the SNAcP and providing that protocol with the SNPA address of the destination ES. However, direct examination of the NSAP may not provide the identity of the destination subnetwork. Therefore, the IS needs a method for discovering the existence and SNPA of these ESs.

To address these problems, two types of information are provided to ESs and ISs by the ES-IS protocol: configuration information and route redirection information. Configuration information deals with the existence of ESs and ISs attached to a particular subnetwork. The information includes the NSAP or NET of the system and its SNPA address. Configuration information permits ESs to discover the existence and reachability of other ESs and of ISs, and permits ISs to discover the existence and reachability of ESs. This information is provided dynamically by the protocol, eliminating the need for manual insertion of the information. Route redirection information is supplied to an ES to indicate a preferred IS to be used for a particular remote NSAP. The delivery of this information is triggered by an ES attempt to forward data through a less-preferred IS.

ISO 9542 defines an ES-IS protocol that is intended to operate with the ISO connectionless internet protocol. This protocol provides both configuration and redirection functions.

Configuration Functions. The configuration functions of ISO 9542 include the following:

- 1. An ES learns the NET and SNPA of each IS on the subnet by means of an ES-IS exchange.
- 2. An IS learns the NSAP and SNPA of each ES on the subnet by means of an ES-IS exchange.
- 3. An ES learns the NSAP and SNPA of other ESs on the subnet by means of an ES-ES exchange.

These functions are accomplished by means of the ES Hello (ESH) and IS Hello (ISH) PDUs.

The ESH is broadcast to all ISs periodically by each ES on the subnetwork. It includes a list of all NSAPs (usually one, but sometimes multiple) that are valid for that ESH. On receipt of an ESH, the IS stores the associated addressing information.

The ISH is broadcast to all ESs periodically by each IS on the subnetwork. It identifies the IS by its NET address. In both the ESH and ISH, there is no SNPA address information, yet this information is needed by the recipient. However, this information is supplied by the subnetwork service. When a data unit is delivered by a subnetwork service, it is accompanied by the subnetwork source and destination SNPAs.

Route Redirection Function. Redirection information is provided by ISs in the form of Redirect (RD) PDUs. When an IS receives a datagram for forwarding, it will attempt to forward the datagram according to the rules of the CLNP. Two cases lead to the use of the RD:

1. If the datagram is forwarded to another IS on the same subnetwork as the originating ES, then it is clear that resources could have been saved if the ES had simply forwarded the datagram directly to the other IS. Therefore, *after* forwarding the original datagram, the IS sends an RD to the originating ES that instructs the ES to forward all future datagrams intended for that NSAP to the other IS. The NET and SNPA of the other IS are supplied to the ES.

2. If the destination system is on the same subnetwork over which the datagram arrives, then it is clear that resources could have been saved if the originating ES had simply forwarded the datagram directly to the destination ES. Therefore, *after* forwarding the original datagram, the IS sends an RD to the originating ES that informs the ES that it can directly address the destination ES. The NSAP and SNPA of the destination ES are supplied to the originating ES.

IS-IS Routing

ISO 10589 defines an IS-IS routing protocol to be used in conjunction with the ISO connectionless internet protocol.

Routing Environment. In order to make the complexity of large internets more manageable, ISO has defined a multilevel, hierarchical routing environment. At the top level, an internet can be divided into a number of **routing domains**. A domain is a large-scale portion of an internet, generally organized along geographical or organizational lines. For example, all of the local area networks at a site, such as a military base or campus, could be linked by ISs to form a routing domain. This complex might be linked through a wide-area network to other routing domains. Domains can be further subdivided into **areas**. [MCCO91] and [TSUC89] list a number of advantages for this hierarchical approach:

- Minimizing the amount of information exchanged by ISs, thus simplifying the operation of ISs at all levels
- Allowing different routing optimizations within each level of the hierarchy
- Protecting the entire routing environment from inaccurate information generated by any intermediate system
- Construction of "firewalls" between different portions (areas, domains) that would provide access control and other mechanisms to protect and secure the environment
- Simplifying routing protocol evolution, since ISs at one level need not know the protocol or topology at other levels

Four levels of routing can be defined:

• *Level 0 routing:* routing of traffic between ESs and ISs on the same subnet

- Level 1 routing: routing of traffic between ISs within the same area
- *Level 2 routing*: routing of traffic between different areas within the same routing domain
- Level 3 routing: routing of traffic between different domains

Level 0 routing is covered by ES-IS routing protocols. Levels 1 and 2 are covered by IS-IS routing protocols. Currently, there is no standard for level 3 routing. At this level, the gross topology will generally be rather simple, and static routing based on manual configuration will usually suffice.

Figure 10.22 illustrates the routing hierarchy. Level 1 ISs know the topology in their area (by means of the level one IS-IS interchange), including all ESs and ISs in their area. However, level 1 ISs do not know the identity of ISs or ESs outside of their area. Level 1 ISs forward all traffic for ESs outside of their area to a level 2 IS in their area.

Level 2 ISs form a backbone that connects the level 1 areas within a routing domain. All the level 2 ISs in a routing domain are connected at level 2. That is, any level 2 IS can reach any other level 2 IS by going through level 2 ISs only (without visiting any level 1 ISs). Level 2 ISs know the level 2 topology and know which addresses are reachable via each level 2 IS in their routing domain. Each level 2 IS is also a level 1 IS in a particular area; this allows for final delivery of datagrams. Thus, the obligation of a level 2 IS on the path will also be a level 1 IS in the destination area and can forward the datagram to other level 1 ISs in the area to reach the destination subnet and ultimately the destination ES. Thus we can envisage the following set of steps. Assume that ES A receives user data from the transport layer and constructs a datagram with a destination NSAP indicating ES B. Then:

- 1. If ES B is on the same subnetwork as ES A, deliver the datagram directly (routing information may be preconfigured or obtained via ES-IS protocol ISO 9542).
- 2. Otherwise, ES A delivers the datagram to a level 1 IS on the same subnetwork for internetwork routing (routing information obtained via IS-ES protocol ISO 9542).
- 3. The IS examines the NSAP in the datagram to determine if ES B is in the same area as this IS. If so:
 - a. The IS makes a routing decision to forward the datagram through zero or more additional level 1 ISs to reach ES B's subnetwork (routing information obtained via level 1 IS-IS protocol ISO 10589).
 - **b.** The final IS on the path shares a subnetwork with ES B and delivers the datagram directly (routing information obtained via ES-IS protocol ISO 9542).
- 4. If the condition in step 3 does not prevail, then the IS forwards the



10.4 Routing with Routers

469

FIGURE 10.22 Routing Hierarchy [TSUC89]

· . : Starged

datagram through zero or more additional level 1 ISs to reach a level 1 IS in this area that also acts as a level 2 IS (routing information obtained via level 1 IS-IS protocol ISO 10589).

- 5. The level 2 IS examines the NSAP in the datagram to determine the destination area. It then forwards the datagram through one or more level 2 ISs to a level 2 IS that is the destination area (routing information obtained via level 2 IS-IS protocol ISO 10589).
- 6. Once the datagram reaches a level 2 IS in the target area, that IS acts as a level 1 IS and performs step 3.

Routing Algorithm. One of the functions of an IS is to make a routing decision in order to forward the datagram on its next hop. This routing decision is based on the IS having information about the topology of the internet and the cost associated with alternative paths through the internet. In discussing any routing algorithm, the following aspects must be considered:

- Information required
- Routing metrics
- Path calculation
- Information exchange discipline

The information required by an IS to perform the routing function is of three types:

- 1. *Topology information:* The information required will depend on the role of the IS (Figure 10.22). A level 1 IS needs to know only the existence of the other level 1 ISs in its area and at least one level 2 IS in its area, and the way in which these ISs are interconnected. Similarly, a level 2 IS needs to know only the identity of the other level 2 ISs in its routing domain and the way in which these are interconnected. In either case, we can abstract the topology into a graph consisting of nodes connected by edges. Each node is an IS and each edge is either a point-to-point link or a subnetwork.
- 2. *NSAP reachability:* In the case of a level 1 IS, it needs to know, for each ES (identified by an NSAP) in its area, the identity of the subnet that contains that ES. In the case of a level 2 IS, it needs to know, for each ES, the area that contains that ES and a level 2 IS that is in that area.
- 3. *Hop cost:* For either level 1 or level 2 routing, each "hop" must be assigned a cost in each direction. In the case of level 1 routing, a hop is a subnetwork or point-to-point link connecting ISs. For level 2 routing, a hop is a point-to-point link between level 2 ISs.

The cost associated with each hop, in each direction, is generally referred to as a *routing metric*. The routing metrics used in ISO 10589 are arranged in four levels and defined in such a way that a lower value indicates a more optimum (lower cost) choice. In order, the routing metrics are:

1. *Default:* assigned by routing administrators to satisfy any administrative policies. The default metric is understood by every IS in the domain. Each hop has a positive integer value assigned to it. The values may be assigned arbitrarily, but the intent is that the metric should be a function of throughput or capacity: higher values indicate lower capacity.

- 2. *Delay:* measure of the transit time or delay through a particular hop. This is made up of propagation delay plus queueing delay at the IS, and is measured dynamically by each IS for each hop element to which it is connected.
- 3. *Expense:* related to the monetary cost of moving internet traffic through a particular subnet.
- 4. *Error*: a measure of the probability of error over this hop.

The hop costs are used as input to the **path calculation** routine. Each IS maintains an information base containing the topology and hop costs of each link for the level of interest (level 1 area, level 2 routing domain). This information is used to perform what is referred to as a *least-cost routing algorithm*, which can be simply stated as:

Given a network of nodes connected by bidirectional links, where each link has a cost associated with it in each direction, define the cost of a path between two nodes as the sum of the costs of the links traversed. For each pair of nodes, find the path with the least cost.

The algorithm used in ISO 10589 was originally proposed by Dijkstra [DIJK59].⁴ It enables each IS to find the least-cost route to every other IS of interest.

The validity of the algorithm will, of course, depend on the validity of the information used as input. The routing information may change over time. An IS or subnetwork failure can alter the topology, and some of the costs, especially delay, are variable. Thus some sort of **information exchange discipline** is needed to govern the frequency with which ISs exchange routing information. For ISO 10589, each IS periodically transmits the current status of each hop that initiates itself to all other ISs of which it is aware.

ISO 10589 Functions. Having described the routing environment and the concept of a routing algorithm, we are now in a position to describe the key functions of ISO 10589, which are:

- Discovery
- Information exchange
- Synchronization
- Partitioning

First, let us consider **discovery**. The IS makes use of the ES-IS protocol (ISO 9542) to determine the network layer addresses (and on broadcast subnetworks, the SNPA) and identifies (ES or IS) of all adjacent neigh-

⁴A description can be found in [STAL91].

bors. This information is used to create link state PDUs, discussed below.

Although ISO 9542 allows an IS to identify that it has IS neighbors, by the receipt of an ISH, there is no provision in ISO 9542 to indicate whether the neighbor is a level 1 or level 2 IS. ISs convey this information through the exchange of Hello PDUs. There are three types:

- 1. *Level 1 LAN IS-IS Hello PDU:* used by level 1 ISs on broadcast LANs. The PDU is multicast to all ISs on the same broadcast subnetwork.
- 2. *Level 2 LAN IS-IS Hello PDU:* used by level 2 ISs on broadcast LANs. The PDU is multicast to all ISs on the same broadcast subnetwork.
- 3. *Point-to-Point IS-IS Hello PDU:* used on nonbroadcast media, such as point-to-point links and general topology subnetworks.

Link state PDUs (LSPs) are used for link state **information exchange**. The information describes the characteristics of all links (hops) to which the reporting IS is attached. Each IS floods its LSPs to all neighboring peer-level ISs, who flood it to their neighbor ISs, with the following restrictions. Level 1 LSPs are broadcast from a level 1 IS to all level 1 ISs within the same area, and level 2 LSPs are broadcast from a level 2 IS to all level 2 ISs within the same routing domain. Since every IS will receive LSPs from every other peer-level IS within the same area or routing domain, every IS can build a full topology data base.

An LSP is sent when a timer expires, or when the connectivity or status of an IS changes. Every LSP includes a sequence number incremented by the reporting IS for each LSP. Thus, the combination of NET IS address and sequence number uniquely identifies an LSP and orders all LSPs issued by a single IS.

Sequence number PDUs are used for **synchronization** of the data base information and to ensure that all ISs have a consistent view of the network topology. Synchronization serves to terminate the flooding of LSPs and to distinguish old LSPs from new ones. Four types of PDUs are used in the synchronization process:

- 1. Level 1 complete sequence numbers PDU: A designated level 1 IS will broadcast this PDU over a broadcast subnet periodically. It contains the ID of the sending system as well as the start and ending LSP sequence numbers in its data base. This PDU is viewed by all other level 1 ISs sharing the same subnetwork, to determine whether they and the sending IS have synchronized LSP data bases. If a recipient detects that the transmitter is out of date, the recipient IS multicasts the newer information in a link state PDU.
- 2. Level 2 complete sequence numbers PDU: As above, for level 2 ISs.
- 3. Level 1 partial sequence numbers PDU: If a level 1 IS, upon receipt of

a complete sequence numbers PDU, detects that the transmitter has more up-to-date information, the recipient issues a partial sequence numbers PDU containing a list of the link-state records that are not current. When the designated system receives a partial sequence numbers PDU, it supplies the missing information in a link-state PDU.

4. Level 2 partial sequence numbers PDU: As above, for level 2 ISs.

The failure of a level 1 IS or a subnetwork can result in the **partition** of an area. For example, if the link (subnetwork) between 1.y and 1.x in Figure 10.22 is broken, then level 1 IS 1.y could not deliver a datagram to 1.x even though a physical path exists (via level 2 ISs.) The reason is that since 1.x is part of the same area as 1.y, 1.x is precluded from using a level 2 IS for delivery. In addition, some datagrams coming from outside the area will be discarded because they enter the wrong partition. This situation is referred to as a level 1 partition.

The responsibility for repairing a level 1 partition is assigned to level 2 ISs. A level 2 IS discovers a partition when it obtains inconsistent information from (a) level 1 ISs telling which level 2 ISs are attached to an area, and (b) level 2 ISs telling which level 1 areas can be reached. The first sort of information is available since every level 2 IS must also function as a level 1 IS in its area. The partition is repaired by the establishment of a virtual level 1 link. This link is virtual in the sense that it appears to the level 1 ISs in the partitioned area that a new link between level 1 ISs has been created. This new link reestablishes connectivity between the partitions. In fact, the link makes use of the level 2 backbone to relay datagrams from one partition in an area to the other. The mechanism for establishing the link is encapsulation: any traffic between level 1 ISs is encapsulated in a CLNP PDU and routed through the level 2 network.

As an example, let us return to the case of a break in the link between 1.x and 1.y. The level 2 ISs b and f discover the partition and set up a virtual link. All traffic arriving at b destined for the other partition is wrapped in a CLNP PDU and routed through d, c, and a to f. Level 2 IS f strips off the CLNP PDU to recover the original PDU and then delivers it. For example, suppose an ES attached to a subnetwork shared with IS.2.z wishes to send a CLNP PDU to an ES that shares a subnetwork with IS 1.z. The datagram will initially be routed to level 2 IS b since it is the shortest path to that area (assuming equal link costs). Level 2 IS b will proceed to wrap the datagram in an enclosing CLNP PDU addressed to level 2 IS f. This datagram backtracks to f, which decapsulates the enclosed datagram and delivers it to IS 1.z.

Notice that no ISs other than level 2 ISs b and f are aware that a partition exists in area 1. Clearly there is considerable overhead in this approach. However, it does provide a temporary patch for a partition.

The strategy assumes that the partition can be repaired in a relatively short period of time.

A partition can also occur at level 2. For example, if the link between level 2 ISs c and d went down, traffic from area 3 could not reach area 5 even though there is a physical path available through area 1. The current version of the standard does not contain any mechanism for repairing level 2 partitions.

10.5

RECOMMENDED READING

Two special issues of the *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications* provide a number of worthwhile papers on internetworking [GREE90b, BUX87]. [GERL88] is a special issue of *IEEE Network* devoted to bridges. [PARU90] is a thoughtful discussion of the implications of high-speed networking and future trends in distributed processing for internetworking. [CERF78] remains one of the best overall discussions of the design issues related to internetworking. Considerable detail on the ISO internet protocol standard can be found in [BURG89], [ISRA87], and [WEIS87].

Good book-length treatments of the topic are [WHIT92], [MCCO88b], and [COME88]. [BERT92] and [SPRA91] examine the performance implications of transparent bridging and source routing.

10.6

PROBLEMS

- **10.1** Consider a token-passing local network configured as a single system with N stations or two systems with N/2 stations each connected by a bridge. Assume no delay at the bridge other than medium access delay. Do a breakpoint analysis of the type of Chapter 9 to show the relative delay characteristics of the two configurations as a function of the percentage of internetwork traffic.
- **10.2** Would the spanning tree approach be good for an internet including routers?
- **10.3** Describe some circumstances where it might be desirable to use source routing rather than let the routers make the routing decision.
- **10.4** Recall that the token ring MAC protocol specifies that the A and C bits may be set by a station on the ring to indicate address recognized and frame copied, respectively. This information is then
available to the source station when the frame returns after circulating around the ring. If a bridge captures a frame and forwards it, should it set the A and C bits or not? Make a case for each policy.

- **10.5** Because of fragmentation, an IP datagram can arrive in pieces, not necessarily in the right order. The IP layer at the receiving host must accumulate these fragments until the original datagram is reconstituted.
 - **a.** Consider that the IP layer creates a buffer for assembling the datagram. As assembly proceeds, the buffer will consist of data and "holes" between the data. Describe an algorithm for reassembly based on this concept.
 - **b.** For the algorithm above, it is necessary to keep track of the holes. Describe a simple mechanism for doing this.
- **10.6** Consider an internet that includes both gateways and routers. Further consider a site that contains multiple LANs interconnected by bridges, with one of the LANs including a router that attaches to the remainder of the internet. How can remote stations use internet addressing to address a specific station on one of the LANs? It would appear that there is no way for the internet as a whole to know of the existence of multiple networks at this site.

10.7 What is the header overhead in the ISO IP protocol?

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 490

CHAPTER 11

Network Management

Networks and distributed processing systems are of growing importance and, indeed, have become critical in the business world. Within a given organization, the trend is toward larger, more complex networks supporting more applications and more users. As these networks grow in scale, two facts become painfully evident:

- 1. The network and its associated resources and distributed applications become indispensable to the organization.
- 2. More things can go wrong, disabling the network or a portion of the network or degrading performance to an unacceptable level.

A large network cannot be put together and managed by human effort alone. The complexity of such a system dictates the use of automated network management tools. The urgency of the need for such tools is increased and the difficulty of supplying such tools is also increased if the network includes equipment from multiple vendors.

Of course, these comments apply to the total networking facilities of an organization, including LANs, MANs, and WANs. However, LANs, and now to a growing extent MANs, are the core of any organization's networking strategy and must be the focus of any network management program.

In this chapter we attempt to provide a survey of a very big and complex subject: network management, with a special emphasis on LAN/ MAN management. Specifically, we focus on the hardware and software

tools and organized systems of such tools that aid the human network manager in this difficult task.

We begin by looking at the requirements for network management. This should give some idea of the scope of the task to be accomplished. To manage a network, it is fundamental that one must know something about the current status and behavior of that network.

For either LAN/MAN management alone, or for a combined LAN/ MAN/WAN environment, what is needed is a network management system that includes a comprehensive set of data gathering and control tools and that is integrated with the network hardware and software. We look at the general architecture of a network management system and then examine the ISO standards for network management.

Then we look at the special requirements for LAN management and the tools that have been developed for that purpose. This is followed by an introduction to the LAN/MAN management standards developed by IEEE 802. These standards provide a set of tools and a framework for management, to be supplemented by specific logic for each type of network. As an example of the latter, we look at the management standards developed for FDDI.

11.1

NETWORK MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS

Table 11.1 lists key areas of network management as defined by the International Organization for Standardization (ISO). These categories provide a useful way of organizing our discussion of requirements.

Fault Management

Overview. To maintain proper operation of a complex network, care must be taken that systems as a whole, and each essential component individually, are in proper working order. When a fault occurs, it is important, as rapidly as possible, to:

- Determine exactly where the fault is
- Isolate the rest of the network from the failure so that it can continue to function without interference
- Reconfigure or modify the network in such a way as to minimize the impact of operation without the failed component or components
- Repair or replace the failed components to restore the network to its initial state

	0	
Fault management	The facilities that enable the detection, isolation, and correction of abnormal operation of the OSI environment	
Accounting management	The facilities that enable charges to be established for the use of managed objects and costs to be identified for the use of those managed objects	
Configuration and name management	The facilities that exercise control over, identify, collect data from, and provide data to managed objects for the purpose of assisting in providing for continuous operation of interconnection services	
Performance management	The facilities needed to evaluate the behavior of managed objects and the effectiveness of communication activities	
Security management	Addresses those aspects of OSI security essential to operate OSI network management correctly and to protect managed objects	

TABLE 11.1 Elements of the ISO Network Management Architecture

Central to the definition of fault management is the fundamental concept of a fault. Faults are to be distinguished from errors. A **fault** is an abnormal condition that requires management attention (or action) to repair. A fault is usually indicated by failure to operate correctly or by excessive errors. For example, if a communications line is physically cut, no signals can get through. Or a crimp in the cable may cause wild distortions so that there is a persistently high bit error rate. Certain errors (e.g., a single bit error on a communication line) may occur occasionally and are not normally considered to be faults. It is usually possible to compensate for errors using the error control mechanisms of the various protocols.

User Requirements. Users expect fast and reliable problem resolution. Most end users will tolerate occasional outages. When these infrequent outages do occur, however, the user generally expects to receive immediate notification and expects that the problem will be corrected almost immediately. To provide this level of fault resolution requires very rapid and reliable fault detection and diagnostic management functions. The impact and duration of faults can also be minimized by the use of redundant components and alternate communication routes, to give the network a degree of fault tolerance. The fault management capability itself should be redundant to increase network reliability.

Users expect to be kept informed of the network status, including both scheduled and unscheduled disruptive maintenance. Users expect reassurance of correct network operation through mechanisms that use confidence tests or analyze dumps, logs, alerts, or statistics.

After correcting a fault and restoring a system to its full operational state, the fault management service must ensure that the problem is truly resolved and that no new problems are introduced. This requirement is called problem tracking and control. As with other areas of network management, fault management should have minimal effect on network performance.

Accounting Management

Overview. In many corporate networks, individual divisions or cost centers, or even individual project accounts, are charged for the use of network services. These are internal accounting procedures rather than actual cash transfers, but they are important to the participating users. Furthermore, even if no such internal charging is employed, the network manager needs to be able to track the use of network resources by user or user class for a number of reasons, including:

- A user or group of users may be abusing their access privileges and burdening the network at the expense of other users.
- Users may be making inefficient use of the network, and the network manager can assist in changing procedures to improve performance.
- The network manager is in a better position to plan for network growth if user activity is known in sufficient detail.

User Requirements. The network manager needs to be able to specify the kinds of accounting information to be recorded at various nodes, the desired interval between sending the recorded information to higher-level management nodes, and the algorithms to be used in calculating the charging. Accounting reports should be generated under network manager control.

In order to limit access to accounting information, the accounting facility must provide the capability to verify users' authorization to access and manipulate that information.

Configuration and Name Management

Overview. Modern data communication networks are composed of individual components and logical subsystems (e.g., the device driver in an operating system) that can be configured to support many different applications. The same device, for example, can be configured to act either as a router or as an end system node or both. Once it is decided how a device is to be used, the configuration manager can choose the appropriate software and set of attributes and values (e.g., a transport layer retransmission timer) for that device.

Configuration management is concerned with initializing a network and gracefully shutting down part or all of the network. It is also concerned with maintaining, adding, and updating the relationships among components and the status of components themselves during network operation.

User Requirements. Start-up and shut-down operations on a network are the specific responsibilities of configuration management. It is often desirable for these operations on certain components to be performed unattended (e.g., starting or shutting down a network interface unit).

The network manager needs the capability to initially identify the components that comprise the network and to define the desired connectivity of these components. Those who regularly configure a network with the same or a similar set of resource attributes need ways to define and modify default attributes and to load these predefined sets of attributes into the specified network components. The network manager needs the capability to change the connectivity of network components when users' needs change. Reconfiguration of a network is often desired in response to performance evaluation or in support of network upgrade, fault recovery, or security checks.

Users often need to, or want to, be informed of the status of network resources and components. Therefore, when changes in configuration occur, users should be notified of these changes. Configuration reports can be generated either on some routine periodic basis or in response to a request for such a report. Before reconfiguration, users often want to inquire about the upcoming status of resources and their attributes.

Network managers usually want only authorized users (operators) to manage and control network operation (e.g., software distribution and updating).

Performance Management

Overview. Modern data communications networks are composed of many and varied components that must intercommunicate and share data and resources. In some cases, it is critical to the effectiveness of an application that the communication over the network be within certain performance limits.

Performance management of a computer network comprises two broad functional categories: monitoring and controlling. Monitoring is the function that tracks activities on the network. The controlling function enables performance management to make adjustments to improve

network performance. Some of the performance issues of concern to the network manager are:

- What is the level of capacity utilization?
- Is there excessive traffic?
- Has throughput been reduced to unacceptable levels?
- Are there bottlenecks?
- Is response time increasing?

To deal with these concerns, the network manager must focus on some initial set of resources to be monitored in order to assess performance levels. This includes associating appropriate metrics and values with relevant network resources as indicators of different levels of performance. For example, what count of retransmissions on a transport connection is considered to be a performance problem requiring attention? Performance management, therefore, must monitor many resources to provide information in determining network operating level. By collecting this information, analyzing it, and then using the resultant analysis as feedback to the prescribed set of values, the network manager can become more and more adept at recognizing situations indicative of present or impending performance degradation.

User Requirements. Before using a network for a particular application, a user may want to know such things as the average and worst-case response times and the reliability of network services. Thus performance must be known in sufficient detail to assess specific user queries. End users expect network services to be managed in such a way as to consistently afford their applications good response time.

Network managers need performance statistics to help them plan, manage, and maintain large networks. Performance statistics can be used to recognize potential bottlenecks before they cause problems to the end users. Appropriate corrective action can then be taken. This action can take the form of changing routing tables to balance or redistribute traffic load during times of peak use or when a bottleneck is identified by a rapidly growing load in one area. Over the long term, capacity planning based on such performance information can indicate the proper decisions to make, for example, with regard to expansion of lines in that area.

Security Management

Overview. Security management is concerned with generating, distributing, and storing encryption keys. Passwords and other authorization or access control information must be maintained and distributed. Security management is also concerned with monitoring and controlling access to computer networks and access to all or part of the

network management information obtained from the network nodes. Logs are an important security tool and therefore security management is very much involved with the collection, storage, and examination of audit records and security logs, as well as with the enabling and disabling of these logging facilities.

User Requirements. Security management provides facilities for protection of network resources and user information. Network security facilities should be available for authorized users only. Users want to know that the proper security policies are in force and effective and that the management of security facilities is itself secure.

11.2

NETWORK MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

A network management system is a collection of tools for network monitoring and control that is integrated in the following senses:

- A single operator interface with a powerful but user-friendly set of commands for performing most or all network management tasks.
- A minimal amount of separate equipment. That is, most of the hardware and software required for network management is incorporated into the existing user equipment.

A network management system consists of incremental hardware and software additions implemented among existing network components. The software used in accomplishing the network management tasks resides in the host computers and communications processors (e.g., front-end processors, terminal cluster controllers, bridges, routers). A network management system is designed to view the entire network as a unified architecture, with addresses and labels assigned to each point and the specific attributes of each element and link known to the system. The active elements of the network provide regular feedback of status information to the network control center.

Figure 11.1 suggests the architecture of a network management system. Each network node contains a collection of software devoted to the network management task, referred to in the diagram as a network management entity (NME). Each NME performs the following tasks:

- · Collects statistics on communications and network-related activities
- Stores statistics locally
- Responds to commands from the network control center, including commands to (1) transmit collected statistics to the network control center, (2) change a parameter (e.g., a timer used in a transport







protocol), (3) provide status information (e.g., parameter values, active links), and (4) generate artificial traffic to perform a test

At least one host in the network is designated as the network control center. In addition to the NME software, the network control host includes a collection of software called the network control center (NCC). The NCC includes an operator interface to allow an authorized user to manage the network. The NCC responds to user commands by displaying information and/or by issuing commands to NMEs throughout the network. This communication is carried out using an application-level network management protocol that employs the communications architecture in the same fashion as any other distributed application.

Several observations are in order:

14 L

- 1. Since the network management software relies on the host operating system and on the communications architecture, most offerings until recently have been designed for use on a single vendor's equipment. In the case of a network of personal computers, there are a number of LAN network management packages that will tie together personal computers from a number of vendors. Standards in this area are still immature, but standardized network management systems designed to manage a multiple-vendor network are becoming more common.
- 2. As depicted in Figure 11.1, the network control center communicates with and controls what are essentially software monitors in other systems. The architecture can be extended to include tech-

nical control hardware and specialized performance monitoring hardware as well.

3. For maintaining high availability of the network management function, two or more network control centers are used. In normal operation, one of the centers is idle or simply collecting statistics, while the other is used for control. If the primary network control center fails, the backup system can be used.

11.3

OSI NETWORK MANAGEMENT

The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) has issued a set of standards for network management, referred to by ISO as systems management. The first standard related to network management issued by ISO was ISO 7498-4, which specifies the management framework for the OSI model. This document dictates that OSI management support user requirements for:

- Activities that enable managers to plan, organize, supervise, control, and account for the use of interconnection services
- The ability to respond to changing requirements
- Facilities to ensure predictable communications behavior
- Facilities that provide for information protection and for the authentication of sources of and destinations for transmitted data

Since then, ISO has issued a voluminous set of standards and draft standards for network management. The Consultative Committee on International Telegraphy and Telephony (CCITT) is the joint sponsor of this effort and has set aside the X.700 series of numbers for their recommendations. The standards fall into five general categories:

- 1. *OSI management framework and overview:* includes ISO 7498-4, which provides a general introduction to management concepts, and ISO 10040, which is an overview of the remainder of the documents
- 2. *CMIS/CMIP:* define the common management information service (CMIS), which provides OSI management services to management applications, and the common management information protocol (CMIP), which provides the information exchange capability to support CMIS
- 3. Systems management functions: define the specific functions that are performed by OSI systems management
- 4. *Structure of management information:* defines the management information base (MIB), which contains a representation of all objects within the OSI environment subject to management

5. *Layer management:* define management information, services, and functions related to specific OSI layers

OSI Management Framework

An architectural model of an OSI system participating in network management is shown in Figure 11.2. Key elements of this architecture include:

- 1. System management application process: This is the local software within a system that is responsible for executing the network management functions on a single system (host, front-end processor, router, etc.). It has access to an overall view of system parameters and capabilities and can, therefore, manage all aspects of the system and can coordinate with SMAPs on other systems.
- 2. System management application entity: This application-level entity is responsible for communication with other nodes, especially with the system that exercises a network control center function. A standardized application-level protocol, common management in-



LME = Layer Management Entity CMIP = Common Management Information Protocol



formation protocol (CMIP), is used for this purpose. The SMAE provides services to the SMAP by means of a common management information service (CMIS).

- 3. *Layer management entity (LME):* Logic is embedded into each layer of the OSI architecture to provide network management functions specific to that layer.
- 4. *Management information base (MIB):* The collection of information at each node pertaining to network management.

Of course, all of the elements illustrated in Figure 11.2 must be implemented in a distributed fashion across all of the systems that are subject to network management. The interactions that take place among systems are depicted, in abstract fashion, in Figure 11.3. The management activities are effected through the manipulation of managed objects. Each system contains a number of such objects. Each object is a data structure that corresponds to an actual entity to be managed. The SMAP in a system is allowed to take on one of two possible roles, either an agent role or a manager role.

The manager role for an SMAP occurs in a system that acts as a network manager or network control center. The manager issues requests



O = Managed Object (may contain other objects)



for information and operations commands for execution to the managed systems in the network. In each managed system, the agent interacts with the manager and is responsible for managing the objects within its system.

Systems Management Functions

A set of standards has been issued under the general category *systems management functions* (SMF). Each SMF standard defines the functionality to support system management functional area (SMFA) requirements; the SMFAs are described in Section 11.1 of this chapter. A given SMF may support requirements in one or more of the five SMFAs; for example, the event report management function may be applicable to all SMFAs. Of course, each of the SMFAs requires several of the SMFs.

Each of the SMF standards defines the functionality for the SMF and provides a mapping between the services provided by the SMF and the common management information service (CMIS). This relationship is depicted in Figure 11.4.



FIGURE 11.4 Systems Management Overview

488

Structure of Management Information

The foundation of the systems management activity is the management information base (MIB), which contains a representation of all of the resources under systems management. The structure of management information (SMI) defines the general framework within which a MIB can be defined and constructed. The SMI identifies the data types that can be used in the MIB, and how resources within the MIB are represented and named.

OSI systems management relies heavily on the concepts of objectoriented design. Each resource that is monitored and controlled by OSI systems management is represented by a managed object. A managed object can be defined for any resource that an organization wishes to monitor and/or control. Examples of hardware resources are switches, work stations, PBXs, LAN port cards, and multiplexers. Examples of software resources are queuing programs, routing algorithms, and buffer management routines. Managed objects that refer to resources specific to an individual layer are called (N)-layer managed objects. Managed objects that refer to resources that encompass more than one layer are called system managed objects.

A managed object is defined in terms of attributes it possesses, operations that may be performed on it, notifications that it may issue, and its relationships with other managed objects. In order to structure the definition of a MIB, each managed object is an instance of a managed object class. A managed object class is a model or template for managed object instances that share the same attributes, notifications, and management operations. The definition of a managed object class, as specified by the template, consists of:

- Attributes visible at the managed object boundary
- System management operations that can be applied to the managed object
- Behavior exhibited by the managed object in response to management operations
- Notifications that can be emitted by the managed object

Table 11.2 lists the object classes that have so far been defined as part of the SMI.

Attributes. The actual data elements contained in a managed object are called attributes. Each attribute represents a property of the resource that the object represents, such as the operational characteristics, current state, or conditions of operation. The data type of an attribute may be integer, real, boolean, character string, or some composite type constructed from the basic types. An attribute may have a single value or a

Object Class	Description		
Alarm record	Used to define the information stored in the log as a result of receiving alarm reports. Object classes are communicationAlarm, qualityofServiceAlarm, processingErrorAlarm, equipmentAlarm, and environmentalAlarm		
Attribute value change record	Used to define the information stored in the log as a result of receiving attribute value change notification.		
Discriminator	Used to define the criteria for controlling management services.		
Event forwarding discriminator	Used to define the conditions that shall be satisfied by potential event reports before the event report is forwarded to a particular destination.		
Event log record	Used to define the information stored in the log as a result of receiving events. This is superclass from which records for specific event types are derived.		
Log	Used to define the criteria for controlling the logging of the information received in management protocol data units.		
Log record	Used to define the records in a log-managed object.		
Object creation record	Used to define the information stored in the log as a result of receiving object creation notification.		
Object deletion record	Used to define the information stored in the log as a result of receiving object deletion notification.		
Object name change record	Used to define the information stored in the log as a result of receiving object name change notification.		
Relationship change record	Used to define the information stored in the log as a result of receiving relationship change reports.		
State change record	Used to define the information stored in the log as a result of receiving state change reports.		
Security alarm report	Used to define the information stored in the log as a result of receiving security alarm reports.		
System	Used to represent a set of hardware and software that forms an autonomous whole capable of performing information processing and/or information transfer.		
Тор	That class of which every object class is a subclass.		

TABLE 11.2 Object Classes (X.721/DIS 10165-2)

.

set value. A set-valued attribute is one whose value is a set of members of a given data type.

In addition to a data type, each attribute has access rules (read, write, read/write), and the rules by which it can be located as the result of a filtered search (matching rules).

Operations. Systems management operations apply to the attributes of an object or to the managed object as a whole (Table 11.3). An operation performed on a managed object can succeed only if the invoking managing system has the access rights necessary to perform the operation, and consistency constraints are not violated.

Behavior. A managed object exhibits certain behavioral characteristics, including how the object reacts to operations performed on it and the constraints placed on its behavior. The behavior of a managed object occurs in response to either external or internal stimuli. External stimuli are in the form of system management operations delivered in the form of CMIP messages. Internal stimuli are events internal to the managed object and its associated resource, such as timers.

Notifications. Managed objects are said to emit notifications when some internal or external occurrence affecting the object is detected. Notifications may be transmitted externally in a protocol, or logged. Managing systems may request that some or all of the notifications emitted by a managed object are to be sent to it. Notifications that are sent to a manager are contained in an event report.

Common Management Information Service

The Common Management Information Service (CMIS) defines the services provided for OSI systems management (ISO 9595). These services are invokable by management processes in order to communicate remotely.

Table 11.4 lists the CMIS services in terms of service primitives. CMIS services are of two types: confirmed services require a remote management process to send a response to indicate receipt and success or failure of the operation requested; nonconfirmed services do not use responses.

Three categories of service are relevant to CMIS:

1. *Association services:* CMIS users need to establish an application association to communicate. The CMIS user relies on the association control service element (ACSE), which is a separate OSI application entity, for the control of application associations.

operation.

TABLE 11.3 Systems	Management Operations (X.720/DI	IS 10165-1)	
(a) Attibute oriented op	erations		
Operation	Scope	Semantics	Behavior
Get attribute value	All attributes types, unless they are defined as not readable.	Read all attribute values or list of attribute values; return values that can be read and indicate an error for values that cannot be read.	Return error indications for those attributes that could not be read.
Replace attribute value	Does not apply to group attributes or attributes that are not writable.	Replace the values of specified attributes with supplied values.	Return error indications for those attributes whose values could not be replaced, because the attributes were non-writable.
Replace-with-default value	All attribute types, unless they are defined as not writable.	Replace the value of some attributes with the defaults defined as part of the object class specification.	Return error indication for those attributes whose values could not be replaced, due to attribute not writable, no default defined, or general failure of the replace-with- default request.
Add member	Attributes whose values are sets and whose values are writable.	Add supplied attribute members to the set that currently comprises the attribute's value.	Return error indication for attribute where members could not be added, because attribute is not writable.
Remove member	Attributes whose values are sets and whose values are writable.	Remove from the set that currently comprises the attribute's value those members supplied by the	Return error indication for attribute whose members could not be removed, because attribute is not writable.

and on relationships with other are contained in this object indications are returned. managed objects. The operation has analogous The managed object performs Create and initialize a man-Delete the managed object. effects on the resource, as effects on the resource, as operation has analogous defined by the managed defined by the managed the specified action and managed object. The object class definer. object class definer. indicates the result. All managed objects that can be All objects that are creatable as (b) Operations that apply to managed objects as a whole defined by the object class All managed object classes. deleted remotely. definition. Delete Action Create

managed object class definition whether other managed objects provided if the managed object operation may depend on the The create request may specify values may be obtained. The values. An error indication is explicit values for individual attributes and may specify a reference object from which may specify initial attribute The execution of the delete cannot be created.

Action results and/or error

493

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 507

TABLE 11.4 CMIS Services

(a) Management not	ification service	
Service	Туре	Definition
M-EVENT-REPORT	confirmed/non-confirmed	Report an event about a managed object to a peer CMIS-service user.
(b) Management ope	ration services	
M-GET	confirmed	Request the retrieval of man- agement information from a peer CMIS-service user.
M-SET	confirmed/non-confirmed	Request the modification of management information by a peer CMIS-service user.
M-ACTION	confirmed/non-confirmed	Request a peer CMIS-service user to perform an action
M-CREATE	confirmed	Request a peer CMIS-service user to create an instance of a managed object.
M-DELETE	confirmed	Request a peer CMIS-service user to delete an instance of a managed object.
M-CANCEL-GET	confirmed	Request a peer CMIS-service user to cancel a previously requested and currently outstanding invocation of the M-GET service.

- 2. *Management notification service:* This service is used to convey management information applicable to a notification. The definition of the notification and the consequent behavior of the communicating entities is dependent on the specification of the managed object that generated the notification and is outside the scope of CMIS.
- 3. *Management operation service:* These six services are used to convey management information applicable to systems management operations. The definition of the operation and the consequent behavior of the communicating entities is dependent on the specification of the managed object at which the operation is directed and is outside the scope of CMIS.

The CMIS provides two structuring facilities:

- 1. Multiple responses to a confirmed operation can be linked to the operation by the use of a linked identification parameter.
- 2. Operations can be performed on multiple managed objects, selected to satisfy some criteria and subject to a synchronizing condition.

The M-GET, M-SET, M-ACTION, and M-DELETE service primitives include a parameter to select the managed object or objects to be used in the given operation. Managed object selection involves three concepts: scoping, filtering, and synchronization. These three facilities are provided as parameters.

Scoping. Scoping refers to the identification of an object or objects to which a filter is to be applied. Scoping is defined with reference to a specific managed object instance referred to as the **base managed object**. The base managed object is the starting point for the selection of one or more objects to which a filter is to be applied. Using the base object as the root, a subtree of the overall object tree is obtained. With this in mind, four specifications of scoping level are possible:

- The base object alone
- The *n*th level subordinates of the base object
- The base object and all its subordinates down to and including the n^{th} level
- The base object and all of its subordinates, that is, the entire subtree

Filtering. A filter is a boolean expression, consisting of one or more assertions about the presence or values of attributes in a scoped managed object. Each assertion may be a test for equality, ordering, presence, or set comparison. The filter test is applied to all of the managed objects selected by the scoping parameter, and only those managed objects that match the filter are selected for the performance of the operation.

Synchronization. The scoping parameter may result in the selection of more than one managed object to be subject to filtering. In turn, if more than one object is scoped, the filtering parameter may result in the selection of more than one object for which the operation is to be performed. The question then arises as to the order in which objects will be processed. Since the order in which object instances are selected by the filter is not specified, but is left as a local implementation matter,

this order cannot be used. Instead, the CSIME service user may request one of two types of synchronization:

- 1. *Atomic:* All managed objects selected for the operation are checked to ascertain if they are able to successfully perform the operation. If one or more managed objects is not able to do so, then none perform it.
- 2. *Best effort:* All managed objects selected for the operation are requested to perform it.

Common Management Information Protocol

The Common Management Information Protocol (CMIP) supports the services provided for OSI systems management by means of a set of protocol data units that implement the CMIS (ISO 9596). These PDUs are transmitted in response to CMIS service primitives issued by CMIS service users.

Table 11.5 lists the 11 PDUs that make up the CMIP. There are up to three types of information carried in each data unit. The Argument entry defines the arguments, or parameters, carried in the data unit that are derived from the triggering CMISE service primitive. The Results and Errors entries contain information from the performing entity about the result of the systems management operation. These values are derived from the CMISE response primitive.

Most of the PDUs can easily be seen to be derived from the service that they support. The one exception is the m-linked-Reply PDU, which is used to support the linkage facility. The M-GET, M-SET, M-ACTION, and M-DELETE service primitives, which are the primitives that can specify operation on multiple objects, also include a linkage parameter to provide for multiple replies to be sent for the operation. The parameter is the Linked Identifier parameter that appears in each of the response and confirm primitives. The value of the parameter is the same as the Invoke Identifier that appears in the request and indication primitives. The Invoke Identifier is a unique identifier assigned to each operation.

11.4

1000

LAN-SPECIFIC NETWORK MANAGEMENT

A LAN by itself seems to be a much more manageable network than one involving wide-area components. For one thing, the transmission technologies used are reduced in number. Also, everything is at hand; it is much easier to localize faults and to monitor everything, since it is all clustered together in a small area. Nevertheless, for a local network supporting a substantial amount of equipment, network management is required. In many cases, the LAN vendors provide many of the tools needed for network management in a package of hardware and software that can be optionally acquired with the network. In this section, we give an overview of the types of capabilities typically found in such products.

The Special Importance of Local Area Network (LAN) Management

Most LANs start out as a homogeneous set of equipment from a single networking vendor. At that time, they usually have one main application, such as multiuser accounting, desktop publishing, electronic mail, or host communications. But rapid growth breeds complexity. And, as users come to depend on the LAN, the network's applications expand.

Thus, LANs evolve from being a nice extra to being a critical part of an organization's day-to-day operations. Downtime can cost a corporation dearly as work backs up. Slowdowns, due to increased server and network loads, can lead to wasted time as users wait for transactions to finish or customer accounts to be called up. Unfortunately, most users do not recognize the difficulties of managing LANs until serious problems are encountered. Networks are easy to install and deliver substantial benefits when their size and scope are limited. Network popularity, however, often outpaces users' understanding of network management and methods for spotting and identifying network problems.

The combination of larger size, more internetworking, and multivendor configurations can rapidly change a simple network into a maze that can leave all but the most sophisticated users confused and stymied. Isolating problems and improving performance in complex and feature-laden networks is one of the major challenges in today's LAN environments.

LANs that were once small and easy to use become very easy to misuse when they grow to meet users' ever-expanding needs. For example, one naive user could utilize the wrong boot disk, containing last year's version of network drivers. This could have a catastrophic effect on hundreds of users in a large network installation. Another user innocently utilizing a workstation for a particular data base application might discover that by simply exceeding some internal limit, the application unexpectedly starts to use all of the resources of the file server.

In yet another problem scenario, a network manager installs a file server, which should routinely send out a single packet regarding the health of the file server every 15 minutes. If a mistake is made in setting parameters, the server may send out a flood of packets, which can cause

TABLE 11.5 CMI	P Data Units		
CMIP Data Unit	Argument	Result	Errors
m-EventReport	EventReportArgument		
m-EventReport- Confirmed	EventReportArgument	EventReportResult	InvalidArgumentValue, noSuchArgument, noSuchEventType, noSuchObjectClass, noSuchObjectInstance, processingFailure
m-Get	GetArgument	GetResult	accessDenied, classInstanceConflict, complexityLimitation, getListError, invalidFilter, invalidScope, noSuchObjectClass, noSuchObjectInstance, operationCancelled, processingFailure, syncNotSupported
m-linked-Reply	LinkedReplyArgument	1	accessDenied, classInstanceConflict, complexityLimitation, invalidFilter, invalidScope, noSuchObjectClass, noSuchObjectInstance, processingFailure, setListError, syncNotSupported
m-Set	SetArgument	1	1
m-Set-Confirmed	SetArgument	SetResult	
m-Action	ActionArgument	I	

e .

498

ş

accessDenied, classInstanceConflict, complexityLimitation, invalidScope, InvalidArgumentValue, invalidFilter, noSuchAction, noSuchArgument, noSuchObjectClass, noSuchObjectInstance, processingFailure, syncNotSupported	accessDenied, classInstanceConflict, duplicateManagedObjectInstance, invalidAttributeValue, invalidObjectInstance, missingAttributeValue, noSuchAttribute, noSuchObjectClass, noSuchObjectInstance, noSuchReferenceObject, processingFailure	accessDenied, classInstanceConflict, complexityLimitation, invalidFilter, invalidScope, noSuchObjectClass, noSuchObjectInstance, processingFailure, syncNotSupported	mistypedOperation, noSuchInvokeld, processingFailure
ActionResult	CreateResult	DeleteResult	
ActionArgument	CreateArgument	DeleteArgument	gettnvokedId, InvokeIdType
m-Action- Confirmed	m-Create	m-Delete	m-Cancel-Get Confirmed

499

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 513

a service "brownout" due to retransmissions and broadcasts through the network. To the unsophisticated network manager, such a brownout has the same symptoms as a saturated network.

These problems are quite common and in many instances the network manager does not know the cause. A sophisticated and easy-touse network control center can make the job of LAN management much easier.

LAN Network Control Center

With many local area network (LAN) products, a network control center (NCC) is provided. Typically, this is a separate dedicated microcomputer attached to the network through a network interface unit (NIU). All of the functions of a LAN network control center involve observation, active control, or a combination of the two. They fall into three categories:

- Configuration functions
- Monitoring functions
- Fault isolation

Configuration Functions. One of the principal functions of an NCC is to maintain a directory of names and addresses of resources available on the network. This allows users to set up connections by name. A resource may be any device or service—terminals, hosts, peripherals, application programs, or utility programs. For example, a user at a terminal who wishes to use the accounts payable package could request it with LOGON ACCOUNTS PAYABLE. Because the directory linking names with addresses can be altered, the manager, via the NCC, has the ability to move applications around (for load balancing or because a host is down). The directory is maintained at the NCC, but portions or all of it can also be downloaded to NIUs to reduce the network traffic required for directory look-up.

The NCC can also control the operation of the NIUs. The NCC could have the ability to shut down and start up NIUs and to set NIU parameters. For example, an NIU may be restricted to a certain set of NIUs or destination names with which it can communicate. This is a simple means of setting up a type of security scheme. Another example is to assign different priorities to different NIUs or different users.

Monitoring Functions. In a typical LAN control center, monitoring functions fall into three categories: performance monitoring, network status, and accounting.

Table 11.6 lists the types of measurements reported in a typical LAN facility [AMER82, AMER83]. These measurements can be used to an-

٩	RI	F 11	6	Performance	Measurement	Reports
-					WICONUS CONCLUS	NEURIS

TABLE 11.6 Performance Measurement Reports				
Name	Variables	Description		
Host communication matrix	Source \times destination	(Number, %) of (packets, data packets, data bytes)		
Group communication matrix	Source $ imes$ destination	As above, consolidated into address groups		
Packet-type histogram	Packet type	(Number, %) of (packets, original packets) by type		
Data packet—size histogram	Packet size	(Number, %) of data packets by data byte length		
Throughput-utilization distribution	Source	(Total bytes, data bytes) transmitted		
Packet interarrival time histogram	Interarrival time	Time between consecutive carrier (network busy) signals		
Channel acquisition delay histogram	NIU acquisition delay	(Number, %) of packets delayed at NIU by given amount		
Communication delay histogram	Packet delay	Time from original packet ready at source to receipt		
Collision count histogram	Number of collisions	Number of packets by number of collisions		
Transmission count histogram	Number of transmissions	Number of packets by transmission attempts		

swer a number of questions. Questions concerning possible errors or inefficiencies include:

- · Is traffic evenly distributed among the network users or are there source-destination pairs with unusually heavy traffic?
- · What is the percentage of each type of packet? Are some packet types of unusually high frequency, indicating an error or an inefficient protocol?
- What is the distribution of data packet sizes?
- What are the channel acquisition and communication delay distributions? Are these times excessive?
- · Are collisions a factor in getting packets transmitted, indicating possible faulty hardware or protocols?
- What is the channel utilization and throughput?

These areas are of interest to the network manager. Other questions of concern have to do with response time and throughput by user class

÷

501

÷ .

and determining how much growth the network can absorb before certain performance thresholds are crossed.

Because of the broadcast nature of LANs, many of the measurements can be collected passively at the NCC without perturbing the network. The NCC can be programmed to accept all packets, regardless of destination address. For a heavily loaded network, this may not be possible, and a sampling scheme must be used. In a LAN containing bridges, one collection point per segment is required.

However, not all information can be centrally collected by observing the traffic on the LAN. To get end-to-end measures, such as response time, would require knowing the time that a packet is generated by a host or terminal and having the ability to identify the responding packet. This sort of measure requires some collection capability at the individual NIUs. From time to time, the NIUs can send the collected data to the NCC. Unfortunately, this technique increases the complexity of the NIU logic and imposes a communication overhead.

Another major area of NCC monitoring is that of network status. The NCC keeps track of which NIUs are currently activated and the connections that exist. This information is displayed to the network manager upon request.

Finally, the NCC can support some accounting and billing functions. This can be done on either a device or user basis. The NCC could record the amount of traffic generated by a particular device or user and the resources to which a device or user connected and for how long.

Fault-isolation Functions. The NCC can continuously monitor the network to detect faults and, to the extent possible, narrow the fault down to a single component or small group of components. As an example, the NCC can periodically poll each NIU, requesting that it return a status packet. When an NIU fails to respond, the NCC reports the failure and also attempts to disable the NIU so that it does not interfere with the rest of the LAN.

11.5

IEEE 802 LAN/MAN MANAGEMENT

The IEEE 802.1 committee has issued draft standards for LAN/MAN management [IEEE91c]. The standards are intended to be complementary to the OSI systems management standards.

Figure 11.5 depicts the key elements of the LAN/MAN management communications architecture. These elements are:

• LAN/MAN management user (LMMU): a user of management func-



FIGURE 11.5 LAN/MAN Management Communications Architecture

tionality (typically a process) specific to the management of IEEE 802 LANs and MANs.

- *LAN/MAN service (LMMS)*: defines the service available to the LMMU for the exchange of management information with another LMMU. The LMMS makes use of service primitives defined in CMIS.
- LAN/MAN management protocol (LMMP): protocol between LMMP entities that supports the LMMS. The LMMP makes use of the procedures and protocol data units defined in CMIP. In OSI terms, LMMP is a layer management protocol.
- *Convergence service:* provides enhancements to LLC type 1 service in order to provide for the specific requirements of the LMMP entity.
- *Convergence protocol:* protocol that supports the convergence service.
- *LLC type 1 service and protocol:* connectionless service and protocol that is the underlying service for LAN/MAN management.

LAN/MAN Management Service and Protocol

The use of the LAN/MAN management service and protocol does not preclude the use of OSI systems management functionality. The LMMS and LMMP make use of elements of CMIS and CMIP. Table 11.4, which lists the CMIS primitives, also applies to LMMS, and Table 11.5, which lists the CMIP data units, also applies to LMMP. The concepts of managed objects and management information used in 802.1B are based on the OSI systems management concepts.

Figure 11.6 indicates the use of the LAN/MAN management service and protocol to perform management functions. Two basic types of information exchange are possible: operations and notifications.

A process acting as a manager requests an **operation** to be performed by a remote LMMU, the agent. One or more operations are performed on managed objects as a result of the request, and the results of those operations are reported back to the manager LMMU by means of a service confirm primitive.

A managed object may emit a **notification** that contains information related to events that have occurred within the managed object. The agent, on the basis of event forwarding information held locally, determines whether notification-related information should be forwarded to one or more managers, to be delivered in a service indication primitive.



(a) Operations



(b) Notifications

FIGURE 11.6 LAN/MAN Management Information Exchanges

Convergence Service and Protocol

The necessary services and protocol for managing objects within a LAN or MAN configuration can be provided by means of CMIS and CMIP operating as part of a seven-layer OSI architecture. However, there are two reasons listed in the 802.1B document why this may not always be desirable or practical:

- Management of the communication capability of a station is often required when part of the communication capability is unavailable, inoperable, or approaching inoperability. This may require the management protocol to be carried directly by simple, lower-layer services. Examples of such requirements are initializing and loading of a station's system software during bootstrapping of the system.
- 2. Many of the devices that make up a communications network are constrained by memory or other resource limitations in ways that prohibit support of a full seven-layer connection-oriented OSI protocol stack for management purposes (e.g., modems, MAC layer bridges, repeaters, hubs) and more economical methods of operation are a practical necessity.

To meet the requirement for a streamlined management architecture, 802.1B defines a convergence service and protocol that support the LAN/MAN functionality and that require only the services of the lower two layers, specifically the simple connectionless services available through LLC.

Figure 11.7 compares the use of the convergence service and protocol to the use of the full OSI suite. The purpose of the convergence service is to provide a means of exchanging managing information between LAN/MAN management users by mapping the LMMS service into the LLC type 1 service.

Affiliate. The convergence service and protocol make use of the concept of *affiliate*. An affiliate is a remote convergence protocol entity (CPE) whose address is known to the local CPE. An affiliation exists if both remote and local CPEs know each other's addresses.

Each CPE maintains an identifier known as the CPE instance number, which is set when the CPE is initialized (e.g., at system boot time). The combination of LLC address and CPE instance number form a CPE instance identifier that is unique within the LAN/MAN environment.

The purpose of the use of affiliates is to provide a logical association between management requests and responses, both in the case of a sin-



FIGURE 11.7 Comparison Between CMIP and LMMP Protocol Stacks

gle request/response and in the case of multiple responses linked to a single request. There are three types of affiliates:

- 1. *New affiliate:* a remote CPE instance identifier for which the CPE address was previously unknown to the local CPE.
- 2. *Old affiliate:* a remote CPE instance identifier already known to the local CPE. Once a CPE communicates with a remote CPE, it retains the instance identifier of the remote CPE for future use.
- 3. *Changed affiliate:* a remote CPE instance identifier for which the CPE address was previously unknown to the local CPE, but where the remote CPE instance number is different from the one known to the local CPE. This change occurs when a CPE has been reinitialized (e.g., a system initialization after a crash) at a remote CPE address since the last communication with that address.

Convergence Service. The convergence service is a PDU delivery service for the LAN/MAN management protocol entity (LMMPE). The convergence service accepts PDUs from an LMMPE for delivery to a particular destination, and delivers them to the peer convergence pro-

506

tocol entity (CPE) at that destination. The receiving CPE then passes the received PDU to its service user. In addition to this delivery service, the convergence service includes an abort indication service that alerts the convergence service user to service provider aborts.

Table 11.7 lists the primitives and parameters of the convergence service. The CPE__send service is used for passing an LMMP data unit from a source to a destination LMMPE. The requesting user can request a quality of service consisting of the following parameters:

- *Priority*: This is passed down to LLC.
- Reliability: The convergence service user may request basic or enhanced reliability. The basic option makes direct use of the underlying connectionless service. The enhanced option causes the CPE to provide enhancements that improve the reliability of the underlying LLC.

The status parameter indicates the status of the CPE from which the CPE user information was received. The permitted values are new affiliate, old affiliate, or changed affiliate.

If the CPE is unable to deliver information as requested in a CPE_____Send.request, it issues a CPE____Abort.indication to the requesting user, giving the reason. The reason parameter may take on the values timeout, resource limitation, and failure of underlying service.

The CPE__Status.indication primitive is used to report to a convergence service user a change in information about an old affiliate.

Convergence Protocol. The convergence protocol defines:

- Procedures for exchanging convergence protocol data units (CPDUs), including a simple retry mechanism to permit the provision of the reliable quality-of-service option
- Instance and sequence numbering information to support the requirements of the convergence service

There is only a single type of convergence protocol data unit, simply called CPDU. A CPDU contains header information relating to the convergence protocol, as explained below. It may also contain user data

TABLE 11.7 Convergence Service Primitives and Parameters

CPE_Send.request (Destination Address, Quality of Service, CPE User Information) CPE_Send.indication (Source Address, Quality of Service, Status, CPE User Information)

CPE_Abort.indication (Reason, CPE User Information)

CPE__Status.indication (CPE identifier, Status)

consisting of a LAN/MAN management PDU being transferred from one CPE user to another.

The convergence protocol is based on the concept of a **request**. A request occurs when a CPE transmits a CPDU containing user information to an affiliate. Requests are of two types:

- *Confirmed:* A confirmed request is said to be outstanding until such time as a confirmation is received or a time-out occurs. This type of request supports the reliability of the quality-of-service option.
- Unconfirmed: No confirmation is required.

The convergence protocol provides a mechanism by which the CPE can manage incoming and outgoing requests and support the reliability option. Requests are organized as follows. At any time, between a given pair of affiliates, there may be a single request group in existence in each direction referred to as a **request group instance** and assigned a unique sequence number. A request group comprises requests destined for a particular affiliate, some or all of which may be outstanding at any time. Each request in a request group also has a sequence number assigned to it.

With the above concepts in mind, we can now describe the CPDU. The contents of the CPDU depend on the purpose for which it is transmitted. There are three cases:

- 1. When a CPDU is issued to carry a request for the first time. Such a CPDU may also be used to carry an acknowledgment of an outstanding request received from the same affiliate.
- 2. When a CPDU is issued to repeat a request after a time-out has occurred. Such a CPDU may also be used to carry an acknowledgment of an outstanding request received from the same affiliate.
- 3. When a CPDU is issued only to acknowledge a request received from an affiliate.

Table 11.8 lists the fields that are contained in the CPDU for each of the three cases. For case 1, if the request requires acknowledgment, the CPDU is saved as a request record until acknowledgment is received. If the acknowledgment is not received within a given time limit, the request record is retransmitted.

The CPDU is transmitted and received by means of the LLC type 1 service and protocol. When a CPDU is received, the following actions occur:

- If the incoming CPDU contains an acknowledgment of an outstanding outgoing CPDU (incoming RRG and RRI match the LRG and LRI of an outstanding request), the request record for the outstanding CPDU may be deleted.
- If the incoming CPDU contains user information, and if the received

0.000

instance received from remote Instance number of the sending address for current RRG. Zero number received from remote Most recent request instance received from remote CPE Most recent request group Most recent CPE instance CPE address. Zero if not CPE address. Zero if not Case 3 if RRG is zero. known. known. FALSE CPE Null Null 0 0 instance received from remote Instance number of the sending identifies the request group of address for current RRG. Zero number received from remote Most recent request instance nformation held in a request RUE if the request requires received from remote CPE Most recent request group Most recent CPE instance CPE address. Zero if not CPE address. Zero if not Sequence number that Sequence number that identifies this request Case 2 acknowledgment if RRG is zero. this request known. known. record CPE instance received from remote number received from remote CPE address. Zero if not Instance number of the sending address for current RRG. Zero. identifies the request group of Jser information passed to the CPE in a CPE_Send.request Most recent request instance **IRUE** if the request requires received from remote CPE Most recent request group CPE address. Zero if not Most recent CPE instance Sequence number that Sequence number that identifies this request acknowledgment Case 1 if RRG is zero. this request

TABLE 11.8 Convergence Protocol Data Unit Fields

Remote CPE instance number

known.

CPE

Local request group instance

(LRG)

Local request instance (LRI)

Remote request group instance (RRG)

Local CPE instance number

known.

Remote request instance

(RRI)

Reliable QOS flag

User information

request is next in sequence, then the user information is passed up to the CPE user; otherwise the incoming request is ignored.

The definition of in-sequence is as follows:

((Received LRG = RRG stored for this affiliate) AND (LRI is next in sequence))

OR (Received LRG is next in sequence) AND (LRI = 0))

11.6

FDDI MANAGEMENT

The FDDI station management (STM) specification consists of three major components:

- Connection management (CMT)
- Ring management (RMT)
- SMT frame services

Connection Management

Connection management is concerned with the insertion of stations onto the ring and removal of stations from the ring. This involves establishing or terminating a physical link between adjacent ports and the connection of ports to MAC entities. Connection management is comprised of three subcomponents:

- Entity coordination management
- Physical connection management
- Configuration management

Entity Coordination Management. Entity coordination management (ECM) is responsible for the media interface to the FDDI ring, including the coordination of the activity of all the ports and the optional optical bypass switch associated with that station. For example, ECM coordinates the trace function, which is part of ring management. ECM signals physical connection management when the medium is available and ready for initialization of the port.

Physical Connection Management. Physical connection management provides for managing the point-to-point physical links between adjacent PHY/PMD pairs. This includes initializing the link and testing the quality of the link (referred to as link confidence).

Initialization is accomplished by signaling between the adjacent ports. One port transmits a continuous stream of symbols until the
neighbor responds with another stream of symbols. PCM sequences through a number of these request-response exchanges to communicate the following information:

- Port type (A, B, M, S)
- Willingness to establish a link
- Duration of the link confidence test performed
- Availability of the MAC entity for a link confidence test
- Outcome of the link confidence test
- Availability of the MAC for a local loop test
- Intent to place a MAC in the connection if established

Once the connection has been verified, configuration management is invoked.

Configuration Management. Configuration management provides for configuring PHY and MAC entities within a node. Essentially, configuration management is concerned with the internal organization of the station entities, and may be thought of as controlling a configuration switch that implements the desired interconnections.

Ring Management

Ring management receives status information from media access control (MAC) and from connection management. Services provided by ring management include:

- Stuck beacon detection
- Resolution of problems through the trace process
- Detection of duplicate addresses

Stuck Beacon Detection. A beacon is a MAC control frame used to isolate a serious ring failure such as a break in the ring. A station that suspects a ring failure will transmit a continuous stream of beacons. Eventually, it should receive either a beacon from an upstream station or its own beacon. If neither event occurs, the station will continue to transmit its own beacon indefinitely, a condition known as a stuck beacon. A stuck beacon indicates that a station is locked into sending continuous beacon frames.

A stuck-beacon timer under the control of ring management measures the duration of beacon transmission. If a time limit is exceeded, ring management initiates a stuck-beacon recovery procedure. The procedure begins with the transmission of a *directed beacon*, which is addressed to its nearest upstream neighbor and informs the ring of the stuck condition. The directed beacons are sent for a sufficiently long time to assure that they are seen by all the MACs. After the directed beacons are sent and the stuck-beacon condition is still unresolved, a trace function is initiated.

Trace Function. The trace function uses PHY signaling of symbol streams to recover from a stuck-beacon condition. The result of the directed beacon is to localize the fault to the beaconing MAC and its nearest upstream neighbor.

Duplicate Address Detection. If two or more MAC entities have the same address, then the ring cannot function properly. Duplicate address detection is performed during ring initialization and consists of monitoring the ring for conditions indicating that duplicate addresses are present.

If two or more MACs have the same address, at least one of the MACs will experience one of the following conditions:

- Receive own beacon while issuing claim frames for longer than the maximum delay of the FDDI ring (DMax). This indicates that the other duplicate is sending beacon frames while this duplicate is sending claim frames.
- Receive own claim frames while issuing beacon frames for longer than DMax. This indicates that the other duplicate is sending claim frames while this duplicate is sending beacon frames.
- Receive own claim frames for a period of time greater than DMax after having "won" the claim-token contest. This indicates that the other duplicate is sending claim frames while this duplicate has stopped claiming and issued a token.
- Receive own claim frame with different value of TTRT. This indicates that duplicates with different requested TTRT values are both claiming.

When a station detects the duplicate address condition, it can respond by changing its MAC address, configuring the MAC to lose the claim process and disabling its LLC services, or removing the MAC from the ring.

SMT Frame Services

The frame services portion of SMT deals with the management of the station after the ring has achieved an operational state. These services are implemented by a set of SMT frames. Table 11.9 lists the frames and Figure 11.8 illustrates the frame format. The frames are:

• *Neighborhood information frame:* used to transmit own address and basic station descriptor to downstream neighbors. Each station periodically issues the frame using next station addressing (NSA).

513

TABLE 11.9 FDDI SMT Frames

Frame Class	Abbreviation	Frame Types in Class
Neighbor Information	NIF	Announcement, Request, Response
Station Information Configuration Operation	SIF	Request*, Response Request*, Response
Echo	ECF	Request*, Response
Resource Allocation	RAF	Announcement, Request, Response
Request Denied	RDF	Response
Status Report	SRF	Announcement*
Parameter Management Get PMF Change PMF Add PMF Remove PMF	PMF	Request*, Response* Request*, Response* Request*, Response* Request*, Response*
Extended Service	ESF	Announcement*, Request*, Response*

* = optional

NSA is a special addressing mode that permits a station to send a frame to the next station in the token path without knowing the address of that station.

- *Station information frame:* used to request and supply a station's configuration and operating information.
- Echo frame: used for SMT-to-SMT loopback testing.
- Resource allocation frame: intended to support a variety of network



FIGURE 11.8 SMT Frame Format

policies for the allocation of resources. A typical use is the allocation of synchronous bandwidth to the stations within a ring.

- *Request denied frame*: issued in response to an unsupported optional frame class or type request or unsupported version ID.
- Status report frame: used by stations to periodically announce station status, which might be of interest to the manager of an FDDI ring.
- Parameter management frame: provides the means for remote management of station attributes via the parameter management protocol.
- *Extended service frame:* user-defined frames that extend or exercise new SMT services.

11.7

RECOMMENDED READING

One of the few textbooks on the subject of network management technology is [TERP91]. [STAL93c] is an in-depth examination of both the OSI and SNMP sets of standards. [STAL93d] contains reprints of key recent papers on network management.

11.8

PROBLEMS

- **11.1** List useful protocol-specific performance measures for the following protocols. Indicate whether collection can be centralized or must be distributed.
 - a. Token bus
 - **b.** Token ring
 - c. Slotted ring
 - d. Register insertion
 - e. DQDB

- **11.2** For centrally collected measurement data, there is a timing bias due to the propagation delay of the medium. Give examples of performance measures that are (are not) affected by this bias.
- **11.3** For the LAN/MAN management architecture, is it possible to support LMMP directly on type 3 LLC (acknowledged connectionless) or type 2 LLC (connection-oriented) rather than use a convergence protocol. If not, why not? If so, is anything lost?

Glossary

- **ALOHA.** A medium access control technique for multiple access transmission media. A station transmits whenever it has data to send. Unacknowledged transmissions are repeated.
- **AMPLIFIER.** An analog device designed to compensate for the loss in a section of transmission medium. It increases the signal strength of an analog signal over a range of frequencies.
- **ANS X3T9.5.** A committee sponsored by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) that is responsible for a variety of system interconnection standards. The committee has produced draft standards for high-speed coaxial cable bus and fiber optic ring local networks.
- **BANDWIDTH.** Refers to a relative range of frequencies, that is, the difference between the highest and lowest frequencies transmitted. For example, the bandwidth of a TV channel is 6 MHz.
- **BASEBAND.** Transmission of signals without modulation. In a baseband local network, digital signals (1's and 0's) are inserted directly onto the cable as voltage pulses. The entire spectrum of the cable is consumed by the signal. This scheme does not allow frequency-division multiplexing.
- BRIDGE. A device used to link two or more homogeneous LANs or MANs. It accepts frames from attached networks addressed to devices on other networks, buffers them, and retransmits them in the direction of the other network. A bridge does not alter the frame content but acts merely as a relay. It operates at the MAC layer.
- **BROADBAND.** The use of coaxial cable for providing data transfer by means of analog or radio-frequency signals. Digital signals are passed through a modem and transmitted over one of the frequency bands of the cable.

BUS. A topology in which stations are attached to a shared transmission medium. The transmission medium is a linear cable; transmissions propagate the length of the medium and are received by all stations.

CARRIERBAND. Same as single-channel broadband.

CATV. Community antenna television. CATV cable is used for broadband local networks.

CENTRALIZED BUS ARCHITECTURE. A bus topology in which the bus is very short and the links to attached devices are relatively much longer.

- **CENTREX.** A voice and data-switching service that performs switching functions in the telephone company's central office as opposed to a digital PBX, which performs switching on the customer's premises.
- **CHEAPERNET.** A baseband local area network that uses a thinner cable and less expensive components than Ethernet or the original IEEE 802.3 standard. Although the data rate is the same (10 Mbps), the network span and number of stations is less for Cheapernet.
- **CIRCUIT SWITCHING.** A method of communicating in which a dedicated communications path is established between two devices through one or more intermediate switching nodes. Unlike packet switching, digital data are sent as a continuous stream of bits. Bandwidth is guaranteed, and delay is essentially limited to propagation time. The telephone system uses circuit switching.
- **COAXIAL CABLE.** An electromagnetic transmission medium consisting of a center conductor and an outer, concentric conductor.
- **CODEC.** Coder-decoder. Transforms analog voice into a digital bit stream (coder), and digital signals into analog voice (decoder), usually using pulse code modulation (PCM).
- **COLLISION.** A condition in which two packets are being transmitted over a medium at the same time. Their interference makes both unintelligible.

CONTENTION. The condition when two or more stations attempt to use the same channel at the same time.

- **CRC.** Cyclic redundancy check. A numeric value derived from the bits in a message. The transmitting station calculates a number that is attached to the message. The receiving station performs the same calculation. If the results differ, then one or more bits are in error.
- **CSMA.** Carrier sense multiple access. A medium access control technique for multiple-access transmission media. A station wishing to transmit first senses the medium and transmits only if the medium is idle.
- **CSMA/CD.** Carrier sense multiple access with collision detection. A refinement of CSMA in which a station ceases transmission if it detects a collision.
- **DATAGRAM.** A packet-switching service in which packets (datagrams) are independently routed and may arrive out of order. The datagram is self-contained and carries a complete address. Delivery confirmation is provided by higher-level protocols.
- **DCE.** Data circuit-terminating equipment. A generic name for network-owned devices that provide a network attachment point for user devices.
- **DIFFERENTIAL ENCODING.** A means of encoding digital data on a digital signal such that the binary value is determined by a signal change rather than a signal level.

- **DIFFERENTIAL MANCHESTER ENCODING.** A digital signaling technique in which there is a transition in the middle of each bit time to provide clocking. The encoding of a 0(1) is represented by the presence (absence) of a transition at the beginning of the bit period.
- **DIGITAL DATA SWITCH.** A star topology local network using circuit switching. Usually refers to a system that handles only data but not voice.
- **DIGITAL PRIVATE BRANCH EXCHANGE.** A local network based on the private branch exchange architecture. Provides an integrated voice/data switching service. See PBX.
- **DTE.** Data terminal equipment. A generic name for user-owned devices or stations that attach to a network.
- **DUAL CABLE.** A type of broadband cable system in which two separate cables are used: one for transmission and one for reception.
- **ETHERNET.** A 10-Mbps baseband local area network specification developed jointly by Xerox, Intel, and Digital Equipment. It is the forerunner of the IEEE 802.3 CSMA/CD standard.
- **FRAME.** A group of bits that includes data plus one or more addresses. Generally refers to a link layer (layer 2) protocol.
- **FREQUENCY-AGILE MODEM.** A modem used on some broadband systems that can shift frequencies in order to communicate with stations in different dedicated bands.
- **FREQUENCY-DIVISION MULTIPLEXING (FDM).** A technique for combining multiple signals on one circuit by separating them in frequency.
- **FREQUENCY TRANSLATOR.** In a split broadband cable system, an analog device at the headend that converts a block of inbound frequencies to a block of outbound frequencies.
- **FSK.** Frequency-shift keying. A digital-to-analog modulation technique in which two different frequencies are used to represent 1's and 0's.
- **GATEWAY.** A device that connects two systems, especially if the two systems use different protocols. Recently, the term *gateway* has been reserved for the interconnection of networks at layer 7 of the OSI model.
- **GRADE OF SERVICE.** For a circuit-switched system, the probability that, during a specified period of peak traffic, an offered call will fail to find an available circuit.
- **HEADEND.** The endpoint of a broadband bus or tree network. Transmission from a station is toward the headend. Reception by a station is from the headend.
- HIGH-SPEED LOCAL NETWORK (HSLN). A local network designed to provide high throughput between expensive, high-speed devices, such as mainframes and mass storage devices.
- **HIGHSPLIT.** A type of broadband cable system in which the available frequencies are split into two groups: one for transmission (5 to 174 MHz) and one for reception (232 to 400 MHz). Requires a frequency translator.
- **HOST.** The collection of hardware and software that attaches to a network and uses that network to provide interprocess communication and user services.
- **HYBRID LOCAL NETWORK.** An integrated local network consisting of more than one type of local network (LAN, HSLN, digital PBX).

IEEE 802. A committee of IEEE organized to produce a LAN standard.

INBOUND PATH. On a broadband LAN, the transmission path used by stations to transmit packets toward the headend.

- **INFRARED.** Electromagnetic waves whose frequency range is above that of microwave and below the visible spectrum: 3×10^{11} to 4×10^{14} Hz.
- **INJECTION LASER DIODE (ILD).** A solid-state device that works on the laser principle to produce a light source for optical fiber.
- **INTERNET.** A collection of packet-switched networks connected via gateways.
- **INTERNETWORKING.** Communication among devices across multiple networks.
- LASER. Electromagnetic source capable of producing infrared and visible light.
- **LIGHT-EMITTING DIODE (LED).** A solid-state device that emits light when a current is applied. Used as a light source for optical fiber.
- LISTEN BEFORE TALK (LBT). Same as carrier sense multiple access (CSMA).
- LISTEN WHILE TALK (LWT). Same as carrier sense multiple access with col
 - lision detection (CSMA/CD).
- LOCAL AREA NETWORK (LAN). A general-purpose local network that can serve a variety of devices. Typically used for terminals, microcomputers, and minicomputers.
- **LOCAL NETWORK.** A communications network that provides interconnection of a variety of data communicating devices within a small area.
- **MANCHESTER ENCODING.** A digital signaling technique in which there is a transition in the middle of each bit time. A 1 is encoded with a high level during the first half of the bit time; a 0 is encoded with a low level during the first half of the bit time.
- **MEDIUM ACCESS CONTROL (MAC).** For bus, tree, and ring topologies, the method of determining which device has access to the transmission medium at any time. CSMA/CD and token are common access methods.
- **MESSAGE SWITCHING.** A switching technique using a message store and forward system. No dedicated path is established. Rather, each message contains a destination address and is passed from source to destination through intermediate nodes. At each node, the entire message is received, stored briefly, and then passed on to the next node.
- MICROWAVE. Electromagnetic waves in the frequency range 1 to 30 GHz.
- **MIDSPLIT.** A type of broadband cable system in which the available frequencies are split into two groups: one for transmission (5 to 116 MHz) and one for reception (168 to 400 MHz). Requires a frequency translator.
- **MODEM.** Modulator/demodulator. Transforms a digital bit stream into an analog signal (modulator) and vice versa (demodulator). The analog signal may be sent over telephone lines, or could be radio frequencies or lightwaves.
- **NETWORK CONTROL CENTER.** The operator interface to software that observes and controls the activities in a network.
- **NETWORK INTERFACE UNIT.** A communications controller that attaches to a local network. It implements the local network protocols and provides an interface for device attachment.
- **NETWORK MANAGEMENT.** A set of human and automated tasks that support the creation, operation, and evolution of a network.

- **NONBLOCKING NETWORK.** A circuit-switched network in which there is always at least one available path between any pair of idle endpoints regardless of the number of endpoints already connected.
- **OPTICAL FIBER.** A thin filament of glass or other transparent material through which a signal-encoded light beam may be transmitted by means of total internal reflection.
- **OUTBOUND PATH.** On a broadband LAN, the transmission path used by stations to receive packets coming from the headend.
- **PACKET.** A group of bits that includes data plus source and destination addresses. Generally refers to a network layer (layer 3) protocol.
- **PACKET SWITCHING.** A method of transmitting messages through a communications network, in which long messages are subdivided into short packets. The packets are then transmitted as in message switching. Usually, packet switching is more efficient and rapid than message switching.
- **PASSIVE HEADEND.** A device that connects the two broadband cables of a dual-cable system. It does not provide frequency translation.
- **PASSIVE STAR.** A star-topology local network configuration in which the central switch or node is a passive device. Each station is connected to the central node by two links, one for transmit and one for receive. A signal input on one of the transmit links passes through the central node where it is split equally among and output to all of the receive links.
- **PBX.** Private branch exchange. A telephone exchange on the user's premises. Provides a switching facility for telephones on extension lines within the building and access to the public telephone network. May be manual (PMBX) or automatic (PABX).
- **PCM.** Pulse code modulation. A common method for digitizing voice. The data rate typically used for a single digitized voice channel is 64 kbps.
- **PROPAGATION DELAY.** The delay between the time a signal enters a channel and the time it is received.
- **PROTOCOL.** A set of rules governing the exchange of data between two entities.
- **REGISTER INSERTION RING.** A medium access control technique for rings. Each station contains a register that can temporarily hold a circulating packet. A station may transmit whenever there is a gap on the ring and, if necessary, may hold an oncoming packet until it has completed transmission.
- **REMODULATOR.** In a split broadband cable system, a digital device at the headend that recovers the digital data from the inbound analog signal and then retransmits the data on the outbound frequency.
- **REPEATER.** A device that receives data on one communication link and transmits it, bit by bit, on another link as fast as it is received, without buffering. An integral part of the ring topology. Used to connect linear segments in a baseband bus local network.
- **RING.** A topology in which stations are attached to repeaters connected in a closed loop. Data are transmitted in one direction around the ring, and can be read by all attached stations.
- **RING WIRING CONCENTRATOR.** A site through which pass the links between repeaters, for all or a portion of a ring.
- ROUTER. A device used to link two or more networks. The router makes use

of an internet protocol, which is a connectionless protocol operating at layer 3 of the OSI model.

- **SINGLE-CHANNEL BROADBAND.** A local network scheme in which the entire spectrum of the cable is devoted to a single transmission path; frequency-division multiplexing is not used. Also known as carrierband.
- **SLOTTED ALOHA.** A medium access control technique for multiple-access transmission media. The technique is the same as ALOHA, except that packets must be transmitted in well-defined time slots.
- **SLOTTED RING.** A medium access control technique for rings. The ring is divided into slots designated empty or full. A station may transmit when an empty slot goes by, by marking it full and inserting a packet into the slot.
- **SPACE-DIVISION SWITCHING.** A circuit-switching technique in which each connection through the switch takes a physically separate and dedicated path.
- **SPECTRUM.** Refers to an absolute range of frequencies. For example, the spectrum of CATV cable is now about 5 Hz to 400 MHz.
- **SPLITTER.** Analog device for dividing one input into two outputs and combining two outputs into one input. Used to achieve tree topology on broadband CATV networks.
- **STAR.** A topology in which all stations are connected to a central switch. Two stations communicate via circuit switching.
- **STAR WIRING.** A method of laying out the transmission medium that is installed for a local network. All cables are concentrated in a wiring closet, with a dedicated cable run from the closet to each device on the network.
- **STATISTICAL TIME-DIVISION MULTIPLEXING.** A method of TDM in which time slots on a shared transmission line are allocated to I/O channels on demand.
- **SUBSPLIT.** A type of broadband cable system in which the available frequencies are split into two groups: one for transmission (5 to 30 MHz) and one for reception (54 to 400 MHz). Requires a frequency translator.
- SYNCHRONOUS TIME-DIVISION MULTIPLEXING. A method of TDM in which time slots on a shared transmission line are assigned to I/O channels on a fixed, predetermined basis.
- **TAP.** An analog device that permits signals to be inserted or removed from a twisted pair of coax cable.
- **TDM BUS SWITCHING.** A form of time-division switching in which time slots are used to transfer data over a shared bus between transmitter and receiver.
- **TERMINAL.** A collection of hardware and possibly software that provides a direct user interface to a network.
- **TERMINATOR.** An electrical resistance at the end of a cable that serves to absorb the signal on the line.
- **TIME-DIVISION MULTIPLEXING (TDM).** A technique for combining multiple signals on one circuit by separating them in time.
- **TIME-DIVISION SWITCHING.** A circuit-switching technique in which time slots in a time-multiplexed stream of data are manipulated to pass data from an input to an output.

TIME-MULTIPLEXED SWITCHING (TMS). A form of space-division switch-

ing in which each input line is a TDM stream. The switching configuration may change for each time slot.

TIME-SLOT INTERCHANGE (TSI). The interchange of time slots within a time-division multiplexed stream.

TIMING JITTER. Deviation of clock recovery that can occur when a receiver attempts to recover clocking as well as data from the received signal. The clock recovery will deviate in a random fashion from the transitions of the received signal.

TOKEN BUS. A medium access control technique for bus/tree. Stations form a logical ring, around which a token is passed. A station receiving the token may transmit data, and then must pass the token on to the next station in the ring.

TOKEN RING. A medium access control technique for rings. A token circulates around the ring. A station may transmit by seizing the token, inserting a packet onto the ring, and then retransmitting the token.

TOPOLOGY. The structure, consisting of paths and switches, that provides the communications interconnection among nodes of a network.

TRANSCEIVER. A device that both transmits and receives.

TRANSCEIVER CABLE. A twin-pair cable that connects the transceiver in a baseband coax LAN to the controller.

TRANSMISSION MEDIUM. The physical path between transmitters and receivers in a communications network.

TREE. A topology in which stations are attached to a shared transmission medium. The transmission medium is a branching cable emanating from a headend, with no closed circuits. Transmissions propagate throughout all branches of the tree and are received by all stations.

TWISTED PAIR. An electromagnetic transmission medium consisting of two insulated wires arranged in a regular spiral pattern.

VIRTUAL CIRCUIT. A packet-switching service in which a connection (virtual circuit) is established between two stations at the start of transmission. All packets follow the same route, need not carry a complete address, and arrive in sequence.

WIRING CLOSET. A specially designed closet used for wiring data and voice communication networks. The closet serves as a concentration point for the cabling that interconnects devices, and as a patching facility for adding and deleting devices from the network.

.

1

Petitioner Cox Communications - Exhibit 1011 Page 536

References

- ABBO84 Abbot, G. "Digital Space Division: A Technique for Switching High-Speed Data Signals." *IEEE Communications Magazine*, April, 1984.
- ABEY91 Abeysundara, B., and Kamal, A. "High-Speed Local Area Networks and Their Performance: A Survey." ACM Computing Surveys, June, 1991.
- ABRA70 Abramson, N. "The ALOHA System—Another Alternative for Computer Communications." Proceedings, Fall Joint Computer Conference, 1970.
- AIME79 Aimes, G.T., and Lazowska, E.D. "The Behavior of Ethernet-like Computer Communications Networks." *Proceedings, Seventh Symposium on Operating Systems Principles*, 1979.
- AMER82 Amer, P.D. "A Measurement Center for the NBS Local Area Computer Network," IEEE Transactions on Computers, August, 1982.
- AMER83 Amer, P.D.; Rosenthal, R.; and Toense, R. "Measuring a Local Network's Performance." *Data Communications*, April, 1983.
- BACK88 Backes, F. "Transparent Bridges for Interconnection of IEEE 802 LANs." IEEE Network, January 1988.
- BAL85 Bal, S. "Core Modules Speed System Design." Systems & Software, November, 1985.
- BARC81 Barcomb, D. Office Automation: A Survey of Tools and Technology. Bedford, MA: Digital Press, 1981.
- **BART85** Bartee, T. Data Communications, Networks, and Systems. Indianapolis, IN: Howard W. Sams and Co., 1985.
- BASC87 Basch, E. Optical-Fiber Transmission. Indianapolis, IN: Howard W. Sams, 1987.
- BEDE86 Bederman, S. "Source Routing." Data Communications, February, 1986.

BELL90 Bellcore (Bell Communications Research). *Telecommunications Transmission Engineering, Third Edition.* Three volumes. 1990.

BELL91 Bellamy, J. Digital Telephony, New York: Wiley, 1991.

- **BERT92** Bertsekas, D., and Gallager, R. *Data Networks*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1992.
- **BEUE88** Beuerman, S., and Coyle, E. "The Delay Characteristics of CSMA/CD Networks." *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, May, 1988.
- **BEVA86** Bevan, M. "Image Processing May Cause Future Problems with Network Loading." *Data Communications*, March, 1986.
- **BHUS85** Bhushan, B., and Opderbeck, H. "The Evolution of Data Switching for PBX's." *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, July, 1985.
- **BIND75** Binder, R. "A Dynamic Packet Switching System for Satellite Broadcast Channels." *Proceedings of the ICC, 1975.*
- **BRAY85** Bray, J. "The Resurgence of Centrex." *Telecommunications*, September, 1985.
- **BURG84** Burg, F.; Chen, C.; and Folts, H. "Of Local Networks, Protocols, and the OSI Reference Model." *Data Communications*, November, 1984.
- BURG89 Burg, F., and Iorio, N. "Networking of Networks: Interworking According to OSI." IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications. September, 1989.
- **BURK79** Burke, R. G. "Eliminating Conflicts on a Contention Channel." *Proceedings, Fourth Local Computer Network Conference*, 1979.
- **BUX81** Bux, W. "Local-Area Subnetworks: A Performance Comparison." *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, October, 1981.
- BUX83a Bux, W.; Closs, F.; Kummerle, K.; Keller, H.; and Mueller, H. "Architecture and Design of a Reliable Token-Ring Network." IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, November, 1983.
- **BUX83b** Bux, W., and Schlatter, M. "An Approximate Method for the Performance Analysis of Buffer Insertion Rings." *IEEE Transaction on Communications*, January, 1983.
- BUX84 Bux, W. "Performance Issues in Local-Area Networks." *IBM Systems Journal*, no. 4, 1984.
- **BUX87** Bux, W.; Grillo, D.; and Maxemchuk, N. editors. *Interconnection of Local Area Networks. Special issue of IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, December, 1987.
- BYTE85 Bytex Corporation. Autoswitch Technical Manual, 1985.
- CELA82 Celano, J. "Crossing Public Property: Infrared Link and Alternative Approaches for Connecting a High Speed Local Area Network." *Proceedings, Computer Networking Symposium,* 1982.
- **CERF78** Cerf, V.G., and Kristein, P.T. "Issues in Packet-Network Interconnection." *Proceedings of the IEEE*, November, 1978.
- CERR87 Cerruti, M., and Voce, M. "Zap Data Where It Really Counts—Directto-Host Connections." Data Communications, July, 1987.
- **CHEN82** Cheng, W. *Performance Evaluation of Token Networks*. Ph.D. thesis, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1982.
- CHER83 Cheriton, D. "Local Networking and Internetworking in the V-System." Proceedings, Eighth Data Communications Symposium, 1983.

CHU82 Chu, W.W.; Haller, W.; and Leung, K.K. "A Contention Based Chan-

nel Reservation Protocol for High Speed Local Networks." Proceedings, Seventh Conference on Local Computer Networks, 1982.

- CLAI88 Clair, M., and Orlov, M. "Is It Wise for Users to Run Ethernet Over Existing Nonshielded Twisted Pair?" *Network World*, January 11, 1988.
- CLAN82 Clancy, G.J. et al. "The IEEE 802 Committee States Its Case Concerning Its Local Network Standards Efforts." Data Communications, April, 1982.
- **COME88** Comer, D. Internetworking with TCP/IP: Principles, Protocols, and Architecture. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1988.
- **COOP84** Cooper, E. Broadband Network Technology. Mountain View, CA: Sytek Press, 1984.
- **COOV85** Coover, E. "Notes from Mid-revolution: Searching for the Perfect PBX." *Data Communications*, August, 1985.
- COOV86 Coover, E. "Voice-Data Integration in the Office: A PBX Approach." IEEE Communications Magazine, July, 1986.
- **COOV89** Coover, E. *Digital Private Branch Exchanges.* Washington, DC: IEEE Computer Society Press, 1989.
- COTT81 Cotton, J.; Giesken, K.; Lawrence, A.; and Upp, D. "ITT 1240 Digital Exchange: Digital Switching Network." *Electrical Communication*, No. 2/3, 1981.
- **COUC90** Couch, L. Digital and Analog Communication Systems, Third Edition. New York: Macmillan, 1990.
- **COUC87** Couch, D. Measuring the Performance of a Mixed-Vendor Ethernet." Data Communications, August, 1987.
- **CROC83** Crochiere, R.E., and Flanagan, J.L. "Current Perspectives in Digital Speech." *IEEE Communications Magazine*, January, 1983.
- CROW73 Crowther, W.; Rettberg, R.; Walden, D.; Orenstein, S.; and Heart, F. "A System for Broadcast Communication: Reservation ALOHA." Proceedings, Sixth Hawaii International System Science Conference, 1973.
- CUNN80 Cunningham, J.E. Cable Television. Indianapolis: Howard W. Sams, 1980.
- CZOT87 Czotter, T. "Network Interface Design Guide." Proceedings, 12th Conference on Local Computer Networks, October, 1987.
- DAHO83 Dahod, A.M. "Local Network Standards: No Utopia." Data Communications, March, 1983.
- **DAVI73** Davies, D.W., and Barber, D.L. *Communication Networks for Computers*. New York: Wiley, 1973.
- DAVI77 Davidson, J.; Hathaway, W.; Postel, J.; Mimno, N.; Thomas, R.; and Walden, D. "The ARPANET Telnet Protocol: Its Purpose, Principles, Implementation, and Impact on Host Operating System Design." Proceedings, Fifth Data Communications Symposium, 1977.
- DAVI83 Davidson, J. "OSI Model Layering of a Military Local Network." Proceedings of the IEEE, December, 1983.
- **DAVI90** Davidson, R., and Muller, N. LANs to WANs: Network Management in the 1990s. Norwood, MA: Artech House, 1990.

t,

DAY80 Day, J. "Terminal Protocols." IEEE Transactions on Communications, April, 1980.

DAY81 Day, J. "Terminal, File Transfer, and Remote Job Protocols for Hetero-

geneous Computer Networks." In Protocols and Techniques for Data Communication Networks, edited by F.F. Kuo. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1981. DCA85 Defense Communications Agency. DDN Protocol Handbook. Menlo

Park, CA: DDN Information Center, December, 1985.

- **DIAM90** Diament, P. *Wave Transmission and Fiber Optics*. New York: Macmillan, 1990.
- DIGI80 Digital Equipment Corp.; Intel. Corp.; and Xerox Corp. *The Ethernet:* A Local Area Network Data Link Layer and Physical Layer Specifications. September 30, 1980.
- DIJK59 Dijkstra, E. "A Note on Two Problems in Connection with Graphs." Numerical Mathematics, October, 1959.
- **DINE80** Dineson, M.A., and Picazo, J.J. "Broadband Technology Magnifies Local Network Capability." *Data Communications*, February, 1980.
- **DINE81** Dineson, M.A. "Broadband Local Networks Enhance Communication Design." *EDN*, March 4, 1981.
- **DIXO83** Dixon, R.; Strole, N.; and Markov, J. "A Token-ring Network for Local Data Communications." *IBM Systems Journal*. Nos. 1/2, 1983.

DIXO88 Dixon, R., and Pitt, D. "Addressing, Bridging, and Source Routing." *IEEE Network*, January, 1988.

DONN79 Donnelly, J.E., and Yeh, J.W. "Interaction Between Protocol Levels in a Prioritized CSMA Broadcast Network." *Computer Networks*, March, 1979.

DRAV91 Dravida, S.; Rodrigues, M.; and Saksena, V. "Performance Comparison of High-Speed Multiple-Access Networks." *Proceedings of the International Teletraffic Congress*, June, 1991.

- ENOM85 Enomoto, O.; Kohashi, T.; Aomori, T.; Kadota, S.; Oka, S.; and Fujita, K. "Distributed Microprocessors Control Architecture for Versatile Business Communications," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, July, 1985.
- ESTR86 Estrin, J., and Cheney, K. "Managing Local Area Networks Effectively." *Data Communications*, January, 1986.
- **FARM69** Farmer, W.D., and Newhall, E.E. "An Experimental Distributed Switching System to Handle Bursty Computer Traffic." *Proceedings, ACM Symposium on Problems in the Optimization of Data Communications,* 1969.
- FLEM79 Fleming, P. Principles of Switching. Geneva, IL: Lee's abc of the Telephone, 1979.
- **FRAN80** Franta, W.R., and Bilodeau, M.B. "Analysis of a Prioritized CSMA Protocol Based on Staggered Delays." *Acta Informatica*, June, 1980.
- **FREE89** Freeman, R.L. *Telecommunication System Engineering*. New York: Wiley, 1989.
- FREE91 Freeman, R.L. Telecommunication Transmission Handbook. New York: Wiley, 1991.
- **FREE85** Freeman, R. Reference Manual for Telecommunications Engineering. New York: Wiley, 1985.
- **GERL88** Gerla, M.; Green, L.; and Rutledge, R. Special Issue on Bridges and Routers. *IEEE Network*, January, 1988.
- GOEL83 Goeller, L.F., and Goldston, J.A. "The ABCs of the PBX." *Datamation*, April, 1983.

GONS88 Gonsales, T., and Tobagi, F. "On the Performance Effects of Station

Locations and Access Protocol Parameters in Ethernet Networks." IEEE Transactions on Communications, April, 1988.

- GOOD88 Goodman, J.; Greenberg, A.; Madras, N.; and March, P. "Stability of Binary Exponential Backoff." *Journal of the ACM*, July, 1988.
- GRAN83 Grant, A.; Hutchinson, D.; and Shepherd, W. "A Gateway for Linking Local Area Networks and X.25 Networks." Proceedings, SIGCOMM 83 Symposium, 1983.
- GRAU84 Graube, M., and Molder, M. "Local Area Networks," IEEE Computer, October, 1984.
- GREE90a Greenfield, D. "An End to a Bridging Feud?" Data Communications, May, 1990.
- **GREE90b** Green, P.; Naemura, K.; and Williamson, R. editors. *Heterogeneous Computer Networks Interconnection. Special issue of IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, January, 1990.*
- **GRNA80** Grnarov, A.; Kleinrock, L.; and Gerla, M. "A Highly Reliable Distributed Loop Network Architecture." *Proceedings, International Symposium on Fault-Tolerant Computing*, 1980.
- HAFN74 Hafner, E.R.; Nenadal, Z.; and Tschanz, M. "A Digital Loop Communications System." IEEE Transactions on Communications, June, 1974.
- HAHN90 Hahne, E.; Choudhury, A.; and Maxemchuk, N. "Improving the Fairness of Distributed-Queue-Dual-Bus Networks." *Proceedings, INFOCOM* '90, June, 1990.
- HALL85 "Factory Networks." Micro Communications, February, 1985.
- HAMM86 Hammond, J., and O'Reilly, P. Performance Analysis of Local Computer Networks. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1986.
- HAMN88 Hamner, M., and Samsen, G. "Source Routing Bridge Implementation." *IEEE Network*, January, 1988.
- HANS81 Hanson, K.; Chou, W.; and Nilsson, A. "Integration of Voice, Data, and Image Traffic in a Wideband Local Network." Proceedings, Computer Networking Symposium, 1981.
- HART88 Hart, J. "Extending the IEEE 802.1 MAC Bridge Standard to Remote Bridges." IEEE Network, January, 1988.
- HAYE81 Hayes, J.F. "Local Distribution in Computer Communications." IEEE Communications Magazine, March, 1981.
- HELD87 Held, G. "A Dozen Ways to Beef Up Your Network with a Port Selector." Data Communications, June, 1987.
- HENR89 Henry, P. "High-Capacity Lightwave Local Area Networks." IEEE Communications Magazine, October, 1989.
- HERR79 Herr, D.E., and Nute, C.T. "Modeling the Effects of Packet Truncation on the Throughput of CSMA Networks." Proceedings, Computer Networking Symposium, 1979.
- HEYM82 Heyman, D.P. "An Analysis of the Carrier-Sense Multiple-Access Protocol." Bell System Technical Journal, October, 1982.
- HEYW81 Heywood, P. "The Cambridge Ring Is Still Making the Rounds." Data Communications, July, 1981.
- HOHN80 Hohn, W.C. "The Control Data Loosely Coupled Network Lower Level Protocols." *Proceedings, National Computer Conference*, 1980.

HONG86 Hong, J. "Timing Jitter." Data Communications, February, 1986.

HOPK77 Hopkins, G.T. A Bus Communications System. MITRE Technical Report MTR-3515, 1977.

HOPK79 Hopkins, G.T. "Multimode Communications on the MITRENET." Proceedings, Local Area Communications Network Symposium, 1979.

HOPK80 Hopkins, G.T., and Wagner, P.E. Multiple Access Digital Communications System. U.S. Patent 4,210,780, July 1, 1980.

- HOPP83 Hopper, A., and Williamson, R. "Design and Use of an Integrated Cambridge Ring." *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, November, 1983.
- HUBE83 Huber, D.; Steinlin, W.; and Wild, P. "SILK: An Implementation of a Buffer Insertion Ring." *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, November, 1983.

IBM82 IBM Corp. *IBM Series/Local Communications Controller Feature Description*. GA34-0142-2, 1982.

- **IBM84** IBM Corp. A Building Planning Guide for Communication Wiring. G320-8059, March, 1984.
- IEEE89a Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. *Logical Link Control.* IEEE Std 802.2, 1989.

IEEE89b Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. *Token Ring Access Method.* ANSI/IEEE Std 802.4, 1989.

IEEE90a Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. *IEEE Standard 802: Overview and Architecture*. IEEE Std 802-1990, December, 1990.

IEEE90b Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. *Carrier Sense Multiple* Access with Collision Detection (CSMA/CD) Access Method and Physical Layer Specifications. ANSI/IEEE Std 802.3, 1990.

IEEE90c Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. *Token Passing Bus Access Method and Physical Layer Specifications*. ANSI/IEEE Std 802.4, 1990.

IEEE90d Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. *Distributed Queue Dual Bus (DQDB) Subnetwork of a Metropolitan Area Network (MAN)*. ANSI/IEEE Std 802.6, 1990.

IEEE90e Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. *Media Access Control* (*MAC*) *Bridges*. ANSI/IEEE Std 802.1D, 1990.

IEEE91a Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. *Source Routing Annex* to MAC Bridges. IEEE Draft Standard P802.5M/D4, 1991.

IEEE91b Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. SRT Route Determination Entity. IEEE Draft Standard P802.5M,E1, 1991.

IEEE91c Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. LAN/MAN Management. IEEE Draft Standard 802.1B, 1991.

IRSH92 Irshid, M., and Kavehrad, M. "Star Couplers with Gain Using Fiber Amplifiers." *IEEE Photonics Technology Letters*, January, 1992.

ISO87 International Organization for Standardization. *Network Service Definition, Addendum 1: Connectionless-mode Transmission, ISO 8348/Add. 1, 1987.*

ISO88 International Organization for Standardization. Protocol for Providing the Connectionless-mode Network Service (Internetwork Protocol), ISO 8473, 1988.

ISRA87 Israel, J., and Weissberger, A. "Communicating Between Heterogeneous Networks." *Data Communications*, March, 1987.

JACK63 Jackson, J.R. "Job Shop-like Queueing Systems." Management Sciences, 1963.

- JACO78 Jacobs, I.; Binder, R.; and Hoversten, E. "General Purpose Packet Satellite Networks." *Proceedings of the IEEE*, November, 1978.
- JACO87 Jacobson, D., et al. "A Master/Slave Monitor Measurement Technique for an Operating Ethernet Network." *IEEE Networks*, July, 1987.
- JAIN91 Jain, R. "Performance Analysis of FDDI Token Ring Networks: Effect of Parameters and Guidelines for Setting TTRR." *IEEE LTS*, May, 1991.
- JAJS83 Jajszczyk, A. "On Nonblocking Switching Networks Composed of Digital Symmetrical Matrices." IEEE Transactions on Communications, January, 1983.
- JAYA84 Jayant, N., and Noll, P. Digital Coding of Waveforms. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1984.
- JAYA87 Jayasumana, A. "Performance Analysis of Token Bus Priority Schemes: *Proceedings*, *INFOCOM* '87, 1987.
- JEWE85 Jewett, R. "The Fourth-Generation PBX: Beyond the Integration of Voice and Data." *Telecommunications*, February, 1985.
- **JOEL77** Joel, A.E. "What Is Telecommunications Circuit Switching?" Proceedings of the IEEE, September, 1977.
- JOEL79a Joel, A.E. "Circuit Switching: Unique Architecture and Applications." Computer, June, 1979.
- **JOEL79b** Joel, A.E. "Digital Switching—How It Has Developed." *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, July, 1979.
- JOEL85 Joel, A., ed. Special Issue on Serving the Business Customer Using Advances in Switching Technologies. IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, July, 1985.
- JOHN87 Johnson, M. "Proof That Timing Requirements of the FDDI Token Ring Protocol Are Satisfied." *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, June, 1987.
- JONE85 Jones, K. "Cheapernet Makes Local Area Networking More Affordable." *Mini-Micro Systems*, January, 1985.
- JORD85 Jordan, E., ed. Reference Data for Engineers: Radio, Electronics, Computer, and Communications. Indianapolis, IN: Howard Sams & Co., 1985.
- KAJI83 Kajiwara, M. "Trends in Digital Switching System Architectures." *IEEE Communications Magazine*, May, 1983.
- **KANA88** Kanakia, H., and Cheriton, D. "Universal Network Device Interface Protocol (UNDIP)." *Proceedings*, 13th Conference on Local Computer Networks, October, 1988.
- KANE80 Kane, D.A. "Data Communications Network Switching Methods," Computer Design, April, 1980.
- KARO90 Karol, M., and Gitlin, R. "High-Performance Optical Local and Metropolitan Area Networks: Enhancements of FDDI and IEEE 802.6 DQDB." IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, October, 1990.
- KARP82 Karp, P.M., and Socher, I.D. "Designing Local-Area Networks." Mini-Micro Systems, April, 1982.
- KASS79 Kasson, J.M. "Survey of Digital PBX Design." IEEE Transactions on Communications, July, 1979.
- KATK81a Katkin, R.D., and Sprung, J.G. "Simulating a Cable Bus Network in a Multicomputer and Large-Scale Application Environment." *Proceedings*, *Sixth Conference on Local Computer Networks*, 1981.

KATK81b Katkin, R.D., and Sprung, J.G. Application of Local Bus Network Tech-

nology to the Evolution of Large Multi-computer Systems. MITRE Technical Report MTR-81W290, November, 1981.

KAUF86 Kaufman, H. "PBX Versus LAN." Telecommunications, May, 1986.

KEIS85 Keiser, B., and Strange, E. *Digital Telephony and Network Integration*. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1985.

KELL83 Keller, H.; Meyr, H.; and Mueller, H. "Transmission Design Criteria for a Synchronous Token Ring." IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, November, 1983.

KESS92 Kessler, G., and Train, D. Metropolitan Area Networks: Concepts, Standards, and Services. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1992.

KILL82 Killen, M. "The Microcomputer Connection to Local Networks." Data Communications, December, 1982.

KIM88 Kim, G. Broadband LAN Technology. Norwood, MA: Artech House, 1988.

KLEI75 Kleinrock, L., and Tobagi, F.A. "Packet Switching in Radio Channels: Part I: Carrier Sense Multiple-Access Modes and Their Throughput-Delay Characteristics." *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, December, 1975.

KLEI76 Kleinrock, L. Queueing Systems, Vol. II: Computer Applications. New York: Wiley, 1976.

KLEI86 Kleinrock, L."Channel Efficiency for LANs." In [PICK86].

KLIN86 Klinck, C. "Just When You Thought It Made Sense to Get Rid of Centrex." *Data Communications*, March, 1986.

KOBA78 Kobayashi, K. Modeling and Analysis: An Introduction to System Performance and Evaluation Methodology. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1978.

KUR084 Kurose, J.; Schwartz, M.; and Yemini, Y. "Multiple-Access Protocols and Time-Constrained Communication." ACM Computing Surveys, March, 1984.

LE88 Le, K., and Raghavendra, C. "Fault-Tolerant Routing in a Class of Double Loop Networks." *Proceedings, IEEE INFOCOM '88*, March, 1988.

LEBA86 LeBarre, L., and Brusil, P. "Metrics and Measurements for Evaluating LAN Terminal Communications." Proceedings, Fifth Annual International Phoenix Conference on Computers and Communications, March, 1986.

LI87 Li, V., editor. Performance Evaluation of Multiple-Access Networks. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, July, 1987.

- **LI88** Li, T., and Linke, R. "Multigigabit-Per-Second Lightwave Systems Research for Long-Haul Applications." *IEEE Communications Magazine*, April, 1988.
- LIBO85 Liboff, R., and Dalman, G. Transmission Lines, Waveguides, and Smith Charts. New York: Macmillan, 1985.
- LISS81 Lissack, T.; Maglaris, B.; and Chin, H. "Impact of Microprocessor Architecture on Local Network Interface Adapters." *Proceedings, Conference on Local Networks and Office Automation Systems*, 1981.
- LIU78 Liu, M.T. "Distributed Loop Computer Networks." In Advances in Computers, Vol. 17. New York: Academic Press, 1978.
- LIU82 Liu, M.T.; Hilal, W.; and Groomes, B.H. "Performance Evaluation of Channel Access Protocols for Local Computer Networks." *Proceedings*, *COMPCON 82 Fall*, 1982.

LOWE83 Lowe, H. "OSI Virtual Terminal Service." *Proceedings of the IEEE*, December, 1983.

- MAGL80 Maglaris, B., and Lissack, T. "An Integrated Broadband Local Network Architecture." Proceedings, Fifth Conference on Local Computer Networks, 1980.
- MAGL81 Maglaris, B.; Lissack, T.; and Austin, M. "End-to-End Delay Analysis on Local Area Networks: An Office Building Scenario." *Proceedings, National Telecommunications Conference*, 1981.
- MAGL82 Maglaris, B., and Lissack, T. "Performance Evaluation of Interface Units for Broadcast Local Area Networks." Proceedings, COMPCON Fall, 1982.
- MAGN79 Magnee, F.; Endrizzi, A.; and Day, J. "A Survey of Terminal Protocols." Computer Networks, November, 1979.
- MANF91 Manfield, D., and Beshai, M. "Traffic Analysis of Large TST Networks." *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, March, 1991.
- MARA82 Marthe, M., and Hawe, B. "Predicted Capacity of Ethernet in a University Environment." Proceedings, SOUTHCON 82, 1982.
- MARK78 Mark, J.W. "Global Scheduling Approach to Conflict-Free Multiaccess via a Data Bus." *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, September, 1978.
- MART72 Martin, J. Systems Analysis for Data Transmission. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1972.
- MART88 Martin, J., and Leban, J. Data Communication Technology. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1988.
- MART89 Martin, J., and Chapman, K. Local Area Networks: Architectures and Implementations. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1989.
- MART90 Martin, J. *Telecommunications and the Computer*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1990.
- MATS82 Matsukane, E. "Network Administration and Control System for a Broadband Local Area Communications Network." *Proceedings, COMPCON Fall*, 1982.
- MAXE88 Maxemchuk, N. "Twelve Random Access Strategies for Fiber Optic Networks." *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, August, 1988.
- MCCO88a McCool, J. "FDDI: Getting to know the Inside of the Ring." Data Communications, March, 1988.
- MCCO88b McConnell, J. Internetworking Computer Systems: Interconnecting Networks and Systems. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1988.
- MCCO91 McConnell, J. "OSI Internetwork Routing." Open Systems Data Transfer, Omnicom, Inc., February, 1991.
- MCDO83 McDonald, J., ed. Fundamentals of Digital Switching. New York: Plenum, 1983.
- MCGA85 McGarry, S. "Networking Has a Job to Do in the Factory." Data Communications, February, 1985.
- MCNA88 McNamara, J.E. Technical Aspects of Data Communication. Bedford, MA: Digital Press, 1988.
- MEHT87 Mehta, S. "Who Needs a LAN?" LAN Magazine, June, 1987.

MEHT88 Mehta, S. "The Big Switch." LAN Magazine, June, 1988.

- METC76 Metcalfe, M., and Boggs, D.R. "Ethernet: Distributed Packet Switching for Local Computer Networks." Communications of the ACM, July, 1976.
- METC77 Metcalfe, M.; Boggs, D.R.; Thacker, C.P.; and Lampson, B.W. "Multipoint Data Communication System with Collision Detection." U.S. Patent 4,063,220, 1977.

METC83 Metcalfe, R. "Controller/Transceiver Board Drives Ethernet into PC Domain." *Mini-Micro Systems*, January, 1983.

- MITC81 Mitchell, L.C. "A Methodology for Predicting End-to-End Responsiveness in a Local Area Network." *Proceedings, Computer Networking Symposium*, 1981.
- MITC86 Mitchell, L., and Lide, D. "End-to-End Performance Modeling of Local Area Networks." IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, September, 1986.
- **MOLL87** Molle, M.; Sohraby, K.; and Venetsanopoulos, A. "Space-Time Models of Asynchronous CSMA Protocols for Local Area Networks." *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, July, 1987.
- MUKH91 Mukherjee, B. "Integrated Voice-Data Communication over High-Speed Fiber Optic Networks." *Computer*, February, 1991.
- MULL87 Muller, N. "Enhanced Data Switches May Outshine LAN, PBX Alternatives." Data Communications, May, 1987.
- MULQ88a Mulqueen, J. "Flashing Images Across Networks—A Technology Whose Time Is Coming." *Data Communications*, May, 1988.
 MULQ88b Mulqueen, J. "Phone Wire Loses Its Wimp Image in High-Speed
- **MULQ88b** Mulqueen, J. "Phone Wire Loses Its Wimp Image in High-Speed Ethernet LANs." *Data Communications*, December, 1988.
- MURA88 Murata, M., and Takagi, H. "Two-Layer Modeling for Local Area Networks," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, September, 1988.
- MYER82 Myers, W. "Toward a Local Network Standard." *IEEE Micro*, August, 1982.
- NAKA90 Nakagawa, K., and Shimada, S. "Optical Amplifiers in Future Optical Communication Systems." *IEEE LCS*, November, 1990.
- NAUG91 Naugle, M. Local Area Networking. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1991.
- NETR91 Netronix, Inc. Source Routing Transparent: Facts and Myths. Petaluma, CA: Netronix, Inc., 1991.
- NEWM88 Newman, R.; Budrikis, Z., and Hullett, J. "The QPSX MAN." *IEEE Communications Magazine*, April, 1988.
- **OCHE90** Ocheltree, K. "Using Redundancy in FDDI Networks." Proceedings, 15th Conference on Local Computer Networks, October, 1990.
- **OHSH86** Ohshima, S.; Ito, T.; Donuma, K.; Sugiyama, H.; and Fujii, Y. "Small Loss-Deviation Tapered-Fiber Star Coupler for LANs." *Journal of Lightwave Technology*, June, 1986.
- OLSE83 Ölsen, R.; Seifert, W.; and Taylor, J. "Tutorial: RS-232-C Data Switching on Local Networks." *Data Communications*, September, 1983.
- **OREI82** O'Reilly, P.J.; Hammond, J.L., Schalg, J.H.; and Murray, D.N. "Design of an Emulation Facility for Performance Studies of CSMA-Type Local Networks." *Proceedings, Seventh Conference on Local Computer Networks*, 1982.
- **ORLO88** Orlov, M. "Another Twist: Twisted-Pair Ethernet May Not Be All It's Cracked Up to Be." *LAN Magazine*, August, 1988.
- **PARK92** Park, Y., et al. "2.488 Gb/s-318 km Repeaterless Transmission Using Erbium-Doped Fiber Amplifiers in a Direct-Detection System." *IEEE Photonics Technology Letters*, February, 1992.
- PARL85 Parlatore, P. "Hooking Into AT&T Networks." Systems & Software, September, 1985.
- **PARU90** Parulkar, G. "The Next Generation of Internetworking." Computer Communications Review, January, 1990.

- PATE87 Paterakis, M.; Georgiadis, L.; and Papantoni-Kazakos, P. "On the Relation Between the Finite and Infinite Population Models for a Class of Random Access Algorithms." *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, November, 1987.
- **PERL84** Perlman, R. "An Algorithm for Distributed Computation of a Spanning Tree." *Proceedings, Ninth Data Communications Symposium,* 1984.
- PFIS82 Pfister, G.M., and O'Brien, B.V. "Comparing the CBX to the Local Network—and the Winner Is?" Data Communications, July, 1982.
- PICK86 Pickholz, R. Local Area and Multiple Access Networks. Rockville, MD: Computer Science Press, 1986.
- PIER72 Pierce, J.R. "Network for Block Switches of Data." Bell System Technical Journal, July/August, 1972.
- **PITT85** Pitt, D.; Sy, K.; and Donnan, R. "Source Routing for Bridged Local Area Networks." *Proceedings, Globecom* '85, December 1985.
- PITT86 Pitt, D., and Sy, K. "Address-Based and Non-Address-Based Routing Schemes for Interconnected Local Area Networks." In [PICK86].
- **PITT87** Pitt, D., and Winkler, J. "Table-Free Bridging." *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, December 1987.
- **PRES88** Press, L. "Benchmarks for LAN Performance Evaluation." Communications of the ACM, August, 1988.
- PROT82 Protunotarios, E.N.; Sykas, E.P.; and Apostolopoulos, T.K. "Hybrid Protocols for Contention Resolution in Local Area Networks." Proceedings, Seventh Conference on Local Computer Networks, 1982.
- **PRYC91** Prycker, M. Asynchronous Transfer Mode: Solution for Broadband ISDN. Chichester, England: Ellis Horwood Ltd., 1991.
- RAGH81 Raghavendra, C.S., and Gerla, M. "Optimal Loop Topologies for Distributed Systems." Proceedings, Seventh Data Communications Symposium, 1981.
- **RAUC83** Rauch-Hindin, W.B. "Upper-Level Network Protocols." *Electronic Design*, March 3, 1983.
- RAWS78 Rawson, E.G., and Metcalfe, R.M. "Fibernet: Multimode Optical Fibers for Local Computer Networks." *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, July, 1978.
- **REAM75** Reames, C.C., and Liu, M.T. "A Loop Network for Simultaneous Transmission of Variable-Length Messages." *Proceedings, Second Annual Symposium on Computer Architecture*, 1975.
- RICH80 Richer, J.; Steiner, M.; and Sengoku, M. "Office Communications and the Digital PBX." Computer Networks, December, 1980.
- RICH90 Richer, M. "Who Needs Universal Network Interface Standards?" Data Communications, September 21, 1990.
- **ROBE73** Roberts, L.G. "Dynamic Allocation of Satellite Capacity Through Packet Reservation." *Proceedings, National Computer Conference,* 1973.
- **ROBE75** Roberts, L.G. "ALOHA Packet System With and Without Slots and Capture." *Computer Communications Review*, April, 1975.
- **RODR90** Rodrigues, M. "Evaluating Performance of High-Speed Multiaccess Networks." *IEEE Network Magazine*, May, 1990.
- **RONA86** Ronayne, J. Introduction to Digital Communications Switching. Carmel, IN: Sams, 1986.
- ROSE88 Rosenberg, R. "The Shots Seen Round the World," Data Communications, December, 1988.

ROSS86 Ross, F. "FDDI: A Tutorial." *IEEE Communications Magazine*, May, 1986.

ROSS87 Ross, F. "Rings Are 'Round for Good!" *IEEE Network*, January, 1987.
ROUN83 Rounds, F. "Use Modeling Techniques to Estimate Local-net Success." *EDN*, April 14, 1983.

RUBI90 Rubin, ¹., and Baker, J. "Media Access Control for High-Speed Local Area and Metropolitan Area Communications." *Proceedings of the IEEE*, January, 1990.

RUSH82 Rush, J.R. "Microwave Links Add Flexibility to Local Networks." Electronics, January 13, 1982.

SACH88 Sachs, S. "Alternative Local Area Network Access Protocols." *IEEE Communications Magazine*, March, 1988.

SALT83 Saltzer, J.; Progran, K.; and Clark, D. "Why a Ring?" Computer Networks, March, 1983.

SALW83 Salwen, H. "In Praise of Ring Architecture for Local Area Networks." Computer Design, March, 1983.

SAND82 Sanders, L. "Manchester Code Gaining on NRZ" *Electronic Design*, August 5, 1982.

SAUE81 Sauer, C.H., and Chandy, K.M. Computer Systems Performance Modeling, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1981.

SCHM83 Schmidt, R.; Rawson, E.; Norton, R.; Jackson, S.; and Bailey, M. "Fibernet II: A Fiber Optic Ethernet." *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communication*, November, 1983.

SCHM88 Schmidt, R. "Developing Ethernet Capability on Unshielded Twisted Pair." *Telecommunications*, January, 1988.

SCH084 Schoeffler, J. "Distributed Computer Systems for Industrial Process Control." *Computer*, February, 1984.

SCH088 Scholl, F., and Coden, M. "Passive Optical Star Systems for Fiber Optic Local Area Networks." *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, July, 1988.

SCHW77 Schwartz, M. Computer-Communication Network Design and Analysis. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall, 1977.

SEAM82 Seaman, J. "Local Networks: Making the Right Connection." Computer Decisions, June, 1982.

SEE86 See, M. "Specifying Fiber." Data Communications, March, 1986.

SEVC87 Sevcik, K., and Johnson, M. "Cycle Time Properties of the FDDI Token Ring Protocol." *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, March, 1987.

SHOC80 Shoch, J.F., and Hupp, J.A. "Measured Performance of an Ethernet Local Network." *Communications of the ACM*, December, 1980.

SHOC82 Shoch, J.F.; Dala, Y.K.; and Redell, D.D. "Evolution of the Ethernet Local Computer Network." *Computer*, August, 1982.

SOHA87 Soha, M. "A Distributed Approach to LAN Monitoring Using Intelligent High Performance Monitors." *IEEE Network*, July, 1987.

SOHA88 Soha, M., and Perlman, R. "Comparison of Two LAN Bridge Approaches." *IEEE Network*, January, 1988.

SKAP79 Skaperda, N.J. "Some Architectural Alternatives in the Design of a Digital Switch." IEEE Transactions on Communications, July, 1979.

SLON91 Slone, J., and Drinan, A., editors. *Handbook of Local Area Networks*. New York: Auerbach, 1991.

- SMIT91 Smith, W., and Kain, R. "On the Validity of Assumptions Used to Model Local Area Networks." Proceedings, Tenth Annual Phoenix Conference on Computers and Communications, March, 1991.
- SPAN86 Spanier, S. "FEPs Ease Migration to New LAN Protocols." *Mini-Micro Systems*, September, 1986.
- SPEC82 Spector, A. "Performing Remote Operations Efficiently on a Local Computer Network." *Communications of the ACM*, April, 1982.
- SPRA91 Apragins, J.; Hammond, J.; and Pawlikowski, K. Telecommunications Protocols and Design. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1991.
- STAC80 Stack, T.R., and Dillencourt, K.A. "Protocols for Local Area Networks." Proceedings, NBS Symposium on Computer Network Protocols, 1980.
- STAH82 Stahlman, M. "Inside Wang's Local Net Architecture." Data Communications, January, 1982.
- STAL90a Stallings, W. Handbook of Computer-Communications Standards: Volume II: Local Area Network Standards. New York: Macmillan, 1990.
- STAL90b Stallings, W. Business Data Communications. New York: Macmillan, 1990.
- STAL90c Stallings, W. The Business Guide to Local Area Networks. Carmel, IN: Prentice-Hall Computer Publishing, 1990.
- STAL90d Handbook of Computer-Communications Standards, Second Edition. Volume III, The TCP/IP Protocol Suite. Indianapolis, IN: Howard W Sams & Co., 1990.
- STAL91 Stallings, W. Data and Computer Communications, Third Edition. New York: Macmillan, 1991.
- STAL92 Stallings, W. Computer Communications: Architectures, Protocols, and Standards, Third Edition. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Computer Society Press, 1992.
- STAL93a Stallings, W. Advances in Local and Metropolitan Area Network Technology. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Computer Society Press, 1993.
- STAL93b Stallings, W. Networking Standards. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1993.
- STAL93c Stallings, W. SNMP, SNMPv2, and CMIP: The Practical Guide to Network Management Standards. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1993.
- STAL93d Stallings, W. Network Management. Los Alamitos, CA: IEEE Computer Society Press, 1993.
- STIE85 Stieglitz, M. "X.25 Standard Simplifies Linking of Different LANs." Computer Design, February, 1985.
- STRA87 Straus, J., and Kawasake, B. "Passive Optical Components." in [BASC87].
- STRO86 Strole, N. "How IBM Addresses LAN Requirements with the Token Ring." *Data Communications*, February, 1986.
- STUC85 Stuck, B., and Arthurs, E. A Computer Communications Network Performance Analysis Primer. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1985.
- SUDA83 Suda, T.; Miyahara, H.; and Hasegawa, T. "Performance Evaluation of an Integrated Access Scheme in a Satellite Communications Channel." *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, January, 1983.
- SUNS77 Sunshine, C. "Interconnection of Computer Networks." Computer Networks, February, 1977.

TAKA85 Takagi, H., and Kleinrock, L. "Output Processes in Contention

Packet Broadcasting Systems." IEEE Transactions on Communications, November, 1985.

- TAKA88 Takagi, H. "Queuing Analysis of Polling Models." ACM Computing Surveys, March, 1988.
- TANE88 Tanenbaum, A.S. Computer Networks. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1988.
- TASA86 Tasaka, S. Performance Analysis of Multiple Access Protocols. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 1986.
- TASS85 Tassey, G. Technology and Economic Assessment of Optoelectronics. National Bureau of Standards, Planning Report 23, October, 1985.
- TERP91 Terplan, K. Communication Networks Management. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1991.
- TOBA80a Tobagi, F.A., and Hunt, V.B. "Performance Analysis of Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Detection." Computer Networks, October/November, 1980.
- TOBA80b Tobagi, F.A. "Multiaccess Protocols in Packet Communications Systems." IEEE Transactions on Communications, April, 1980.
- TOBA82 Tobagi, F.A. "Distributions of Packet Delay and Interdeparture Time in Slotted ALOHA and Carrier Sense Multiple Access." Journal of the ACM, October, 1982.
- TOBA83 Tobagi, F.; Borgonova, F.; and Fratta, L. "Expressnet: A High-Performance Integrated Services Local Area Network." IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, November, 1983.
- TSUC89 Hagens, R. "Components of OSI: IS-IS Intra-Domain Routing." Connexions, Interop, Inc., August, 1989.
- VALE92 Valenzano, A.; DeMartini, C.; and Ciminiera, L. MAP and TOP Communications: Standards and Applications. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1992.

VERE91 Vereen, L. "High Speed Twist." LAN Magazine, September, 1991.

VONA80 Vonarx, M. "Controlling the Mushrooming Communications Net." Data Communications, June, 1980.

WARN80 Warner, C. "Connecting Local Networks to Long-Haul Networks: Issues in Protocol Design." Proceedings, Fifth Conference on Local Computer Networks, 1980.

WATS80 Watson, W.B. "Simulation Study of the Configuration Dependent Performance of a Prioritized, CSMA Broadcast Network." Proceedings, Fifth Conference on Local Computer Networks, 1980.

WEBB84 Webb, M. "Build a VLSI-Based Workstation for the Ethernet Environment." EDN, February 23, 1984.

WEIS87 Weissberger, A., and Israel, J. "What the New Internetworking Standards Provide." Data Communications, February, 1987.

- WHIT92 White, G. Internetworking and Addressing. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1992.
- WILK79 Wilkes, M., and Wheeler, D. "The Cambridge Digital Communication Ring." Proceedings, Local Area Communications Network Symposium, 1979.
- WILL73 Willard, D. "MITRIX: A Sophisticated Digital Cable Communications System." Proceedings, National Telecommunications Conference, 1973. WOLT90 Wolter, M. "Fiber Distributed Data Interface (FDDI)---A Tutorial."
- Connexions, Interop, Inc., October, 1990.

WONG84 Wong, J. and Bux, W. "Analytic Modeling of an Adapter to Local Area Networks." *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, October, 1984.

YEN83 Yen, C., and Crawford, R. "Distribution and Equalization of Signal on Coaxial Cables Used in 10-Mbits Baseband Local Area Networks." *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, October, 1983.

ZHAN88 Zhang, L. "Comparison of Two Bridge Routing Approaches." IEEE Network, January, 1988.

ZANG91 Zanger, H., and Zanger, C. Fiber Optics: Communication and Other Applications. New York: Macmillan, 1991.

.

Index

A

Access switch, 311 Accounting management, 480 user requirements, 480 Acknowledged connectionless service, 166 Active star, 136–138 Active star coupler, 77 Address learning, IEEE 802.1 transparent bridge, 428-429 Addressing, 160-163 local area network, 160-163 router, 456-457 Addressing mode, IEEE 802.5 source routing bridge, 441-443 ALOHA, 171, 387–391 Amplifier, 113 Amplitude-shift keying, 28–29 Analog data, 24 data encoding, 27 digital signal, data encoding, 32 Analog data communications, 23-27 Analog device, network interface, 354 Analog signal, 24 analog transmission, 24–26 digital data, data encoding, 27-29 digital transmission, 24-26

Analog transmission analog signal, 24-26 digital signal, 24-26 Application layer, 50-51 Asynchronous balance mode, HDLC, 61 Asynchronous frame, 225 Asynchronous response mode, HDLC, 61 Asynchronous terminal PAD approach, 343-347 PAD parameters, 344-345 transparent mode, 342 Asynchronous transfer mode, 48 Asynchronous transmission, 36-37 ĆCITT, 242 FDDI, 242 ISO, 242 Attachment mode, device-network interface unit interface, 327-329 Attenuation, digital signal, 24

B

Bandwidth, 28 Bandwidth balancing, Distributed Queue Dual Bus, 264–268, 401 Bandwidth balancing modulus, 267 Baseband, 104 transmission techniques, 105, 106

Baseband coax, 105-109 Baseband collision detection timing, 175 Baseband system, 105-109 broadband system, compared, 116-119 configuration, 110 Basic mode, FDDI-II, 243-246 Beacon process, ring monitoring, 240-241 Biphase code, 31-32 advantages, 31-32 Blocking, star topology network, 277 Bridge, 412, 412-450 functions, 412-415 internetworking, 418 alternate routes, 419 local area network, connection, 416 medium access control-dependent actions, 427 operation, 413 point-to-point link, 417 protocol architecture, 415-417 routing, 417-450 X.25 network, 417 Bridge port, spanning tree state transition diagram, 436 Bridge protocol data unit, 437-439 Broadband, 104 transmission techniques, 105, 106 Broadband collision detection timing, 176 Broadband system, 109-116 baseband system, compared, 116-119 components, 112-116 data transfer service, 118 data transmission service, 116 dual configuration, 110-112 frequency translator, 111 headend, 111 remodulator, 111 split configuration, 110-112 Broadcast address, 162 Bus topology, 76 star wiring, 93-94 Bus/tree local area network, characteristics, 103-105 Bus/tree topology access methods, 170 medium access control, 170-193 metallic media, 103-120 Bypass state, 126

С

Cable attenuation, coaxial cable, 114 Cable frequency split, 112

> х • т

Cable vulnerability, ring topology, 127 Cambridge ring, 204-205 Capacity allocation, FDDI, 223-229, 230 Carrier frequency, 25 Carrier sense multiple access (CSMA), 172 back-off, 173 persistence, 173 Carrier sense multiple access with collision detection. See CSMA/CD Carrier signal, 27-29 Carrierband, 213 Carrierband system, 119-120 CCITT, 58 asynchronous transmission, 242 isochronous transmission, 242 synchronous transmission, 242 Cell relay, 48 Centralized reservation, 191-192 Centrex, 312-313 Characteristic impedance, 143-145 Cheapernet, 209 Circuit disconnect, circuit switching, 40 Circuit establishment, circuit switching, 39-40 Circuit-switched local network, 99-100, 275-315 Circuit-switched network, 353 Circuit switching, 39-40, 46-47 circuit disconnect, 40 circuit establishment, 39-40 data transfer, 40 defined, 4 Claim token process, ring monitoring, 239-240 Coaxial cable, 81-82 cable attenuation, 114 connectivity, 82 cost, 82 frequency, 114 geographic scope, 82 noise immunity, 82 physical description, 81 transmission characteristics, 81-82 Codec, 25 Collision, 171 Common Management Information Protocol, 496 data units, 498-499 Common Management Information Service, 491-496 filtering, 495 scoping, 495 synchronization, 495-496

Communication switching techniques, 37 - 48comparison, 46-47 Communications architecture, 48-49 local area network, 158 Open Systems Interconnection (OSI), 49, 54-67 three-layer model, 49-54 Communications network, 38, 412 classification, 95-100 Computer network, 39, 48-67 Computer room network, local network, 10 - 12Configuration management, 480-481 user requirements, 481 Configuration message, 439 Confirmed service, 217 Connection management, FDDI, 510 entity coordination management, 510 physical connection management, 510-511 Connection-oriented service, 166 Distributed Queue Dual Bus, 252-253 Connectionless data service, Distributed Queue Dual Bus, 252-253 Connectivity coaxial cable, 82 optical fiber cable, 87 twisted pair, 80 Contention, protocol, 382-392 delay, 391 maximum channel utilization, 391 throughput, 390 Contention technique, 171 Controller, 116 Convergence IEEE 802 local area network/metropolitan area network management, 505-510 protocol data unit, 509 Coupling, local network, 3 CSMA/CD, 170-180 description, 174-179 end-to-end delay, 408 IEEE 802.3 standards, 209-213 precursors, 171-174 token bus, compared, 189–191 token passing comparative performance, 376-382 throughput, 378-380 two-level star-wired configuration, 177 - 178Cyclic redundancy check, 62, 69-71 effectiveness, 70

541

Data, vs. information, 23 Data circuit-terminating equipment, 58 Data communications analog, 23-37 digital, 23-37 Data encoding analog data, 27 digital data, 27 FDDI, 229-234 techniques, 27-34 Data exchange, 2 Data switch, digital data-switching device, 295-297 Data terminal equipment, 58 Data transfer broadband system, 118 circuit switching, 40 Data transmission service, broadband system, 116 Datagram internetworking, 454-455 packet switching, 42, 43, 46–47 Datagram lifetime, 458-460 Decibel, 146-147 Delay Distributed Queue Dual Bus, 402 FDDI, 402 ring protocol, 394 Delivery control, ISO virtual terminal service, 351-352 Delta modulation, 32-34 Descrambling, 147-149 Device-network interface unit interface, 326-339 attachment mode, 327–329 local network protocol architecture, 326-327 Differential Manchester code, 32, 33 Digital data, 24 analog signal, data encoding, 27-29 data encoding, 27 digital signal, data encoding, 29-32 Digital data communications, 23-27 Digital data switch, 5 Digital data-switching device, 291-297 data switch, 295-297 data-switching requirements, 293-294 port contention, 294, 295 port selection, 294, 297 terminal/port-oriented switch, 294-295 Digital PBX, 5, 297-315

D

advanced services, 301-303

Digital PBX (cont.) architecture, 304-311 generic, 305 components, 304-307 data-switching requirements, 303-304 digital switch module, 309 distributed architecture, 307 circuit establishment, 308 evolution, 297-299 local area network, compared, 313-315 modular architecture, 308-311, 312 trunk interface unit, 307 typical features, 302-303 Digital private branch exchange. See Digital PBX Digital signal, 24 advantaģes, 24 analog data, data encoding, 32 analog transmission, 24-26 attenuation, 24 digital data, data encoding, 29-32 digital transmission, 24-26 disadvantages, 24 Digital switch module, digital PBX, 309 Digital switching, concepts, 277-291 Digital transmission analog signal, 24-26 digital signal, 24-26 Directional coupler, 115 Directional splitter, 115 Distributed architecture, digital PBX, 307 circuit establishment, 308 Distributed queue access protocol, Distributed Queue Dual Bus, 255-268 Distributed Queue Dual Bus arbitrated functions, 253 bandwidth balancing, 264–268, 401 common functions, 253 configuration control function, 253 connection-oriented convergence function, 255 connection-oriented service, 252-253 connectionless data service, 252-253 convergence function, 254 delay, 402 distributed queue access protocol, 255-268 FDDI, comparative performance, 401-403 functional architecture, 252 head-of-bus function, 253 isochronous convergence function, 254 isochronous service, 253

layer, 253-255 looped bus topology, 250-251 medium access control convergence function, 254 MID page allocation function, 253 open bus topology, 249 PA function, 254 performance, 399-401 physical layer, 255 priority distributed queueing, 263-264 protocol architecture, 251-253 protocol data unit, 268-272 medium access control service data unit transfer, 269–271 segment, 269 slot, 268 QA function, 254 reconfigurator, 251 services, 251-253 topology, 248-251 synchronization, 249-250 timing, 249-250 Distributed Queue Dual Bus subnetwork standard, 247-248 Distribution cable, 113 Drop cable, 113 Dual-cable broadband spectrum allocation, 117 Dual ring FDDI network, 235-236 FDDI station, 235-236 Duobinary AM/PSK, input pulse, 115 Duplicate address detection, 512

Е

Echo control, ISO virtual terminal service, 352 End system, 411, 412 End-to-end delay, CSMA/CD, 408 End-to-end performance isolated queue, 404, 405 local area network, 403-407 metropolitan area network, 403-407 Erbium-doped fiber amplifier, 136 Error control, 63 Error-detecting code, 70 Error rate, local network, 3 ES-IS routing, 465-467 configuration functions, 466 route redirection function, 466-467 Ethernet, 107 architecture, 108 implementation, 108

F

Factory local network, 14-15 Fault management, 478-480 user requirements, 479-480 FDDI, 99, 220-241 asynchronous transmission, 242 capacity allocation, 223-229, 230 connection management, 510 entity coordination management, 510 physical connection management, 510-511 data encoding, 229-234 delay, 402 Distributed Queue Dual Bus, comparative performance, 401-403 frame format, 221-223 isochronous transmission, 242 management, 510-514 performance, 395-399 physical layer specification, 229-235 physical medium specification, 235 protocol architecture, 220 ring management, 511-512 SMT frame service, 512-514 station network sequence of events, 397 synchronous transmission, 242 target token rotation time, 395-399, 400 timing jitter, 235–235 token ring, 224 FDDI-II, 241-247 architecture, 241-242 basic mode, 243-246 channels, 243--245 cycle format, 243-245 cycle header, 245-246 cycle structure, 243 hybrid mode, 243-246 initialization, 247 operation, 246-247 programming template maintenance, 247 FDDI medium access control protocol, 221-229 IEEE 802.5, compared, 221 FDDI network configurations, 235-238 dual ring, 235-236 FDDI station configurations, 235-238 dual ring, 235-236 types, 236-237 FDDI topology, 237-238

Feeder cable, 113 Fiber distributed data interface. See FDDI Fibernet II, 136-138 Filtering, Common Management Information Service, 495 Fixed routing, 420-422 Flow control, 63 packet switching, 45 4B/5B Code, 231-233 Frame check sequence, 70 Frame format, IEEE 802.5 source routing bridge, 446-447 Frame forwarding, IEEE 802.1 transparent bridge, 428 Frame relay, 47-48 Frequency, coaxial cable, 114 Frequency-agile modem, 116 Frequency-division multiplexing, 34-36 Frequency-shift keying, 28-29 Frequency translator, broadband system, 111 Front-end processor, network interface unit, 334-339

G

Full duplex, 29

Gateway, 327–328 network interface unit, 329–334 Generic switching network, 38 Group address, 162 Group switch, 311 Guided media, 78–79

Н

HDLC, 61 asynchronous balance mode, 61 asynchronous response mode, 61 capabilities, 61 frame structure, 62 modes of operation, 61 normal response mode, 61 Headend, broadband system, 111 Header hub, 121 High-speed local network, 59 Host-to-front-end protocol, 334 Hub, 121–122 Hybrid mode, FDDI-II, 243–246

I

IEEE 802 CSMA/CD, 179–180 IEEE 802 frame format, 156–157 IEEE 802 LLC control field format, 168

IEEE 802 local area network/metropolitan area network management, 502-510 communications architecture, 503 convergence service, 505-510 management information exchange, 504 protocol, 504 service, 504 IEEE 802 logical link control, 163-167 services, 164-167 IEEE 802 standards, 207-215 IEEE 802 token bus, 181-189 IEEE 802 token ring, 196-197 IEEE 802.1 transparent bridge, 422-439 address learning, 428-429 architecture, 423-425 frame forwarding, 428 operation, 422-426 protocol data unit, 437-439 spanning tree algorithm, 429-437 IEEE 802.1D medium access control bridge internal sublayer service parameters, 425 internal sublayer service primitives, 425 IEEE 802.3, physical layer medium alternatives, 210 IEEE 802.3 standards CSMA/CD, 209-213 original, 209 IEEE 802.4 physical layer standards medium alternatives, 214 optical fiber, 213 IEEE 802.4 standards, token bus, 213-215 IEEE 802.5 FDDI medium access control protocol, compared, 221 physical layer medium specification, 215 IEEE 802.5 source routing bridge, 439-447 addressing mode, 441-443 frame format, 446-447 operation, 440-441 route delivery, 443-446 route discovery, 440 route selection, 443-446 routing directive, 441-443 IEEE 802.5 standards, token ring, 215 IEEE 802.6, 247-272 Individual address, 162 Information distribution local network, 16-18 mainframe, 17

minicomputer, 17 personal computer, 16-17 terminal, 16-17 Information exchange discipline, 471 Infrared link, 87-88 Initial medium access control protocol data unit, 269-271 Initialization, ring topology, 128 Initialization process, ring monitoring, 240 Injection laser diode, 84-85 Input pulse, duobinary AM/PSK, 115 Installation, ring topology, 127 Integrated services digital network (ISDN), 47 Integrated voice and data local network, telephone system, 15 Intensity modulation, 86, 230 Intermediate hub, 121 Intermediate system, 411, 412 International Consultative Committee on Telegraphy and Telephony. See CCITT Internet, 411, 412 Internet protocol, 454 Internetworking, 411-474 BBN router, 459 bridge, 418 alternate routes, 419 datagram, 454-455 local area network, 418, 452 alternate routes, 419 routing table, 459 terms, 412 Internetworking protocol, 59 IS-IS routing, 467-474 ISO 10589 function, 471-474 routing algorithm, 470 routing environment, 467 ISO asynchronous transmission, 242 isochronous transmission, 242 synchronous transmission, 242 ISO 10589 function, IS-IS routing, 471-474 ISO internetworking standard, 461-463 ISO IP protocol, 462-463 ISO IP service, 461-462 ISO virtual terminal service, 349-353 delivery control, 351-352 echo control, 352 operation mode, 351 service class, 350-351 virtual parameter, 352-353

) . (.

Isochronous service, Distributed Queue Dual Bus, 253 Isochronous transmission CCITT, 242 FDDI, 242 ISO, 242 Isolated queue, end-to-end performance, 404, 405

L

Laser transceiver, 88 Layer 1 gateway, network interface unit, 329-330 Layer 2 gateway network interface unit, 330 synchronous terminal, 347 Layer 3 gateway, network interface unit, 331-334 Layer 4/2 FNP, network interface unit, 334-336 Layer 5/4 FNP, network interface unit, 336-339 Light-emitting diode, 84-85 Line-of-sight media, 87-88 Linear layout strategy, 93 Link layer protocol, local area network, 157-170 addressing, 160–163 principles, 157-160 Listen before talk (LBT), 172 Listen state, 125 LLC, protocol, 167-170 LLC-medium access control interface, 169-170 LLC primitive, 216 Local area network, 59, 97 addressing, 160-163 architecture, 151-205 bridge, connection, 416 characteristics, 5, 96 communication architecture, 158 digital PBX, compared, 313-315 end-to-end performance, 403-407 internetworking, 418, 452 alternate routes, 419 layers, 152-153 link control scenario, 160 link layer protocol, 157-170 addressing, 160-163 principles, 157-160 metropolitan area network, attachment approaches, 322-324

network control center, 500–502 configuration functions, 500 fault-isolation functions, 502 monitoring functions, 502–502 performance, 357–407, 368–395 performance bounds, 369–375 performance considerations, 358–368 performance factors, 367–368 performance measures, 358–360 propagation delay, 360–367 protocol, 151–157 comparative bounds, 375, 376

performance factors, 367-368 performance measures, 358-360 propagation delay, 360-367 protocol, 151-157 comparative bounds, 375, 376 maximum potential data rate, 382-385 protocol architecture layers, 328 operation, 326-327 protocol data unit, 161 reference model, 151-154 terminal handling, 340-353 asynchronous terminals, 342 secondary network, 340-342 transmission rate, 360-367 Local area network management, importance, 497-500 Local area network-specific network management, 496-502 Local area network standards, 208 Local network applications, 7-15 benefits, 6-7 characteristics, 3 computer room network, 10-12 coupling, 3 definition, 2 error rate, 3 geographic scope, 3 information distribution, 16-18 medium access control, 154-156 contention, 155-156 reservation, 155 round robin, 155 multiprocessor system, compared, 3 office automation, 12-14 personal computer network, 8-10

pitfalls, 6–7

tiered, 18

transmission methods, 4 types, 3-5

Local network protocol architecture, device-network interface unit interface, 326–327 Local network topology, 75

Logical link control primitives, 165

546

Looped bus topology, Distributed Queue Dual Bus, 250-251

Μ

Mainframe, information distribution, 17 Management information exchange, IEEE 802 local area network/metropolitan area network management, 504 Management information structure, Open Systems Interconnection network management, 489-491 attributes, 489-491 behavior, 491 notifications, 491 object classes, 490 operations, 491 Manchester code, 32, 33 Medium access control, 153 bus/tree topology, 170-193 local network, 154-156 contention, 155-156 reservation, 155 round robin, 155 protocol, 104, 223 ring, 193-205 access methods, 193 Mesh topology, 74 Message encapsulation, IP approach, 454 Metallic media bus/tree topology, 103-120 ring topology, 123-133 benefits, 126-127 description, 123-126 problems, 127-130 star topology, 120-123 Metropolitan area network, 5, 98 architecture, 219-272 characteristics, 96, 219-220 end-to-end performance, 403-407 local area network, attachment approaches, 322-324 performance, 357-407, 395-403 performance considerations, 358-368 performance factors, 367-368 performance measures, 358-360 propagation delay, 360-367 transmission rate, 360-367 Microwave, 88 Minicomputer, information distribution, 17 Modem, 25, 113-114 scrambling, 115-116

Modular architecture, digital PBX, 308– 311, 312 Multicast address, 162 Multiclass protocol operation, token bus, 188–189 Multidrop line, 4 Multiplexing, 34–36 Multiport repeater, 10BASET, connections, 212 Multiprocessor system, local network, compared, 3 Multirate circuit switching, 45–47

Ν

Name management, 480-481 user requirements, 481 Network access layer, 50-51 Network control center, local area network, 500-502 configuration functions, 500 fault-isolation functions, 502 monitoring functions, 500-502 Network entity title, 457 Network interface, 319-354 analog device, 354 requirement, 319-322 Network interface unit front-end processor, 334-339 gateway, 329–334 layer 1 gateway, 329-330 layer 2 gateway, 330 layer 3 gateway, 331-334 layer 4/2 FNP, 334-336 layer 5/4 FNP, 336-339 packet-switched interfacing, 324-326 architecture, 325 Network-layer addressing, 457 Network management, 477-514 requirements, 478-483 systems, 483-485 Network service access point, 457 Node, 38 Noise immunity coaxial cable, 82 optical fiber cable, 87 twisted pair, 80 Nonblocking, star topology network, 277 Nonconfirmed service, 217 Nonlinear encoding, 32 Nonreturn-to-zero (NRZ) code, 30 Normal response mode, HDLC, 61 NRZ-L Code, 30 NRZI Code, 31
Index

0

Office automation, local network, 12-14 Office network, performance spectrum, 17 One-persistent protocol, 173 Open bus topology, Distributed Queue Dual Bus, 249 Open Systems Interconnection network management, 485-496 architectural model, 486 framework, 486-488 functions, 488 management information structure, 489-491 attributes, 489–491 behavior, 491 notifications, 491 object classes, 490 operations, 491 systems management interactions, 487 Open Systems Interconnection (OSI) application layer, 66 communications architecture, 49, 54-67 concept, 54-55 data link layer, 60--64 electrical characteristics, 60 environment, 56-57 functional characteristics, 60 layers, 56, 59 mechanical characteristics, 60 model, 55-58 network layer, 64-65 perspective, 66 physical layer, 60 presentation layer, 66 procedural characteristics, 60 protocols, 58-66 session layer, 66 transport layer, 65-66 Operation mode, ISO virtual terminal service, 351 Optical fiber comparison of types, 84 IEEE 802.4 physical layer standard, 213 passive star, 134 operating range, 135 transmission losses by type, 86 Optical fiber bus, 139-142 Optical fiber cable, 82-87 connectivity, 87 cost, 87 geographic scope, 87 noise immunity, 87

physical description, 83 transmission characteristics, 83–87 transmission mode, 85 Optical fiber ring, 138–139 Optical fiber star, 133–138 Optical fiber tap, 139–142 Original 802.3 standard, 209

Р

P-persistent, 173-174 Packet broadcasting, defined, 4 Packet-switched interfacing, 322-326 network interface unit, 324-326 architecture, 325 Packet switching, 40-45, 46-47 advantages, 42-43 datagram, 42, 43, 46-47 flow control, 45 operation, 41-42 sequencing, 45 use, 41 virtual circuit, 42, 43-44, 46-47 PAD approach, asynchronous terminal, 343-347 PAD parameters, 344-345 Passive star, 134-136 optical fiber, 134 operating range, 135 Passive star coupler, 77 Path calculation, 471 Perambulation, ring topology, 127 Performance management, 481-482 user requirements, 482 Personal computer, information distribution, 16-17 Personal computer network, local network. 8-10 Phase-locked loop, 129 Phase-shift keying, 28-29 Photodiode, 85 Piggybacked acknowledgment, 62 Ping-pong protocol, 293 Pipelining, 63 Point-to-point link, 74 bridge, 417 Port contention, digital data-switching device, 294, 295 Port selection, digital data-switching device, 294, 297 Primitive, 215-217 types, 215-216

Priority distributed queueing, Distributed Queue Dual Bus, 263–264

547

Index

Propagation delay local area network, 360-367 metropolitan area network, 360-367 Protocol, 52 contention, 382-392 delay, 391 maximum channel utilization, 391 throughput, 390 elements, 56 LLC, 167-170 local area network, 151-157 comparative bounds, 375, 376 maximum potential data rate, 382-385 semantics, 56 syntax, 56 timing, 56 virtual terminal, 347-349 Protocol architecture bridge, 415-417 Distributed Queue Dual Bus, 251-253 local area network layers, 328 operation, 326-327 router, 454-456 Protocol data unit, 52-53 convergence, 509 Distributed Queue Dual Bus medium access control service data unit transfer, 269-271 segment, 269 slot, 268 IEEE 802.1 transparent bridge, 437-439 local area network, 161 Protocol specification Pulse code modulation, 32-34 Pure ALOHA, 171, 385-386

R

Random access technique, 171 Reassembly, router, 460–461 Reconfigurator, Distributed Queue Dual Bus, 251 Recovery, ring topology, 128 Register insertion, 201–204 Register insertion ring, 202 Remodulator, broadband system, 111 Repeater, 75 Repeater failure, ring topology, 127 Response window, 181 Ring, medium access control, 193–205 access methods, 193

Ring bridge, 130-133 Ring management, FDDI, 511-512 Ring monitoring, 238-241 beacon process, 240-241 claim token process, 239-240 initialization process, 240 Ring protocol comparative performance, 392-395 delay, 394 Ring repeater state, 124-125 Ring topology, 75-76 cable vulnerability, 127 initialization, 128 installation, 127 metallic media, 123-133 benefits, 126-127 description, 123-126 problems, 127-130 perambulation, 127 recovery, 128 repeater failure, 127 size, 127 star wiring, 93–94 synchronization, 129 timing jitter, 128-130 Ring wiring concentrator, 130, 131 Route delivery, IEEE 802.5 source routing bridge, 443-446 Route discovery, IEEE 802.5 source routing bridge, 440 Route selection, IEEE 802.5 source routing bridge, 443-446 Router, 412, 450-463 addressing, 456-457 design issues, 456-461 protocol architecture, 454-456 reassembly, 460-461 requirements, 451-453 routing, 464-474 segmentation, 460-461 Routing, 458 bridge, 417-450 hierarchy, 469 router, 464-474 Routing algorithm, IS-IS routing, 470 Routing directive, IEEE 802.5 source routing bridge, 441-443 Routing domain, 467-469

S

Scoping, Common Management Information Service, 495

548

Index

Scrambling, 147-149 modem, 115-116 Secondary network s'.rver, 341 Security management, 482-483 user requirements, 483 Segmentation, router, 460-461 Semantics, protocol, 56 Sequencing, packet switching, 45 Service access point, 51-52, 153 Service class, ISO virtual terminal service, 350-351 Service primitive, 215-217 Shielded twisted pair, 215 Single-channel broadband, 104, 119-120 Size, ring topology, 127 Sliding-window protocol, 63 Slotted ALOHA, 171, 386-391 Slotted ring, 204-205 SMT frame service, FDDI, 512-514 Solicit successor frame, 181 Source routing formats, 447 spanning tree, compared, 447-449 Source routing transparent, 449-450 Space-division switching, 278-282 single-stage, 278 three-stage, 279 blocking, 280 nonblocking, 281 Spanning tree, source routing, compared, 447-449 Spanning tree algorithm, IEEE 802.1 transparent bridge, 429-437 Spanning tree state transition diagram, bridge port, 436 Splitter, 113 SRT bridge logic, 450 Star coupler, 76-77 Star layout strategy, 93 Star local area network, 209-211 Star-ring architecture, 130-133 Star topology, 76-77 elements, 275-276 metallic media, 120-123 Star topology network, 275-277 blocking, 277 nonblocking, 277 Star wiring bus topology, 93-94 ring topology, 93-94 Stuck beacon detection, 511-512

Subnetwork, 411, 412

549

Subnetwork point of attachment address, 457 Supervisory frame, types, 64 Switching technique, spectrum, 39 Synchronization Common Management Information Service, 495-496 ring topology, 129 Synchronous frame, 225 Synchronous terminal, layer 2 gateway, 347 Synchronous transmission, 36-37 CCITT, 242 FDDI, 242 ISO, 242 Syntax, protocol, 56 Systems management operation, 492-493

Т

Tap, 113 Target token rotation time, 225 FDDI, 395-399, 400 TDM bus switching, 282-291 control, 285 time-multiplexed switching, 288-291 time-slot interchange, 287-288 TDM switch, three-stage, 292 Telephone call, processing requirements, 299-301 Telephone system, integrated voice and data local network, 15 10BASET, 211-213 multiport repeater, connections, 212 Terminal, information distribution, 16-17 Terminal control element, 310 Terminal handling, local area network, 340-353 asynchronous terminals, 342 secondary network, 340-342 Terminal-network architecture, 346 Terminal/port-oriented switch, digital data-switching device, 294-295 Time-division multiplexing, 34-36 Time-division switching, 282 Time-multiplexed switching, TDM bus switching, 288-291 Time-slot interchange, TDM bus switching, 287–288 Timing, protocol, 56 Timing jitter FDDI, 235-235 ring topology, 128-130

550

Token bus, 180-189 CSMA/CD, compared, 189-191 description, 180-181 error handling, 183 IEEE 802.4 standards, 213-215 multiclass protocol operation, 188-189 priority scheme, 185 class throughput, 186-187 Token passing, CSMA/CD comparative performance, 376-382 throughput, 378-380 Token ring, 194-200 description, 194-196 FDDI, 224 IEEE 802.5 standards, 215 maintenance, 199-200 priority, 197-199 priority scheme, 199-201 Topology, 73-77 defined, 73-74 Distributed Queue Dual Bus, 248-251 synchronization, 249-250 timing, 249-250 selection, 77 transmission medium combinations, 90-92 layout, 92-94 relationship, 90-94 Trace function, 512 Transmission control protocol, 59 Transmission medium, 78-90 compared, 89 selection, 88-90 topology combinations, 90-92 layout, 92-94 relationship, 90–94 Transmission mode, optical fiber cable, 85 Transmission rate local area network, 360-367 metropolitan area network, 360-367

Transmission system, block diagram, 78 Transmit state, 125-126 Transparent bridge, 422-439 architecture, 423-425 operation, 422–426 Transparent mode, asynchronous terminal, 342 Transport layer, 50-51 Tree topology, 76 Trunk interface unit, digital PBX, 307 Twin pair, 293 Twisted pair, 79-80 connectivity, 80 cost, 80 geographic scope, 80 noise immunity, 80 physical description, 79 transmission characteristics, 79-80

U

Unacknowledged connectionless service, 164–166 Unallocated frame, 192 Unguided media, 79

\mathbf{V}

Virtual circuit, packet switching, 42, 43– 44, 46–47 Virtual parameter, ISO virtual terminal service, 352–353 Virtual terminal, protocol, 347–349

W

Wide-area network, 5, 96 characteristics, 96

Х

X.25 network, bridge, 417 X.25 protocol, 331–333

Index

College Division Macmillan Publishing Company Front & Brown Streets Riverside, NJ 08075

ORDER FORM

Ship To: (Please print or type)	Bill to: (If different from shipping address)		
Name	Name		
Co	Co		
Address	Address		
City St Zip	City St Zip		

Mail your order to the above address or call 800-548-9939 (in New Jersey call 609-461-6500) or Fax 609-461-9265

Shipping Method (select one)	
 UPS ground 2nd Day Air Book Rate	

Payment Method (select one)		
Check	Visa	
Bill Me	MasterCard	
Authorize	d Signature	
Card Number	Exp Date	

(continued)

TEAR OUT THIS PAGE TO ORDER OTHER TITLES BY WILLIAM STALLINGS:

SEQ.	QTY.	ISBN NO.	TITLE	COPY PRICE	TOTAL
1		002-415495-4	Computer Organization & Architecture 3/e	69.00	
2		002-415454-7	Data and Computer Communications 3/e	69.00	<u> </u>
3		002-415465-2	Local and Metropolitan Area Networks 4/e	60.00	
4	- <u></u>	002-415431-8	Business Data Communications	49.00	
5		002-415475-X	ISDN and Broadband ISDN 2/e	55.00	
6		002-415481-4	Operating Systems	56.00	

SINGLE

Handbooks of Computer Communications Standards

7	 002-415521-7	Volume 1, The Open Systems Interconnection (OSI) Model and OSI- Related Standards, 2/e	47.00	
8	 002-415522-5	Volume 2, Local Area Network Standards, 2/e	47.00	
9	 002-415523-3	Volume 3, The TCP/IP Protocol Suite, 2/e	47.00	
		GRAN	JD TOTAL	

A small shipping charge will be added. Prices subject to change without prior notification.

PSR-PSL 350-3500 FC# 1355

in a card

ACRONYMS

110		
ANS	American National Standard	
ANSI	American National Standards Institute	
ASK	Amplitude-Shift Keying	
CATV	Community Antenna Television	
CBX	Computerized Branch Exchange	
CCITT	Consultative Committee on International Telegraphy	
	and Telephony	
CRC	Cyclic Redundancy Check	
CSMA	Carrier-Sense Multiple Access	
CSMA/CD	Carrier-Sense Multiple Access with Collision	
	Detection	
DCE	Data Circuit-Terminating Equipment	
DES	Data Encryption Standard	
DOD	Department of Defense	
DQDB	Distributed Queue, Dual Bus	
DTE	Data Terminal Equipment	
FCS	Frame Check Sequence	
FDDI	Fiber Distributed Data Interface	
FDM	Frequency-Division Multiplexing	
FNP	Front-End Network Processor	
FSK	Frequency-Shift Keying	
HAM	Hybrid Access Method	
HDLC	High-Level Data Link Control	
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers	
IP	Internet Protocol	
ISO	International Standards Organization	

LAN	Local Area Network
LBT	Listen Before Talk
LCC	Lost Calls Cleared
LCD	Lost Calls Delayed
LLC	Logical Link Control
LWT	Listen While Talk
MAC	Medium Access Control
MAN	Metropolitan Area Network
NCC	Network Control Center
OSI	Open Systems Interconnection
PABX	Private Automatic Branch Exchange
PBX	Private Branch Exchange
PCM	Pulse Code Modulation
PDN	Public Data Network
PSK	Phase-Shift Keying
SAP	Service Access Point
SNS	Secondary Network Server
ТСР	Transmission Control Protocol
TDM	Time-Division Multiplexing
TIU	Trusted Interface Unit
TMS	Time-Multiplexed Switching
ТР	Transport Protocol
TSI	Time-Slot Interchange
VAN	Value-Added Network
VTP	Virtual Terminal Protocol
WAN	Wide-Area Network

ABOUT LOCAL AND METROPOLITAN AREA NETWORKS, FOURTH EDITION

The publication of a fourth edition of this ever-popular book confirms its place as the definitive treatment of local and metropolitan area networks. Since the first edition appeared in 1983, successive editions of this book have tracked and explained the explosive technical and design developments in this important field.

The book provides an in-depth presentation of the technology and architecture of local and metropolitan area networks. By focusing on the underlying principles of design and implementation, Dr. Stallings gives the reader an understanding and a critical facility for judging design alternatives. He emphasizes such key areas as topology, transmission medium, protocols, performance, internetworking, and network management. The book provides a thorough, up-to-date discussion of all key network standards, including IEEE 802, FDDI, FDDI-II, and DQDB MAN.

As with all of Stallings's books, Local and Metropolitan Area Networks is noted for its exceptional clarity, superb organization, the use of a wealth of examples, and the inclusion of supporting material such as a glossary, a list of acronyms, a bibliography, suggestions for further reading, and a set of problems.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

William Stallings has made a unique contribution to the understanding of the broad sweep of technical developments in computer networking and computer architecture. He has authored 16 titles, and counting revised editions, a total of 34 books on various aspects of these subjects. In over 20 years in the field, Dr. Stallings has been a technical contributor, technical manager, and consultant to computer and networking manufacturers, software development firms, customers, and leading-edge government research institutions. In addition, he is a frequent lecturer and author of numerous technical papers. His books include Data and Computer Communications, Third Edition (Macmillan, 1991), which has become the standard in the field, ISDN and Broadband ISDN, Second Edition (Macmillan, 1992), and Operating Systems (Macmillan, 1992).

Dr. Stallings holds a PhD. from M.I.T. in Computer Science and a B.S. from Notre Dame in Electrical Engineering. He is president of Comp-Comm Consulting of Brewster, MA.

ISBN 0-02-415465-2