

Case IPR2015-  
Patent No. 8,603,506  
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review  
Attorney Docket No. REDDYPP 7.1R-017

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, LTD. and  
DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, INC.

Requestors

v.

GALDERMA LABORATORIES, INC.  
Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,603,506  
Issue Date: December 10, 2013  
Title: METHOD OF TREATING ACNE

---

*Inter Partes* Review No. Unassigned

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF  
CLAIMS 1, 7, 8, 14, 15, AND 20 OF U.S. PATENT  
NO. 8,603,506 AND MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	iii
EXHIBIT LIST .....	iv
STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED .....	6
I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT .....	6
II. THE CLAIMS UNDER CONSIDERATION .....	10
III. THE SPECIFICATION AND PROSECUTION HISTORY OF THE ‘506 PATENT .....	11
A. The Specification Of The ‘506 Patent .....	11
B. The Prosecution History Of The ‘506 Patent .....	14
IV. EFFECTIVE FILING DATE FOR CLAIMS 1, 7, 8 AND 14 .....	20
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	22
A. Rosacea .....	22
B. Papules And Pustules .....	23
VI. ANALYSIS .....	24
A. Ground 1. Claims 1, 7, 8, 14, 15, And 20 Would Have Been Obvious Over Bikowski (Exh.1011), In View Of The 2000 PERIOSTAT PDR (Exh.1042) And Golub <i>et.al.</i> (Exh.1048) .....	24
1. The Level Of Ordinary Skill In The Art .....	25
2. The Scope And Content Of The Prior Art .....	27
3. Differences Between The Art And The Claims .....	31
4. Dependent Claims 7, 14 and 20 .....	36
5. Claim Chart .....	37

Case IPR2015-  
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review  
Attorney Docket No. REDDYPP 7.1R-017

B. Ground 2. Claims 1, 7, 8, 14, 15, And 20 Would Have Been Obvious Over Bikowski (Exh.1011) And The 2000 PERIOSTAT PDR (Exh.1042).....	41
1. The Level Of Ordinary Skill In The Art .....	41
2. The Scope And Content Of The Prior Art .....	41
3. The Differences Between The Claimed Invention And The Prior Art .....	45
a. The Dosage .....	45
a. Exclusion Of Bisphosphonate .....	50
b. No Reduction In Microflora .....	50
4. Dependent Claims 7, 14, And 20 .....	52
5. Claim Chart .....	53
VII. CONCLUSION.....	57
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE.....	58

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Alcon Research, Ltd. v. Apotex Inc.</i> , 687 F.3d 1362, 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2012), <i>cert denied</i> , 133 S. Ct. 1736 (2013).....	25
<i>Asyst Techs., Inc. v. Emtrak, Inc.</i> , 544 F.3d 1310 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	17
<i>Cable Elec. Prods., Inc. v. Genmark, Inc.</i> , 770 F.2d 1015 (Fed. Cir. 1985), <i>overruled on other grounds</i> .....	17
<i>In re Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC</i> , 778 F.3d 1271 (Fed. Cir. 2015), <i>rh'g en banc denied</i> .....	22
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	24
<i>J.T. Eaton &amp; Co. v. Atl. Paste &amp; Glue Co.</i> , 106 F.3d 1563 (Fed. Cir. 1997) .....	17
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	24, 25
<i>Mintz v. Dietz &amp; Watson, Inc.</i> , 679 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	25
<i>New Railhead Mfg., LLC v. Vermeer Mfg Co.</i> , 298 F.3d 1290 (Fed. Cir. 2002) .....	21
<i>Par Pharm. Inc. v. TWI Pharms., Inc.</i> , 773 F.3d 1186, No. 2014-1391, 2014 U.S. App. LEXIS 22737 (Fed. Cir. Dec. 3, 2014) (Exh. 1032).....	25, 35, 51
<i>In re Peterson</i> , 315 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2003) .....	37, 52

...

Case IPR2015-  
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review  
Attorney Docket No. REDDYPP 7.1R-017

**STATUTES, RULES & OTHER AUTHORITIES**

35 U.S.C. § 102.....5  
35 U.S.C. § 103.....4, 5, 6, 24  
35 U.S.C. § 119(e) .....20, 21  
35 U.S.C. § 314(a) .....6  
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) .....22  
M.P.E.P. § 716.03(b).....17  
M.P.E.P. § 2143.01 IV .....19

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.