

Case IPR2015-
Patent No. 8,603,506
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review
Attorney Docket No. REDDYPP 7.1R-009

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, LTD. and
DR. REDDY'S LABORATORIES, INC.
Requestors

v.

GALDERMA LABORATORIES, INC.
Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,603,506
Issue Date: December 10, 2013
Title: METHOD OF TREATING ACNE

Inter Partes Review No. Unassigned

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
CLAIMS 1, 7, 8, 14, 15, AND 20 OF U.S. PATENT
NO. 8,603,506 AND MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	III
EXHIBIT LIST	iv
STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED	6
I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT	6
II. THE CLAIMS UNDER CONSIDERATION	11
III. THE SPECIFICATION AND PROSECUTION HISTORY OF THE ‘506 PATENT	13
A. The Specification Of The ‘506 Patent	13
B. The Prosecution History Of The ‘506 Patent	16
IV. EFFECTIVE FILING DATE FOR DETERMINATION	21
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	22
A. Rosacea	22
B. Papules And Pustules	23
VI. ANALYSIS	24
A. Ground 1. Claims 1, 7, 8, 14, 15, And 20 Would Have Been Obvious Over Sneddon (Exh.1006) In View Of Golub (Exh.1048), Torresani (Exh.1010) And The 2000 PERIOSTAT PDR (Exh.1042)	24
1. The Level Of Ordinary Skill In The Art	25
2. The Scope And Content Of The Prior Art	27
3. The Differences Between The Claimed Invention And The Prior Art	32

Case IPR2015-
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review
Attorney Docket No. REDDYPP 7.1R-009

a.	The Dosage	32
b.	Exclusion Of Bisphosphonate	38
c.	No Reduction In Microflora	39
4.	Dependent Claims 7, 14, And 20	40
5.	Claim Chart	41
B.	Ground 2. Claims 1, 8, And 15 Would Have Been Obvious Over Golub (Exh.1048) In View Of Torresani (Exh.1010) And Further In View Of Jansen (Exh.1034)	45
1.	The Level Of Ordinary Skill In The Art	45
2.	The Scope And Content Of The Prior Art	45
3.	Differences Between The Art And The Claims	49
4.	Claim Chart	52
C.	Ground 3. Dependent Claims 7, 14, And 20 Would Have Been Obvious Over Golub (Exh.1048) In View Of Torresani (Exh.1010) And Jansen (Exh.1034), And Further In View Of 2000 PERIOSTAT PDR (Exh.1042)	55
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	56
	CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE.....	57

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Alcon Research, Ltd. v. Apotex Inc.</i> , 687 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012), <i>cert denied</i> , 133 S. Ct. 1736 (2013).....	25
<i>Asyst Techs., Inc. v. Emtrak, Inc.</i> , 544 F.3d 1310 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	19
<i>Cable Elec. Prods., Inc. v. Genmark, Inc.</i> , 770 F.2d 1015 (Fed. Cir. 1985), <i>overruled on other grounds</i>	19
<i>In re Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC</i> , No. 2014-1301, Slip. Op. (Fed. Cir. Feb. 4, 2015).....	22
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	24
<i>J.T. Eaton & Co. v. Atl. Paste & Glue Co.</i> , 106 F.3d 1563 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	19
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	24, 25
<i>Mintz v. Dietz & Watson, Inc.</i> , 679 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	25
<i>In re Oelrich</i> , 666 F.2d 578, 581 (C.C.P.A. 1981).....	39
<i>Par Pharm. Inc. v. TWI Pharms., Inc.</i> , 773 F.3d 1186, No. 2014-1391, 2014 U.S. App. LEXIS 22737 (Fed. Cir. Dec. 3, 2014).....	25, 39
<i>In re Peterson</i> , 315 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	41, 55

...

Case IPR2015-
Petition for *Inter Partes* Review
Attorney Docket No. REDDYPP 7.1R-009

STATUTES, RULES & OTHER AUTHORITIES

35 U.S.C. § 102(b)*passim*

35 U.S.C. § 1034

35 U.S.C. § 103(a)4, 6, 21, 24, 28

35 U.S.C. § 314(a)6

35 U.S.C. § 315(b)3

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)22

M.P.E.P. § 716.03(b).....19

M.P.E.P. § 2143.01 IV20

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.