

Filed on behalf of Petitioner COALITION FOR AFFORDABLE DRUGS X LLC

By: Jeffrey D. Blake, Esq.
MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.
191 Peachtree Street N.E., Suite 4300
Atlanta, GA 30303
jblake@merchantgould.com
Main Telephone: (404) 954-5100
Main Facsimile: (404) 954-5099

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

COALITION FOR AFFORDABLE DRUGS X LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

ANACOR PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case No.: Unassigned
Patent No.: 7,582,621

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF PATENT NO. 7,582,621

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1).....	4
A.	Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	4
B.	Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	5
C.	Lead And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(b)(3) & 42.10(a).....	5
D.	Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....	6
III.	PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.103	6
IV.	REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104.....	6
A.	Grounds For Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	6
B.	Identification Of Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) And Relief Requested	7
1.	Claims For Which IPR Is Requested Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1)	7
2.	Specific Art And Statutory Grounds On Which The Challenge Is Based Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2)	7
3.	The Construction Of The Challenged Claims Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3).....	9
4.	How The Construed Claims Are Unpatentable Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4).....	9
5.	Supporting Evidence Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5)	9
V.	SUMMARY OF THE '621 PATENT	10
A.	Lineage Of The '621 Patent	10
B.	Description Of The Alleged Invention Of The '621 Patent.....	10
C.	Construction Of Key Terms In The '621 Claims.....	11

Petition For *Inter Partes* Review
Patent No. 7,582,621

D.	Summary Of The Original Prosecution Of The '621 Patent.....	13
E.	The State Of The Art.....	15
VI.	PETITIONER HAS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF PREVAILING.....	21
A.	Each Reference Relied On For Grounds 1-3 Is Prior Art.....	23
B.	A Person Of Ordinary Skill In The Art.....	23
C.	Ground 1: Claims 1-12 Are Obvious Over <i>Austin</i> In View Of <i>Brehove</i>	23
1.	Claims 1-12 Generally Recite Methods Of Treating Onychomycosis In Humans With 5-Fluoro Benzoxaborole	24
2.	<i>Austin</i> Discloses 5-Fluoro Benzoxaborole As An Anti-Fungal Agent	27
3.	<i>Brehove</i> Discloses The Topical Application Of Boron-Based Compounds To Treat Onychomycosis	29
4.	Summary: Claims 1-12 Are Obvious Over <i>Austin</i> And <i>Brehove</i>	31
D.	Ground 2: Claims 1-12 Are Obvious Over <i>Austin</i> In View Of <i>Freeman</i> ...	43
1.	Claims 1-12 Generally Recite Methods Of Treating Onychomycosis In Humans With 5-Fluoro Benzoxaborole	43
2.	<i>Austin</i> Discloses 5-Fluoro Benzoxaborole As An Anti-Fungal Agent	43
3.	<i>Freeman</i> Discloses The Topical Application Of Boron-Based Compounds To Treat Onychomycosis	43
4.	Summary: Claims 1-12 Are Obvious Over <i>Austin</i> And <i>Freeman</i>	45
E.	Ground 3: Claim 9 Is Obvious Over <i>Austin</i> In View Of <i>Freeman</i> And <i>Sun</i>	56
1.	Claim 9 Recites A Method Of Treating Onychomycosis In Humans Via Application Of 5-Fluoro Benzoxaborole To The Skin Surrounding A Nail	56

Petition For *Inter Partes* Review
Patent No. 7,582,621

2.	<i>Austin</i> Discloses 5-Fluoro Benzoxaborole As An Anti-Fungal Agent And <i>Freeman</i> Discloses The Topical Application Of Boron-Based Compounds To Treat Onychomycosis	57
3.	<i>Sun</i> Discloses The Anti-Fungal Treatment Of Nails Via Topical Application To The Skin Surrounding The Nail	57
4.	Summary: Claim 9 Is Obvious Over <i>Austin</i> , <i>Freeman</i> , And <i>Sun</i>	57
VII.	CONCLUSION	59

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Graham v. John Deere Co.,
383 U.S. 1 (1966).....22

KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.,
550 U.S. 398 (2007).....22, 38, 51

Statutes & Other Authorities

35 U.S.C. § 102(b)8, 23

35 U.S.C. § 103(a)8, 13, 21

35 U.S.C. § 112.....13, 14

35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319.....1

35 U.S.C. § 315(e)(1).....7

37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e).....60

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1).....4

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....4

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....5

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....5

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....6

37 C.F.R. § 42.10(a).....5

37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b)6

34 C.F.R. § 42.15(a)(1-2).....6

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.