#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

COALITION FOR AFFORDABLE DRUGS X LLC, Petitioner,

v.

ANACOR PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2015-01776 Patent No. 7,582,621

DECLARATION OF PAUL J. REIDER, PH.D., IN SUPPORT OF PATENT OWNER RESPONSE



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

						<u>Page</u>
I.	INT	RODU	JCTIO	N		1
II.	ACADEMIC AND PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS					1
III.	SCOPE AND SUMMARY OF THE OPINION					4
IV.	TECHNICAL BACKGROUND					
	A.	Organic Chemistry Tutorial				
		1.	Aliphatic and Aromatic Molecules			
		2.	Hete	erocycle	es	8
		3.	Subs	stituted	Carbon Molecules	11
			a)	Halo	gens	12
			b)	Boro	n-Containing Substituents	14
				(1)	Boranes and Organoboranes	15
				(2)	Borinic acids	17
				(3)	Boronic Acids	17
				(4)	Borate Esters and Borate Anion Complexes	18
	B.	sional Challenge of Drug Development	20			
		1.			esirable Biochemical and Physical Properties aceuticals	26
			a)	Abse	ence of Toxicity at Therapeutic Doses	26
			b)	Selec	ctivity	28
			c)	Poter	ncy	30
			d)	Stabi	ility	32



			e)	Hydrophilicity/Lipophilicity	33
			f)	Tavaborole Confirms These Principles	34
		2.	Comp	oound Identification	35
		3.		Structural Changes Have Unpredictable and atic Biological Effects	36
		4.	A POSA in 2005 Rarely Would Have Considered Boron-Containing Compounds as Drug Candidates		
			a)	A POSA in 2005 Would Not Have Expected Boron-Containing Compounds To Be Non-Toxic	39
			b)	A POSA in 2005 Would Not Have Expected Boron-Containing Compounds To Be Selective	42
			c)	VELCADE® Was a Warning to POSAs in the Early 2000s About the Toxicity of Boron-Containing Compounds	46
V.	THE	'621 P	ATEN	IT	46
VI.	LEVI	EL OF	ORDI	NARY SKILL IN THE ART	48
VII.	CLA	ІМ СО	NSTR	UCTION	49
VIII.	OVERVIEW OF THE CITED REFERENCES				
	A.	Austi	Austin		
	B.	Breho	ove		55
	C.	Freen	nan		57
IX.	RESPONSE TO PETITIONER'S GROUNDS 1 AND 2				59
	A.			Claims 1-12 Are Not Obvious Over Austin and	59
		1	Austi	n	59



		a)	A 2005 POSA Would Not Consider Austin to be Relevant	59	
		b)	Austin Does Not Provide an Expectation of Success in Using Boron-Containing Compounds to Treat Onychomycosis	63	
		c)	A POSA Would Not Have Selected Tavaborole from the Many "Preferred" Compounds of Austin	63	
	2.	Breho	ove	65	
		a)	Brehove Is Not Credible	65	
	3.		05 POSA Would Not Have Been Motivated to bine Austin with Brehove	73	
		a)	The Proposed Combination Lacks Data that Would Have Provided a POSA with an Expectation of Success	73	
		b)	The Benzoxaboroles of Austin and the Dioxaborinanes of Brehove Are Structurally Dissimilar.	74	
		c)	Brehove Would Not Have Supplied a Reasonable Expectation of Success and Does Not Make Tavaborole Obvious to Try	77	
B.	Ground 2: Claims 1-12 Are Not Obvious Over Austin and Freeman				
			n		
	1.				
	2.	Freeman			
		a)	A 2005 POSA Would Not Have Considered Freeman's Compounds To Be Effective Against Microorganisms	78	
		b)	A 2005 POSA Would Have Expected the Phenyl Boronic Acids of Freeman To Be Toxic to Mammals	81	



	3.	A 2005 POSA Would Not Have Been Motivated to Combine Austin with Freeman	83
	4.	Freeman Would Not Have Supplied a Reasonable Expectation of Success and Does Not Make Tavaborole Obvious To Try	86
	5.	Dr. Murthy's and Dr. Kahl's Theory Would Have Led a 2005 POSA To Expect Tavaborole To Be Inactive Against Microorganisms and Toxic to Mammals	86
X.	REMAINS AND EFFE	O HAVE BEEN UNEXPECTED IN 2005 AND SO TODAY THAT TAVABOROLE IS BOTH SAFE ECTIVE FOR TREATING ONYCHOMYCOSIS IN	0.0
	HUMANS		88
VI	CONCLUS	IOM	80



# DOCKET A L A R M

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

