

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LAM RESEARCH CORP.,

Petitioner

v.

DANIEL L. FLAMM,

Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. RE40,264 E

Issued: April 29, 2008

Named Inventor: Daniel L. Flamm

Title: MULTI-TEMPERATURE PROCESSING

**DECLARATION OF JOSEPH L. CECCHI IN SUPPORT OF PETITION
FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE40,264 E
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.68**

DECLARATION FOR FOURTH PETITION

Mail Stop: PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. QUALIFICATIONS AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE	1
III. PERSON HAVING ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	9
IV. RELEVANT LEGAL STANDARDS	11
V. THE '264 PATENT	14
A. Representative Claim 51	15
B. The '264 Patent Disclosure	16
1. Multi-Temperature Etching	16
2. Substrate Holder and Heat Transfer Device	16
3. Temperature Sensor	16
4. Control System.....	17
VI. TECHNICAL BACKGROUND	17
VII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	20
A. "portion of the film" and "portions of ... layer".....	20
B. "preselected time period," "preselected time," and "selected period of time"	21
VIII. OPINIONS RELATING TO EACH OF THE GROUNDS	22
A. Ground 1: Claims 56-58 Are Rendered Obvious by Tegal, Matsumura, Narita, Thomas, and '485 Wang Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	22
1. Tegal in View of Matsumura, Narita, Thomas, and '485 Wang Teaches All the Limitations of Independent Claim 56.....	23

	<u>Page</u>
2. Chart for Claim 56	31
3. Tegal in View of Matsumura, Narita, Thomas, and '485 Wang Teaches All the Limitations of Claims 57 and 58	34
4. Chart for Claims 57 and 58	36
5. Reasons for Combinability for Claims 56, 57, and 58	37
B. Ground 2: Claims 60, 62, 63, and 71 Are Rendered Obvious by Tegal, Matsumura, Narita, Thomas, and Fischl Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	41
1. Tegal in View of Matsumura, Narita, Thomas, and Fischl Teaches All the Limitations of Claim 60	42
2. Chart for Claim 60	48
3. Tegal in View of Matsumura, Narita, Thomas, and Fischl Teaches All the Limitations of Claims 62, 63, and 71	51
4. Chart for Claims 62, 63, and 71	52
5. Reasons for Combinability for Claims 60, 62, and 71	53
6. Reasons for Combinability for Claim 63	55
C. Ground 3: Claims 51, 55, and 68 Are Rendered Obvious by Tegal, Matsumura, Narita, and Thomas Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	56
1. Tegal in View of, Matsumura, Narita, and Thomas Teaches All the Limitations of Independent Claim 51	57
2. Chart for Claim 51	59
3. Tegal in View of Matsumura, Narita, and Thomas Teaches All the Limitations of Claims 55 and 68	61

	<u>Page</u>
4. Chart for Claims 55 and 68.....	62
5. Reasons for Combinability for Claims 51, 55, and 68	62
D. Ground 4: Claims 56 and 59 Are Rendered Obvious by Tegal, Matsumura, Narita, '391 Wang, Thomas, and '485 Wang Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	62
1. Tegal in View of Matsumura, Narita, '391 Wang, Thomas, and '485 Wang Teaches All the Limitations of Independent Claim 56.....	63
2. Chart for Claim 56	65
3. Tegal in View of Matsumura, Narita, '391 Wang, Thomas, and '485 Wang Teaches All the Limitations of Claim 59.....	66
4. Chart for Claim 59	68
5. Reasons for Combinability for Claim 59.....	69
E. Ground 5: Claim 61 is Rendered Obvious by Tegal in View of Matsumura, Narita, Thomas, Fischl, and Ooshio Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	70
1. Chart for Claim 61	71
2. Reasons for Combinability for Claim 61	71
F. Ground 6: Claim 70 is Rendered Obvious by Tegal in view of Matsumura, Narita, Thomas, Fischl and Hwang Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	73
1. Chart for Claim 70	73
2. Reasons for Combinability for Claim 70.....	74
IX. CONCLUSION.....	76

I, Joseph L. Cecchi, declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I am over 18 years of age and otherwise competent to make this Declaration.
2. I have been asked to provide my views regarding technical issues in connection with the above-captioned *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. RE40,264 E ("the '264 patent"). I opine only with respect to certain issues that are discussed in this declaration.

II. QUALIFICATIONS AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

3. I am currently Dean of the School of Engineering and Professor of Chemical and Biological Engineering at the University of New Mexico ("UNM"). This is my second term as Dean, and the term began in February 2014. I have held my appointment as Professor since joining UNM in 1994.
4. From 2011 to 2012, while on leave from UNM, I served as Provost and Professor of Engineering at the Masdar Institute of Science and Technology in Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates.
5. My first appointment as Dean of the School of Engineering extended from 2000 to 2009. From 2004 to 2011, I was Chair of the Board of Directors of the Science and Technology Corp. at UNM, the university's technology transfer organization responsible for patenting and licensing UNM's intellectual property.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.