

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LAM RESEARCH CORP.,

Petitioner

v.

DANIEL L. FLAMM,

Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. RE40,264 E

Issued: April 29, 2008

Named Inventor: Daniel L. Flamm

Title: MULTI-TEMPERATURE PROCESSING

Case IPR2015-1768

Patent RE40,264 E

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL HEARING

Mail Stop: PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a) and the Scheduling Order entered on February 24, 2016 (Paper No. 8), Petitioner, Lam Research Corp., (“Lam”) requests an oral hearing regarding the patentability of all challenged claims of the patent RE40,264 E.

In particular, Lam requests (without any intent to waive consideration of any issue not requested) 90 minutes of total argument time to present its arguments on the following issues:

1. Whether claims 56-58 are unpatentable under § 103(a) as having been obvious over the combined disclosures of Tegal, Matsumura, Narita, Thomas and Wang ‘485;
2. Whether claims 60, 62, 63 and 71 are unpatentable under § 103(a) as having been obvious over the combined disclosures of Tegal, Matsumura, Narita, Thomas and Fischl;
3. Whether claims 51, 55 and 68 are unpatentable under § 103(a) as having been obvious over the combined disclosures of Tegal, Matsumura, Narita and Thomas;
4. Whether claims 56 and 59 are unpatentable under § 103(a) as having been obvious over the combined disclosures of Tegal, Matsumura, Narita, Wang ‘391, Thomas and Wang ‘485;

5. Whether claim 61 is unpatentable under § 103(a) as having been obvious over the combined disclosures of Tegal, Matsumura, Narita, Thomas, Fischl and Ooshio;
6. Whether claim 70 is unpatentable under § 103(a) as having been obvious over the combined disclosures of Tegal, Matsumura, Narita, Thomas, Fischl and Hwang;
7. Respond to any issues specified by Patent Owner in its request for oral argument;
8. Respond to Patent Owner's presentation on all matters; and
9. Any additional issues on which the Board seeks clarification.

Lam understands that oral argument will be held on Tuesday, October 11, 2016. Lam requests the ability to use audio/visual equipment to display demonstrative exhibits, including the use of a projector and screen for a PowerPoint display. In accordance with the Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. at 48768, Lam will also contact the Board Trial Division paralegal to discuss this request.

Dated: August 16, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Michael Fleming/

Michael Fleming

Michael Fleming (Reg. No. 67,933)

Samuel K. Lu (Reg. No. 40,707)

Morgan Chu (*pro hac vice*)

Talin Gordnia (*pro hac vice*)

IRELL & MANELLA LLP

1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900

Los Angeles, California 90067-4276

Tel.: (310) 277-1010 | Fax: (310) 203-7199

mflaming@irell.com; slu@irell.com;

mchu@irell.com; tgordnia@irell.com

Attorneys for Petitioner Lam Research Corp.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. sections 42.6 and 42.105, that a complete copy of the foregoing document is being served, pursuant to the parties' service agreement, via electronic mail (e-mail) upon the following , on the 16th day of August, 2016, the same day as the filing of the above-identified documents in the United States Patent and Trademark Office/Patent Trial and Appeal Board:

Christopher Frerking
Registration No. 42,557
174 Rumford Street
Concord, New Hampshire 03301
chris@ntknet.com

George Summerfield
STADHEIM & GREAR, LTD.
400 N. Michigan Avenue, Suite 2200
Chicago, IL 60611
Summerfield@StadheimGear.com

/Susan M. Langworthy/
Susan M. Langworthy