UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LAM RESEARCH CORP., Petitioner,

v.

DANIEL L. FLAMM, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-01767 Patent 6,017,221

Held: October 4, 2016

BEFORE: CHRISTOPHER L. CRUMBLEY, JO-ANNE M. KOKOSKI, and KIMBERLY McGRAW, Administrative Patent Judges.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday, October 11, 2016, commencing at 1:00 p.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.



APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

MORGAN CHU, ESQUIRE SAMUEL K. LU, ESQUIRE MICHAEL R. FLEMING, ESQUIRE Irell & Manella, LLP 1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900 Los Angeles, California 90067-4276

ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER:

GEORGE C. SUMMERFIELD, ESQUIRE Stadheim & Grear 400 North Michigan Avenue Suite 2200 Chicago, Illinois 60611

and

CHRISTOPHER FRERKING University of New Hampshire School of Law Two White Street Concord, New Hampshire 03301



Case IPR2015-01767 Patent 6,017,221

1	(This partial transcript is extracted from the transcript of the
2	Oral Hearing held in IPR2015-01764 and IPR2015-01768, from
3	which testimony regarding IPR2016-01767 was incorporated).
4	PROCEEDINGS
5	
C	JUDGE CRUMBLEY: Despite my earlier mistake, this
6	JUDGE CRUMBLE 1. Despite my earner mistake, uns
7	is 1767. Mr. Fleming, would you like to reserve any time?
8	MR. FLEMING: I would like to reserve 12 minutes out
9	of the 30.
10	JUDGE CRUMBLEY: You may begin when ready.
11	MR. FLEMING: Good afternoon, Your Honor. I'm
12	Mike Fleming. I represent the petitioner, Lam Research. And
13	today we have before us eight grounds. In the first grounds, 1
14	through 4 Dr. Flamm does not dispute the dependent claims



Case IPR2015-01767 Patent 6,017,221

1	So claim 1 is before us. And for grounds 5 through 8, next slide,
2	please, slide 3, Flamm does not make any arguments for these
3	grounds.
4	So if we could move to slide 4, please, I'm going to talk
5	about the purported invention in the '221 and also talk about the
6	claim language. I'm also going to talk about how the prior art
7	anticipates claim 1. And then if I have time, I want to talk about
8	what prior art renders claim 1 obvious.
9	So if we could go to slide 5, please. So the technology
10	that is before you today relates to a plasma processing using an
11	inductive discharge tube. If you look on Lieberman Figure 25A,
12	this shows the well-known process. And here, if I can hold it
13	there, right there is the discharge tube. And in that discharge tube
14	is a reacted gas. And also in that discharge tube toward the
15	bottom is a chuck, and on top of that chuck is a semiconductor
16	wafer. There is a coil that's wrapped around the outside of the
17	discharge tube. That is energized with an RF voltage, radio
18	frequency voltage. That induces an inductive current which has
19	charged the reactive gas to become an ion plasma. That ion
20	plasma is attracted to the chuck and reacts on the surface of the
21	semiconductor wafer, therefore, doing the manufacturing step.
22	If I could have slide 8, please. Here is the '221
23	Figure 2A. This shows the tube, the discharge tube 52. It also
24	shows the coil that's wrapped around the tube. It also shows that
25	the generator 61 charges up that coil with that voltage. And what



Cases IPR2015-01764, IPR2015-01768 Patent RE40,264 E

1	it also shows is that that produces a standing wave in the coil. It's
2	not a traveling wave. It's a standing wave. And so what is
3	important about a standing wave is the center point right there,
4	which is the AC voltage, that is fixed by position because it's a
5	standing wave.
6	The other aspect that's important is you see that C and
7	A, those two there it's hard to control this thing.
8	JUDGE CRUMBLEY: You know, we used to have an
9	actual screen up there and you could see the laser much better.
10	MR. FLEMING: In any event, C and A are the
11	maximum voltages. They are fixed too by a position. So that
12	means that that's what the maximum voltage that's going to be
13	seen by the discharge tube. That's important.
14	So if I could have slide 6, please. So the problem is
15	these leakage currents. They are due to capacitive currents. And
16	the problem is that these leakage currents cause problems. They
17	cause a nonuniformity of the ion flux, and as a result, it's hard to
18	control the flux so that it goes to where we want it to go on the
19	wafer. So that is a problem.
20	So if we could go to slide 7, please. So the way '221
21	solves this problem is by selectively balancing the phased portion
22	and the anti-phased portion of the capacitive currents with the
23	wave adjustment circuit. So if we go to slide 9, please, so here is
24	what's going on. By placing the standing waves virtual ground in
25	the center of the coil you are able to balance the phase and



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

