REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED **IRELL & MANELLA LLP** 1 Morgan Chu (70446) (mchu@irell.com) Samuel K. Lu (171969) (slu@irell.com) Talin Gordnia (274213) (tgordnia@irell.com) 1800 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900 Los Angeles, California 90067-4276 Telephone: (310) 277-1010 4 Facsimile: (310) 203-7199 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff LAM RESEARCH CORP. 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 SAN JOSE DIVISION 10 LAM RESEARCH CORP., Case No. 5:15-cv-01277-BLF 11 Plaintiff, LAM RESEARCH CORPORATION'S 12 SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT VS. 13 OF NON-INFRINGEMENT AND UNENFORCEABILITY DANIEL L. FLAMM, 14 Defendant. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 15 16 Plaintiff Lam Research Corporation ("Lam"), for its Second Amended Complaint against 17 Daniel L. Flamm ("Flamm"), to the best of its knowledge, information, and belief, and through its 18 attorneys, alleges as follows: 19 20 NATURE OF ACTION 1. This is an action for declaratory judgment of non-infringement and 21 unenforceability of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,711,849 ("the '849 patent"); 6,017,221 ("the '221 patent"); 22 23 and RE 40,264 ("the '264 patent"). **PARTIES** 24 25 **Lam Research Corporation** 2. Plaintiff Lam is a global supplier of innovative wafer fabrication equipment and 26 services to the semiconductor industry. Lam designs, manufactures, markets, refurbishes, and 27 services semiconductor processing tools (also referred to as "systems") that are used in the



3

6

7

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

19

18

21

20

22 23

24

25 26

27

fabrication of integrated circuits. A semiconductor processing tool includes many subsystems, including "reactors" or "chambers" in which semiconductor wafers are processed.

- 3. Lam's products are designed to help its customers build smaller, faster, and more power-efficient devices that are used in a variety of electronic products, including cell phones, tablets, computers, storage devices, and networking equipment. Lam continues to develop the new capabilities required by the industry by drawing on multiple areas of expertise, including engineering, research and development, manufacturing, and customer support.
- 4. Lam's products are used in several steps of the chip-making process and include products used for etching. Etching is a semiconductor fabrication process whereby material is selectively removed from the surface of the semiconductor device to create device features, such as the individual components that form an integrated circuit.
- 5. Certain Lam products at issue in this action, including Lam etch products such as the 2300 Kiyo product family, are designed, manufactured, tested, marketed, and/or sold in this judicial district. Lam maintains documents in this judicial district relating to the Lam products at issue in this action. Lam has nearly 2,400 employees who maintain regular and established places of business in this judicial district, many of whom are involved in the research and development, design, manufacture, testing, marketing, and/or sale of the Lam products at issue in this action.
- Lam is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware and having its principal place of business at 4650 Cushing Parkway, Fremont, California 94538.
- 7. In addition to Lam's headquarter campus in this judicial district at 4650 Cushing Parkway, Fremont, California 94538, Lam has multi-building campuses or facilities in this judicial district at the following locations:
 - 1 Portola Avenue, Livermore, California 94550
 - 4000 North First Street, San Jose, California 95134.

Daniel L. Flamm

8. Defendant Flamm is the purported inventor or co-inventor and, on information and belief, the assignee of the '849, '221, and '264 patents.



- 9. On information and belief, Flamm graduated from the Golden Gate University School of Law in this judicial district and was admitted to the State Bar of California in December of 2005. On information and belief, Flamm is currently an active member of the State Bar of California and has practiced law in this judicial district since at least 2006.
- 10. On information and belief, Flamm has been a registered patent attorney since 2006 and a registered patent agent since 2003.
- 11. On information and belief, Flamm is presently employed as a patent lawyer and CEO of Microtechnology Law & Analysis, a law firm in this judicial district.
- 12. On information and belief, from 2008-2009, Flamm was employed as a senior counsel by IPxLaw Group LLP, a law firm in this judicial district. On information and belief, from 2007-2008, Flamm was employed as an associate attorney at Buchanan Ingersoll and Rooney LLC, a law firm in this judicial district during the 2007-2008 time period. On information and belief, in 2006, Flamm was employed as an associate attorney at Sughrue Mion PLLC, a law firm in this judicial district.
- 13. On information and belief, from 1989 until 2007, Flamm was employed by Microtechnology Analysis Group. On information and belief, in connection with his employment at Microtechnology Analysis Group, Flamm provided legal consulting and expert witness services in patent cases, among other services.
- 14. On information and belief, Flamm has been employed and/or self-employed in this judicial district since at least 1988.
- On information and belief, Defendant Flamm is an individual who resides in Walnut Creek, California.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

16. This action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States of America, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq*. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338, based on an actual controversy between Lam, on the one hand, and Flamm, on the other hand, for claims under the Patent Laws of the United States of America, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq*. Lam is seeking relief pursuant to the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.



1	17.	This Court has personal jurisdiction over Flamm because, upon information and
2	belief, Flamm is a California resident and resides in this judicial district in Walnut Creek,	
3	California.	
4	18.	This Court also has personal jurisdiction over Flamm because, upon information
5	and belief, Flamm has a regular and established place of business in this judicial district in Walnut	
6	Creek, California.	
7	19.	Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c) and
8	1400.	
9		INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT
10	20.	Because this action is an Intellectual Property Action within the meaning of Civil
11	L.R. 3-2(c), the action is to be assigned on a district-wide basis.	
12		THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT
13	21.	On January 27, 1998, the '849 patent, titled "Process Optimization In Gas Phase
14	Dry Etching," issued to Flamm and John P. Verboncoeur. A copy of the '849 patent is attached to	
15	this Complaint as Exhibit A.	
16	22.	Flamm is listed as the assignee on the face of the '849 patent.
17	23.	On information and belief, the '849 patent is assigned to Flamm.
18	24.	On January 25, 2000, the '221 patent, titled "Process Depending on Plasma
19	Discharges Sustained By Inductive Coupling," issued to Flamm. A copy of the '221 patent is	
20	attached to this Complaint as Exhibit B.	
21	25.	On information and belief, the '221 patent is assigned to Flamm.
22	26.	On April 29, 2008, the '264 patent, titled "Multi-Temperature Processing," issued to
23	Flamm. A copy of the '264 patent is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit C.	
24	27.	On information and belief, the '264 patent is assigned to Flamm.
25		
26		
27		



FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Flamm's Accusations Against Lam's Products

Flamm never sought to assert the '849, '221, and '264 patents, either through licensing or litigation,

The '849, '221, and '264 patents are expired. However, on information and belief,

28.

until September of 2014.

29. At that time, attorneys representing Flamm began sending Lam's customers

- unsolicited letters requesting that they take a license to the '849, '221, and '264 patents. On information and belief, Flamm has since sent such letters to the vast majority of Lam's key customers.
- 30. In these unsolicited letters, Flamm, through his attorneys, accused Lam's customers of infringing the '849, '221, and '264 patents through their use of Lam's products. Flamm explicitly and specifically accused Lam and its products by name. Indeed, Flamm, through his attorneys, even attached Lam product literature to these letters, stating that the 2300 Kiyo product family described in the attached literature was one example of an allegedly infringing product.
- 31. As a result of these unsolicited letters, Lam has been contacted by its customers. As set forth in greater detail below, many of these customers have requested that Lam indemnify them against Flamm's patent infringement claims relating to their use of Lam's products.
- 32. In July of 2015, Flamm sent additional letters to Lam's customers, this time regarding the instant lawsuit. In those letters, Flamm again explicitly and specifically accused the use of Lam's products of infringement, stating that the instant lawsuit "would not exculpate other users of Lam's plasma etching devices from liability for using those machines in a manner that infringes Dr. Flamm's Patents"

The '849 Patent

33. In the unsolicited letters sent to Lam's customers, Flamm, through his attorneys, has explicitly and specifically accused Lam tools of at least <u>directly</u> infringing the '849 patent. For example, claim 10 of the '849 patent is directed towards a method of designing a reactor, or processing chamber, in a plasma etch tool. Other than a statement that "semiconductor manufacturers use a machine, or commonly called tools [sic], that was designed using the



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

