

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

COXCOM, LLC

Petitioner,

v.

JOAO CONTROL & MONITORING SYSTEMS, LLC

Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-01760

Patent 6,549,130

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO PETITION
FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.107

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND.....	2
A. Overview of the ‘130 Patent	2
B. Prosecution History of the ‘130 Patent	6
C. Exemplary Claims	7
III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION.....	10
A. Legal Standards.....	10
B. “premises”	11
C. “remote”	11
D. “located at”	12
E. “control device”.....	12
IV. THE KOETHER AND CRATER REFERENCES ARE NOT PRIOR ART TO THE ‘130 PATENT	13
A. The Relevant Legal Principals	14
B. The ‘130 Patent is Entitled to a Priority Date of March 27, 1996.....	15

1. Claim construction 16

2. The March 1996 Application discloses premises control devices 16

3. Admissions made by Petitioner’s expert confirm a sufficient disclosure was made in the March 1996 Application 21

V. MR. RICHARD BENNETT’S DECLARATION IS FUNDAMENTALLY FLAWED 25

 A. Mr. Bennett is not a POSITA 25

 B. Mr. Bennett’s Testimony Should Be Given Little or No Weight 34

VI. THE PETITION SHOULD BE DISMISSED FOR FAILING TO NAME ALL REAL PARTIES-IN-INTEREST 34

 A. Background 34

 B. The Relevant Legal Principals 36

 C. Terremark and Time Warner Cooperated with CoxCom and Engaged in the Strategic Planning, Preparation and Review of the present Petition 37

 1. The present Petition is Terremark’s and Time Warner’s second “bite at the apple” after being time-barred 37

 2. Terremark, Time Warner and CoxCom had necessarily cooperated in the strategic planning, preparation and review of the present Petition 38

 D. The Petition Should Be Dismissed for Failing to Name All Real Parties-In Interest and for Being Time Barred 44

VII. RESPONSE TO INSTITUTED GROUNDS OF INVALIDITY 44

A. Ground 1 is Deficient..... 44

1. Koether fails to teach a “second control device” which is “located at a location remote from the premises” as recited in Claims 1, 98 and 145..... 44

2. Koether fails to render obvious the subject matter of claims 8, 12 and 17..... 51

3. Koether fails to disclose, teach or suggest transmitting a “first signal” from a “first control device” to the premises system/device via a “wireless device” 52

B. Ground 2 is Deficient 54

VIII. CONCLUSION 54

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Description
EX2001	U.S. Patent No. 6,204,760 to Brunius
EX2002	"Preliminary Remarks" filed by Applicant on November 26, 2006 during prosecution of the patent application that issued as related U.S. Patent No. 7,277,010
EX2003	"Supplement to the Remarks for the Amendment filed on October 24, 2007" filed on November 23, 2007 during prosecution of the patent application that issued as related U.S. Patent No. 7,397,363
EX2004	Declaration of Steven W. Ritcheson
EX2005	Transcript of April 28, 2016 Deposition of Richard Bennett in the Present IPR
EX2006	Transcript of March 3, 2016 Deposition of Richard Bennett in related IPR No. IPR2015-01477
EX2007	Patent Owner's Joint Opposition to Motions to Recognize June 23 Filing Date of Petitions filed in related IPR No. IPR2015-01486

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.