

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

COXCOM, LLC,	)	CASE IPR2015-01760
	)	
Petitioner,	)	PATENT 6,549,130
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	
JOAO CONTROL & MONITORING	)	
SYSTEMS, LLC,	)	
	)	
Patent Owner.	)	VOLUME II
- - - - -	)	

CONTINUED DEPOSITION OF  
RICHARD BENNETT

Friday, April 29, 2016, 9:37 a.m.  
Job No. CS2300075  
1100 Peachtree Street, N.E.  
Suite 2800  
Atlanta, Georgia 30309

-----  
WANDA L. ROBINSON, CRR, CCR, No. B-1973  
Certified Shorthand Reporter/Notary Public

APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL

Appearing on Behalf of the Petitioner:

D. CLAY HOLLOWAY, ESQUIRE  
Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP  
1100 Peachtree Street, N.E.  
Suite 2800  
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-44528  
404.815.6537 404.541.3484 (Fax)  
E-mail: Cholloway@ktslaw.com

Appearing on Behalf of the Patent Owner:

STEVEN RITCHESON, ESQUIRE  
Insight, PLC  
98000 Topanga Canyon Boulevard  
#347  
Chatsworth, California 91311  
818.882.1030  
E-mail: switcheson@insightplc.com

ALSO PRESENT:

Raymond Joao

INDEX OF EXAMINATIONS

1 By Mr. Ritcheson

Page 4, 71

2 By Mr. Holloway

Page 41, 76

3

4

5

6

INDEX OF EXAMINATIONS

7

8

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
-----	-------------	------

9

9	Institution Review IPR2015-01762 Coxcom vs. Joao Control & Monitoring	5
---	--	---

10

10	US Patent No. 7,397,363	16
----	-------------------------	----

12

11	Declaration of Richard Bennett In Support of No. 6,549,130	42
----	---	----

13

12	Declaration of Richard Bennett In Support of No. 7,397,363	43
----	---	----

14

13	Declaration of Richard Bennett In Support of No. 7,397,364	44
----	---	----

16

14	Petition For Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,549,130	54
----	--	----

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1                   RICHARD BENNETT, VOLUME II,  
2       previously sworn, was examined and testified as  
3       follows:

4       CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continuing)

5       BY MR. RITCHESON:

6           Q       Mr. Bennett, you know you're still under  
7       oath, correct?

8           A       Yes, I know.

9           Q       Did you discuss the testimony you provided  
10      yesterday or anticipate giving today with anyone?

11          A       No, I did not.

12          Q       I think we'll focus today on -- I'm  
13      handing you a stack of exhibits, but that's not the  
14      one we're going to focus on -- on Exhibit 8, which  
15      is the Declaration of Richard Bennett in Support of  
16      Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No.  
17      7,397,363. I think you identified this yesterday  
18      but if you can identify that again.

19                   Is that the declaration you submitted with  
20      respect to the '363 patent?

21                   MR. HOLLOWAY: I just object and let's  
22      identify --

23                   MR. RITCHESON: Is there another '363 IPR  
24      that he's involved with?

25                   MR. HOLLOWAY: There is another '363 which

1 he submitted a declaration, yes.

2 MR. RITCHESON: I believe this is 1762.

3 MR. HOLLOWAY: That works. '363 1762.

4 MR. RITCHESON: Thank you.

5 MR. HOLLOWAY: Thank you.

6 BY MR. RITCHESON:

7 Q Mr. Bennett, this is the '363 declaration  
8 that you submitted. It's in support of petition for  
9 inter partes review, correct?

10 A Correct.

11 MR. RITCHESON: Let me see if we have a  
12 copy of the institutional decision, 1762.

13 MR. RITCHESON: Can we mark this.

14 (WHEREUPON, Exhibit 9 was marked for  
15 identification.)

16 BY MR. RITCHESON:

17 Q I'm handing you a document marked Exhibit  
18 9. Do you understand this is the institution  
19 decision that relates to the declaration that you  
20 have identified for us as Exhibit 8?

21 Feel free to look at it.

22 A Yes, I do.

23 Q You'll note in the middle of the page  
24 there's a case IPR No. 2015-01762.

25 Do you see that?

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.