

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

COXCOM, LLC,  
Petitioner,

v.

JOAO CONTROL & MONITORING SYSTEMS, LLC  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2015-01760  
U.S. Patent No. 6,549,130

---

PETITIONER'S REPLY

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1

II. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ..... 1

III. PETITIONER HAS DEMONSTRATED THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE ..... 3

    A. Koether Teaches The Second Control Device Is Located Remote From The Premises of Claims 1, 98 and 145 ..... 3

    B. Koether Discloses and/or Teaches The First Signal Is Transmitted From The First Control Device To The Premises Appliance Via A Wireless Device of Claim 8 ..... 6

    C. The Challenged Claims Are Not Entitled To A Priority Date Before July 18, 1996 ..... 7

        1. Patent Owner’s burden..... 7

        2. Patent Owner fails to meet its burden ..... 8

        3. The specification of the March 1996 Application provides no support for a “premises” system ..... 9

        4. The intrinsic record provides no support for a “premises” system in the March 1996 Application ..... 11

        5. The figures of the March 1996 Application provide no support for a “premises” system ..... 12

        6. Patent Owner’s Response suggest that a “premises” system is not supported by the March 1996 Application ..... 12

        7. A “premises” system is not disclosed by the March 1996 Application..... 13

        8. The species of a home security system in the March 1996 Application does not disclose the genus of a “premises” system..... 14

D. Mr. Bennett’s Testimony Should Be Considered Because Mr. Bennett is Qualified to Opine on the Perspective of a POSITA ..... 15

E. The Petition Properly Names All Real Parties-In-Interest..... 17

F. Dependent Claims ..... 21

IV. CONCLUSION..... 21

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

**Cases**

*Ariad Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Eli Lilly and Co.*,  
598 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2010) .....9

*B/E Aerospace, Inc. v. MAG Aerospace Indus., LLC*,  
IPR 2014-01513, Paper No. 104 (PTAB Mar. 18, 2016) .....16

*Gonzalez v. Banco Cent Corp.*,  
27 F.3d 751 (1st Cir. 1994).....18

*JP Morgan Chase & Co., et al. v. Maxim Integrated Prods., Inc.*,  
CBM2014-00179, Paper No. 11 (PTAB Feb. 20, 2015) ..... 17, 19

*Motorola Mobility, LLC v. Intellectual Ventures I, LLC*,  
CBM2015-00004, Paper No. 33 (PTAB Mar. 21, 2016) .....16

*Mytee Prods., Inc. v. Harris Research, Inc.*,  
439 F. App'x 882 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....16

*PowerOasis, Inc. v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.*,  
522 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. April 11, 2008)..... 7, 8, 9, 13

*Slip Track Sys., Inc. v. Metal-Lite, Inc.*,  
304 F.3d 1256 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....16

*Tronzo v. Biomet, Inc.*,  
156 F.3d 1154 (Fed. Cir. 1998) .....14

*Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar*,  
935 F.2d 1555 (Fed. Cir. June 7, 1991) ..... 9, 10

**Statutes**

35 U.S.C. § 112 .....2

**Other Authorities**

Office Patent Trial Practice Guide,  
77 Fed. Reg. 48,680, 48,695 (Aug. 14, 2012) .....17

**LIST OF EXHIBITS**

The following is a list of exhibits in support of this petition:

- |         |   |
|---------|---|
| EX-1001 | U.S. Patent No. 6,549,130   |
| EX-1002 | Declaration of Richard Bennett  |
| EX-1003 | Curriculum Vitae of Richard Bennett   |
| EX-1004 | U.S. Patent Application No. 08/622,749 filed on March 27, 1996 (“Mar 1996 application”)   |
| EX-1005 | File History Excerpts from ‘130 Patent  |
| EX-1006 | Excerpts from the Merriam-Webster Dictionary (10th ed. 1995)  |
| EX-1007 | Excerpts from Concise Dictionary of Engineering: A Guide to the Language of Engineering (2014)  |
| EX-1008 | U.S. Patent No. 5,875,430 to Koether et al., (“Koether”)  |
| EX-1009 | U.S. Patent No. 5,805,442 to Crater et al., (“Crater”)  |
| EX-1010 | Intentionally Omitted   |
| EX-1011 | Claim Construction Order in <i>Joao Control &amp; Monitoring Systems, LLC v. Protect America, Inc.</i> , No. 1:14-cv-134, 2015 WL 4937464 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 18, 2015) |
| EX-1012 | Claim Construction Order in <i>Joao Control &amp; Monitoring Systems, LLC v. Chrysler Grp. LLC</i> , No. 4:13-cv-13957, slip op. (E.D. Mich. Jun. 10, 2016)         |
| EX-1013 | Transcript of April 29, 2016 Deposition of Mr. Richard Bennett  |
| EX-1014 | Transcript of June 3, 2016 Deposition of Dr. Val DiEuliis in related IPR No. IPR2015-01466  |

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.