

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LAM RESEARCH CORP.,

Petitioner

v.

DANIEL L. FLAMM,

Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. RE40,264 E

Issued: April 29, 2008

Named Inventor: Daniel L. Flamm

Title: MULTI-TEMPERATURE PROCESSING

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

OF U.S. PATENT NO. RE40,264 E

FIRST PETITION

Mail Stop: PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.8	3
A. Notice of Real Party-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1))	3
B. Notice of Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)).....	3
C. Designation of Lead and Back-up Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3))	3
D. Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4))	3
E. Payment of Fees (37 C.F.R. § 42.103).....	3
F. Certification of Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a))	3
III. CHALLENGE AND RELIEF REQUESTED.....	4
A. Specific Art and Statutory Ground(s) on Which the Challenges Are Based	4
IV. THE '264 PATENT	6
A. Representative Claim 13	6
B. The '264 Patent Disclosure.....	8
1. Multi-Temperature Etching	8
2. Substrate Holder and Heat Transfer Device	8
3. Temperature Sensor	8
4. Control System.....	9
V. PERSON HAVING ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	9

	<u>Page</u>
VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	9
A. "selected thermal mass," and "the thermal mass of the substrate holder is selected"	10
B. "portion of the film," "portion etching," and "portions of the film"	11
C. "specific interval of time" and "specified time interval"	13
D. "etching at least one of the portions of the film comprises radiation"	14
VII. THERE IS A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE '264 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE.....	15
A. Ground 1: Claims 13, 15, 16, 18-21, 64, and 65 Are Rendered Obvious by Tegal in View of Matsumura Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	16
1. Tegal in View of Matsumura Teaches All the Limitations of Independent Claim 13	16
2. Chart for Claim 13	24
3. Tegal in View of Matsumura Teaches All the Limitations of Dependent Claims 15, 16, 18-21, 64, and 65	26
4. Chart for Claims 15, 16, 18-21, 64, and 65	33
5. Reasons for Combinability for Claims 13, 15, 16, 18- 21, 64, and 65	35
B. Ground 2: Claim 14 is Rendered Obvious by Tegal in View of Matsumura and Thomas Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	37
1. Tegal in View of Matsumura and Thomas Teaches All the Limitations of Dependent Claim 14.....	38

	<u>Page</u>
2. Chart for Claim 14	38
3. Reasons for Combinability for Claim 14.....	40
C. Ground 3: Claim 17 is Rendered Obvious by Tegal in View of Matsumura and Narita Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	41
1. Tegal in View of Matsumura and Narita Teaches All the Limitations of Dependent Claim 17.....	42
2. Chart for Claim 17	42
3. Reasons for Combinability for Claim 17.....	42
D. Ground 4: Claim 22 is Rendered Obvious by Hwang in View of Tegal and Matsumura Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	43
1. Hwang in View of Tegal and Matsumura Teaches All the Limitations of Dependent Claim 22.....	43
2. Chart for Claim 22	45
3. Reasons for Combinability for Claim 22.....	47
E. Ground 5: Claims 23 and 24 are Rendered Obvious by Tegal in View of Matsumura and Collins Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	49
1. Tegal in View of Matsumura and Collins Teaches All the Limitations of Dependent Claims 23 and 24	50
2. Chart for Claims 23 and 24.....	52
3. Reasons for Combinability for Claims 23 and 24	53
F. Ground 6: Claims 25 and 26 are Rendered Obvious by Tegal in View of Matsumura and Mahawili Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	55
4. Tegal in view of Matsumura and Mahawili Teaches All the Limitations of Dependent Claims 25 and 26.....	55

Page

5.	Chart for Claims 25 and 26.....	56
6.	Reasons for Combinability for Claims 25 and 26	57

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.