Served on behalf of Petitioner By: Richard F. Giunta Elisabeth H. Hunt Randy J. Pritzker WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C. 600 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02210 Tel: (617) 646-8000 Fax: (617) 646-8646 RGiunta-PTAB@wolfgreenfield.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

RPX Corporation

Petitioner

v.

Applications in Internet Time, LLC

Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-01750 Patent 8,484,111 B2

Case IPR2015-01751 Case IPR2015-01752 Patent 7,356,482 B2¹

PETITIONER'S RESPONSES TO PATENT OWNER'S REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

¹ The word-for-word identical paper applies to each proceeding identified in the heading.

DOCKE.

RPX Exhibit 1196	
	TT

LARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Petitioner RPX Corporation ("RPX") hereby responds to the Patent Owner's Request for Production.

RESPONSES

Request No. 1: Documents sufficient to show Salesforce's relationship to RPX, such as membership or client agreements, and renewals.

Response No. 1:

RPX has produced all RPX membership agreements with Salesforce.com ("Salesforce"), including the Membership and License Agreement executed

, (Bates Range RPX000014-RPX000025), the First Amendment executed **Control**, (Bates Range RPX000009-RPX000011) and the Second Amendment executed **Control**, (Bates Range RPX000012-RPX000013).

Although RPX does not believe the Board's discovery Order calls for agreements under which RPX is a customer and user of Salesforce's software (see Response No. 4 below), RPX has nevertheless produced Order Forms documenting RPX's orders for Salesforce software (Bates Ranges RPX000001-RPX000008 and RPX000026-RPX000036). These are sufficient to show RPX's relationship to Salesforce as a software customer of Salesforce dating back to RPX believes that an additional Order Form for Salesforce software was signed in , but no such Order Form was found after diligent effort. **Request No. 2:** Documents sufficient to show RPX's annual billings to Salesforce and the services associated with those billings.

Response No. 2:

RPX has produced a spreadsheet detailing RPX's billings invoiced to Salesforce. (Bates No. RPX000037.)

The Membership and License Agreement (Bates Range RPX000014-RPX000025), the First Amendment (Bates Range RPX000009-RPX000011), and the Second Amendment (Bates Range RPX000012-RPX000013) produced under Request No. 1 are sufficient to show RPX's services to Salesforce associated with those billings.

Request No. 3: Communications between RPX and Salesforce, and their respective attorneys and agents, relating to the Challenged Patents, the Related IPR Proceedings, or the Salesforce Litigation, whether by name, code name or euphemism.

Response No. 3:

There were no communications between RPX and Salesforce about the IPR Proceedings before they were filed. The only communications between RPX and Salesforce regarding the IPR Proceedings occurred after the petitions were filed and were driven by AIT's discovery requests, RPX has produced an e-mail dated **Constraints**, (Bates Range RPX000047-RPX000067) and a Declaration of William W. Chuang ("the Declaration") (Bates Range RPX000099-RPX000223) that summarizes oral communications that occurred between RPX and Salesforce employees relating to the Challenged Patents, the Related IPR Proceedings and/or the Salesforce Litigation. To the best of RPX's knowledge, as explained in the Declaration, these are the only communications between RPX and Salesforce relating to the Challenged Patents, the Related IPR Proceedings, or the Salesforce Litigation, whether by name, code name or euphemism, and there have been no such communications between outside lawyers acting on behalf of RPX and Salesforce.

RPX has also produced a Daily Litigation Alert e-mail dated November 11, 2013, (Bates Range RPX000038-RPX000046) that mentions the filing of the Salesforce Litigation. As explained in the Declaration, it is possible that one or more Salesforce employees may have received this e-mail; however, it is not known to RPX whether any Salesforce employees were subscribers to that particular Daily Litigation Alert. **Request No. 4:** Documents sufficient to show the names, dates, locations and times of any meetings or communications between Salesforce and RPX, or their attorneys, after the Salesforce Litigation began, unless produced under a prior request herein.

Response No. 4:

All meetings or communications between Salesforce and RPX, or their attorneys, that related to the Challenged Patents, the Related IPR Proceedings and/or the Salesforce Litigation are addressed in the response to Request No. 3.

RPX has produced a spreadsheet showing the names, dates, locations and times of all meetings and communications between employees of Salesforce in its capacity as an RPX client and employees of RPX in its capacity as a service provider, or their attorneys, after the Salesforce Litigation began, that RPX was able to identify after a diligent search. (Bates Range RPX000077-RPX000090.) Where RPX's records were not clear on precisely which individuals participated in a meeting or communication, RPX erred on the side of being overly inclusive in identifying the individuals that are believed to have participated.

RPX does not believe that the Board's discovery Order contemplated meetings or communications between employees of Salesforce in its capacity as a software vendor and employees of RPX in its capacity as a Salesforce customer and user of that software. AIT represented to the Board (Patent Owner's Motion

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.