

2017-1698, -1699, -1701

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

APPLICATIONS IN INTERNET TIME, LLC,

Appellant

v.

RPX CORPORATION,

Appellee

Appeals from the United States Patent and Trademark Office,
Patent Trial and Appeal Board, in IPR2015-01740, IPR2015-01751, IPR2015-01752

**MOTION TO RECALL THE MANDATE, VACATE THE COURT'S JUDGMENT,
AND REINSTATE THE APPEAL FOR A MERITS DECISION IN LIGHT OF THE
SUPREME COURT'S DECISION IN *THRYV V. CLICK-TO-CALL***

Nathan K. Kelley
PERKINS COIE LLP
700 Thirteenth Street N.W., Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20005
Phone: (202) 654-3343
E-mail: NKelley@perkinscoie.com

Dan L. Bagatell
PERKINS COIE LLP
3 Weatherby Road
Hanover, New Hampshire 03755
Phone: (602) 351-8250
E-mail: DBagatell@perkinscoie.com

Counsel for Appellee RPX Corporation

May 4, 2020

RPX Exhibit 1103
RPX v. AIT
IPR2015-01751

TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS

AIT	Patent Owner/Appellant Applications in Internet Time LLC
IPR	<i>inter partes</i> review
PTAB	Patent Trial and Appeal Board
RPI	real party in interest
RPX	Petitioner/Appellee RPX Corporation
Salesforce	Salesforce.com, Inc., a non-party that AIT has sued for patent infringement
USPTO	United States Patent and Trademark Office

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Abbreviations.....	i
Table of Authorities	iii
Introduction	1
Background and Procedural History.....	3
Argument.....	6
A. Appellate courts have the authority to recall a mandate and vacate a previous decision, and supervening decisions by the Supreme Court are a classic ground for doing so.....	6
B. This Court should exercise its authority to recall its mandate, vacate its opinion, and reinstate the appeal for consideration of the merits of the PTAB’s unpatentability determinations	9
1. <i>Thryv</i> ’s emphasis on the dangers of judicial review of PTAB determinations that IPR petitions were timely strongly supports the relief RPX seeks here	10
2. Finality and repose are not concerns here	11
3. Granting this motion would not unfairly prejudice AIT	12
4. Granting this motion will ensure fairness to RPX, which would be unable to appeal any adverse time-bar decision	13
5. Granting relief here will not open any floodgates.....	14
Conclusion.....	14
Certificate of Interest	16
Certificate of Compliance	18

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases	Pages
<i>Achates Reference Publishing, Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 803 F.3d 652 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	4
<i>Am. Iron & Steel Inst. v. EPA</i> , 560 F.2d 589 (3d Cir. 1977)	8
<i>Applications in Internet Time, LLC v. RPX Corp.</i> , 897 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	3, 4, 5
<i>Applications in Internet Time, LLC v. Salesforce.com, Inc.</i> , No. 3:13-cv-00628, Dkt. 116 (D. Nev. Jan. 7, 2020)	13
<i>Bergy, In re</i> , 596 F.2d 952 (CCPA 1979)	8
<i>Dow Chem. Corp. v. Nova Chems. Corp. (Can.)</i> , 803 F.3d 620 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	13
<i>IAL Aircraft Holding, Inc. v. FAA</i> , 216 F.3d 1304 (11th Cir. 2000)	9
<i>Judkins v. Beech Aircraft Corp.</i> , 745 F.2d 1330 (11th Cir. 1984)	9
<i>Mars Inc. v. Coin Acceptors, Inc.</i> , 557 F.3d 1377 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	7
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Science Applications Int'l Corp.</i> , No. 20-1464, Dkt. 23 (Apr. 16, 2020)	12
<i>Power Integrations, Inc. v. Semiconductor Components Indus., LLC</i> , No. 18-1602, Dkt. 65 (Feb. 28, 2019)	7
<i>Return Mail, Inc. v. United States Postal Serv.</i> , No. 16-1502, Dkt. 75 (Jul. 15, 2019)	7
<i>RPX Corp. v. Applications in Internet Time LLC</i> , IPR2015-01750, Papers 87, 93, 106 (PTAB)	5
<i>RPX Corp. v. Applications in Internet Time LLC</i> , No. 18-1075 (U.S.)	6

Snyder, In re,
 557 F.2d 820 (CCPA 1977)6, 7

United States v. Skandier,
 125 F.3d 178 (3d Cir. 1997)8

United States v. Tolliver,
 116 F.3d 120 (5th Cir. 1997)8

Thryv, Inc. v. Click-to-Call Techs., LP,
 No. 18-916, slip op. (U.S. Apr. 20, 2020)*passim*

WesternGeco L.L.C. v. ION Geophysical Corp.,
 No. 13-1527, Dkt. 151 (Jul. 27, 2018)7

Wi-Fi One, LLC v. Broadcom Corp.,
 878 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2018) (en banc)1, 4, 5, 6, 14

Willis, In re,
 537 F.2d 513 (CCPA 1976)7

Zipfel v. Halliburton Co.,
 861 F.2d 565 (9th Cir. 1988)9

Statutes	Pages
35 U.S.C. § 314(d)	<i>passim</i>
35 U.S.C. § 315(b)	<i>passim</i>

Other Authorities	Pages
Restatement (Second) of Judgments (1981)	
§ 13	12
§ 14	12
§ 16	12

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.