Paper No.	
-----------	--

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

RPX CORPORATION, Petitioner,

v.

APPLICATIONS IN INTERNET TIME, LLC, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-01750 Patent No. 8,484,111 B2

Case IPR2015-01751 Case IPR2015-01752¹ Patent 7,356,482 B2

PETITIONER'S OPENING BRIEF

¹ The word-for-word identical paper is filed in each proceeding identified in the heading.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	.1
	A. AIT Requires Probing Whether RPX Is Representing Salesforce's Interest in these IPRs	.1
	B. The Federal Circuit Ordered Further Evidentiary Analysis	.3
	C. Salesforce Is Not an RPI or RPX's Privy	.6
II.	THE EVIDENCE ESTABLISHES SALESFORCE IS NOT AN RPI OR RPX'S PRIVY IN THESE IPRS	.8
	A. RPX's Business Model	.8
	1. RPX's Core Service Is Defensive Patent Aggregation	.8
	a. Gathering and Sharing Market Intelligence Is an Important Aspect of the Defensive Patent Aggregation Service	.9
	b. RPX Clears Liability for Members Via Its Defensive Patent Aggregation Service	0
	c. RPX Files IPRs on Its Own To Benefit RPX's Core Business	0
	2. Additional Services Not Provided to Salesforce	1
	a. RPX Never Insured Salesforce	2
	b. Facilitation of Validity Challenges Does Not Refer to Challenges Filed by RPX	12
	c. When RPX Files a Validity Challenge for a Client's Benefit, the Client Is Named an RPI	13
	3. RPX's Best Practices Ensure Compliance with the Law	3
	4. RPX Does Not Shield Clients from Being Named RPIs	7
	5. The Federal Circuit Was Misled by AIT's Arguments Mischaracterizing RPX's Business Model	
	a. AIT Conflated Statements About RPX's Core Patent Aggregation Service with Others About RPX Facilitating Clients' Own Validity Challenges	17
	(1) Reasons RPX Files IPRs	8
	(2) Reasons Clients Join RPX	8



			(3) Facilitating a Client's Validity Challenge	19
		b.	RPX Extricates Members from Lawsuits by Acquiring Assets, Not by Filing Validity Challenges	19
		c.	"Extension of the Client's In-House Legal Team" Refers to Sharing Market Intelligence—Not Filing IPRs	20
		d.	RPX's Public Materials Do Not Represent That RPX Has Authority To File IPRs for Clients	22
В.	Sal	lesfo	ce's Relationship with RPX	23
	1.		esforce Contracts for RPX's Core Patent Aggregation	23
	2.	Sale	esforce Contracted for	24
	3.		esforce Never Contracted for a Validity Challenge	
	4.	Sale	esforce's Payments Are Unrelated to these IPRs	25
	5.	RPX	X Is a Salesforce Customer	26
	6.	RPX	K Has Never Insured Salesforce	27
	7.		K Has No Relationship to the AIT-Salesforce Litigation or esforce CBMs	27
	8.		C's Communications with Salesforce Regarding the AIT- esforce Litigation Did Not Relate to a Validity Challenge	27
		a.	January 2014 Communication	28
		b.	February and June 2014 Communications	28
		c.	August 2014 Communication	29
		d.	March 2015 Communication	30
		e.	April/May 2015 Communication	30
		f.	These Communications Did Not Involve RPX Working To Ascertain Whether Salesforce Wanted RPX To File an	21
	חח	W D	IPR	
C.			pard Member	54
	1.		Robertson's Role on RPX's Board Does Not Reflect esforce Controlling RPX	35
	2.	Mr.	Robertson Had No Influence on, or Role in, these IPRs	36



	D.	RP	X Filed these IPRs for Its Own Interests	36
		1.	Extensive Evidence Corroborates Messrs. Chuang's and Chiang's Sworn Testimony That RPX Filed the AIT IPRs To Pursue RPX's Interests Rather Than Salesforce's	38
			a. Astonishingly Broad Patents RPX Could Invalidate	38
			b. Software Industry in the Crosshairs So AIT IPRs Might Prevent Future Lawsuits	39
			c. RPX Files IPRs Against Patents Not Asserted Against Any Member	41
		2.	"Reputational Benefits" Means Showing Willingness To Invalidate Low-Quality Patents - Not Protecting a Client's Interests.	41
		3.	If RPX Wanted To Protect Salesforce's Interests, RPX Would Have Attempted To Acquire Rights in the AIT Patents	42
		4.	The <u>Existence</u> of a Single Time-Barred Defendant Weighed in Favor of Filing the AIT IPRs, but That Defendant Being an RPX Client Weighed Against	43
			a. Existence of Single Time-Barred Defendant Weighed in Favor	43
			b. Defendant Being RPX Client Weighed Against Filing	44
		5.	RPX Overcame Concerns About the Defendant Being an RPX Client	46
		6.	RPX Was Not Representing Salesforce's Interests	47
	E.	Co	onclusion - Salesforce Was Entirely Uninvolved in these IPRs	48
III.	SA	LE	SFORCE IS NOT AN RPI UNDER THE LAW	48
	A.		one of the Facts That Can Make an Unnamed Party an RPI Are esent	48
	В.		T Could Not Have Established a "Benefits-Plus-Relationship" st Running Afoul of Binding Supreme Court Precedent	50
	C.	RP	'X Did Not Represent Salesforce's Interests	52
		1.	Representation Requires Appointment - Absent Here	53
		2.	Agency Requires Control and Assent - Both Absent Here	54
		3.	AIT's Attorney-in-Fact/Agent Argument Fails	55



	•	4. AIT's Apparent Authority Argument Fails	55
	D. 1	RPI Conclusion	57
IV.	SAI	LESFORCE IS NOT RPX'S PRIVY IN THESE IPRS	58
	Α.	AIT Waived This Argument	58
		Privity Is a Limited Exception to the Rule Against Nonparty Preclusion	58
	C. 3	No Exception to the Rule Barring Nonparty Preclusion Applies	59
		1. RPX Is Not Salesforce's Proxy	60
		2. Salesforce's Contractual Relationship with RPX Does Not Make Salesforce a Privy in These IPRs	61
		3. No Other <i>Taylor</i> Exception Applies	63
V	COI	NCI LISION	63



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

