

Filed on behalf of Petitioner

By: /Richard F. Giunta/

Richard F. Giunta

Elisabeth H. Hunt

Randy J. Pritzker

WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.

600 Atlantic Avenue

Boston, MA 02210

Tel: (617) 646-8000

Fax: (617) 646-8646

RGiunta-PTAB@wolfgreenfield.com

Paper No. ___

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

RPX Corporation

Petitioner

v.

Applications in Internet Time, LLC

Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-01750

Patent 8,484,111 B2

Case IPR2015-01751

Case IPR2015-01752

Patent 7,356,482 B2¹

**PETITIONER'S (REDACTED) REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S
PRELIMINARY RESPONSE ON REAL-PARTY-IN-INTEREST(RPI)**

¹ The word-for-word identical paper is filed in each proceeding identified in the heading.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. THE LAW ON RPI2

III. THE FACTS RELEVANT TO RPI5

IV. SALESFORCE IS NOT AN RPI8

 A. RPX Pursues Its Own Interests In These IPRs.....8

 B. RPX Is Not Salesforce’s Proxy and Did Not File at Its Behest9

 1. Salesforce Did Not Pay For the IPRs10

 2. Salesforce Had No Role in the IPRs10

 3. There Was No Communication About the Petitions.....10

 4. Shared Board Member Robertson Was Uninvolved.....11

 C. [REDACTED]12

 D. AIT Mischaracterizes RPX’s History In Filing IPRs.....13

V. AIT REPEATEDLY AND KNOWINGLY MISREPRESENTS
FACTS15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

| | |
|--|----------|
| <i>Butamax</i> , IPR 2013-00214, Paper 11 | 12 |
| <i>Expert Electric, Inc. v. Levine</i> , 554 F.2d 1227 (2nd Cir. 1977) | 9 |
| <i>General Foods Corp. v. Massachusetts Dept. of Public Health</i> , 648 F.2d 784 (1st Cir. 1981) | 9 |
| <i>Global-Tech Appliances v. SEB S.A.</i> , 131 S.Ct. 2060 (2011) | 15 |
| <i>ParkerVision</i> , IPR 2014-00946, Paper 27 | 14 |
| <i>RPX Corporation v. Macrosolve, Inc.</i> , Case IPR2014-00140 (PTAB May 16, 2014) (Paper 9)..... | 3 |
| <i>RPX Corporation. v. VirnetX, Inc.</i> , Case IPR2014-00171 (PTAB Jun. 5, 2014) (Paper 49) | 6, 14 |
| <i>Taylor v. Sturgell</i> , 553 U.S. 880 (2008) | 4, 5, 9 |
| <i>TRW Automotive U.S. LLC v. Magna Electronics Inc.</i> , Case IPR2015-00950 (PTAB Sept. 17, 2015) (Paper No. 8)..... | 3 |
| <i>Unified Patents Inc. v. Hall Data Sync Technoogies, LLC</i> , Case IPR2015-00874 (PTAB Sept. 17, 2015) (Paper 11)..... | 3 |
| <i>Unified Patents, Inc. v. Dragon Intellectual Property, LLC</i> , Case IPR2014-01252 (PTAB Feb. 12, 2015) (Paper 37)..... | 3, 8, 12 |
| <i>Zerto</i> , IPR2014-01295, Paper 31 | 2 |
| <i>Zoll</i> , IPR2013-00609, paper 15..... | 4 |

RULES

77 F. Reg. at 48759 3, 4, 8

I. INTRODUCTION

RPX filed the petitions to further its own interests and is the sole RPI. The petitions' identification of RPI is presumed correct. To rebut that presumption AIT must provide **sufficient evidence** to call RPX's identification of RPI into question.

AIT was granted extensive discovery into (1) agreements between RPX and Salesforce; (2) communications between RPX and Salesforce, including any relating to these IPRs, the underlying patents and/or the litigation involving them; (3) any funds RPX received to pay for the IPRs; and (4) the reasons why RPX filed the IPRs. Paper 11. RPX provided all responsive documents and a sworn declaration from William Chuang, VP of Client Relations at RPX, who was involved in and explains RPX's decision to file the IPRs. Ex. 1019 at ¶¶1, 5 and 34.² [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] *Id.* at ¶47.

As Mr. Chuang states unequivocally, "RPX had no communication with Salesforce whatsoever regarding the filing of IPR petitions against the AIT Patents

² Citations are to the Exhibit numbers used in IPR2015-01750 and IPR2015-01751.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.