trials@uspto.com

IPR2015-01750, Paper No. 77 IPR2015-01751. Paper No. 79 IPR2015-01752, Paper No. 77 December 7, 2016

571-272-7822

RECORD OF ORAL HEARING UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

RPX CORPORATION,

Petitioner,

VS.

APPLICATIONS IN INTERNET TIME, LLC,

Patent Owner.

- - - - -

Case IPR2015-01750 (Patent No. 8,484,111 B2)

Case IPR2015-01751 and IPR2015-01752 (Patent 7,356,482 B2)

Technology Center 3600

Oral Hearing Held: Tuesday, November 8, 2016

Before: LYNNE E. PETTIGREW, MITCHELL G. WEATHERLY, and JENNIFER MEYER CHAGNON, Administrative Patent Judges.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday, November 8, 2016, at 1:00 p.m., Hearing Room B, taken at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

REPORTED BY: RAYMOND G. BRYNTESON, RMR,

CRR, RDR



APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

RICHARD F. GIUNTA, ESQ.

ELISABETH H. HUNT, PH.D., ESQ.

Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C.

600 Atlantic Avenue

Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2206

617-646-8000

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

JONATHAN PEARCE, ESQ.

STEVEN C. SEREBOFF, ESQ.

SoCal IP Law Group LLP

310 North Westlake Boulevard

Suite 120

Westlake Village, California 91362

805-230-1350



Case IPR2015-01750 (Patent No. 8,484,111 B2) Case IPR2015-01751 and IPR2015-01752 (Patent No. 7,356,482 B2)

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(1:00 p.m.)
3	JUDGE CHAGNON: Good afternoon, everyone.
4	We are here today for the final hearing for two different
5	proceedings between Petitioner, RPX Corporation, and Patent
6	Owner, Applications in Internet Time, LLC.
7	These proceedings are IPR2015-01750, relating to
8	U.S. Patent No. 8,484,111, and IPR2015-01751 and 01752
9	relating to U.S. Patent No. 7,356,482.
10	I am Judge Chagnon. I'm here today with Judges
11	Pettigrew and Weatherly.
12	We will start with having counsel introduce
13	yourselves and let us know who will be presenting today.
14	We'll start with Petitioner.
15	MR. GIUNTA: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Rich
16	Giunta and Elizabeth Hunt from Wolf Greenfield. Our plan, if
17	it's agreeable, is to split the presentation.
18	JUDGE CHAGNON: Okay. Thank you. Patent
19	Owner.
20	MR. PEARCE: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
21	Jonathan Pearce and Steven Sereboff on behalf of Applications
22	in Internet Time. We, likewise, will both be presenting.
23	JUDGE CHAGNON: All right. Thank you so
24	much. So I would like to remind the parties that during your



Case IPR2015-01750 (Patent No. 8,484,111 B2) Case IPR2015-01751 and IPR2015-01752 (Patent No. 7,356,482 B2)

1	presentations today to please identify the demonstrative
2	exhibit that you are on just to help the clarity of the record.
3	And so pursuant to our order of October 26th each
4	party today has 90 minutes of time total to present your
5	arguments. You can allocate your time between the cases as
6	you wish and we will be entering a single transcript into each
7	of the three cases.
8	Petitioner has the burden to prove unpatentability
9	of the claims, so Petitioner will present first. Petitioner may
10	reserve time for rebuttal, if desired. And Patent Owner will
11	present after Petitioner's opening case and there will be no
12	rebuttal time for Patent Owner today.
13	Also, I just want to remind the parties that we do
14	have confidential information in the record in this case and, as
15	we discussed in our previous conference call, the parties do
16	not have plans to discuss the confidential information today.
17	So please just remember that during your presentations.
18	So, Petitioner, whenever you are ready. Did you
19	want to reserve any time today for rebuttal?
20	MR. GIUNTA: Yes, Your Honor. Our plan,
21	depending on how many questions Your Honors have, is that
22	we plan to go about an hour, maybe an hour and 10 minutes, so
23	we would like to reserve 20 to 30 minutes, if that's acceptable
24	to Vour Honors



25

JUDGE CHAGNON: Okay.

Case IPR2015-01750 (Patent No. 8,484,111 B2) Case IPR2015-01751 and IPR2015-01752 (Patent No. 7,356,482 B2)

1	MR. GIUNTA: And could I just ask one point of
2	clarification? You mentioned to reference the are the slides
3	going to be in the record so can we refer to the slide number?
4	Is that sufficient?
5	JUDGE CHAGNON: You can refer to the slide
6	number. We won't have them in the record, but it makes it
7	easier if the court reporter needs to double-check things.
8	MR. GIUNTA: Okay. So we don't need to
9	reference the underlying evidence on the slide; it's sufficient
10	to reference the slide number?
11	JUDGE CHAGNON: Yes.
12	MR. GIUNTA: Okay.
13	JUDGE CHAGNON: Let me see if I can set this
14	clock. Whenever you are ready, you can go ahead. Thank
15	you.
16	MR. GIUNTA: Thank you, Your Honor. So across
17	these three proceedings, if I have done my math correctly, we
18	have 12 instituted grounds on 44 claims. But the contested
19	issues here are quite few.
20	The Patent Owner filed only a single Patent Owner
21	Response in all three proceedings and challenged only a
22	handful of issues. So in the absence of questions from Your
23	Honor, our plan is to focus on the handful of issues that the
24	Patent Owner raised in the Patent Owner Response.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

