

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

RPX CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

APPLICATIONS IN INTERNET TIME, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-01750
Patent 8,484,111 B2

Case IPR2015-01751
Case IPR2015-01752
Patent 7,356,482 B2¹

**PETITIONER'S FOURTH MOTION TO SEAL
UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14 AND 42.54**

¹ The word-for-word identical paper is filed in each proceeding identified in the heading.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14. and 42.54 and the Revised Protective Order entered by the Board (*see* Ex. 3001), Petitioner RPX Corporation (“Petitioner” or “RPX”), by and through its counsel of record, moves to seal: (1) portions of Petitioner’s Opening Brief (hereinafter “the Opening Brief”) filed herewith in these remand proceedings for IPR2015-01750, IPR2015-01751 and IPR2015-01752; (2) the entirety of the below-listed “Unredactable Exhibits” that accompany the Opening Brief; and (3) portions of the below-listed “Redacted Exhibits” that accompany the Opening Brief.²

<u>Unredactable Exhibits</u>	<u>Description</u>
1074	RPX Form Membership Agreement
1075	RPX Form Membership Agreement
1076	Confidential Agreement
1077	Third Amendment to Membership and License Agreement
1078	Confidential Agreement
1079	Confidential Agreement
1080	Salesforce.com, Inc. Order Form
1081	Payment records by Salesforce to RPX
1091	RPX notice of production of confidential information to Salesforce
1092	E-mail thread responsive to RPX notice of production of confidential information to Salesforce
<u>Redacted Exhibits</u>	<u>Description</u>
1073	Second Declaration of William W. Chuang
1090	Declaration of Steve W. Chiang
1094	Transcript of deposition of Steve W. Chiang, taken January 29, 2019
1095	Transcript of deposition of William W. Chuang, taken January 30, 2019

² This Motion uses the Exhibit numbers and Paper numbers from IPR2015-01750.

The Opening Brief, the Unredactable Exhibits, the Redacted Exhibits, and redacted non-confidential versions of the Opening Brief and the Redacted Exhibits are being filed concurrently with this Motion.

The Opening Brief, the Unredactable Exhibits, and the Redacted Exhibits contain highly confidential and extremely sensitive information, including, *inter alia*, highly confidential IPR litigation strategy that RPX employs to pursue its business, and highly confidential agreements, financial information, communication records, and references thereto. RPX guards its confidential information to protect its own business as well as third parties, and is contractually obligated to keep certain of this information confidential. RPX, therefore, respectfully requests that the Unredactable Exhibits in their entirety, the redacted portions of the Redacted Exhibits, and the redacted portions of the Opening Brief be kept under seal. Sealing this information falls squarely within the Board’s authority to “[require] that a trade secret or other confidential ... commercial information not be revealed or be revealed only in a specified way....” 37 C.F.R. § 42.54(a)(7).

I. GOOD CAUSE EXISTS FOR SEALING RPX’S SENSITIVE CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

In deciding whether to seal documents, the Board must find “good cause,” and must “strike a balance between the public’s interest in maintaining a complete

and understandable file history and the parties' interest in protecting truly sensitive information." *Garmin v. Cuozzo*, IPR2012-00001, Paper 36 (April 5, 2013). Here, the balance weighs heavily in favor of protecting RPX's highly confidential information.

The Unredactable Exhibits, as detailed below, are composed entirely of sensitive confidential information and cannot be effectively redacted in a manner that would provide any meaningful content to the public without exposing confidential information. Accordingly, good cause exists for sealing the Unredactable Exhibits in their entirety.

As discussed in detail below, the other documents Petitioner hereby moves to seal, for which redacted non-confidential versions are being filed concurrently herewith (namely, the Opening Brief and the Redacted Exhibits), reference sensitive confidential information, including information from the Unredactable Exhibits and from other sensitive documents that the Board has already sealed in these proceedings in response to one or more previous motions to seal filed by Petitioner. To ensure that the public has access to a complete and understandable file history without disclosing RPX's confidential information, Petitioner has tailored its redactions as narrowly as possible.

As discussed below, even if the Board finds the existence of some of the confidential information to be relevant, the specific details revealed in the

documents is not necessary for the public to understand these proceedings, and the harm to RPX of disclosure of such details far outweighs any public need to access this detailed information.

The information Petitioner hereby moves to seal falls into five categories addressed separately below. There is good cause for sealing the information in each of these categories, and there are different reasons for the sensitivity of the information in each. If the Board were to decide that the information in any particular category should not be kept under seal, Petitioner requests the opportunity to provide revised redacted copies of the documents to preserve the confidentiality of the other categories of sensitive information.

A. Confidential Agreements

The Board previously granted (in Paper No. 53) Petitioner's motion (in Paper No. 27) to seal Exhibits 1020-1022, which are confidential agreements that detail sensitive confidential aspects of business relationships involving third parties, including highly confidential and sensitive financial terms. These agreements, by their explicit terms, require RPX to keep them confidential (Ex. 1020 at §§ 4 and 9.9), and the Board recognized that their sensitive details should be kept under seal to protect not only RPX but also third parties with whom RPX has confidential business relations. *See* Paper No. 53.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.