

Paper No. __

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Samsung Electronics Company, Ltd.
Petitioner,

v.

Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC
Patent Owner.

Patent No. 5,659,891

Issued: August 19, 1997

Filed: June 7, 1995

Inventors: William D. Hays, Dennis Cameron, Walter Roehr

Title: MULTICARRIER TECHNIQUES IN BANDLIMITED CHANNELS

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2015-01727

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1).....	1
A.	Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	1
B.	Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. §42.8(b)(2).....	1
C.	Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) and Service Information.....	2
II.	PAYMENT OF FEES – 37 C.F.R. § 42.103	2
III.	REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104.....	3
A.	Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(A).....	3
B.	Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B) and Relief Requested.....	3
C.	Claim Construction under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(B)(3)	4
1.	Single mask-defined, bandlimited channel (Claims 1, 3, and 5)	5
2.	Plurality of transmitters (Claim 5).....	5
3.	Transmitter(s) (Claim 5)	6
4.	Band edge (Claims 1, 3, and 5).....	7
5.	Each adjacent carrier (Claims 1, 3, and 5).....	8
6.	Operating a plurality of paging carriers / operating at least two paging carriers (Claims 1 and 3)	8
IV.	SUMMARY OF THE '891 PATENT	9
A.	Brief Description.....	9
B.	Summary of the Prosecution History of the '891 Patent	10
V.	MANNER OF APPLYING CITED PRIOR ART TO EACH CLAIM FOR WHICH AN IPR IS REQUESTED, THUS ESTABLISHING A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE '891 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE.....	10
A.	[GROUND 1] – Cimini in view of the Ordinary Skill in the Art Renders Claims 1-4 Obvious	11

1.	Claim 1	14
2.	Claim 2	17
3.	Claim 3	18
4.	Claim 4	21
B.	[GROUND 2] – Cimini in view of Raith and Alakija Renders Claim 5 Obvious	22
VI.	REDUNDANCY	32
VII.	CONCLUSION	32

EXHIBITS

SAM1001 – U.S. Patent 5,659,891 to Hays et al., filed June 7, 1995

SAM1002 – Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., et al., Case No. 2:15-cv-00183, Plaintiff Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC's Original Complaint (Feb. 9, 2015)

SAM1003 – Declaration of Dr. Apostolos (Paul) Kakaes

SAM1004 – Apple Inc. v. Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC, Case IPR2014-01035, Decision on Institution of *Inter Partes Review* (Jan. 22, 2015)

SAM1005 – Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. T-Mobile USA, Inc., et al., Case No. 2:13-cv-00886-JRG-RSP, Claim Construction Memorandum and Order (Jan. 23, 2015)

SAM1006 – Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. Sprint Nextel Corp., et al., Case No. 2:12-cv-00832-JRG-RSP, Claim Construction Memorandum and Order (May 2, 2014)

SAM1007 – Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. Leap Wireless International, Inc., et al., Case No. 2:13-cv-00885-JRG-RSP, Claim Construction Memorandum and Order (May 12, 2015)

SAM1008 – The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, 1902, (3rd ed. 1992)

SAM1009 – Standards Coordinating Committee 10, Terms and Definitions, The IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms, 1140, (6th ed. 1996)

SAM1010 – McGraw-Hill Dictionary of Scientific and Technical Terms, 1644, (5th ed. 1993)

SAM1011 – Mobile Telecommunications Technologies, LLC v. Clearwire Corp., et al., Case No. 2:12-cv-00308-JRG-RSP, Claim Construction Memorandum and Order (July 1, 2013)

SAM1012 – Prosecution History of U.S. Patent 5,659,891 to Hays et al., filed June 7, 1995

SAM1013 – Leonard J. Cimini, Analysis and Simulation of a Digital Mobile Channel Using Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing, 33 IEEE Transactions on Communications 665 (Jul. 1985)

SAM1014 – International Patent WO 89/08355 to Raith et al., filed Feb. 8, 1989

SAM1015 – C. Alakija and S. P. Stapleton, A Mobile Base Station Phased Array Antenna, 1992 IEEE International Conference on Selected Topics in Wireless Communications 118 (Jun. 1992)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.