

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ENDOLOGIX, INC.
Petitioner

v.

LIFEPOR SCIENCES LLC
Patent Owner

CASE IPR: 2015-01722
U.S. PATENT NO. 8,192,482

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW

Mail Stop Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box. 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. PAYMENT OF FEES (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.15 and 42.103)1

III. MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. § 42.8).....1

 A. Real Parties-In-Interest (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)).....1

 B. Related Matters (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)).....1

 C. Lead and Backup Counsel (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3))2

 D. Service Information (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4))2

IV. GROUNDS FOR STANDING (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)).....3

V. RELIEF REQUESTED3

VI. REASONS FOR THE REQUESTED RELIEF3

 A. Summary of ‘482 Petition3

 B. Overview of the Prior Art Specifically Cited Below4

 1. U.S. Patent No. 8,317,854 (“Ryan”).....4

 2. U.S. Patent No. 5,405,377 (“Cragg”)4

 3. U.S. Patent No. 5,064,435 (“Porter”)4

 4. U.S. Patent No. 4,994,071 (“MacGregor”).....4

 5. U.S. Patent No. 5,135,536 (“Hillstead”).....5

 6. U.S. Patent No. 4,733,665 (“Palmaz”)5

 7. U.S. Patent No. 5,370,683 (“Fontaine”)5

 8. U.S. Patent No. 5,707,386 (“Schnepp-Pesch”).....5

 9. U.S. Patent No. 5,421,955 (“Lau”)6

 10. U.S. Patent No. 5,234,457 (“Andersen”).....6

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,192,482

C.	Background of the Technology and Summary of ‘482 Patent.....	6
1.	Well Known Prior Art Prosthesis Designs	7
2.	The Patent Owner Only Overcame Previous Rejections By Arguing That The Disclosed Non-Helical Design Was Novel	11
3.	Elements from Helical and Non-Helical Stents Were Known To be Interchangeable	12
4.	Well Known, Interchangeable Prior Art Securing Means	13
D.	Summary of the Prosecution of the ‘482 Patent	16
E.	The ‘482 Patent Cannot Claim Priority to EP 94400284 or EP 94401306	16
F.	Related ‘167 Patent IPR and Institution Decision	18
VII.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	18
VIII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	19
A.	Additional Terms for Construction	20
1.	“segment” (Claims 2-5,8-9, and 12)	20
IX.	STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS THEREFORE (37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a) AND 42.104(b)).....	21
A.	Ground 1: Claims 1-9, 12-13, 21-22, and 30 Are Anticipated by Ryan.....	21
B.	Ground 2: Claims 1-9, 12-13, 21-22, and 30 Are Obvious Over Ryan.....	37
C.	Ground 3: Claims 1-9, 12-13, 21-22, and 30 Are Obvious Over Ryan In View Of Cragg	38
D.	Ground 4: Claim 2-4, 6-7, and 12 Are Obvious Over Ryan In View Of Porter	40

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,192,482

E.	Ground 5: Claims 2, 5, 7-9 Are Obvious Over Ryan In View Of MacGregor.....	43
F.	Ground 6: Claims 1-3, 5-6, 12-13, 21, and 30 Are Anticipated by Hillstead.....	45
G.	Ground 7: Claims 1-3, 5-6, 12-13, 21 and 30 Are Obvious Over Hillstead In View Of Palmaz	55
H.	Ground 8: Claims 1-9, 12-13, 21-22, and 30 Are Obvious Over Hillstead In View Of Palmaz and Ryan	57
X.	CONCLUSION.....	60

List of Exhibits

- Ex. 1001 U.S. Patent No. 8,192,482 (“482 Patent”)
- Ex. 1002 Declaration of Richard A. Hillstead, Ph.D
- Ex. 1003 *Curriculum Vitae* of Richard A. Hillstead, Ph.D
- Ex. 1004 U.S. Patent No. 8,317,854 (“Ryan”)
- Ex. 1005 U.S. Patent No. 5,405,377 (“Cragg”)
- Ex. 1006 U.S. Patent No. 5,064,435 (“Porter”)
- Ex. 1007 U.S. Patent No. 4,994,071 (“MacGregor”)
- Ex. 1008 U.S. Patent No. 5,135,536 (“Hillstead”)
- Ex. 1009 U.S. Patent No. 4,733,665 (“Palmaz”)
- Ex. 1010 U.S. Patent No. 5,370,683 (“Fontaine”)
- Ex. 1011 U.S. Patent No. 5,707,386 (“Schnepp-Pesch”)
- Ex. 1012 U.S. Patent No. 5,421,955 (“Lau”)
- Ex. 1013 U.S. Patent No. 5,234,457 (“Andersen”)
- Ex. 1014 Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 8,192,482
- Ex. 1015 Amended Complaint in Case No. 1:12-cv-01791-GMS, filed on August 12, 2014
- Ex. 1016 Excerpts from the Deposition of Dr. George Goicoechea on July 8, 2015
- Ex. 1017 Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences Decision in Interference

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.