		3		
			Page 1	1
	1 2	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD		
	3			
	4			
	5	COALITION FOR AFFORDABLE DRUGS VII LLC		
	6	Petitioner		
	7	v.		
	8	POZEN INC.		
	9	Patent Owner		
	10			
	11	Case No. IPR2015-01718		
	12	Patent No. 8,945,621		
	13			
	14			
	15			
	16	DEPOSITION OF DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D.		
	17	Washington, D.C.		
	18	August 27, 2016		
	19			
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24	Reported by: Mary Ann Payonk		
	25	Job No. 179557		
L				



2

	Page 2		Page 4
1		1	APPEARANCES (Cont'd.):
2		2	Danielle C. Pfifferling, Esq.
3		3	Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow,
4	August 27, 2016	4	Garrett & Dunner LLP
5	9:00 a.m.	5	901 New York Avenue, N.W.
6		6	Washington, D.C. 20001
7	Deposition of DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D.,	7	danielle.pfifferling@finnegan.com
8	held at the offices of Cooley LLP, 1299	8	
9	Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.,	9	Jeffrey S. Gritton
10	pursuant to Notice before Mary Ann Payonk,	10	BAKER BOTTS LLP
11	Nationally Certified Realtime Reporter and	11	98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 1500
12	Notary Public of the District of Columbia,	12	Austin, TX 78701-4078
13	Commonwealth of Virginia, States of Maryland	13	Tel: (512) 322-2624
14	and New York.	14	Jeff.Gritton@bakerbotts.com
15		15	
16		16	
17		17	
18		18	
19		19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	
3		5	
3		5	
1	Page 3		Page
		1 2	DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D.,
1	APPEARANCES:	1	DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D., called as a witness, having been duly
1 2	APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:	1 2	DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D., called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as
1 2 3	APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Amy E. LaValle, Esq.	1 2 3 4	DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D.,  called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
1 2 3 4	APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Amy E. LaValle, Esq. WICK PHILLIPS GOULD & MARTIN, LLP	1 2 3	DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D.,  called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION
1 2 3 4 5	APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Amy E. LaValle, Esq. WICK PHILLIPS GOULD & MARTIN, LLP 3131 McKinney Avenue, Suite 100	1 2 3 4 5	DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D.,  called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MS. LaVALLE:
1 2 3 4 5	APPEARANCES:  ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:  Amy E. LaValle, Esq.  WICK PHILLIPS GOULD & MARTIN, LLP  3131 McKinney Avenue, Suite 100  Dallas, TX 75204	1 2 3 4 5	DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D.,  called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MS. LaVALLE: Q. Hi, Dr. Johnson.
1 2 3 4 5 6	APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Amy E. LaValle, Esq. WICK PHILLIPS GOULD & MARTIN, LLP 3131 McKinney Avenue, Suite 100 Dallas, TX 75204 (214) 692-6200	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D.,  called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MS. LAVALLE: Q. Hi, Dr. Johnson. A. Good morning.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Amy E. LaValle, Esq. WICK PHILLIPS GOULD & MARTIN, LLP 3131 McKinney Avenue, Suite 100 Dallas, TX 75204 (214) 692-6200	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D.,  called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MS. LaVALLE:  Q. Hi, Dr. Johnson.  A. Good morning.  Q. As I stated a minute ago, my name is
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Amy E. LaValle, Esq. WICK PHILLIPS GOULD & MARTIN, LLP 3131 McKinney Avenue, Suite 100 Dallas, TX 75204 (214) 692-6200 amy.lavalle@wickphillips.com	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D.,  called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MS. LaVALLE:  Q. Hi, Dr. Johnson.  A. Good morning.  Q. As I stated a minute ago, my name is  Amy LaValle and I'm an attorney for the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Amy E. LaValle, Esq. WICK PHILLIPS GOULD & MARTIN, LLP 3131 McKinney Avenue, Suite 100 Dallas, TX 75204 (214) 692-6200 amy.lavalle@wickphillips.com ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER:	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D.,  called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MS. LaVALLE:  Q. Hi, Dr. Johnson.  A. Good morning.  Q. As I stated a minute ago, my name is Amy LaValle and I'm an attorney for the petitioner Coalition for Affordable Drugs.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Amy E. LaValle, Esq. WICK PHILLIPS GOULD & MARTIN, LLP 3131 McKinney Avenue, Suite 100 Dallas, TX 75204 (214) 692-6200 amy.lavalle@wickphillips.com  ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER: Ricardo Rodriguez, Esq.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D.,  called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MS. LaVALLE:  Q. Hi, Dr. Johnson.  A. Good morning.  Q. As I stated a minute ago, my name is Amy LaValle and I'm an attorney for the petitioner Coalition for Affordable Drugs.  Could you please state your full name
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Amy E. LaValle, Esq. WICK PHILLIPS GOULD & MARTIN, LLP 3131 McKinney Avenue, Suite 100 Dallas, TX 75204 (214) 692-6200 amy.lavalle@wickphillips.com  ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER: Ricardo Rodriguez, Esq. COOLEY LLP	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D.,  called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MS. LaVALLE:  Q. Hi, Dr. Johnson. A. Good morning. Q. As I stated a minute ago, my name is Amy LaValle and I'm an attorney for the petitioner Coalition for Affordable Drugs.  Could you please state your full name for the record.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Amy E. LaValle, Esq. WICK PHILLIPS GOULD & MARTIN, LLP 3131 McKinney Avenue, Suite 100 Dallas, TX 75204 (214) 692-6200 amy.lavalle@wickphillips.com  ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER: Ricardo Rodriguez, Esq. COOLEY LLP 3175 Hanover Street	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D.,  called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MS. LaVALLE:  Q. Hi, Dr. Johnson.  A. Good morning.  Q. As I stated a minute ago, my name is  Amy LaValle and I'm an attorney for the petitioner Coalition for Affordable Drugs.  Could you please state your full nam for the record.  A. Sure. David Alan Johnson.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	APPEARANCES:  ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:  Amy E. LaValle, Esq.  WICK PHILLIPS GOULD & MARTIN, LLP  3131 McKinney Avenue, Suite 100  Dallas, TX 75204  (214) 692-6200  amy.lavalle@wickphillips.com  ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER: Ricardo Rodriguez, Esq.  COOLEY LLP  3175 Hanover Street  Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D.,  called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MS. LaVALLE:  Q. Hi, Dr. Johnson.  A. Good morning.  Q. As I stated a minute ago, my name is  Amy LaValle and I'm an attorney for the petitioner Coalition for Affordable Drugs.  Could you please state your full nam for the record.  A. Sure. David Alan Johnson.  Q. And could you state your current hom
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	APPEARANCES:  ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:  Amy E. LaValle, Esq.  WICK PHILLIPS GOULD & MARTIN, LLP  3131 McKinney Avenue, Suite 100  Dallas, TX 75204  (214) 692-6200  amy.lavalle@wickphillips.com  ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER:  Ricardo Rodriguez, Esq.  COOLEY LLP  3175 Hanover Street  Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130  Tel: (650) 843-5046	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D.,  called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MS. LAVALLE:  Q. Hi, Dr. Johnson.  A. Good morning.  Q. As I stated a minute ago, my name is  Amy LaValle and I'm an attorney for the petitioner Coalition for Affordable Drugs.  Could you please state your full nam for the record.  A. Sure. David Alan Johnson.  Q. And could you state your current hom address?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	APPEARANCES:  ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:  Amy E. LaValle, Esq.  WICK PHILLIPS GOULD & MARTIN, LLP  3131 McKinney Avenue, Suite 100  Dallas, TX 75204  (214) 692-6200  amy.lavalle@wickphillips.com  ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER:  Ricardo Rodriguez, Esq.  COOLEY LLP  3175 Hanover Street  Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130  Tel: (650) 843-5046	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D.,  called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MS. LaVALLE:  Q. Hi, Dr. Johnson.  A. Good morning.  Q. As I stated a minute ago, my name is  Amy LaValle and I'm an attorney for the petitioner Coalition for Affordable Drugs.  Could you please state your full nam for the record.  A. Sure. David Alan Johnson.  Q. And could you state your current hom address?  A. 7464 North Shore Road, Norfolk,
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Amy E. LaValle, Esq. WICK PHILLIPS GOULD & MARTIN, LLP 3131 McKinney Avenue, Suite 100 Dallas, TX 75204 (214) 692-6200 amy.lavalle@wickphillips.com  ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER: Ricardo Rodriguez, Esq. COOLEY LLP 3175 Hanover Street Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130 Tel: (650) 843-5046 rr@cooley.com	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D.,  called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MS. LaVALLE:  Q. Hi, Dr. Johnson.  A. Good morning.  Q. As I stated a minute ago, my name is Amy LaValle and I'm an attorney for the petitioner Coalition for Affordable Drugs.  Could you please state your full nam for the record.  A. Sure. David Alan Johnson.  Q. And could you state your current hom address?  A. 7464 North Shore Road, Norfolk, Virginia 23505.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Amy E. LaValle, Esq. WICK PHILLIPS GOULD & MARTIN, LLP 3131 McKinney Avenue, Suite 100 Dallas, TX 75204 (214) 692-6200 amy.lavalle@wickphillips.com  ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER: Ricardo Rodriguez, Esq. COOLEY LLP 3175 Hanover Street Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130 Tel: (650) 843-5046 rr@cooley.com  Lauren L. Stevens, Ph.D. HORIZON PHARMA USA, INC.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D.,  called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MS. LaVALLE:  Q. Hi, Dr. Johnson.  A. Good morning.  Q. As I stated a minute ago, my name is Amy LaValle and I'm an attorney for the petitioner Coalition for Affordable Drugs.  Could you please state your full nam for the record.  A. Sure. David Alan Johnson.  Q. And could you state your current hom address?  A. 7464 North Shore Road, Norfolk, Virginia 23505.  Q. Have you ever been deposed?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Amy E. LaValle, Esq. WICK PHILLIPS GOULD & MARTIN, LLP 3131 McKinney Avenue, Suite 100 Dallas, TX 75204 (214) 692-6200 amy.lavalle@wickphillips.com  ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER: Ricardo Rodriguez, Esq. COOLEY LLP 3175 Hanover Street Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130 Tel: (650) 843-5046 rr@cooley.com  Lauren L. Stevens, Ph.D. HORIZON PHARMA USA, INC. 150 S. Saunders Road	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D.,  called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MS. LaVALLE:  Q. Hi, Dr. Johnson.  A. Good morning.  Q. As I stated a minute ago, my name is  Amy LaValle and I'm an attorney for the petitioner Coalition for Affordable Drugs.  Could you please state your full nam for the record.  A. Sure. David Alan Johnson.  Q. And could you state your current hom address?  A. 7464 North Shore Road, Norfolk,  Virginia 23505.  Q. Have you ever been deposed?  A. Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Amy E. LaValle, Esq. WICK PHILLIPS GOULD & MARTIN, LLP 3131 McKinney Avenue, Suite 100 Dallas, TX 75204 (214) 692-6200 amy.lavalle@wickphillips.com  ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER: Ricardo Rodriguez, Esq. COOLEY LLP 3175 Hanover Street Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130 Tel: (650) 843-5046 rr@cooley.com  Lauren L. Stevens, Ph.D. HORIZON PHARMA USA, INC. 150 S. Saunders Road Lake Forest, Illinois 60045	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D.,  called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MS. LaVALLE:  Q. Hi, Dr. Johnson.  A. Good morning.  Q. As I stated a minute ago, my name is Amy LaValle and I'm an attorney for the petitioner Coalition for Affordable Drugs.  Could you please state your full nam for the record.  A. Sure. David Alan Johnson.  Q. And could you state your current hom address?  A. 7464 North Shore Road, Norfolk, Virginia 23505.  Q. Have you ever been deposed?  A. Yes.  Q. How many times?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Amy E. LaValle, Esq. WICK PHILLIPS GOULD & MARTIN, LLP 3131 McKinney Avenue, Suite 100 Dallas, TX 75204 (214) 692-6200 amy.lavalle@wickphillips.com  ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER: Ricardo Rodriguez, Esq. COOLEY LLP 3175 Hanover Street Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130 Tel: (650) 843-5046 rr@cooley.com  Lauren L. Stevens, Ph.D. HORIZON PHARMA USA, INC. 150 S. Saunders Road Lake Forest, Illinois 60045 Tel: (224) 383-3265	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D.,  called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MS. LaVALLE:  Q. Hi, Dr. Johnson.  A. Good morning.  Q. As I stated a minute ago, my name is Amy LaValle and I'm an attorney for the petitioner Coalition for Affordable Drugs.  Could you please state your full nam for the record.  A. Sure. David Alan Johnson.  Q. And could you state your current hom address?  A. 7464 North Shore Road, Norfolk, Virginia 23505.  Q. Have you ever been deposed?  A. Yes.  Q. How many times?  A. I don't know that I have the number
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Amy E. LaValle, Esq. WICK PHILLIPS GOULD & MARTIN, LLP 3131 McKinney Avenue, Suite 100 Dallas, TX 75204 (214) 692-6200 amy.lavalle@wickphillips.com  ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER: Ricardo Rodriguez, Esq. COOLEY LLP 3175 Hanover Street Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130 Tel: (650) 843-5046 rr@cooley.com  Lauren L. Stevens, Ph.D. HORIZON PHARMA USA, INC. 150 S. Saunders Road Lake Forest, Illinois 60045	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D.,  called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MS. LaVALLE:  Q. Hi, Dr. Johnson.  A. Good morning.  Q. As I stated a minute ago, my name is Amy LaValle and I'm an attorney for the petitioner Coalition for Affordable Drugs.  Could you please state your full nam for the record.  A. Sure. David Alan Johnson.  Q. And could you state your current hom address?  A. 7464 North Shore Road, Norfolk, Virginia 23505.  Q. Have you ever been deposed?  A. Yes.  Q. How many times?  A. I don't know that I have the number off the top of my head, but several.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	APPEARANCES: ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Amy E. LaValle, Esq. WICK PHILLIPS GOULD & MARTIN, LLP 3131 McKinney Avenue, Suite 100 Dallas, TX 75204 (214) 692-6200 amy.lavalle@wickphillips.com  ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER: Ricardo Rodriguez, Esq. COOLEY LLP 3175 Hanover Street Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130 Tel: (650) 843-5046 rr@cooley.com  Lauren L. Stevens, Ph.D. HORIZON PHARMA USA, INC. 150 S. Saunders Road Lake Forest, Illinois 60045 Tel: (224) 383-3265	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DAVID A. JOHNSON, M.D.,  called as a witness, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:  EXAMINATION  BY MS. LaVALLE:  Q. Hi, Dr. Johnson.  A. Good morning.  Q. As I stated a minute ago, my name is Amy LaValle and I'm an attorney for the petitioner Coalition for Affordable Drugs.  Could you please state your full nam for the record.  A. Sure. David Alan Johnson.  Q. And could you state your current hom address?  A. 7464 North Shore Road, Norfolk, Virginia 23505.  Q. Have you ever been deposed?  A. Yes.  Q. How many times?  A. I don't know that I have the number



	11agabe 1	27, 2010	0 60 3
	Page 6		Page 8
1	A. No.	1	BY MS. LaVALLE:
2	Q. Do you have any legal issues that	2	Q. Dr. Johnson, I did see on page, I
3	would prohibit you from providing answers	3	think, 98, if you could turn to page 98 or
4	today?	4	at the bottom of 97 starts a listing of some
5	A. No.	5	research activities that you conducted for
6	Q. Are you doing any consulting	6	AstraZeneca.
7	work other than this, for this proceeding,	7	Is this what you were referring to a
8	are you doing any consulting work for the	8	few minutes ago?
9	patent owners?	9	A. In part, yes.
10	A. Yes.	10	Q. Can you explain what you did for
11	Q. Could you tell me about that?	11	AstraZeneca in the study at the bottom of page
12	A. It's in the involved with	12	97?
13	litigation.	13	A. This was a as I recall, have not
14	Q. So other legal expert work?	14	reviewed this protocol for quite some time.
15	A. Correct.	15	This was a pharmaceutical investigative study.
16	Q. For other legal proceedings?	16	I was the primary investigator at my site, not
17	A. Correct.	17	in the study, so we were participating in a
18	Q. And that is for POZEN?	18	pharmaceutical clinical trial at which I was
19	A. Correct.	19	the primary investigator at my site, not for
20	Q. And for Horizon Pharma as well?	20	the entire trial.
21	A. Correct.	21	Q. Can you explain what your
22	Q. Other than legal work, are you doing	22	responsibilities were?
23	any consulting work for the patent owners?	23	A. Well, the primary investigator at any
24	A. No.	24	site, as well as the associates, to maintain
25	Q. Have you ever done any consulting	25	clinical conduct in accordance with the
7		9	
	Page 7		Page 9
1	work for the patent owners in the past?	1	clinical trial design, ensure patient safety
2	A. No.	2	and ensure reporting as outlined in the
3	Q. Have you done any consulting work in	3	protocol.
4	the past for AstraZeneca?	4	Q. And at the top of the next page
5	A. Yes.	5	listed as .8.11, there's another study there
6	Q. What type of consulting work have you	6	where it states you were the primary
7	done for AstraZeneca?	7	investigator. Is that a similar type of study?
8	A. Typical consulting work and advice on	8	A. Well, similar type of study as a
9	clinical trials and participation in scientific	9	primary investigator at the site in a
10	development.	10	pharmaceutical-sponsored trial.
11	Q. Do you recall which drugs?	11	Q. So when you say
12	A. I've been involved in Omeprazole and	12	"pharmaceutical-sponsored," do you mean
13	with as well it was at that time was	13	AstraZeneca sponsored and paid for this trial?
14	Merck and then AstraMerck, and then	14	A. They were as listed there, yes.
15	AstraZeneca, as well as esomeprazole and	15	Q. And were you compensated for your
16	involved in some of the other developmental	16	work?
17	drugs which haven't come to market.	17	A. No.
18	MS. LaVALLE: I was provided a copy	18	Q. Do you recall the dates of these
19	of your CV a couple of days ago, and I'd	19	trials?
20	like to mark that as an exhibit in this	20	A. I do not. Sorry.
21	deposition. It will be Exhibit 1. I'll	21	Q. Do you recall what you were doing at
22	hand you a copy.	22	the time, where you were employed?
23	THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.	23	A. I was employed with my same group. I
24	(Johnson Exhibit No. 1 was marked for	24	have been there since 1989.
25	identification.)	25	Q. Okay. Are you also employed as a
8		10	



10 to 13

	August 2	4/,	2016 10 to 13
	Page 10		Page 12
1	professor?	1	don't understand the don't participate in
2	A. Well, I'm employed by my division.	2	direct patent application and the proceedings
3	I'm appointed as a professor. I'm not paid for	3	that I have been involved in have been in
4	my role at the medical school. I'm the	4	legal. And beyond that, no.
5	division director but I'm not directly paid for	5	MS. LaVALLE: Okay. I'm going to
6	that.	6	hand you a copy of the patent that is at
7	Q. Okay. Your group that you're	7	issue in this proceeding. It's
8	referring to where you are employed is the	8	U.S. Patent 8,945,621. We will mark it
9	Gastrointestinal and Liver Disease Specialists	9	as Exhibit 2 in this deposition.
10	of Tidewater?	10	(XX Exhibit No. 2 was marked for
11	A. Correct.	11	identification.)
12	Q. Prior to this proceeding, have you	12	BY MS. LaVALLE:
13	ever served as an expert witness in a	13	Q. Have you seen this patent before?
14	proceeding in which you provided an expert	14	A. I have.
15	report or declaration?	15	Q. Can you confirm that this is the
16	A. Yes.	16	patent that is at issue in this proceeding?
17	Q. Which proceeding was that?	17	A. It is.
18	A. A number of proceedings. I off	18	Q. On the first page, in the top
19	the top of my head, I can't recall the	19	left-hand corner, near the top left-hand
20	specifics, but I've been involved in a number	20	corner, there's a list of the named inventors.
21	of different proceedings.	21	Can you review that list?
22	Q. Were they patent cases?	22	A. Yes.
23	A. Some of which, yes.	23	Q. Are you familiar with any of these
24	Q. Have you ever testified at a	24	names?
25	deposition or trial in a patent case?	25	A. Yes.
1	Page 11	1	Page 13
1 2	A. I've testified at deposition, never	1 2	Q. Which ones?
2	A. I've testified at deposition, never at the trial.	2	Q. Which ones?  A. Mark Sostek, directly as far as
2 3	A. I've testified at deposition, never at the trial.  Q. In a patent case?	2 3	Q. Which ones?  A. Mark Sostek, directly as far as directly familiar with the others by name, but
2 3 4	A. I've testified at deposition, never at the trial.  Q. In a patent case?  A. Yes.	2 3 4	Q. Which ones?  A. Mark Sostek, directly as far as directly familiar with the others by name, but not directly knowing.
2 3 4 5	A. I've testified at deposition, never at the trial.  Q. In a patent case?  A. Yes.  Q. Just once before?	2 3 4 5	Q. Which ones?  A. Mark Sostek, directly as far as directly familiar with the others by name, but not directly knowing.  Q. Okay. So do you personally know Mark
2 3 4 5 6	A. I've testified at deposition, never at the trial. Q. In a patent case? A. Yes. Q. Just once before? A. Some of these get muddied into a	2 3 4 5 6	Q. Which ones?  A. Mark Sostek, directly as far as directly familiar with the others by name, but not directly knowing.  Q. Okay. So do you personally know Mark Sostek?
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I've testified at deposition, never at the trial. Q. In a patent case? A. Yes. Q. Just once before? A. Some of these get muddied into a continuation of different iterations so I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Which ones? A. Mark Sostek, directly as far as directly familiar with the others by name, but not directly knowing. Q. Okay. So do you personally know Mark Sostek? A. I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I've testified at deposition, never at the trial. Q. In a patent case? A. Yes. Q. Just once before? A. Some of these get muddied into a continuation of different iterations so I don't recall if it was with one product or whether or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Which ones? A. Mark Sostek, directly as far as directly familiar with the others by name, but not directly knowing. Q. Okay. So do you personally know Mark Sostek? A. I do. Q. How do you know him?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I've testified at deposition, never at the trial.  Q. In a patent case?  A. Yes.  Q. Just once before?  A. Some of these get muddied into a continuation of different iterations so I don't recall if it was with one product or whether or not it was several iterations in the same	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Which ones? A. Mark Sostek, directly as far as directly familiar with the others by name, but not directly knowing. Q. Okay. So do you personally know Mark Sostek? A. I do. Q. How do you know him? A. Through prior involvement with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I've testified at deposition, never at the trial.  Q. In a patent case?  A. Yes.  Q. Just once before?  A. Some of these get muddied into a continuation of different iterations so I don't recall if it was with one product or whether or not it was several iterations in the same litigation, but several depositions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Which ones? A. Mark Sostek, directly as far as directly familiar with the others by name, but not directly knowing. Q. Okay. So do you personally know Mark Sostek? A. I do. Q. How do you know him? A. Through prior involvement with AstraZeneca. He was an employee at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I've testified at deposition, never at the trial.  Q. In a patent case?  A. Yes.  Q. Just once before?  A. Some of these get muddied into a continuation of different iterations so I don't recall if it was with one product or whether or not it was several iterations in the same	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Which ones? A. Mark Sostek, directly as far as directly familiar with the others by name, but not directly knowing. Q. Okay. So do you personally know Mark Sostek? A. I do. Q. How do you know him? A. Through prior involvement with AstraZeneca. He was an employee at AstraZeneca.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I've testified at deposition, never at the trial.  Q. In a patent case?  A. Yes.  Q. Just once before?  A. Some of these get muddied into a continuation of different iterations so I don't recall if it was with one product or whether or not it was several iterations in the same litigation, but several depositions.  Q. Did it involve the product at issue in this case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Which ones? A. Mark Sostek, directly as far as directly familiar with the others by name, but not directly knowing. Q. Okay. So do you personally know Mark Sostek? A. I do. Q. How do you know him? A. Through prior involvement with AstraZeneca. He was an employee at AstraZeneca. Q. Did you work with him when he was an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I've testified at deposition, never at the trial.  Q. In a patent case?  A. Yes.  Q. Just once before?  A. Some of these get muddied into a continuation of different iterations so I don't recall if it was with one product or whether or not it was several iterations in the same litigation, but several depositions.  Q. Did it involve the product at issue in this case?  A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Which ones? A. Mark Sostek, directly as far as directly familiar with the others by name, but not directly knowing. Q. Okay. So do you personally know Mark Sostek? A. I do. Q. How do you know him? A. Through prior involvement with AstraZeneca. He was an employee at AstraZeneca. Q. Did you work with him when he was an employee at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I've testified at deposition, never at the trial.  Q. In a patent case?  A. Yes.  Q. Just once before?  A. Some of these get muddied into a continuation of different iterations so I don't recall if it was with one product or whether or not it was several iterations in the same litigation, but several depositions.  Q. Did it involve the product at issue in this case?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Which ones? A. Mark Sostek, directly as far as directly familiar with the others by name, but not directly knowing. Q. Okay. So do you personally know Mark Sostek? A. I do. Q. How do you know him? A. Through prior involvement with AstraZeneca. He was an employee at AstraZeneca. Q. Did you work with him when he was an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I've testified at deposition, never at the trial.  Q. In a patent case?  A. Yes.  Q. Just once before?  A. Some of these get muddied into a continuation of different iterations so I don't recall if it was with one product or whether or not it was several iterations in the same litigation, but several depositions.  Q. Did it involve the product at issue in this case?  A. No.  Q. Do you have any patents of your own?  A. Do not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Which ones? A. Mark Sostek, directly as far as directly familiar with the others by name, but not directly knowing. Q. Okay. So do you personally know Mark Sostek? A. I do. Q. How do you know him? A. Through prior involvement with AstraZeneca. He was an employee at AstraZeneca. Q. Did you work with him when he was an employee at A. And I don't understand what you mean when "work with."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I've testified at deposition, never at the trial.  Q. In a patent case?  A. Yes.  Q. Just once before?  A. Some of these get muddied into a continuation of different iterations so I don't recall if it was with one product or whether or not it was several iterations in the same litigation, but several depositions.  Q. Did it involve the product at issue in this case?  A. No.  Q. Do you have any patents of your own?  A. Do not.  Q. Do you have any experience in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Which ones? A. Mark Sostek, directly as far as directly familiar with the others by name, but not directly knowing. Q. Okay. So do you personally know Mark Sostek? A. I do. Q. How do you know him? A. Through prior involvement with AstraZeneca. He was an employee at AstraZeneca. Q. Did you work with him when he was an employee at A. And I don't understand what you mean when "work with."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I've testified at deposition, never at the trial.  Q. In a patent case?  A. Yes.  Q. Just once before?  A. Some of these get muddied into a continuation of different iterations so I don't recall if it was with one product or whether or not it was several iterations in the same litigation, but several depositions.  Q. Did it involve the product at issue in this case?  A. No.  Q. Do you have any patents of your own?  A. Do not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Which ones? A. Mark Sostek, directly as far as directly familiar with the others by name, but not directly knowing. Q. Okay. So do you personally know Mark Sostek? A. I do. Q. How do you know him? A. Through prior involvement with AstraZeneca. He was an employee at AstraZeneca. Q. Did you work with him when he was an employee at A. And I don't understand what you mean when "work with." Q. Could you explain how you came to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I've testified at deposition, never at the trial.  Q. In a patent case?  A. Yes.  Q. Just once before?  A. Some of these get muddied into a continuation of different iterations so I don't recall if it was with one product or whether or not it was several iterations in the same litigation, but several depositions.  Q. Did it involve the product at issue in this case?  A. No.  Q. Do you have any patents of your own?  A. Do not.  Q. Do you have any experience in the patent process and applying for patents?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Which ones? A. Mark Sostek, directly as far as directly familiar with the others by name, but not directly knowing. Q. Okay. So do you personally know Mark Sostek? A. I do. Q. How do you know him? A. Through prior involvement with AstraZeneca. He was an employee at AstraZeneca. Q. Did you work with him when he was an employee at A. And I don't understand what you mean when "work with." Q. Could you explain how you came to know him?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I've testified at deposition, never at the trial.  Q. In a patent case?  A. Yes.  Q. Just once before?  A. Some of these get muddied into a continuation of different iterations so I don't recall if it was with one product or whether or not it was several iterations in the same litigation, but several depositions.  Q. Did it involve the product at issue in this case?  A. No.  Q. Do you have any patents of your own?  A. Do not.  Q. Do you have any experience in the patent process and applying for patents?  A. Not directly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Which ones? A. Mark Sostek, directly as far as directly familiar with the others by name, but not directly knowing. Q. Okay. So do you personally know Mark Sostek? A. I do. Q. How do you know him? A. Through prior involvement with AstraZeneca. He was an employee at AstraZeneca. Q. Did you work with him when he was an employee at A. And I don't understand what you mean when "work with." Q. Could you explain how you came to know him? A. We were involved in a number of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I've testified at deposition, never at the trial.  Q. In a patent case? A. Yes. Q. Just once before? A. Some of these get muddied into a continuation of different iterations so I don't recall if it was with one product or whether or not it was several iterations in the same litigation, but several depositions. Q. Did it involve the product at issue in this case? A. No. Q. Do you have any patents of your own? A. Do not. Q. Do you have any experience in the patent process and applying for patents? A. Not directly. Q. Do you have any experience that gives	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Which ones? A. Mark Sostek, directly as far as directly familiar with the others by name, but not directly knowing. Q. Okay. So do you personally know Mark Sostek? A. I do. Q. How do you know him? A. Through prior involvement with AstraZeneca. He was an employee at AstraZeneca. Q. Did you work with him when he was an employee at A. And I don't understand what you mean when "work with." Q. Could you explain how you came to know him? A. We were involved in a number of clinical trial designs and applications and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I've testified at deposition, never at the trial.  Q. In a patent case? A. Yes. Q. Just once before? A. Some of these get muddied into a continuation of different iterations so I don't recall if it was with one product or whether or not it was several iterations in the same litigation, but several depositions. Q. Did it involve the product at issue in this case? A. No. Q. Do you have any patents of your own? A. Do not. Q. Do you have any experience in the patent process and applying for patents? A. Not directly. Q. Do you have any experience that gives you a do you have any experience with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Which ones? A. Mark Sostek, directly as far as directly familiar with the others by name, but not directly knowing. Q. Okay. So do you personally know Mark Sostek? A. I do. Q. How do you know him? A. Through prior involvement with AstraZeneca. He was an employee at AstraZeneca. Q. Did you work with him when he was an employee at A. And I don't understand what you mean when "work with." Q. Could you explain how you came to know him? A. We were involved in a number of clinical trial designs and applications and Mark Sostek was one of the physicians at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I've testified at deposition, never at the trial.  Q. In a patent case?  A. Yes.  Q. Just once before?  A. Some of these get muddied into a continuation of different iterations so I don't recall if it was with one product or whether or not it was several iterations in the same litigation, but several depositions.  Q. Did it involve the product at issue in this case?  A. No.  Q. Do you have any patents of your own?  A. Do not.  Q. Do you have any experience in the patent process and applying for patents?  A. Not directly.  Q. Do you have any experience that gives you a do you have any experience with the patent process in any work setting other than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Which ones? A. Mark Sostek, directly as far as directly familiar with the others by name, but not directly knowing. Q. Okay. So do you personally know Mark Sostek? A. I do. Q. How do you know him? A. Through prior involvement with AstraZeneca. He was an employee at AstraZeneca. Q. Did you work with him when he was an employee at A. And I don't understand what you mean when "work with." Q. Could you explain how you came to know him? A. We were involved in a number of clinical trial designs and applications and Mark Sostek was one of the physicians at AstraZeneca, so I had met him in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I've testified at deposition, never at the trial.  Q. In a patent case?  A. Yes. Q. Just once before?  A. Some of these get muddied into a continuation of different iterations so I don't recall if it was with one product or whether or not it was several iterations in the same litigation, but several depositions.  Q. Did it involve the product at issue in this case?  A. No. Q. Do you have any patents of your own?  A. Do not. Q. Do you have any experience in the patent process and applying for patents?  A. Not directly. Q. Do you have any experience with the patent process in any work setting other than these legal proceedings?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Which ones? A. Mark Sostek, directly as far as directly familiar with the others by name, but not directly knowing. Q. Okay. So do you personally know Mark Sostek? A. I do. Q. How do you know him? A. Through prior involvement with AstraZeneca. He was an employee at AstraZeneca. Q. Did you work with him when he was an employee at A. And I don't understand what you mean when "work with." Q. Could you explain how you came to know him? A. We were involved in a number of clinical trial designs and applications and Mark Sostek was one of the physicians at AstraZeneca, so I had met him in periodically at different meetings. Don't



14 to 17

			2010
	Page 14		Page 16
1	the clinical trials that you were involved in?	1	with my CV, but that was submitted.
2	A. Not off the top of my head. Maybe	2	Q. Could you confirm that the document
3	sometimes investigators get listed in the	3	that we marked earlier as Exhibit 1 is your CV?
4	lineage, but I don't recall that, best of my	4	A. Yes.
5	knowledge.	5	Q. Is that the document that you are
6	Q. Other than that interaction through	6	discussing there in paragraph 4?
7	your consulting work for AstraZeneca, do you	7	A. Yes.
8	have any other interactions with Dr. Sostek?	8	Q. Your declaration at paragraph 2 says
9	A. No.	9	that you have been retained as an expert
10	Q. And the other named inventors, have	10	opinion witness on behalf of patent owners
11	you ever met any of them personally?	11	POZEN, Inc. and Horizon Pharma, Inc.
12	A. No.	12	Could you explain to me how that
13	Q. Have you ever heard of them?	13	engagement came to be? For example, could you
14	A. Not beyond the context of this patent	14	tell me who hired you, who called you?
15	review.	15	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Let me just caution
16	Q. When is the last time you	16	you not to reveal any actual
17	communicated with Dr. Sostek?	17	communications other than general stuff
18	A. It has to be several years. I can't	18	about the engagement.
19	recall specifically.	19	A. Sure. And the honest answer is I
20	O. You never communicated with him about	20	don't recall how the communication developed.
21	this proceeding?	21	-
22	A. No.	22	Q. Were you contacted by attorneys?  A. Yes.
23	Q. Have you communicated with anyone	23	Q. Did you ever speak with anyone other
24	about this proceeding other than attorneys?	24	than attorneys for POZEN and Horizon?
25	A. No.	25	A. No.
1	Page 15 MS. LaVALLE: I'm now handing you a	1	Page 17 Q. Did you communicate by email or in
2	copy of your declaration that you	2	any other manner with anyone other than
3	prepared for this proceeding. We will	3	attorneys for POZEN and Horizon?
4	mark this document as Exhibit 3.	4	A. No.
5	(XX Exhibit No. 3 was marked for	5	Q. Do you recall when you were engaged?
6	identification.)	6	A. I do not.
7	BY MS. LaVALLE:	7	Q. Approximately how long ago?
8	Q. Can you confirm that this is your	8	A. Again, as stated, I don't I don't
9	declaration, Dr. Johnson?	9	recall.
	•		
10	A. Yes.	10	Q. Less than a year?
11	Q. And if you could turn to the last	11	A. In the last whatever the time of
12	page, can you confirm that that is your	12	the proceedings began. I don't remember the
13	signature?	13	scope of the exact date.
14	A. Yes.	14	Q. Did you write this declaration
15	Q. Could you turn to paragraph 4.	15	yourself?
16	That's page 1 of your declaration. The last	16	A. No.
17	sentence there states that "A full description	17	Q. Who prepared the declaration?
18	of my background and qualifications is set	18	A. It was prepared in concert with
19	forth in my curriculum vitae attached hereto."	19	counsel.
20	Do you see that?	20	Q. When you say "in concert with
21	A. Yes.	21	counsel," do you mean you prepared it in
22	Q. Did you attach your curriculum vitae	22	concert with counsel?
23	to this document?	23	A. Yes.
24	A. I presume when it was submitted. I	24	Q. Did anyone other than the attorneys
25	don't know the process of what was submitted	25	assist you in preparing the declaration?
		1	



# DOCKET A L A R M

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

